

## IMPROVED ERDŐS-RÉNYI AND STRONG APPROXIMATION LAWS FOR INCREMENTS OF RENEWAL PROCESSES

BY J. STEINEBACH

*University of Marburg*

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be an i.i.d. sequence with  $EX_1 = \mu > 0$ ,  $\text{var}(X_1) = \sigma^2 > 0$ ,  $E \exp(sX_1) < \infty$ ,  $|s| < s_1$ , and partial sums  $S_0 = 0$ ,  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ . For  $t \geq 0$ , put  $N(t) = \max\{n \geq 0: S_0, \dots, S_n \leq t\}$ , i.e.,  $L(t) = N(t) + 1$  denotes the first-passage time of the random walk  $\{S_n\}$ . Starting from some analogous results for the partial sum sequence, this paper studies the almost sure limiting behaviour of  $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - K_T} (N(t + K_T) - N(t))$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , under various conditions on the real function  $K_T$ . Improvements of the Erdős-Rényi strong law for renewal processes (resp. first-passage times) are obtained as well as strong invariance principle type versions. An indefinite range between strong invariance and strong noninvariance is also treated.

**1. Introduction.** Consider a sequence  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  of i.i.d. random variables satisfying  $EX_1 = 0$ ,  $EX_1^2 = 1$ , and  $\tilde{\varphi}(t) = E \exp(tX_1) < \infty$  for  $|t| < t_0 (> 0)$ . Set  $\tilde{I} = \{\tilde{\varphi}(t)/\tilde{\varphi}(t): t \in (0, t_0)\}$  and  $\tilde{\rho}(a) = \inf \tilde{\varphi}(t) \exp(-ta)$ . Stimulated by Révész's (1980, 1982) improved strong approximations for the increments of a standard Wiener process  $\{W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ , Csörgő and Steinebach (1981) obtained the following results for the increments of the partial sums  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $S_0 = 0$ :

**THEOREM A.** For  $a \in \tilde{I}$ , let  $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(a)$  be the solution of  $\exp(-1/\tilde{C}) = \tilde{\rho}(a)$ . Then,

$$(A) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \max_{0 \leq n \leq N - [\tilde{C} \log N]} \frac{S_{n + [\tilde{C} \log N]} - S_n}{[\tilde{C} \log N]^{1/2}} - [\tilde{C} \log N]^{1/2} a = 0 \quad a.s.$$

Assertion (A), rewritten in the form

$$(A') \quad \left| \max_{0 \leq n \leq N - [\tilde{C} \log N]} \frac{S_{n + [\tilde{C} \log N]} - S_n}{[\tilde{C} \log N]} - a \right| = o\left(\frac{1}{[\tilde{C} \log N]^{1/2}}\right) \quad a.s.,$$

yields a convergence rate statement in the original Erdős and Rényi (1970) law of large numbers dealing with maximum increments of the partial sums sequence over subintervals of size  $K_N = [\tilde{C} \log N]$ .

Moreover, for larger  $K_N$ , i.e.,  $K_N/\log N \rightarrow \infty$ , it holds:

**THEOREM B.** Let  $K_N = [\tilde{K}_N]$  denote a nondecreasing integer sequence with  $\tilde{K}_N/N \searrow 0$ ,  $\tilde{K}_N/(\log N)^p \rightarrow 0$  for some  $p > 2$  and  $\tilde{K}_N/\log N \nearrow \infty$ . Then, if

Received April 1984; revised December 1984.

AMS 1980 subject classifications. Primary 60F15; secondary 60F10, 60F17, 60G17, 60K05.

Key words and phrases. Increments of renewal processes, Erdős-Rényi strong laws, strong approximations, strong invariance principles, large deviations.

$a_N > 0$  is the unique positive solution of the equation

$$\rho^{K_N}(a_N K_N^{-1/2}) = K_N/N,$$

$N$  sufficiently large, we have

$$(B) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \max_{0 \leq n \leq N - K_N} \frac{S_{n+K_N} - S_n}{K_N^{1/2}} - a_N \right) = 0 \quad a.s.$$

In addition,

$$a_N \sim (2 \log(N/K_N))^{1/2} \sim (2 \log N)^{1/2},$$

and, if  $K_N/(\log N)^2 \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$a_N - (2 \log(N/K_N))^{1/2} = o(1).$$

Hence, assertion (B) is equivalent to the convergence rate statement

$$(B') \quad \left| \max_{0 \leq n \leq N - K_N} \frac{S_{n+K_N} - S_n}{a_N K_N^{1/2}} - 1 \right| = o\left(\frac{1}{(\log N)^{1/2}}\right) \quad a.s.$$

where the denominator  $a_N K_N^{1/2}$  can be replaced by  $(2K_N \log(N/K_N))^{1/2}$ , if  $K_N/(\log N)^2 \rightarrow \infty$ .

