

## ON THE RANGE OF $\mathbf{R}^2$ OR $\mathbf{R}^3$ -VALUED HARMONIC MORPHISMS

BY F. DUHEILLE

*Université de Lyon 1*

We prove that, under some general assumptions, the range of any nonconstant harmonic morphism from a simply connected open set  $U$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  to  $\mathbf{R}^3$ ,  $n > 3$ , cannot avoid three concurrent half-lines, which is an extension to Picard's little theorem. To this end, we will prove two results concerning the windings of Brownian motion around three concurrent half-lines in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  and the recurrence of some domains linked with the harmonic morphism.

**1. Introduction.** Harmonic morphisms between Euclidean spaces (and more generally between Riemannian manifolds) have been introduced by Fuglede [7] in 1978, and some special cases have been studied by Baird and Wood (see, e.g., [1]) and Gudmundsson [8]. Bernard, Campbell and Davie [2] have considered the probabilistic point of view. Let us recall the definition of such objects.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** A continuous map  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p$ , defined on a domain  $U$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , is called a harmonic morphism if, for any open set  $V$  in  $\mathbf{R}^p$  such that  $f^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$  and for any harmonic function  $h$  on  $V$ , the composite function  $h \circ f: f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is harmonic.

The following proposition characterizes harmonic morphisms.

**PROPOSITION 1.2.** Consider an open set  $U \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  and a map in the class  $\mathcal{C}^2, f: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p$ . The function  $f$  is a harmonic morphism if and only if each coordinate  $f_i$  is harmonic and if their gradients are orthogonal and have the same norm:

$$\langle \nabla f_i, \nabla f_j \rangle = \lambda^2(x) \delta_{ij}.$$

This proposition leads us to a precise description of harmonic morphisms based on the dimensions of the considered Euclidean spaces. Indeed, Fuglede [7] and Baird and Wood [1] have shown the following.

**THEOREM 1.3.** (i) *The harmonic morphisms from  $\mathbf{R}^n$  to  $\mathbf{R}$  are the harmonic functions on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  [7].*

---

Received December 1995; revised April 1997.

AMS 1991 subject classifications. 58E20, 31C05, 60J65, 60J45.

Key words and phrases. Harmonic morphism, Picard's theorem, Brownian motion, probabilistic potential theory.

- (ii) If  $n < p$ , any harmonic morphism from a domain  $U \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  to  $\mathbf{R}^p$  is constant [7].
- (iii) If  $n > 2$ , any nonconstant harmonic morphism from a domain  $U \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  to  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is a constant times an affine orthogonal map on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  [7].
- (iv) The harmonic morphisms from  $U \subset \mathbf{R}^2 \simeq \mathbf{C}$  to  $\mathbf{C}$  are the holomorphic or antiholomorphic functions on  $U$ . More generally, complex-valued holomorphic functions of  $n$  complex variables are harmonic morphisms on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ .
- (v) Any nonconstant harmonic morphism from  $\mathbf{R}^3$  to  $\mathbf{R}^2$  is an orthogonal projection from  $\mathbf{R}^3$  on a two-dimensional subspace, composed by a holomorphic or antiholomorphic function on  $\mathbf{R}^2$  [1].

Harmonic morphisms admit a probabilistic interpretation. It is, in fact, a generalization of a classical result of Lévy [11] that claims that plane Brownian motion is invariant under any conformal transformation. More precisely, Bernard, Campbell and Davie [2] have proved the following.

**THEOREM 1.4.** *Let  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p$  be a continuous map defined on a domain  $U$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and let  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Brownian motion on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , issued from  $B_0 \equiv b_0 \in U$ . Note  $\tau = \inf\{t: B_t \notin U\}$  and  $\rho(t) = \int_0^t \|\nabla f(B_s)\|^2 ds$ , for all  $t < \tau$ .*

*The map  $f$  is a harmonic morphism if and only if the paths of the process  $(f(B_t))_{0 \leq t \leq \tau}$  are Brownian paths on  $\mathbf{R}^p$ , that is, there exists a Brownian motion  $(\bar{B}_s)_{s \geq 0}$  on  $\mathbf{R}^p$ , issued from  $\bar{B}_0 \equiv f(b_0)$ , such that  $f(B_t) = \bar{B}(\rho(t))$ .*

