

THE PROBABILITY OF SMALL GAUSSIAN ELLIPSOIDS AND ASSOCIATED CONDITIONAL MOMENTS

BY EDDY MAYER-WOLF¹ AND OFER ZEITOUNI²

Technion—Israel Institute of Technology

The problem of computing the lower tail of a Gaussian norm is considered in this paper. Based on large deviations arguments, a bound on these tails is derived which is tighter than those obtained by other methods. Conditional exponential moment bounds are also derived and as an application, L^2 type Onsager–Machlup functionals for diffusions are computed.

1. Introduction. In this note, we consider the following problem: Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of i.i.d. Gaussian random variables. Consider the random variable $z = \sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i^2/a_i^2$, where $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of given (deterministic) numbers satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^\infty 1/a_i^2 < \infty$. We are interested in computing the asymptotics $P(z \leq \varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and in computing the asymptotics of expectations of the form

$$(1) \quad E\left(\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty g_i(x_i)\right)\middle|z \leq \varepsilon\right).$$

The first problem was considered in [4]. Using an approximation by finite sums and explicit computations for Gaussian random variables, the authors get upper and lower bounds on $P(z \leq \varepsilon)$. Although their bounds are rather explicit, the ratio of upper to lower bound diverges badly (except in the particular case $a_i = i$, where they derive alternative bounds based on different methods), and therefore one may not use their results to compute (1).

Another approach to computing asymptotics of z could be via the theory of large deviations. Note that, since x_i are Gaussian and independent random variables, $\mathbf{x}/n^{1/2} =_d (1/n)\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}^i$, where \mathbf{x} denotes the (infinite) vector x_1, x_2, \dots , $=_d$ denotes equality in distribution and \mathbf{x}^i are independent copies of \mathbf{x} . Therefore, the asymptotics of $P(z \geq 1/\varepsilon)$ may be read from the general large deviations theorem for vector valued Gaussian random variables coupled with the contraction principle. The situation considered here, however, is different in the sense that the random variable z/ε converges to infinity a.s. as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and standard large deviations results do not apply in the absence of an equilibrium point.

In spite of the above, the route taken in this article is still based on techniques inspired by large deviations theory. We modify the proof of Cramer's

Received June 1990; revised May 1991.

¹Partially supported by the Japan TS Research fund.

²Partially supported by the Technion V.P.R.—Argentinian Research Fund.

AMS 1991 subject classifications. Primary 60G15, 60F10; secondary 60J65.

Key words and phrases. Gaussian norms, Onsager–Machlup, large deviations.

theorem to get implicit upper and lower bounds whose ratio, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, diverges relatively slowly. These bounds are then used to compute (1). The following corollary will be proved in Section 3. Define

$$\mathbb{H}^l \triangleq \left\{ \psi(t) \in L^2(0, 1) : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \ln(i) \left(\int_0^1 \psi(t) \cos\left(\left(i - \frac{1}{2}\right)\pi t\right) dt \right)^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Then:

COROLLARY 1. *Let $\phi \in \mathbb{H}^l$ be a given deterministic function. Then*

$$(2) \quad E \left(\exp \left(\int_0^1 \phi_t dw_t \right) \middle| \|w\|_2 < \varepsilon \right) \rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 1,$$

where w_t denotes a standard Wiener process, and $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes L^2 norm.

This corollary may be used to show that, for a one-dimensional diffusion which satisfies

$$(3) \quad dx_t = f(x_t) dt + dw_t, \quad x_0 = 0$$

with $f \in \mathbb{C}_b^2$, one has that, for all ϕ such that $\dot{\phi} \in \mathbb{H}^l$,

$$(4) \quad \frac{P(\|x - \phi\|_2 < \varepsilon)}{P(\|w\|_2 < \varepsilon)} \rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\dot{\phi}_t - f(\phi_t))^2 dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 f'(\phi_t) dt \right).$$

An extension of the above holds also for the case of n -dimensional elliptic random fields, using the same methods as in [8] and [9]. In this case, however, one has to impose more regularity on ϕ due to the fact that the lower bound is not as tight as in the case of the Wiener process. For details, we refer to [6].