In a recent paper, Deheuvels, Devroye, and Lynch (1986) were able to show that a better rate  $O(K_N^{-1} \log K_N)$ ,  $K_N = [\tilde{C} \log N]$ , can be obtained in (A'), the latter being best possible. Their approach has been based on a large deviation theorem of Petrov (1965) and a more precise estimate of the dependencies between overlapping subintervals. An improved version of (B') seems to be unknown.

In what follows  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables satisfying

- (i)  $EX_1 = \mu > 0$ ,
- (ii)  $0 < \text{var}(X_1) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ ,
- (iii)  $E|X_1|^3 < \infty$ ,
- (iv)  $\varphi(s) = E \exp(sX_1) < \infty$ ,  $s_1 < s \leq 0$  (for some  $-\infty \leq s_1 < 0$ ).

Put  $I = \{\varphi'(s)/\varphi(s) : s_1 < s < 0\}$  and  $\rho(1/a) = \inf \varphi(s) \exp(-s/a)$ . Setting  $S_0 = 0$ ,  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ , and  $M_n = \max(S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n)$ , we consider the processes  $\{N(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\{L(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ , where

$$N(t) = \max\{n \geq 0 : M_n \leq t\},$$

$$L(t) = \min\{n > 0 : S_n > t\}.$$

$\{N(t)\}$  was introduced by Heyde (1967) as a "generalized renewal process". For nonnegative  $X_i$ 's,

$$N(t) = \max\{n \geq 0 : S_n \leq t\},$$

and hence reduces to the "ordinary" number of renewals up to  $t$  under "failure-times"  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ . Clearly,  $L(t) = N(t) + 1$ , and  $L(t)$  is known as the "first-passage time" (from  $[0, t]$ ) of the random walk  $\{S_n\}$ .

In a joint work, Retka and Steinebach [see Retka (1982) and Steinebach (1984)] proved the following analogues of Theorems A and B for the “ordinary renewal process”. Note that  $X_i \geq 0$  implies (iv), with  $s_1 = -\infty$ , and  $I = (\mu_1, \mu)$ , where  $\mu_1 = \text{ess inf } X_1 \geq 0$ :

**THEOREM C.** For  $1/a \in I$  let  $C = C(a)$  be such that  $\exp(-1/C) = \rho^a(1/a)$ . Then

$$(C) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - C \log T} \frac{N(t + C \log T) - N(t)}{(C \log T)^{1/2}} - (C \log T)^{1/2} a \right) = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Thus, (C) yields a convergence rate statement

$$(C') \quad \left| \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - C \log T} \frac{N(t + C \log T) - N(t)}{C \log T} - a \right| = o\left(\frac{1}{(\log T)^{1/2}}\right) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

in the Erdős-Rényi law for the ordinary renewal process [see e.g., Steinebach (1979) for the latter result].

Moreover, for subintervals of larger size, the following holds:

**THEOREM D.** Suppose

$$(iv') \quad \hat{\phi}(s) = E \exp\{-s(X_1 - \mu)/\sigma\} < \infty, \quad |s| < s_0 (> 0),$$

put  $\hat{\rho}(a) = \inf \hat{\phi}(s) \exp(-sa)$ , and let  $\{K_T\}_{T \geq 0}$  denote a nondecreasing positive function satisfying

- (v)  $K_T/T$  is nonincreasing,
- (vi)  $K_T/\log T \nearrow \infty$ ,
- (vii)  $K_T/(\log T)^p \rightarrow 0$  for some  $p > 2$ .

Then, if  $a_T > 0$  is the unique positive solution of

$$(viii) \quad \hat{\rho}^{K^{1/2} d_T}(\mu a_T / \sigma d_T) = K/T,$$

where  $d_T = (K^{1/2}/\mu) + a_T$ ,  $K = [K_{[T]}]$ ,  $T$  sufficiently large, we have

$$(D) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - K_T} \frac{N(t + K_T) - N(t) - K_T/\mu}{K_T^{1/2}} - a_T \right) = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

**REMARK 1.** (a) Similarly to Csörgő and Steinebach (1981), Remark 2, setting  $\hat{\psi}(s) = \log \hat{\phi}(s)$ ,  $|s| < s_0$ , we have

$$\hat{\psi}(s) = s^2/2 + O(s^3), \quad \hat{\psi}'(s) = s + O(s^2) \quad (s \rightarrow 0),$$

and

$$-\log \hat{\rho}(a) = a^2/2 + O(a^3) \quad (a \rightarrow 0) \quad \text{by (iv')}.$$

