

NOTES

ON BOREL FIELDS OVER FINITE SETS

BY G. SZEKERES AND F. E. BINET

University of Adelaide and University of Melbourne

1. Summary. It is shown that the number of Borel Fields over a set (S) of n elements is equal to the number of equivalence relations within S . This number is asymptotically equal to

$$(\beta + 1)^{-1/2} \exp \{n(\beta - 1 + \beta^{-1}) - 1\} \quad \text{where} \quad \beta \exp \beta = n.$$

2. Enumeration of Borel Fields over a finite set. Borel Fields are usually (e.g. Wald [8]) defined over a set of non-enumerably infinite elements: with quite trivial changes, the definition is applicable to finite sets, as follows:

Let A, B, C, \dots denote distinct subsets of a set S of n elements. $\mathfrak{B} = \{A, B, C, \dots\}$ is called a Borel Field (BF) if and only if

- (i) \mathfrak{B} is not empty;
- (ii) $A \in \mathfrak{B}, B \in \mathfrak{B}$ imply
 $A \cap B \in \mathfrak{B}, A \cup B \in \mathfrak{B}, S - A \in \mathfrak{B}.$

It follows from the definition that a BF contains at least the empty set (\emptyset) and S , and is closed with respect to the formation of unions, intersections, and complements.

To enumerate the BF's, consider the subset \mathfrak{P} consisting of all $P_m \in \mathfrak{B} (m = 1, 2, \dots, r; \text{ for some } r = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ such that

- (1) $P \neq \emptyset,$
- (2) $A \neq \emptyset, A \neq P, A \in \mathfrak{B} \text{ implies } A \not\subset P;$

in other words, no P contains an element of \mathfrak{B} as a proper subset. It follows that

$$(3) \quad P_m \cap P_{m'} = \emptyset \quad (\text{for } m \neq m')$$

and

$$(4) \quad \bigcup_m P_m = S.$$

If (3) were not true, the intersection, itself being an element of the BF and also a proper subset of a P , would involve a contradiction with (2); if (4) were not so, the complement of this union, being an element (other than \emptyset) of the BF and therefore not containing a subset of any other P , would itself be a P , namely P_{r+1} , contradicting the definition of $P = \{P_m\}$.

It is obvious that a BF defines a unique \mathfrak{P} ; conversely a \mathfrak{P} defines a unique BF as follows:

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{\emptyset; P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{r-1}; (\frac{n}{2}) \text{ elements like } P_1 \cup P_2;$$

Received June 14, 1956.



($\overset{r}{\mathfrak{B}}$) elements like $P_1 \cup P_2 \cup P_3 ; \dots ; S\}$.

Thus every BF consists of 2^r elements, the number of BF's with 2^r elements being the same as that of \mathfrak{B} 's with r elements. This latter, however, is known to be $\Delta^r 0^n / r!$, where $\Delta^r 0^n$ is the leading r th difference of n th powers of the non-negative integers.

It is obvious from the foregoing that the total number of BF's over S is the same as the total number of \mathfrak{B} 's, namely

$$(5) \quad \sum_{r=1}^n \Delta^r 0^n / r! = G_n,$$

say; it is also equal to the number of equivalence relations within S . It is well known that

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n G_n / n! = \exp(e^z - 1);$$

in conventional symbolic notation $G_{n+1} = (1 + G)^n$. Bell [2] gives this recurrence relation as well as several realizations of G_n . We give two further simple realizations:

First, (6) shows that G_n is the n th power-moment, around zero, of the Poisson distribution with unit parameter,

$$Pr(X = x) = (ex!)^{-1}, \quad x = 0, 1, \dots$$

Second, (see, for example, Fisher [4]),

$$(7) \quad \Delta^r 0^n / r! = \Sigma \left\{ n! \prod_{\nu=1}^R (\nu!)^{k_\nu} k_\nu! \right\},$$

where summation takes place over all R, ν, k , such that

$$(8) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^R \nu k_\nu = n,$$

$$(9) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^R k_\nu = \overset{r}{r}.$$

The typical term is the number of ways n elements can be distributed corresponding to the partition of n , symbolically represented by

$$1^{k_1} 2^{k_2} \dots \nu^{k_\nu} \dots R^{k_R},$$

with ν, k , satisfying (8) and (9). Dropping the restriction due to (9), but keeping that due to (8), the sum becomes G_n .