The limit in (4) is related to the Onsager–Machlup functional for diffusions (cf. [5]). The result should be compared to [9], where a similar result for the supremum norm was obtained only after tedious computations, with \mathbb{C}^α , some $\alpha > 0$, replacing \mathbb{H}^l . A tighter result which does not rely on the bounds of Theorem 1 may be found in [7]. A related application to the computation of Onsager–Machlup functionals and estimators for stochastic PDE's will appear in [6].

The results of this paper can be somewhat extended in the case that the x_i 's are not independent and not Gaussian, provided some moment bounds on their correlations and higher order moments hold. For details, see Remark 3 at the end of the next section. Also, by combining the results of this paper and the Berry–Esseen expansion, the bounds of Theorem 1 may be improved to yield the exact asymptotic expansion as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The details will appear in [2].

We end this introduction with a notation. Throughout, for two positive functions (or sequences) f, g , $f \sim g$ will stand for

$$0 < \liminf \frac{f}{g} \leq \limsup \frac{f}{g} < \infty.$$

2. Computation of asymptotic probabilities. Throughout, let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. Gaussian random variables defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , with zero mean and unit variance. Without loss of generality, we assume $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$. Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of positive numbers. We assume that

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_i^2} < \infty.$$

Denote $z \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^2 / a_i^2$. From (5), $E(z) < \infty$ and therefore, z is a well defined random variable. Let now s_{ε} be any deterministic constant, which may be ε dependent. By the standard Tchebycheff bound, one has

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} P\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon} < 1\right) &\leq E\left(\exp\left(-s_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon} - 1\right)\right)\right) = e^{s_{\varepsilon}} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} E\left(\exp\left(-\frac{s_{\varepsilon} x_i^2}{\varepsilon a_i^2}\right)\right) \\ &= e^{s_{\varepsilon}} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{2s_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon a_i^2}\right)^{-1/2} \triangleq UB(s_{\varepsilon}) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

which, written differently, yields

$$(7) \quad \log(UB) = s_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \log\left(1 + \frac{2s_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon a_i^2}\right).$$

Obviously, any choice of s_{ε} in (7) leads to an upper bound for $P(z < \varepsilon)$. To compute the complementary lower bound, we use a change of measure argument. Let s_{ε} be as before a deterministic constant, and define on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) a probability measure by

$$(8) \quad d\eta_s = \frac{e^{-s_{\varepsilon} z / \varepsilon} dP}{E_P(e^{-s_{\varepsilon} z / \varepsilon})}.$$

Note that under the measure η_s , $\{x_i\}$ is a sequence of independent Gaussian random variables with zero mean and variances $\sigma_i^2 = 1/(1 + 2s_{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon a_i^2)$. Let $\delta(\varepsilon) \in (0, 1/2)$, to be chosen later. Clearly, for any choice of $\delta(\varepsilon)$,

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} &P(z \leq \varepsilon) \\ &\geq \eta_s\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon} \in (1 - 2\delta(\varepsilon), 1)\right) \exp(s_{\varepsilon}(1 - 2\delta(\varepsilon))) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\infty}} e^{-s_{\varepsilon} z / \varepsilon} dP(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \eta_s\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon} \in (1 - 2\delta(\varepsilon), 1)\right) \exp(-2s_{\varepsilon}\delta(\varepsilon)) e^{s_{\varepsilon}} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{2s_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon a_i^2}\right)^{-1/2} \\ &\triangleq LB(s_{\varepsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Choose now s_ε such that

$$(10) \quad E_{\eta_s} \left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon} \right) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} (z/\varepsilon) \exp(-s_\varepsilon z/\varepsilon) dP(\mathbf{x})}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \exp(-s_\varepsilon z/\varepsilon) dP(\mathbf{x})} = 1 - \delta(\varepsilon),$$

or, more explicitly,

$$(11) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_i^2 + 2s_\varepsilon/\varepsilon} = (1 - \delta(\varepsilon))\varepsilon.$$