In such a context, it is natural to search for information on the range  $f(U)$  of a harmonic morphism  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p$ , where  $U$  is a domain in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . In this article, we prove that, under some general assumptions on  $f$  and  $U$ , the range of any nonconstant  $\mathbf{R}^3$ -valued harmonic morphism  $f$  can not avoid three concurrent half-lines, which is of course an extension to Picard's little theorem, according to which the range of any nonconstant entire function on  $\mathbf{C}$  avoids at most one point. Our result has already been announced in [4]. The proof we give of this fact is based on the invariance property of Theorem 1.4, and has been inspired by the probabilistic proof of Picard's little theorem due to [3] (see also [5]). However, transience of Brownian motion in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , implies new technical difficulties.

**2. Notations and main result.** Let us give a few notations: let  $h: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a harmonic function on a domain  $U$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . For any unbounded open set  $V \subset U$ , we note

$$M(r, V, h) = \sup\{|h(x)|; \|x\| \leq r \text{ and } x \in V\}$$

and

$$I(V, h) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln M(r, V, h)}{\ln r}.$$

Let us recall the definition of a recurrent set [13].

DEFINITION 2.1. A Borelian set  $C$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is recurrent for the Brownian motion  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  if and only if,  $\omega$ -almost surely, for all  $A > 0$ , there exists  $\tau(\omega) > A$  such that  $B_\tau(\omega) \in C$ . Otherwise, the set  $C$  is transient.

DEFINITION 2.2. Consider a Borelian set  $C$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and a Brownian motion  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  issued from  $B_0 \equiv b_0 \notin C$ . The set  $C$  is polar if and only if,  $\omega$ -almost surely, the path  $(B_t(\omega))_{t \geq 0}$  does not visit  $C$ .

Our main result is the following.

THEOREM 2.3. Consider a simply connected domain  $U$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus U$  is polar. Let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_p): U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p$ ,  $n > p$ ,  $p \in \{2, 3\}$ , be a harmonic morphism, and let  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Brownian motion in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  issued from  $B_0 \equiv b_0 \subset U$ . We suppose that:

( $\alpha$ ) Almost surely,  $\int_0^{+\infty} \|\nabla f_1(B_s)\|^2 ds = +\infty$ ;

( $\beta$ ) The set  $f^{-1}(H)$  admits a recurrent connected component for some open half-space  $H$  in  $\mathbf{R}^p$ .

Then:

- (i) If  $p = 2$ ,  $f(U)$  avoids at most one point in  $\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus H$ .
- (ii) If  $p = 3$ , for all  $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus H$ ,

$$\text{card}\{u \in \mathbf{S}^2, x_0 + \mathbf{R}^+ u \subset \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus (f(U) \cup H)\} \leq 2,$$

where  $\mathbf{S}^2$  is the unit sphere in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ .

REMARK. Assumptions ( $\alpha$ ) and ( $\beta$ ) are always checked if  $f$  is a polynomial harmonic morphism.

The hypothesis ( $\beta$ ) involves only one coordinate function of the harmonic morphism, which is in fact a harmonic function. We give here a condition, on harmonic functions, that implies hypothesis ( $\beta$ ).

THEOREM 2.4. Let  $h$  be a harmonic function on  $U$  where  $U$  is a domain of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  of polar complement. Then any connected component  $C$  of  $h^{-1}(\mathbf{R}_+^*)$  such that  $l(C, h)$  is finite and such that any point in  $\partial C$  is regular for  $C$ , is recurrent.

One could think that, for any harmonic function  $h$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , one at least of the connected components of  $h^{-1}(\mathbf{R}_+^*)$  or of  $h^{-1}(\mathbf{R}_-^*)$  is recurrent. The following example shows this is false.

EXAMPLE. Consider the function  $h: \mathbf{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  defined by

$$h(x, y, z, t) = \cos x \cos y \cos z e^{\sqrt{3}t}.$$

This function is clearly harmonic on  $\mathbf{R}^4$ , but no connected component of  $h^{-1}(\mathbf{R}_+^*)$  or of  $h^{-1}(\mathbf{R}_-^*)$  is recurrent.