3. Evaluation of G_n . For $n = 1$ to 20, Epstein [3] tabulates G_n , using (5).¹ He also gives an asymptotic evaluation of G_n , expressed in terms of the function $\Psi(x) = d/dx \log \Gamma(x)$ and the numbers α_n defined through the relation

$$\alpha_n \Psi(\alpha_n + 1) = n.$$

¹ For $n = 21$ to 51 an unpublished table has been prepared by Francis L. Miksa, 613 Spring Street, Aurora, Ill., U.S.A.

We shall give here a more direct asymptotic expression for G_n in terms of elementary functions; it is obtained by evaluating

$$(10) \quad I_n = \oint_C z^{-(n+1)} \exp(e^z) dz,$$

where C is a simple contour enclosing the origin of the z -plane. Clearly by (6) and by Cauchy's theorem,

$$(11) \quad G_n = \frac{n!}{2\pi i e} I_n.$$

To obtain an asymptotic expression for I_n , we specify C in (10) by $|z| = \beta$, with $\beta = \beta(n)$ defined by

$$(12) \quad \beta e^\beta = n;$$

then C intersects the positive real axis very nearly at a point where the derivative of the integrand vanishes, and the integral can be evaluated by the method of steepest descent. By a modification of Watson's Lemma (see Jeffreys [6]) it can be shown (details are given in the Appendix) that

$$(13) \quad G_n = n! \exp(n\beta^{-1} - 1) \beta^{-1} \{2\pi n(\beta + 1)\}^{-1/2} \\ \times \{1 - (2\beta^4 + 9\beta^3 + 16\beta^2 + 6\beta + 2)(24n)^{-1}(\beta + 1)^{-3} + O(\beta^2 n^{-2})\};$$

or using Stirling's formula this simplifies to

$$(14) \quad G_n = (\beta + 1)^{-1/2} \exp\{n(\beta - 1 + \beta^{-1}) - 1\} \\ \times \{1 - \beta^2(2\beta^2 + 7\beta + 10)(24n)^{-1}(\beta + 1)^{-3} + O(\beta^2 n^{-2})\}$$

$$(15) \quad = (\beta + 1)^{-1/2} \exp\{n(\beta - 1 + \beta^{-1}) - 1\} \{1 + O(\beta n^{-1})\}.$$

These are the required asymptotic formulae. It should be mentioned that (15) can also be obtained from Epstein's result, with the help of Stirling's formula; but (14) would require the knowledge of Epstein's second asymptotic term which has not been determined explicitly in his paper.

The following table gives comparative values of $\log G_{51}$ as computed from the various asymptotic formulae:

$\log G_{51}$ (true value)	111.707033
from (14)	111.707084
from (15)	111.712500
from Epstein	111.706867

The true value was obtained from Miksa's value for G_{51} (l.c. footnote 1).

By a similar method as above it can be shown that for $r < n/\log n$

$$(16) \quad \Delta^r 0^n = r^n \exp\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{r} - r\right) e^{-n/r}\right\} \times \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right\}.$$

This sharpens Jordan's result [7]

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r^{-n} \Delta^n = 1,$$

and establishes a connection between (5) and the known formula (see, for example Bell, [2])

$$G_n = e^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^n / r!$$

Other asymptotic formulae for Δ^n have been obtained previously by Hsu [5] and by Arfwedson [1], the former being valid when $n - r = O(n^{1/2})$, the latter when $r = Kn$, for any constant $K < 1$.

4. Appendix. From (10) we get (with $z = \beta e^{i\varphi}$)

$$\begin{aligned} I_n &= i \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \beta^{-n} \exp\{-ni\varphi + \exp(\beta e^{i\varphi})\} d\varphi \\ (A1) \quad &= i\beta^{-n} \exp(e^\beta) \left\{ \int_{-\delta}^{+\delta} + \int_{\delta}^{\pi} + \int_{-\pi}^{-\delta} \exp(-ni\varphi + \exp(\beta e^{i\varphi}) - e^\beta) \right\} d\varphi, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \delta \leq \pi$. We can choose

$$(A2) \quad \delta = n^{-2/5}.$$

Then we have, for $\delta \leq \varphi \leq \pi$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\exp\{-ni\varphi + \exp(\beta e^{i\varphi}) - e^\beta\}| &\leq \exp(e^\beta \cos \delta - e^\beta) \\ &< \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\beta e^\beta (1 - \cos \delta)\} \\ &< \exp\{-cn^{1/5}\}, \end{aligned}$$

for a suitably chosen constant $c > 0$. Hence

$$(A3) \quad \left| \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \right| < \pi \exp\{-cn^{1/5}\}$$

and similarly

$$(A4) \quad \left| \int_{-\pi}^{-\delta} \right| < \pi \exp\{-cn^{1/5}\}$$

in (A1).