Such an s_ε exists for small enough ε since the left-hand side of (11) is continuous in s_ε and assumes the values $0, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1/a_i^2$ for $s_\varepsilon = \infty, 0$, respectively. Under this choice of s_ε , we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 1.

$$(12) \quad E_{\eta_s} \left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon} - (1 - \delta(\varepsilon)) \right)^2 = \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{E_{\eta_s}(x_i^2)}{a_i^2} \right)^2.$$

PROOF. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{\eta_s}(x_i^2/\varepsilon a_i^2) = (1 - \delta(\varepsilon))$, one obtains that

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{\eta_s} \left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon} - (1 - \delta(\varepsilon)) \right)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{E_{\eta_s}(x_i^2 - E_{\eta_s}(x_i^2))^2}{\varepsilon^2 a_i^4} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\frac{E_{\eta_s}(x_i^2)}{a_i^2} \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality used the fact that x_i is still Gaussian under η_s . \square

Since

$$E_{\eta_s}(x_i^2) = \frac{1}{1 + 2s_\varepsilon/\varepsilon a_i^2},$$

$E_{\eta_s}(x_i^2)/a_i^2$ is monotonically decreasing in i . Combining this fact with (12) and Chebycheff's bound, one obtains

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 - \eta_s \left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon} \in (1 - 2\delta(\varepsilon), 1) \right) &\leq \frac{1}{\delta^2(\varepsilon)} E_{\eta_s} \left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon} - (1 - \delta(\varepsilon)) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta^2(\varepsilon)} 2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{E_{\eta_s}(x_i^2)}{a_i^2} \left(\frac{1}{a_1^2 \varepsilon (1 + 2s_\varepsilon/\varepsilon a_1^2)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta^2(\varepsilon)} 2(1 - \delta(\varepsilon)) \left(\frac{1}{a_1^2 \varepsilon (1 + 2s_\varepsilon/\varepsilon a_1^2)} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta^2(\varepsilon) s_\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, with the choice of s_ε as in (11), the ratio of upper to lower bounds is bounded above for small enough ε by

$$(15) \quad \frac{UB(s_\varepsilon)}{LB(s_\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{\exp(2s_\varepsilon \delta(\varepsilon))}{(1 - 1/(\delta^2(\varepsilon)s_\varepsilon))}$$

and this will be used below in the computation of exponential moments.

We conclude this section with an explicit computation of the bounds for some special cases. Let $f(\cdot)$ be a nondecreasing function of a real variable such that $a_i^2 = f(i)$. Since the s_ε stipulated by (11) tends to ∞ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, it follows that

$$(16) \quad \frac{(1/\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1/(a_i^2 + 2s_\varepsilon/\varepsilon)}{I_1(f, \varepsilon)} \rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 1,$$

where

$$I_1(f, \varepsilon) \triangleq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{f(x) + 2s_\varepsilon/\varepsilon} dx$$

and, similarly,

$$(17) \quad \frac{(1/s_\varepsilon) \log(UB)}{I_2(f, \varepsilon)} \rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 1,$$

where

$$I_2(f, \varepsilon) \triangleq 1 - \frac{1}{2s_\varepsilon} \int_1^{\infty} \log\left(1 + \frac{2s_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon f(x)}\right) dx.$$

One may now use asymptotic formulae for the integrals in hand to compute the upper bound and then use (15) to estimate the corresponding lower bound. In particular, consider the case $a_i = i^{\beta/2}$, $\beta > 1$, and take $f(x) = x^\beta$. Integrating by parts in the definition of I_2 , one obtains that

$$(18) \quad \int_1^{\infty} \log\left(1 + \frac{2s_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon x^\beta}\right) dx = \frac{2\beta s_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^\beta + 2s_\varepsilon/\varepsilon} = 2s_\varepsilon \beta I_1.$$