**3. Proof of Theorem 2.3.** As our method can also be used to solve the case  $p = 2$ , we will study more carefully the case  $p = 3$ . This theorem is based on two essential facts. We will prove that Brownian paths in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  get more and more tangled in their windings around three concurrent half-lines. An argument based on homotopy allows us to conclude.

*Notation.* Later on in this article, we will note  $E = \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \cup_{i=1}^3 D_i$ , where  $D_1, D_2, D_3$  are three concurrent half-lines.

**DEFINITION 3.1.** Let us note  $T$  the border of the infinite trihedral defined by the three concurrent half-lines  $D_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ , and consider a curve  $\Gamma: [0, t] \rightarrow E$ .

(i) We will say that  $\Gamma_{[0, t]}$  is unwound in  $E$  (around the three half-lines) if there exists a curve  $\tilde{\Gamma}: [0, 1] \rightarrow E$  such that:

- (a)  $\tilde{\Gamma}(0) = \Gamma(t), \tilde{\Gamma}(1) = \Gamma(0)$ ;
- (b)  $\text{card}(\tilde{\Gamma}[0, 1] \cap T) \leq 2$ ;
- (c)  $\Gamma_{[0, t]} * \tilde{\Gamma}_{[0, 1]}$  is homotopic to a point in  $E$ .

Otherwise, we will say  $\Gamma$  is wound in  $E$ .

(ii) We will say that a curve  $\Gamma: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow E$  comes wound if, for all  $t$  big enough, the curve  $\Gamma_{[0, t]}$  is wound.

Then we can state the following result.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $(\bar{B}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be an  $\mathbf{R}^3$ -valued Brownian motion issued from a point  $\bar{B}_0 \equiv b_0 \in E$ . Then,  $\omega$ -almost surely, the path  $(\bar{B}_t(\omega))_{t \geq 0}$  comes wound around the three concurrent half-lines  $D_1, D_2, D_3$ .*

**REMARK.** As straight lines are polar sets for three-dimensional Brownian motion, the winding of Brownian paths around three half-lines is well defined.

Let us admit for a while Theorem 3.2 and deduce from it Theorem 2.3.

Assume that  $f(U)$  avoids three concurrent half-lines  $D_1, D_2, D_3$  included in the half-space  $H^-(a, b)$  and that a connected component  $C$  of the open set  $f^{-1}(H^-(a, b))$  is recurrent. We will build, using Brownian motion on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , a closed curve  $\Gamma$  in  $U$  whose image by  $f: U \rightarrow E = \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \cup_{i=1}^3 D_i$  is not homotopic to a point in  $E$  (thanks to Theorem 3.2), which is absurd. Indeed, as we have supposed  $U$  simply connected, the closed curve  $\Gamma$  is homotopic to a point and its image under the continuous map  $f$  should be homotopic to a point in  $f(U)$ .

Let  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Brownian motion on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . We will suppose  $B_0 \equiv b_0 \in C$  and note:

$$\Omega_0 = \{ \omega \in \Omega, (B_t)_{t \geq 0} \text{ does not visit } \mathbf{R}^n \setminus U \}.$$

As  $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus U$  is polar,  $P(\Omega_0) = 1$ .

By Theorem 3.2, the paths of  $(f(B_t))_{t \geq 0}$  come wound almost surely around the three half-lines, because the paths of this process are Brownian paths in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ , and the assumption  $(\alpha)$  implies we find whole Brownian paths. Let us note:

$$\Omega_1 = \{\omega \in \Omega, \text{ there exists } S_\omega \geq 0, f(B_{[0, t]}) \text{ is wound for all } t \geq S_\omega\}.$$

We have then  $P(\Omega_1) = 1$ .

Set

$$\Omega_2 = \{\omega \in \Omega, \forall A > 0, \exists \tau(\omega, A) > A, B_\tau \in C\}.$$

As we supposed  $C$  recurrent, we have  $P(\Omega_2) = 1$ , and  $P(\Omega_0 \cap \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2) = 1$ .