(b) The definition of  $a_T$  differs from its counterpart in the partial sum case. However, for  $T$  fixed, differentiation w.r.t.  $a$  shows that the function

$$h(a) = K^{1/2} d(a)(-\log \hat{\rho}(b(a))),$$

where  $d(a) = (K^{1/2}/\mu) + a$ ,  $b(a) = \mu a / (\sigma d(a))$ , is nonnegative and strictly convex. Since  $-\log \hat{\rho}(0) = 0$ , and  $\log(T/K) \leq h(a)$  for  $T$  sufficiently large, it is obvious that there is a unique positive solution  $a_T$  in (viii). Moreover,

$$b_T = b(a_T) \rightarrow 0 \quad (T \rightarrow \infty).$$

(c) Using (viii) and Remarks 1(a) and 1(b), we further have

$$a_T \sim (2\sigma^2\mu^{-3}\log(T/K))^{1/2} \quad (T \rightarrow \infty),$$

and, if  $K_T/(\log T)^2 \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$a_T - (2\sigma^2\mu^{-3}\log(T/K))^{1/2} = o(1) \quad (T \rightarrow \infty).$$

By conditions (v)–(vii),  $K = [K_{[T]}]$  can even be replaced by  $K_T$ .

Hence, assertion (D) is equivalent to

$$(D') \quad \left| \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - K_T} \frac{N(t + K_T) - N(t) - K_T/\mu}{a_T K_T^{1/2}} - 1 \right| = o\left(\frac{1}{(\log T)^{1/2}}\right) \quad \text{a.s.},$$

yielding a convergence rate statement in an “extended version” of the Erdős–Rényi law for the ordinary renewal process [see e.g., Steinebach (1979)]. Unfortunately, the methods could not directly be applied to generalized renewal processes. However, partially following the lines of Deheuvels, Devroye, and Lynch (1986), we are now in a position to

- (a) extend Theorems C and D to generalized renewal processes or first-passage times resp., and, moreover,
- (b) replace the rate  $o((\log T)^{-1/2})$  in (C') by the possibly best rate

$$O((\log T)^{-1} \log \log T).$$

**2. Results.** Consider the processes  $\{N(t)\}, \{L(t)\}$  as defined above, based upon an i.i.d. sequence  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  satisfying conditions (i)–(iv). For  $0 < K < T$ , set

$$D(T, K) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - K} (N(t + K) - N(t)) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - K} (L(t + K) - L(t)).$$

Then Theorems C and D can be extended as follows:

**THEOREM 1.** For  $0 < 1/a < \mu$ , with  $1/a \in I$ , let  $C = C(a)$  be such that  $\exp(-1/C) = \rho^a(1/a)$ . Then,

$$(1) \quad \left| \frac{D(T, C \log T)}{C \log T} - a \right| = O\left(\frac{\log \log T}{\log T}\right) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

**THEOREM 2.** The assertions of Theorem D can be extended to generalized renewal processes, i.e.,

$$(2) \quad \left| \frac{D(T, K_T) - K_T/\mu}{a_T K_T^{1/2}} - 1 \right| = o\left(\frac{1}{(\log T)^{1/2}}\right),$$

and the denominator  $a_T K_T^{1/2}$  can be replaced by  $(2\sigma^2\mu^{-3}K_T \log(T/K_T))^{1/2}$ , if  $K_T/(\log T)^2 \rightarrow \infty$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 is based upon

LEMMA 1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1 let  $s_a$  be such that  $1/a = \varphi'(s_a)/\varphi(s_a)$ . Then, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3$  fixed, there exist constants  $A_0, B_0 > 0$  such that, for  $K$  sufficiently large,

$$(3) \quad P(N(K + c_0) \geq Ka + (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)a \log K/s_a + c_1) \leq A_0 \rho^{K^\alpha(1/a)} K^{-(1+\epsilon)},$$

$$(4) \quad P(N(K + c_2) \geq Ka - (\frac{5}{2} + \epsilon)a \log K/s_a + c_3) \geq B_0 \rho^{K^\alpha(1/a)} K^{1+\epsilon}.$$

The proof of Theorem 2 makes use of

LEMMA 2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3$  fixed, there exist constants  $A_0, A_1, B_0, B_1 > 0$  such that, for  $T$  sufficiently large,

$$(5) \quad P(N(K + c_0) \geq K/\mu + K^{1/2}(a_T + \epsilon) + c_1) \leq A_0 \hat{\rho}^{K^{1/2}d_T}(b_T) e^{-A_1 a_T},$$

$$(6) \quad P(N(K + c_2) \geq K/\mu + K^{1/2}(a_T - \epsilon) + c_3) \geq B_0 K^{-1} \hat{\rho}^{K^{1/2}d_T}(b_T) e^{B_1 a_T},$$

where  $K = [K_{[T]}]$ ,  $d_T = (K^{1/2}/\mu) + a_T$ ,  $b_T = \mu a_T / (\sigma d_T)$ .