On the other hand, by a direct computation,

$$\varepsilon I_1(f) = t_\varepsilon^{1/\beta-1} \int_{t_\varepsilon^{-1/\beta}}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{1 + y^\beta},$$

where $t_\varepsilon = (2s_\varepsilon/\varepsilon)$. Let $\nu_\beta = \int_0^{\infty} dy/(1 + y^\beta)$. By [3], $\nu_\beta = (\pi/\beta)/\sin(\pi/\beta)$, and one concludes that as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ (and therefore $s_\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$),

$$(19) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{1/(\beta-1)} s_\varepsilon = \nu_\beta^{\beta/(\beta-1)}/2$$

and

$$(20) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{1/(\beta-1)} \log(UB) = -\frac{\beta-1}{2} \nu_\beta^{\beta/(\beta-1)}.$$

On the other hand, choosing $\delta(\varepsilon)$ such that $\log(\delta(\varepsilon))/\log(\varepsilon) < 1/2(\beta - 1)$, one concludes that

$$(21) \quad \frac{UB}{LB} \leq C \exp(\varepsilon^{-(1/2(\beta-1))})$$

and therefore,

$$(22) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{1/(\beta-1)} \log(LB) = -\frac{\beta-1}{2} \nu_{\beta}^{\beta/(\beta-1)}$$

as well.

REMARK 1. That the same limit occurs in (20) and (22) is a large deviations statement. In this sense our bounds improve those of [4] (equations 4.5.1 and 4.5.2) whose ratio between the upper and lower bound is of the order of $\exp(\varepsilon^{-1/(\beta-1)})$, which is worse than the ratio in (21).

REMARK 2. There is one case in which precise computations can be made, namely the case $\beta = 2$. In that case, we may and will in the proof of Corollary 1 view the coefficients $a_i = i$ as the eigenvalues of the Wiener process' covariance function. From formula 4.3.5 in [1], one obtains after some straightforward manipulations:

$$(23) \quad P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_i^2}{a_i^2} < \varepsilon\right) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi^2}{8\varepsilon}\right).$$

The product in our upper bound (6) can also be evaluated explicitly to yield

$$(24) \quad UB(\varepsilon) = \frac{(1 + o(\varepsilon))\pi}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi^2}{8\varepsilon}\right).$$

This upper bound (up to a multiplying constant) was also obtained in [4] (formula 5.3.1) using a method specific to the case $\beta = 2$ (and different from their general treatment). Moreover, this technique produced a tight lower bound so that the ratio of their upper to lower bounds diverges like $1/\varepsilon$, which is better than the ratio in (21).

REMARK 3. We note that the bounding techniques that we use can be applied also in the case of non-Gaussian random variables. In this case, the upper bound is of the form

$$(25) \quad P\left(\frac{Z}{\varepsilon} < 1\right) \leq e^{s_{\varepsilon}} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} E\left(\exp\left(-\frac{s_{\varepsilon} x_i^2}{\varepsilon a_i^2}\right)\right) \triangleq UB_1,$$

whereas the lower bound is of the form

$$(26) \quad P\left(\frac{Z}{\varepsilon} < 1\right) \geq \eta\left(\frac{Z}{\varepsilon} \in (1 - 2\delta(\varepsilon), 1)\right) \exp(-2s_{\varepsilon}\delta(\varepsilon)) UB_1$$

and the tightness of the bounds depends on the ability to obtain a counterpart of Lemma 1 in the non-Gaussian case. In the case that, as in the Gaussian

case, one has that $E_\eta(x_i^4) \leq c_4 E_\eta(x_i^2)^2$, the same technique as in the Gaussian case coupled with an appropriate choice of $\delta(\varepsilon)$ yields similar bounds. The same remark applies also to the case where the random variables x_i are weakly dependent.

3. Expectation asymptotics and the Onsager–Machlup functional.

In this section we turn our attention to the computation of expectations of the form (1). As mentioned in the introduction, the main motivation for doing that lies in computations related to the Onsager–Machlup functional presented in Corollary 1 and its extensions to the random field situation. Therefore, throughout this section, we remain in the Gaussian case and keep the notations introduced in the previous sections. For the sake of simplicity, we assume throughout that $g_i(\cdot)$ is odd and has linear growth at most. We note that in some applications that we have in mind, this last condition is violated; however, the same technique may be made to work. For details, see [6].