For $-\delta \leq \varphi \leq \delta$ the integrand in (A1) can be rewritten

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp\{-ni\varphi + \exp(\beta e^{i\varphi}) - e^\beta\} \\ &= \exp\{-ni\varphi + \exp(\beta + i\beta\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\beta\varphi^2 - \frac{i}{8}\beta\varphi^3 + O(\beta\varphi^4)) - e^\beta\} \\ &= \exp\{-ni\varphi + e^\beta(1 + i\beta\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\beta\varphi^2 - \frac{i}{8}\beta\varphi^3 - \frac{1}{2}i\beta^2\varphi^3 \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{8}\beta^3\varphi^3 + O(\beta^4\varphi^4)) - e^\beta\} \end{aligned}$$

$$(A5) \quad = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}n\varphi^2(1 + \beta) \right\} \times \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{4}in(1 + 3\beta + \beta^2)\varphi^3 \right. \\ \left. + 0(n^2\beta^4\varphi^6 + n\beta^3\varphi^4) \right\}$$

by (12), where the 0-notation refers to $n \rightarrow \infty$. Use has been made of $n\beta^3\varphi^3$ being small when $|\varphi| \leq \delta$ and n is large; this follows from (12) and (A2).

The second term in (A5) is an odd function of φ , therefore its integral from $-\delta$ to $+\delta$ vanishes and we get

$$(A6) \quad \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} = \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}n\varphi^2(1 + \beta) \right\} d\varphi \\ + 0 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (n^2\beta^4\varphi^6 + n\beta^3\varphi^4) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}n\varphi^2(1 + \beta) \right\} d\varphi \right) \\ = \left(\frac{1}{2}n(1 + \beta) \right)^{-1/2} \int_{-k}^k n^{-v^2} dv + 0(\beta^{1/2}n^{-3/2}),$$

where $k = \delta(\frac{1}{2}n(1 + \beta))^{1/2}$. Now

$$\int_k^{\infty} e^{-v^2} dv < \int_k^{\infty} ve^{-v^2} dv = \frac{1}{2}e^{-k^2} = \frac{1}{2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}n(1 + \beta)\delta^2 \right\} < \frac{1}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}n^{1/5} \right),$$

and a similar inequality holds for $\int_{-\infty}^{-k} e^{-v^2} dv$. Therefore replacement of the limits $\pm k$ by $\pm \infty$ in (A6) causes an error not exceeding $\exp(-\frac{1}{2}n^{1/5})$, and we get

$$(A7) \quad \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} = (2\pi/n(1 + \beta))^{1/2} + 0(\alpha^{1/2}n^{-3/2}) \\ = (2\pi / n(1 + \beta))^{1/2} \{ 1 + 0(\beta/n) \}.$$

Summarizing (10), (11), (A1), (A3), (A4), and (A7), the leading term of (13) is obtained. The term with $0(\beta/n)$ (and if necessary, any further terms in the asymptotic expansion) can be obtained by carrying further the expansion under (A5).

5. Acknowledgment. We thank J. Riordan for bringing to our attention the isomorphism of distributions and equivalence relations, the availability of the unpublished table mentioned in footnote 1, and for the value of G_{61} .

REFERENCES

- [1] ARFWEDSON, G., *Skand. Aktuarietids.*, Vol. 36 (1951), pp. 121-132.
- [2] BELL, E. T., *Ann. Math.*, Vol. 39 (1938), pp. 539-557.
- [3] EPSTEIN, L. F., *J. Math. Physics*, Vol. 18 (1939), pp. 153-173.
- [4] FISHER, R. A., *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A*, Vol. 222 (1922), pp. 309-369.
- [5] HSU, L. C., *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 19 (1948), pp. 273-277.
- [6] JEFFREYS, H., AND B. S. JEFFREYS, *Methods of Mathematical Physics*, University Press, Cambridge, 1946, p. 472.
- [7] JORDAN, C., *Tôhoku Math J.*, Vol. 37 (1933), pp. 254-278; Vol. 38 (1953), p. 481.
- [8] WALD, A., *Statistical Decision Functions*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1950.