**3. Proofs.** To prove Lemmas 1 and 2, we further need the following corollaries to results of Petrov (1965) and Pollaczek (1952) [see Deheuvels, Devroye, and Lynch (1986)]:

LEMMA 3. With the notation of Theorem 1, let  $\{y_n\}$  be a real sequence satisfying  $ny_n^2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, uniformly over all sequences  $\{z_n\}$  with  $|z_n| \leq |y_n|$ , we have

$$(7) \quad P(S_n \leq n(1/a + z_n)) \sim C_0 n^{-1/2} \rho^n (1/a) e^{nz_n s_a},$$

where  $C_0 > 0$  depends on the distribution of  $X_1$ .

LEMMA 4. For the partial sums  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  of an i.i.d. sequence, we have

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{n} P(S_n \leq 0) \leq P(S_1 \leq 0, \dots, S_n \leq 0) \leq P(S_n \leq 0).$$

Let us now turn to the

PROOF OF LEMMA 1. (a) Put  $n_K = [Ka + (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)a \log K/s_a + c_1]$ . Then, using Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} P(N(K + c_0) \geq Ka + (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)a \log K/s_a + c_1) \\ \leq P(S_{n_K} \leq K + c_0) = P(S_{n_K} \leq n_K(1/a + z_K)) \\ \sim C_0 n_K^{-1/2} \rho^{n_K} (1/a) e^{n_K z_K s_a}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $z_K = (K + c_0)/n_K - 1/a \sim -(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)\log K/(Kas_a)$ . Hence  $n_K z_K^2 = O(K^{-1}(\log K)^2) \rightarrow 0$ , and  $n_K z_K \sim -(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)\log K/s_a$ , which implies (3).

(b) Put  $m_K = [Ka - (\frac{5}{2} + \epsilon)a \log K/s_a + c_3 + 1]$ . Then, by Lemmas 3 and 4, for  $m_K \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(N(K + c_2) \geq Ka - (\frac{5}{2} + \epsilon)a \log K/s_a + c_3) &\geq P(S_1 \leq K + c_3, \dots, S_{m_K} \leq K + c_3) \\ &\geq P\left(S_1 \leq \frac{K + c_3}{m_K}, \dots, S_{m_K} \leq m_K \frac{K + c_3}{m_K}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{m_K} P(S_{m_K} \leq K + c_3) \sim C_0 m_K^{-3/2} \rho^{m_K} (1/a) e^{m_K \tilde{z}_K s_a}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{z}_K \sim (\frac{5}{2} + \epsilon)\log K/(Kas_a)$ . This implies (4).  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Setting  $K_T = C \log T$ , we prove

$$(9) \quad \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_T}{\log K_T} \left( \frac{D(T, K_T)}{K_T} - a \right) \leq \frac{a}{2s_a} \quad \text{a.s.},$$

$$(10) \quad \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_T}{\log K_T} \left( \frac{D(T, K_T)}{K_T} - a \right) \geq -\frac{5a}{2s_a} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

(a) Set  $T_j = \sup\{T: K_T \leq j\}$ . Then, for  $T_{j-1} < T \leq T_j$ , we have  $j - 1 < K_T \leq j$  and  $\exp(j - 1)/C < T \leq \exp(j/C)$ . Following the lines in Steinebach (1981), we further estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D(T, K_T)}{\log K_T} &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - K_T} \left( \frac{N(t + K_T) - N(t)}{\log K_T} \right) \\ &\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_{j-1}} \left( \frac{N([t] + j + 1) - N([t])}{\log K_{T_{j-1}}} \right) = \frac{D_1(T_j, j)}{\log K_{T_{j-1}}}, \end{aligned}$$

and, by Lemma 1 and our choice of  $C = C(a)$ , for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(D_1(T_j, j) \geq K_{T_{j-1}} a + (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)a \log K_{T_{j-1}}/s_a) &\leq T_j P(N(j + 1) \geq (j - 2)a + (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)a \log(j - 2)/s_a) \\ &\leq A_0 T_j \rho^{ja} (1/a) j^{-(1+\epsilon)} \leq A_0 j^{-(1+\epsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the Borel–Cantelli lemma yields

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_{T_{j-1}}}{\log K_{T_{j-1}}} \left( \frac{D_1(T_j, j)}{K_{T_{j-1}}} - a \right) \leq (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)a/s_a \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Since, for  $T_{j-1} < T \leq T_j$  and  $j$  sufficiently large

$$\frac{D(T, K_T)}{\log K_T} - \frac{K_T a}{\log K_T} \leq \frac{D_1(T_j, j)}{\log K_{T_{j-1}}} - \frac{K_{T_{j-1}} a}{\log K_{T_{j-1}}},$$

assertion (9) is proved.