By the antisymmetry of $g_i(\cdot)$ and Jensen's inequality, one has that

$$(27) \quad E \left(\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i(x_i) \right) \middle| z \leq \varepsilon \right) \geq \exp \left(E \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i(x_i) \middle| z \leq \varepsilon \right) = 1.$$

On the other hand, note that for any $\gamma(\varepsilon) > 1$, and s_ε as in (11),

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} & E \left(\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i(x_i) \right) \middle| z \leq \varepsilon \right) \\ & \leq E^{1/\gamma(\varepsilon)} \left(\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma(\varepsilon) g_i(x_i) \right) \middle| z \leq \varepsilon \right) \\ & \leq \frac{E^{1/\gamma(\varepsilon)} (\exp(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma(\varepsilon) g_i(x_i))) \exp(-s_\varepsilon(z/\varepsilon - 1))}{P^{1/\gamma(\varepsilon)}(z/\varepsilon \leq 1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the expectation in the numerator is finite due to the assumption of linear growth of $g_i(\cdot)$. Therefore, we obtain that

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} & E \left(\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i(x_i) \right) \middle| z \leq \varepsilon \right) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{UB(s_\varepsilon)}{LB(s_\varepsilon)} \right)^{1/\gamma(\varepsilon)} \\ & \quad \times \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(\gamma(\varepsilon) g_i(x_i) - s_\varepsilon x_i^2 / \varepsilon a_i^2 - x_i^2 / 2) dx_i}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-s_\varepsilon x_i^2 / \varepsilon a_i^2 - x_i^2 / 2) dx_i} \right)^{1/\gamma(\varepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

*Note that in (29), $UB(s_\varepsilon)$ must be the particular upper bound obtained by the Tchebycheff inequality as in (6), but any lower bound in hand could replace (9). Equation (29) is the basic upper bound on the exponential expectations (1). To obtain more explicit bounds, we assume in the sequel that $g_i(x) = b_i x$.

A substitution of $g_i(x_i) = b_i x_i$ in (29) yields the bound

$$(30) \quad E \left(\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i x_i \right) \middle| z \leq \varepsilon \right) \leq \left(\frac{UB(s_\varepsilon)}{LB(s_\varepsilon)} \right)^{1/\gamma(\varepsilon)} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma(\varepsilon) b_i^2}{2(1 + 2s_\varepsilon/\varepsilon a_i^2)} \right).$$

Thus, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let a_i be an increasing sequence such that $a_i \sim i^{\beta/2}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\beta > 1$. Assume that*

$$(31) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{1/2} b_i^2 < \infty.$$

Then

$$(32) \quad E \left(\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i x_i \right) \middle| z \leq \varepsilon \right) \rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 1.$$

Moreover, when $\beta = 2$, instead of (31) it is enough to assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \ln(i) b_i^2 < \infty$.

PROOF. By (30) and (21),

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} & E \left(\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i(x_i) \right) \middle| z \leq \varepsilon \right) \\ & \leq C^{1/\gamma(\varepsilon)} \exp \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-1/2(\beta-1)}}{\gamma(\varepsilon)} + \gamma(\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i^2}{2(1 + 2s_\varepsilon/(\varepsilon a_i^2))} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Choose now

$$\gamma(\varepsilon) = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon^{-1/2(\beta-1)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i^2 / 2(1 + 2s_\varepsilon/(\varepsilon a_i^2))}}.$$

Clearly, for ε small enough, $\gamma(\varepsilon) > 1$ (actually, $\gamma(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$). Substituting in (33), one obtains that