Fix then  $\omega \in \Omega_0 \cap \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$ . For such an  $\omega$ , there exists  $\sigma = \sigma(\omega) \in \mathbf{R}^+$  such that for all  $t \geq \sigma(\omega)$ , the path  $(f(B_s(\omega)))_{s \in [0, t]}$  is wound in  $E$ . We can then choose  $\tau(\omega) \geq \sigma(\omega)$  such that  $B_\tau(\omega)$  is in  $C$ .

The set  $C$  is connected and open: it is then arcwise-connected, and there exists a curve  $\gamma$  in  $C$  linking  $B_\tau(\omega)$  and  $B_0(\omega)$ . The curve  $f(\gamma)$  closes the path  $f(B_{[0, \tau]})$  according to the rules of Definition 3.1. By definition of  $\tau$ , the closed curve  $f(B_{[0, \tau]} * \gamma)$  can not be homotopic to a point in  $f(U) \subset E$ . The curve  $B_{[0, \tau]} * \gamma$  forms the announced curve.

**4. Proof of Theorem 3.2.** Let  $D_1, D_2, D_3$  be three concurrent half-lines in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ , issued from 0. We note  $D_i = \mathbf{R}^+ u_i$  with  $\|u_i\| = 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \pi: \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus D_1 &\rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2 \simeq D_1^\perp \\ x \rightarrow \pi(x) &= \frac{x - \langle x, u_1 \rangle u_1}{\|x\| - \langle x, u_1 \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4.1. *The map  $\pi$  is a harmonic morphism from  $\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus D_1$  to  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .*

Indeed,  $\pi$  is the composite map of  $\phi: x \rightarrow x/\|x\|$  and of the stereographic projection of pole  $u_1$  onto the plane orthogonal to  $D_1$  through 0. The image by  $\phi$  of an  $\mathbf{R}^3$ -valued Brownian motion is a time change of a spherical Brownian motion and the image by the stereographic projection of a spherical Brownian motion is a time change of a plane Brownian motion: the paths of the image by  $\pi$  of an  $\mathbf{R}^3$ -valued Brownian motion are plane Brownian paths. Theorem 1.4 allows us to claim that  $\pi$  is a harmonic morphism.

LEMMA 4.2. *We have  $\pi(\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \cup_{i=1}^3 D_i) = \mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{\pi(u_2), \pi(u_3)\}$ .*

One can easily check that the inverse image by  $\pi$  of any point in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  is a half-line issued from 0, and distinct from  $D_1$ , which proves this lemma.

We can now prove Theorem 3.2. To that effect, consider a Brownian motion  $(\bar{B}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  issued from  $\bar{B}_0 \equiv \bar{b}_0 \notin \cup_{i=1}^3 D_i$ . The process  $(\pi(\bar{B}_t))_{t \geq 0}$  is a time change of a Brownian motion on the plane minus  $\{\pi(u_2), \pi(u_3)\}$ , and the change of time converges almost surely to  $+\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . We will now use a

result of McKean [12] (see also [3] and [5]) according to which plane Brownian motion comes wound around two different points.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a plane Brownian motion issued from  $B_0 \equiv b_0$  and  $x_1, x_2$ , two distinct points in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , different from  $b_0$ . Then,  $\omega$ -almost surely, there exists  $T(\omega) > 0$  such that for all  $t > T(\omega)$ , the path  $(B_s(\omega))_{0 \leq s \leq t}$  is wound in  $\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}$ .*

REMARK. One can easily define the winding of any unbounded curve in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  minus two points as one did in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  minus three half-lines.

Let  $T$  be a time such that  $(\pi(\bar{B}_s))_{0 \leq s \leq t}$  is wound in  $\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{\pi(u_2), \pi(u_3)\}$  for all  $t > T$ , and choose any curve  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \cup_{i=1}^3 D_i$  that closes the path  $(\bar{B}_s)_{0 \leq s \leq t}$  according to the rules of Definition 3.1. As the curve  $\pi(\Gamma)$  closes  $\pi(\bar{B}_{[0, t]})$ , the plane closed curve  $\pi(\bar{B}_{[0, t]} * \Gamma)$  can not be homotopic to a point in  $\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{\pi(u_2), \pi(u_3)\}$ . Hence, the closed curve  $\bar{B}_{[0, t]} * \Gamma$  is not homotopic to a point in  $\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \cup_{i=1}^3 D_i$ , which proves that  $\mathbf{R}^3$ -valued Brownian motion comes wound around three concurrent half-lines in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ .

**5. Proof of Theorem 2.4.** Let us first prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let  $h: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a harmonic function on an open connected set of polar complement and consider a connected component  $C$  of  $h^{-1}(\mathbf{R}_+^*)$ .*

*If  $\partial C$  is regular for  $C$  and if  $I(C, h)$  is finite, the harmonic function  $h$  admits a continuous extension on the set  $\bar{C}$ .*

PROOF OF LEMMA 5.1. At first, choose a point  $x_0$  in  $\overset{\circ}{C} \setminus C$ . As  $I(C, h)$  is finite and  $\overset{\circ}{C} \setminus C$  is polar, the harmonic function  $h$  is bounded in a vicinity of  $x_0$  in  $U$ . Hence, it admits a limit at that point (see, e.g., [10], page 271). We can then suppose  $C = \overset{\circ}{C}$ .

Consider now, for any  $r > 0$ , the bounded harmonic function  $\tilde{h}_r$  on  $C \cap B_r$  (where  $B_r$  is the ball of center 0 and radius  $r$ ) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_r &= h \quad \text{on } S_r \cap C, \\ \tilde{h}_r &\equiv 0 \quad \text{on } \partial C \cap B_r. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\partial C$  is regular for  $C$ ,  $\tilde{h}_r$  does exist and is unique because  $C_r$  is bounded.

We have then  $\tilde{h}_r = h$  on  $\partial C$ , except maybe on a polar set of  $\bar{C}$ . By unicity of the solution of the Dirichlet problem on  $C \cap B_r$ ,  $h = \tilde{h}_r$  on  $\overline{C \cap B_r}$ ; the harmonic function  $h$  is continuous on  $\bar{C}$  and we have  $h(x) = 0$  for any  $x \in \partial C$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.4. There is no unicity for the Dirichlet problem for the Laplacian on the domain  $C$ : the harmonic function  $h$  is nonconstant on  $C$  and null on its border. Furthermore, we suppose that  $h$  does not grow too fast; the set  $C$  has to be big enough, and we will show that it is recurrent.

Let us suppose that  $C$  is transient and show that, necessarily,  $I(C, h)$  is infinite.

We will use the following lemma, proved by Huber [9] that minorizes the growth of a harmonic function  $h$  on a connected component of  $h^{-1}(\mathbf{R}_+^*)$ :

LEMMA 5.2. *Let  $U \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , be an open connected set,  $\Gamma = \partial U$  its border and  $h: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , a subharmonic function such that*

$$\forall x \in \Gamma, \limsup_{y \rightarrow x, y \in U} h(y) \leq 0.$$

Then, one of the two following properties is checked:

- (i) We have  $h \leq 0$  on  $U$ .
- (ii) There exist two constants  $K > 0$  and  $r_0 > 0$  such that, for all  $r \geq r_0$ ,

$$(1) \quad \left( \sup_{x \in U, \|x\|=r} h(x) \right)^2 \geq Kr^{2-n} \int_{r_0}^r \rho^{n-3} \exp\left(2 \int_{r_0}^\rho \alpha(s) ds/s\right) d\rho,$$

where  $\alpha(s)$  is the positive root of the second-degree equation  $\alpha(\alpha + n - 2) = \lambda_1(s)$ ,  $\lambda_1(s)$  being the first eigenvalue of the spherical Laplacian on the unit sphere  $\mathbf{S}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , for the Dirichlet problem on  $\mathbf{S} \cap s^{-1}U$ .

Let us apply Lemma 5.2 to the harmonic function  $h$  and the connected open set  $C$ . We have clearly

$$h(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \partial C$$

and

$$h(x) > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in C.$$

Here, inequality (1) becomes

$$M^2(r, C, h) \geq Kr^{2-n} \int_{r_0}^r \rho^{n-3} \exp\left(2 \int_{r_0}^\rho \alpha(s) ds/s\right) d\rho$$

for all  $r \geq r_0$ ,  $K$  and  $r_0$  being two positive constants.