(b) To prove (10), we first estimate, for  $T$  sufficiently large and with  $K = [K_{[T]}]$ ,

$$D(T, K_T) \geq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq [T] - (K+2)} (N(t+K) - N(t)) = D_0([T], K)$$

and

$$\frac{D(T, K_T)}{\log K_T} - \frac{K_T a}{\log K_T} \geq \left( \frac{\log K}{\log K_T} \right) \left( \frac{D_0([T], K)}{\log K} - \frac{K a}{\log K} \right) + a \frac{K - K_T}{\log K_T}.$$

Since  $K/K_T \rightarrow 1, (K - K_T)/\log K_T \rightarrow 0 (T \rightarrow \infty)$ , it suffices to prove

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{D_0(n, K)}{\log K} - \frac{K a}{\log K} \right) \geq -\frac{5a}{2s_a} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

for integer  $n$ . By the method of random renewal epochs, as used in Steinebach (1981), Section 4, it is then enough to show

$$(11) \quad P\left( \max_{i=0, \dots, M(n)} (N(t_i + K) - N(t_i)) \leq K a - \left(\frac{5}{2} + \epsilon\right) a \log K / s_a \text{ i.o.} \right) = 0,$$

where  $M(n) = \max\{i \geq 0: t_i + K + 2 \leq n\}$ ,  $t_i = t_{i,n}$  as defined in Steinebach (1981), Lemma 5. Let  $n_j$  denote the smallest integer  $n$  with  $K = [K_n] = j$ . Then, for  $n_j \leq n < n_{j+1}$ ,  $[K_n] = j$  and  $M(n) \geq M(n_j)$ . Hence it is enough to prove (11) for the subsequence  $\{n_j\}$ . In the vein of Steinebach (1981), Section 4, we estimate, setting  $i_n = [\delta n / K], 0 < \delta < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &P\left( \max_{i=0, \dots, M(n)} (N(t_i + K) - N(t_i)) \leq K a - \left(\frac{5}{2} + \epsilon\right) a \log K / s_a \right) \\ &\leq P\left( \max_{i=0, \dots, i_n} (N(t_i + K) - N(t_i)) \leq K a - \left(\frac{5}{2} + \epsilon\right) a \log K / s_a \right) \\ &\quad + P(M(n) < i_n). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1, the first probability in the last line can be bounded by

$$\exp\{-\tilde{B}_0 \rho^{K a} (1/a) K^{1+\epsilon} n / K\} \leq \exp\{-\tilde{B}_0 K^\epsilon\},$$

for some  $\tilde{B}_0 > 0$ , remembering  $\rho^{K a} (1/a) \geq 1/n, K = [K_n]$ . For  $n = n_j$ , this is summable in  $j$ , since  $K = j$ . By Lemma 5 in Steinebach (1981), we have  $\sum P(M(n) < i_n) < \infty$ , thus also for the subseries  $n = n_j$ . Now use of the Borel-Cantelli lemma completes the proof.  $\square$

The proofs of Lemma 2 and Theorem 2 are slightly different.

**PROOF OF LEMMA 2.** (a) Let  $s_T$  be such that  $b_T = \hat{\varphi}'(s_T)$ , hence  $\hat{\rho}(b_T) = \hat{\varphi}(s_T)\exp(-s_T b_T)$ . Put  $n_T = [K/\mu + K^{1/2}(a_T + \varepsilon) + c_1]$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} P(N(K + c_0) \geq K/\mu + K^{1/2}(a_T + \varepsilon) + c_1) &\leq P(S_{n_T} \leq K + c_0) \\ &\leq P\left(-\frac{S_{n_T} - n_T\mu}{\sigma} \geq K^{1/2}\frac{\mu(a_T + \varepsilon)}{\sigma} + c_0\right) \\ &\leq \hat{\varphi}^{n_T}(s_T)\exp(-s_T(K^{1/2}d_T b_T + K^{1/2}\tilde{\varepsilon} + \tilde{c}_0)) \\ &\leq \hat{\rho}^{K^{1/2}d_T}(b_T)\exp(s_T b_T(n_T - K^{1/2}d_T) - K^{1/2}s_T\tilde{\varepsilon} - \tilde{c}_0 s_T). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $s_T \sim b_T \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n_T - K^{1/2}d_T \sim K^{1/2}\varepsilon$ ,  $K^{1/2}s_T \sim K^{1/2}b_T \sim \mu^2 a_T/\sigma = o(K^{1/2})$ , this proves (5).