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} & E \left(\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i(x_i) \right) \middle| z \leq \varepsilon \right) \\ & \leq C^{1/\gamma(\varepsilon)} \exp \left(2 \sqrt{\varepsilon^{-1/2(\beta-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i^2}{2(1 + 2s_\varepsilon/(\varepsilon a_i^2))}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and, in view of (27) the claim will follow if we can prove that

$$\varepsilon^{-1/2(\beta-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i^2}{2(1 + 2s_\varepsilon/(\varepsilon a_i^2))} \rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Define $i_0(\varepsilon) = \inf\{i: 2s_\varepsilon/(\varepsilon a_i^2) < 1\}$. Note that for $a_i \sim i^{\beta/2}$, $s_\varepsilon \sim \varepsilon^{-1/(\beta-1)}$ and therefore, $i_0(\varepsilon) \sim \varepsilon^{-1/(\beta-1)}$, that is, $s_\varepsilon \sim i_0(\varepsilon)$ and $\varepsilon \sim i_0(\varepsilon)^{1-\beta}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \varepsilon^{-1/2(\beta-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i^2}{2(1 + 2s_\varepsilon/(\varepsilon a_i^2))} \\
 (35) \quad & = \varepsilon^{-1/2(\beta-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{i_0(\varepsilon)} \frac{b_i^2}{2(1 + 2s_\varepsilon/(\varepsilon i^\beta))} \\
 & \quad + \varepsilon^{-1/2(\beta-1)} \sum_{i_0(\varepsilon)+1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i^2}{2(1 + 2s_\varepsilon/(\varepsilon i^\beta))}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Choose an increasing sequence η_i such that $\eta_i i^{-1/2} \rightarrow \infty$, $\eta_i i^{-1}$ decreases and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i b_i^2 = M < \infty$. Consider the first term in the RHS of (35). One has

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \varepsilon^{-1/2(\beta-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{i_0(\varepsilon)} \frac{b_i^2}{2(1 + 2s_\varepsilon/(\varepsilon i^\beta))} \\
 (36) \quad & \leq \varepsilon^{-1/2(\beta-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{i_0(\varepsilon)} \frac{\varepsilon b_i^2 i^\beta}{4s_\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon^{(2\beta-3)/2(\beta-1)} \frac{i_0(\varepsilon)^\beta}{s_\varepsilon \eta_{i_0(\varepsilon)}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i b_i^2 \\
 & \leq M c i_0(\varepsilon)^{1/2} \eta_{i_0(\varepsilon)}^{-1} \rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from the fact that i^β/η_i is an increasing sequence. Returning now to the second term in the RHS of (35), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \varepsilon^{-1/2(\beta-1)} \sum_{i_0(\varepsilon)+1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i^2}{2(1 + 2s_\varepsilon/(\varepsilon i^\beta))} \\
 (37) \quad & \leq \varepsilon^{-1/2(\beta-1)} \sum_{i_0(\varepsilon)}^{\infty} b_i^2 \leq \varepsilon^{-1/2(\beta-1)} i_0(\varepsilon)^{-1/2} \sum_{i=i_0(\varepsilon)}^{\infty} i^{1/2} b_i^2 \\
 & \leq c \sum_{i=i_0(\varepsilon)}^{\infty} i^{1/2} b_i^2 \rightarrow_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which together with (36) and (35) yields (32) for general β . For the case of $\beta = 2$, using the tighter expressions $UB(s_\varepsilon) \sim \exp(-\pi^2/8\varepsilon)/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ from (24) and $LB(s_\varepsilon) \sim \exp(-\pi^2/8\varepsilon)$ from (23), we may modify (33) so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E \left(\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i(x_i) \right) \middle| z \leq \varepsilon \right) \\
 (38) \quad & \leq C^{1/\gamma(\varepsilon)} \exp \left(\frac{-\ln(\varepsilon)/2}{\gamma(\varepsilon)} + \gamma(\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i^2}{2(1 + 2s_\varepsilon/(\varepsilon a_i^2))} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $\gamma(\varepsilon) = \sqrt{-\ln(\varepsilon)/[2\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(b_i^2/2(1+2s_\varepsilon/(\varepsilon a_i^2)))]}$, it remains to prove that

$$-\ln(\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i^2}{2(1+2s_\varepsilon/(\varepsilon a_i^2))} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Using a sequence η_i such that $\eta_i/\ln(i) \rightarrow \infty$, η_i/i decreases and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i b_i^2 = M < \infty$, and mimicking the proof for the general β case, the limit in the RHS of the modified (36) and (37) becomes $c \ln(i_0(\varepsilon))/\eta_{i_0(\varepsilon)} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$ and $c \sum_{i_0(\varepsilon)}^{\infty} \ln(i) b_i^2 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$, respectively. \square