For all  $r \geq 2r_0$ , we deduce

$$M^2(r, C, h) \geq Kr^{2-n} \left[ \int_{r_0}^{r/2} \rho^{n-3} \exp\left(2 \int_{r_0}^\rho \alpha(s) ds/s\right) d\rho + \int_{r/2}^r \rho^{n-3} \exp\left(2 \int_{r_0}^{r/2} \alpha(s) ds/s\right) d\rho \right].$$

This inequality implies

$$(2) \quad M^2(r, C, h) \geq \frac{K}{n-2} (1 - 2^{2-n}) \exp\left(2 \int_{r_0}^{r/2} \alpha(s) \frac{ds}{s}\right).$$

Set  $K_1 = \frac{1}{2} \ln[K(1 - 2^{2-n})/(n - 2)]$ .

From the overestimate (2), we infer

$$\frac{\ln M(r, C, h)}{\ln r} \geq \frac{K_1}{\ln r} + \frac{1}{\ln r} \int_{\ln r_0}^{\ln(r/2)} \alpha(e^u) du,$$

As  $C$  is transient, the area of  $\mathbf{S} \cap s^{-1}C$  converges to 0 as  $s$  converges to  $+\infty$ , so that the first eigenvalue of this set converges to  $+\infty$ . The quantity  $\alpha(s)$  converges to  $+\infty$  and finally,  $I(C, h)$  has to be infinite.

**Acknowledgment.** We thank the referee for having suggested to us this short proof of Theorem 2.4. A more precise result on the growth of  $h$  can be obtained using Wiener's test (see, e.g., [13]) and an isoperimetric inequality on the unit sphere  $\mathbf{S}$  proved by Friedland and Hayman [6].

## REFERENCES

- [1] BAIRD, P. and WOOD, J. C. (1988). Bernstein theorems for harmonic morphisms from  $\mathbf{R}^3$  and  $\mathbf{S}^3$ . *Math. Ann.* **280** 579–603.
- [2] BERNARD, A., CAMPBELL, E. A. and DAVIE, A. M. (1979). Brownian motion and generalized analytic and inner functions. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **29** 207–228.
- [3] DAVIS, B. (1975). Picard's theorem and Brownian motion. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **213** 353–362.
- [4] DUHEILLE, F. (1995). Sur l'image des morphismes harmoniques à valeurs dans  $\mathbf{R}^2$  ou  $\mathbf{R}^3$ . *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **320** 1495–1500.
- [5] DURRETT, R. (1984). *Brownian Motion and Martingales in Analysis*. Wadsworth, Monterey, CA.
- [6] FRIEDLAND, S. and HAYMAN, W. K. (1976). Eigenvalue inequalities for the Dirichlet problem on spheres and the growth of subharmonic functions. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **51** 133–161.
- [7] FUGLEDE, B. (1978). Harmonic morphisms between Riemannian manifolds. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **28** 107–144.
- [8] GUGMUNDSSON, S. (1994). Harmonic morphisms from complex projective spaces. *Geom. Dedicata* **53** 155–161.
- [9] HUBER, A. (1952). Über Wachstumseigenschaften gewisser Klassen von Subharmonischen Funktionen. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **26** 81–116.
- [10] KELLOGG, O. D. (1953). *Foundations of Potential Theory*. Dover, New York.
- [11] LÉVY, P. (1948). *Processus Stochastiques et Mouvement Brownien*. Gauthier-Villars, Paris.
- [12] MCKEAN, H. P. (1969). *Stochastic Integrals*. Academic Press, New York.
- [13] PORT, S. C. and STONE, C. J. (1978). *Brownian Motion and Classical Potential Theory*. Academic Press, New York.

LABORATOIRE DE PROBABILITÉS  
 UNIVERSITÉ CLAUDE BERNARD, LYON 1  
 43, BOULEVARD DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918  
 69622 VILLEURBANNE CEDEX  
 FRANCE  
 E-MAIL: duheille@jonas.univ-lyon1.fr