(b) Put  $m_T = [K/\mu + K^{1/2}(a_T - \varepsilon) + c_3 + 1]$ . Then, taking  $s_T$  as defined in (a),

$$\begin{aligned} P(N(K + c_2) \geq K/\mu + K^{1/2}(a_T - \varepsilon) + c_3) &\geq \frac{1}{m_T} P(S_{m_T} \leq K + c_3) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{m_T} P\left(-\frac{S_{m_T} - m_T\mu}{\sigma} \geq K^{1/2}\frac{\mu(a_T - \varepsilon)}{\sigma} + \tilde{c}_2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using associated probability measures  $\hat{P}_{s,T}$ , defined by

$$\hat{P}_{s,T}(A) = \int_A \exp(s\hat{S}_{m_T})/\hat{\varphi}^{m_T}(s) dP,$$

where  $\hat{S}_{m_T} = -(S_{m_T} - m_T\mu)/\sigma$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\hat{S}_{m_T} - m_T b_T \geq K^{1/2}\frac{\mu(a_T - \varepsilon)}{\sigma} - m_T b_T + \tilde{c}_2\right) &= P(\hat{S}_{m_T} - m_T b_T \geq (K^{1/2}d_T - m_T)b_T - K^{1/2}\tilde{\varepsilon} + \tilde{c}_2) \\ &\geq \hat{\rho}^{m_T}(b_T)\exp(K^{1/2}s_T\tilde{\varepsilon}/2)\hat{P}_{s_T,T}\left(-\frac{3\tilde{\varepsilon}}{4} \leq \frac{\hat{S}_{m_T} - m_T b_T}{K^{1/2}} \leq -\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For the last inequality, note  $K^{1/2}d_T - m_T \sim K^{1/2}\varepsilon$  and  $b_T \rightarrow 0$ . Taylor series expansion of the cumulant generating function shows that  $(\hat{S}_{m_T} - m_T b_T)/K^{1/2}$  is asymptotically normal under  $\hat{P}_{s_T,T}$ ,  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . Since, for large  $T$ ,  $m_T \leq d_T$ ,  $m_T \sim K/\mu$ , and  $K^{1/2}s_T \sim \mu^2 a_T/\sigma$ , this completes the proof of (6).  $\square$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.** (a) Set  $T_j = \sup\{T: K = [K_{[T]}] = j\}$ . Using the same notations as in the proof of Theorem 1, for  $T_{j-1} < T \leq T_j$ , we have  $[K_{[T]}] = j$  and

$$\frac{D(T, K_T) - K_T/\mu}{K_T^{1/2}} - a_T \leq \frac{D_1(T_j, j) - j/\mu}{j^{1/2}} - a_{T_j} + (a_{T_j} - a_T).$$



Hence, for the lim sup-part, we prove, for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$(12) \quad \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{D_1(T_j, j) - j/\mu}{j^{1/2}} - a_{T_j} \right) \leq \epsilon \quad \text{a.s.},$$

$$(13) \quad \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \{ a_{T_j} - a_T : T_{j-1} < T \leq T_j \} = 0.$$

Assertion (12) is a consequence of Lemma 2 and the choice of  $a_T$ , since

$$P(D_1(T_j, j) \geq j/\mu + j^{1/2}(a_{T_j} + \epsilon)) \leq A_0 T_j (j/T_j) \exp(-A_1 a_{T_j}).$$

Similar to Csörgő and Steinebach (1981), we estimate by (vi) and (vii),

$$A_1 a_{T_j} \geq \log(K_{T_j}^3) = \log(j^3).$$

Hence, assertion (11) follows by using the Borel-Cantelli lemma. Moreover, similar to the partial sum case, for  $T_{j-1} < T \leq T_j$ , we have

$$0 \leq a_{T_j} - a_T = O\left(\frac{\log T_j}{j}\right),$$

the latter expression tending to zero by assumption (vi). Assertions (12) and (13) yield

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{D(T, K_T) - K_T/\mu}{K_T^{1/2}} - a_T \right) \leq 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

(b) The proof of

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{D(T, K_T) - K_T/\mu}{K_T^{1/2}} - a_T \right) \geq 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

follows in a similar vein as part (b) of Theorem 1. We estimate, for large  $T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D(T, K_T) - K_T/\mu}{K_T^{1/2}} - a_T &\geq \left(\frac{K}{K_T}\right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{D_0([T], K) - K/\mu}{K^{1/2}} - a_{[T]} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{K - K_T}{\mu K_T^{1/2}} + \frac{K^{1/2} a_{[T]} - K_T^{1/2} a_T}{K_T^{1/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

$K = [K_{[T]}]$ . Assumption (v) yields  $K - K_T \rightarrow 0$  ( $T \rightarrow \infty$ ), and hence also  $(K/K_T)^{1/2} \rightarrow 1$ . Moreover, similar to part (a)

$$K^{1/2} a_{[T]} - K_T^{1/2} a_T = O((\log T)/K_T^{1/2}).$$

Hence it suffices to prove, for integer  $n$ ,

$$(14) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{D_0(n, K)}{K^{1/2}} - a_n \right) \geq -\epsilon \quad \text{a.s.},$$

$K = [K_n]$ . Again using random renewal epochs  $t_i$  as in part (b) of Theorem 1, we

obtain by Lemma 2 and our choice of  $a_n$

$$P\left(\max_{i=0, \dots, i_n} (N(t_i + K) - N(t_i)) \leq K/\mu + K^{1/2}(a_n - \varepsilon)\right) \leq \exp(-\tilde{B}_0 K^{-2} e^{B_1 a_n}) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right),$$