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1. Let $\{e_i(t)\}$ be the complete orthonormal system in $L^2(0, 1)$ which are the eigenfunctions of the Wiener process' covariance function, that is $e_i(t) = \sqrt{2} \sin(a_i t)$, $i \in N$, where $a_i = (i - (1/2))\pi$. Using the Karhunen–Loeve expansion, represent the Wiener process as $w_t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1/a_i) x_i e_i(t)$, where $x_i = a_i \int_0^1 w_t e_i(t) dt$ are independent standard Gaussian random variables. Note that $\|w\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^2/a_i^2$. Next, we claim that for any deterministic $\phi \in L^2(0, 1)$,

$$(39) \quad \int_0^1 \phi(t) dw(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_i}{a_i} \int_0^1 \phi(t) \dot{e}_i(t) dt.$$

Indeed,

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \phi(t) dw_t &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E \left(x_i \int_0^1 \phi(t) dw_t \right) x_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i a_i E \left(\int_0^1 w_t e_i(t) dt \int_0^1 \phi(t) dw_t \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i a_i E \left(\left(w(1) \int_0^1 e_i(t) dt - \int_0^1 \int_0^t e_i(s) ds dw_t \right) \int_0^1 \phi(t) dw_t \right) \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i a_i \int_0^1 \phi(t) \int_0^t e_i(s) ds dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i a_i \int_0^1 \frac{\dot{e}_i(t)}{a_i^2} \phi(t) dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_i}{a_i} \int_0^1 \dot{e}_i(t) \phi(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\int_0^t e_i(s) ds = -\dot{e}_i(t)/a_i^2$. Using now (39), Theorem 1 may be applied with $b_i = \int_0^1 \dot{e}_i(t) \phi(t) dt/a_i$, yielding Corollary 1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] ANDERSON, T. W. and DARLING, D. A. (1952). Asymptotic theory of certain goodness of fit criteria based on stochastic processes. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **23** 193–212.
- [2] DEMBO, A., MAYER-WOLF, E. and ZEITOUNI, O. (1992). Exact behaviour of Hilbertian seminorms. Unpublished manuscript.

- [3] GRADSHTEIN, I. S. and RHYZHIK, I. M. (1980). *Tables of Integrals, Series and Products*. Academic, New York.
- [4] HOFFMAN-JØRGENSEN, J., SHEPP, L. A. and DUDLEY, R. M. (1979). On the lower tail of Gaussian seminorms. *Ann. Probab.* **7** 319–342.
- [5] IKEDA, N. and WATANABE, S. (1981). *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes*. North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- [6] MAYER-WOLF, E. and ZEITOUNI, O. (1992). Onsager–Machlup functionals for non trace class SPDEs. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*. To appear.
- [7] SHEPP, L. A. and ZEITOUNI, O. (1992). A note on conditional exponential moments and Onsager–Machlup functionals. *Ann. Probab.* **20** 652–654.
- [8] TAKAHASHI, Y. and WATANABE, S. (1981). The probability functional (Onsager–Machlup function) of diffusion processes. *Stochastic Integrals. Lecture Notes in Math.* **851** 433–463. Springer, New York.
- [9] ZEITOUNI, O. (1989). On the Onsager–Machlup functional of diffusions around non C^2 curves. *Ann. Probab.* **17** 1037–1054.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TECHNION—ISRAEL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY
HAIFA 32000
ISRAEL

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING
TECHNION—ISRAEL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY
HAIFA 32000
ISRAEL