$\tilde{B}_0 > 0$ ,  $i_n = [\delta_1 n/K]$ , since  $a_n \sim (2\sigma^2 \mu^{-3} \log(n/K))^{1/2}$ , and  $K_n^2/a_n^{4p} = o(1)$ . Thus, the Borel–Cantelli lemma yields (14), which completes the proof.  $\square$

**4. Strong invariance principles.** Theorems A, C, and 1 give strong noninvariance principles, since the functional dependence  $C = C(a)$ , given there, uniquely determines the underlying distribution via its moment-generating function [see Erdős and Rényi (1970) and Steinebach (1981)]. On the other hand, Theorems B, D, and 2 give strong invariance principles, if  $K_N(K_T)$  is such that  $K_N/(\log N)^2$  resp.  $K_T/(\log T)^2$  tends to infinity. For smaller  $K_N(K_T)$ , the latter theorems treat an indefinite range between strong invariance and noninvariance, since (via  $\rho$ )  $a_N(a_T)$  may depend upon a number of cumulants of the distribution.

Now, suppose the underlying probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  to be rich enough that the Kórnlos–Major–Tusnády (1976) strong approximation holds, i.e., one can define a standard Wiener process  $\{W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  on it such that

$$(15) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \frac{S_{[t]} - [t]\mu}{\sigma} - W(t) \right| = O(\log T) \quad \text{a.s.},$$

where again  $S_{[t]}$  denotes the  $[t]$ th partial sum of an i.i.d. sequence  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  satisfying conditions (i), (ii), and (iv'). Assertion (15) is a slightly extended version [see Csörgő and Révész (1981)] of the following original form:

$$(16) \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k - T_k| = O(\log n) \quad \text{a.s.},$$

where  $T_k$  denotes the  $k$ th partial sum of an i.i.d. sequence  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  of normal rv's with same mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

For  $K_N/(\log N)^2 \rightarrow \infty$ , an extension of Theorem B has been derived by Csörgő and Steinebach (1981), using (15) and corresponding results for the Wiener process. In a similar vein, an extension of Theorems D and 2 would be desirable for  $K_T/(\log T)^2 \rightarrow \infty$ . But, using (15), one only gets the following approximation:

**THEOREM 3.** *Under the aforementioned assumptions,*

$$(17) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \frac{N(t) - t/\mu}{\sigma/\mu^{3/2}} - W(t) \right| = O((T \log \log T)^{1/4} (\log T)^{1/2}) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

OUTLINE OF PROOF. Assertion (17) can easily be derived by decomposing as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N(t) - t/\mu}{\sigma/\mu^{3/2}} - W(t) &= \left( \frac{N(t) - S_{N(t)}/\mu}{\sigma/\mu^{3/2}} - \mu^{1/2}W(N(t)) \right) \\ &\quad - \left( \frac{S_{N(t)} - t}{\sigma/\mu^{1/2}} \right) + \mu^{1/2}(W(N(t)) - W(t/\mu)). \end{aligned}$$

Using (15) and the strong law of large numbers for  $\{N(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ , the first summand can be shown to be of order  $O(\log T)$  a.s. uniformly in  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . Since  $\varphi(s) = E \exp(sX_1) < \infty$ ,  $|s| < s_1$ , by direct estimates

$$\max(X_1, \dots, X_n) = O(\log N) \quad \text{a.s.},$$

which further implies

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \frac{S_{N(t)} - t}{\sigma/\mu^{1/2}} \right| \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \frac{X_{N(t)+1}}{\sigma/\mu^{1/2}} \right| = O(\log T) \quad \text{a.s.},$$

again using the SLLN for  $\{N(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ . However, in view of the law of iterated logarithm for  $\{N(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  and the results of Csörgő and Révész (1979),

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mu^{1/2} \left| W(N(t)) - W\left(\frac{t}{\mu}\right) \right| = O((T \log \log T)^{1/4} (\log T)^{1/2}) \quad \text{a.s.},$$

which cannot be improved.

In view of Theorem 3 and the final remark in the proof, an extension of Theorems D and 2 via strong approximations can only be stated for “very large increments”, i.e.,  $K_T/(T \log \log T)^{1/2} \log T \rightarrow \infty$ . Such an approach has recently been given by Horváth (1984).

It is clear, however, that a strong invariance principle must hold for all  $K_T$  with  $K_T/(\log T)^2 \rightarrow \infty$ . For further considerations, the following lemma should be helpful:

LEMMA 5. *Suppose the underlying probability space to be rich enough that (16) holds. For  $t \geq 0$  set*

$$M(t) := \max\{n \geq 0: T_1, \dots, T_n \leq t\}.$$

Then, we have

$$(18) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |N(t) - M(t)| = O(\log T) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

PROOF. To prove (18) we combine the K–M–T strong approximation (16),

the SLLN for  $\{N(t)\}$  and  $\{M(t)\}$  and a version of the Erdős–Rényi strong law for  $\{M(t)\}$ , i.e., for each  $C > 0$ ,

$$(19) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{M(t + C \log T) - M(t)}{C \log T} = a(C) \quad \text{a.s.},$$

[see e.g., Theorem 1, for  $\varphi(s) = \exp(s\mu + s^2\sigma^2/2)$ ]. Suppose

$$(20) \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} |S_k - T_k| \leq C_0 \log N \quad \forall N \geq N_0(\omega), \quad C_0 > 0,$$

$$(21) \quad \delta_0 T \leq N(T) \leq \delta_1 T \quad \forall T \geq T_0(\omega), \quad \delta_0 < \frac{1}{\mu} < \delta_1.$$

Let  $N(t) = k \leq N(T)$ , i.e.,  $S_1, \dots, S_k \leq t$ ,  $S_{k+1} > t$ . If  $\delta_0 T_0 \geq N_0$ , in view of (20) and (21),

$$T_1, \dots, T_k \leq t + C_0 \log N(T) \leq t + C_0 \log(\delta_1 T),$$

and

$$T_{k+1} > t - C_0 \log(N(T) + 1) \geq t - C_0 \log(\delta_1 T + 1).$$

Moreover, if  $T_0$  is such that  $C_0 \log(\delta_1 T + 1) \leq 2C_0 \log T$ , for all  $T \geq T_0$  we obtain

$$M(t + 2C_0 \log T) \geq k = N(t),$$

$$M(t - 2C_0 \log T) \leq k = N(t).$$

Hence, setting  $C = 2C_0$ , for  $T \geq T_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{|N(t) - M(t)|}{\log T} \\ & \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq C \log T} \frac{|N(t) - M(t)|}{\log T} + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{M(t + C \log T) - M(t)}{\log T}. \end{aligned}$$

By (19) and the SLLN for  $\{N(t)\}$  and  $\{M(t)\}$ , this completes the proof.

## REFERENCES

- CsÖRGÖ, M. and RÉVÉSZ, P. (1979). How big are the increments of a Wiener process? *Ann. Probab.* **7** 731–737.
- CsÖRGÖ, M. and RÉVÉSZ, P. (1981). *Strong Approximations in Probability and Statistics*. Academic, New York.
- CsÖRGÖ, M. and STEINEBACH, J. (1981). Improved Erdős–Rényi and strong approximation laws for increments of partial sums. *Ann. Probab.* **9** 988–996.
- DEHEUVELS, P., DEVROYE, L. and LYNCH, J. (1986). Exact convergence rate in the limit theorems of Erdős–Rényi and Shepp. *Ann. Probab.* **14** 209–223.
- ERDÖS, P. and RÉNYI (1970). On a new law of large numbers. *J. Analyse Math.* **23** 103–111.
- HEYDE, C. C. (1967). Asymptotic renewal results for a natural generalization of classical renewal theory. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B* **29** 141–150.
- HORVÁTH, L. (1984). Strong approximation of renewal processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **18** 127–138.
- KÓMLOS, J., MAJOR, P. and TUSNÁDY, G. (1976). An approximation of partial sums of independent r.v.'s, and the sample d.f. II. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete* **34** 33–58.
- PETROV, V. V. (1965). On the probabilities of large deviations of sums of independent random variables. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **10** 287–298.

- POLLACZEK, K. (1952). Fonctions caractéristiques de certaines répartitions définies au moyen de la notion d'ordre. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B* **234** 2334–2336.
- RETKA, M. (1982). *Gesetze vom Erdős-Rényi-Typ bei Erneuerungsprozessen*. Diplomarbeit, University of Marburg.
- RÉVÉSZ, P. (1980). How to characterize the asymptotic properties of a stochastic process by four classes of deterministic curves? *Carleton Math. Ser.* **164** 34 pages.
- RÉVÉSZ, P. (1982). On the increments of Wiener and related processes. *Ann. Probab.* **10** 613–622.
- STEINEBACH, J. (1978). A strong law of Erdős-Rényi type for cumulative processes in renewal theory. *J. Appl. Probab.* **15** 96–111.
- STEINEBACH, J. (1979). *Erdős-Rényi-Zuwächse bei Erneuerungsprozessen und Partialsummen auf Gittern*. Habilitationsschrift, University of Düsseldorf.
- STEINEBACH, J. (1981). The stochastic geyser problem for first-passage times. *J. Appl. Probab.* **18** 91–103.
- STEINEBACH, J. (1984). Between invariance principles and Erdős-Rényi laws. *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai* **36**. Limit Theorems in Probability and Statistics, Veszprém, Hungary.

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK  
PHILIPPS-UNIVERSITÄT  
LAHNBERGE  
D-3550 MARBURG / LAHN  
FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY