

**ANOTHER COUNTABLE MARKOV PROCESS WITH ONLY  
INSTANTANEOUS STATES**

BY DAVID BLACKWELL<sup>1</sup>

*University of California, Berkeley*

Let  $P$  be the transition function for a Markov process with a countable state space  $A$  and stationary transition probabilities; i.e.,  $P$  is a nonnegative function defined for all triples  $(a, b, t)$  with  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in A$ , and  $t$  a nonnegative real number, satisfying

$$(1) \quad P(a, b, 0) = 1 \text{ if } a = b, \quad 0 \text{ if } a \neq b,$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_b P(a, b, t) = 1 \quad \text{for all } a, t,$$

and

$$(3) \quad P(a, b, s + t) = \sum_{c \in A} P(a, c, s)P(c, b, t) \quad \text{for all } s \geq 0, \quad t \geq 0, a, b.$$

We shall suppose, as usual, that  $P$  is continuous at  $t = 0$ ; i.e.,

$$(4) \quad P(a, a, t) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0 \text{ for all } a.$$

It is well known that, for any  $P$  satisfying (1), (2), (3), and (4),  $P'(a, a, 0)$  exists for all  $a$  (it may be negatively infinite). Following P. Lévy [2], a state is called "instantaneous" if  $P'(a, a, 0) = -\infty$ . Examples of processes with all states instantaneous have been given by Feller and McKean [2] and by Dobrushin [1]. The purpose of this note is to describe a third example, somewhat simpler than those previously given.

We first describe the process informally, after which we define  $P$  and verify (1), (2), (3), and (4) and  $P'(a, a, 0) = -\infty$  for all  $a$  directly. Let  $X_1(t), X_2(t), \dots$  be a sequence of Markov processes, independent of each other, each with two states 0 and 1. We suppose  $X_n(0) = 0$  for all  $n$ . Let  $X_n(t)$  be characterized by the parameters  $\lambda_n, \mu_n$ :

$$\Pr \{X_n(t + h) = 1 \mid X_n(t) = 0\} = \lambda_n h + o(h),$$

$$\Pr \{X_n(t + h) = 0 \mid X_n(t) = 1\} = \mu_n h + o(h).$$

Our process  $X(t)$  will be the joint process  $X_1(t), X_2(t), \dots$  which is clearly a Markov process. To insure that  $X(t)$  has only a countable set of states, we

---

Received July 11, 1957.

<sup>1</sup> This paper was supported in part by funds provided under Contract AF-41(657)-29 with the Air Research and Development Command, USAF School of Aviation Medicine, Randolph Field, Texas.

determine  $\lambda_n, \mu_n$  so that, at each time  $t$ , with probability 1,  $X_n(t) = 0$  for all but a finite number of  $n$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr (X_n(t) = 0 \mid X_n(0) = 0) &= \frac{\mu_n}{\mu_n + \lambda_n} + \frac{\lambda_n}{\mu_n + \lambda_n} e^{-(\lambda_n + \mu_n)t} \\ &\geq \frac{\mu_n}{\mu_n + \lambda_n}, \end{aligned}$$

this will occur if

$$(5) \quad \prod_n \frac{\mu_n}{\mu_n + \lambda_n} > 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_n \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_n + \mu_n} < \infty.$$

A state is instantaneous if and only if the probability of remaining in it throughout an interval is zero. Since the probability that  $X_n(t) = 0$  throughout  $T, T + h$  given that  $X_n(T) = 0$  is  $e^{-\lambda_n h}$ , the chance that the state  $X(T)$  with  $X_n(T) = 0$  for  $n \geq N$  will persist throughout  $T, T + h$  is at most

$$\prod_N^\infty e^{-\lambda_n h} = e^{-h(\lambda_N + \lambda_{N+1} + \dots)},$$

and will be zero if

$$(6) \quad \sum_n \lambda_n = \infty.$$

Thus any choice of  $\{\lambda_n\}, \{\mu_n\}$  satisfying (5) and (6) yields an example of a process with only instantaneous states.

Formally, the set  $A$  of states is the set of all infinite sequences

$$a = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots)$$

of 0's and 1's with only finitely many 1's. Let  $\{\lambda_n\}, \{\mu_n\}$  be sequences of positive numbers satisfying (5) and (6), let

$$R_n(0, 0, t) = \frac{\mu_n}{\mu_n + \lambda_n} + \frac{\lambda_n}{\mu_n + \lambda_n} e^{-(\lambda_n + \mu_n)t},$$

$$R_n(1, 1, t) = \frac{\lambda_n}{\mu_n + \lambda_n} + \frac{\mu_n}{\mu_n + \lambda_n} e^{-(\lambda_n + \mu_n)t},$$

$$R_n(0, 1, t) = 1 - R_n(0, 0, t),$$

$$R_n(1, 0, t) = 1 - R_n(1, 1, t),$$

and define, for any two states  $a = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots)$  and  $b = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots)$  and any  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$(7) \quad P(a, b, t) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n(\epsilon_n, \delta_n, t).$$

Denote by  $A_N$  the set of all states  $a = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots)$  with  $\epsilon_n = 0$  for all  $n > N$ . For  $a \in A_N$  and any  $M \geq N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{b \in A_M} P(a, b, t) &= h_M(t) \sum_{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_M} \prod_1^M R_n(\epsilon_n, \delta_n, t) \\ &= h_M(t) \prod_1^M (R_n(\epsilon_n, 0, t) + R_n(\epsilon_n, 1, t)) = h_M(t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(8) \quad h_M(t) = \prod_{M+1}^{\infty} R_n(0, 0, t) \geq \prod_{M+1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{\mu_n + \lambda_n} = V_M.$$

From (8),  $h_M(t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ , so that (2) is verified. For (3), say  $a \in A_N$ ,  $b \in A_N$ . For  $M \geq N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c \in A_M} P(a, c, s)P(c, b, t) &= h_M(s)h_M(t) \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M} \prod_{n=1}^M R_n(\epsilon_n, \alpha_n, s)R_n(\alpha_n, \delta_n, t) \\ &= h_M(s)h_M(t) \prod_{n=1}^M \left( \sum_{\alpha=0}^1 R_n(\epsilon_n, \alpha, s)R_n(\alpha, \delta_n, t) \right) \\ &= h_M(s)h_M(t) \prod_{n=1}^M R_n(\epsilon_n, \delta_n, s+t) \rightarrow P(a, b, s+t) \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For (4), if  $a \in A_N$  and  $M \geq N$ ,

$$P(a, a, t) \geq \left( \prod_1^M R_n(\epsilon_n, \epsilon_n, t) \right) V_M,$$

so that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} p(a, a, t) \geq V_M.$$

Since this holds for all  $M$  and  $V_M \rightarrow 1$  as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ , (4) is verified. Finally, since, for  $a \in A_N$  and  $M \geq N$  we have, for all  $k \geq 1$

$$P(a, a, t) \leq h_{M,k}(t) = \prod_{M+1}^{M+k} R_n(0, 0, t),$$

and since  $P(a, a, 0) = h_{M,k}(0) = 1$ ,

$$P'(a, a, 0) \leq h'_{M,k}(0) = - \sum_{M+1}^{M+k} \lambda_n,$$

so that (6) implies  $P'(a, a, 0) = -\infty$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. L. DOBRUDSIN, "An example of a countable homogeneous Markov process all states of which are instantaneous", (Russian) *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* 1(1956), 481-485.
- [2] WILLIAM FELLER AND HENRY P. MCKEAN, JR., "A diffusion equivalent to a countable Markov chain," *Proceedings Nat. Acad. of Sci.*, Vol. 42, No. 6 (1956), pp. 351-354.
- [3] P. LÉVY, "Systems Markoviens et stationnaires. Cas denombrable," *Annales Sci. de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure de Paris*, Vol. 68 (1951), pp. 327-381.

---

 SPACINGS GENERATED BY MIXED SAMPLES

 BY LIONEL WEISS<sup>1</sup>
*Cornell University*

**1. Summary and introduction.** Suppose  $X(1, 1), X(1, 2), \dots, X(1, n_1), X(2, 1), \dots, X(2, n_2), \dots, X(k, 1), \dots, X(k, n_k)$  are independent chance variables,  $X(i, j)$  having the probability density function  $f_i(x)$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, n_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ . We assume that for each  $i, f_i(x)$  is bounded and has at most a finite number of discontinuities. We denote  $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k$  by  $N$ , and we assume that  $n_i/N$  is equal to  $r_i$ , where  $r_i$  is a given positive number. Let  $Y_1 \leq Y_2 \leq \dots \leq Y_N$  denote the ordered values of the  $N$  observations

$$X(1, 1), \dots, X(k, n_k).$$

Define  $W_i$  as  $Y_{i+1} - Y_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N - 1$ . For any given nonnegative  $t$ , let  $R_N(t)$  denote the proportion of the values  $W_1, \dots, W_{N-1}$  which are greater than  $t/N$ . Let  $S(t)$  denote

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (r_1 f_1(x) + r_2 f_2(x) + \dots + r_k f_k(x)) \exp \{-t[r_1 f_1(x) + \dots + r_k f_k(x)]\} dx$$

and  $V(N)$  denote  $\sup_{t \geq 0} |R_N(t) - S(t)|$ . Then it is shown that  $V(N)$  converges stochastically to zero as  $N$  increases. This is a generalization of [1], where  $k$  was equal to unity. The result is applied to find the asymptotic behavior of ranks in a  $k$ -sample problem.

**2. Proof of the stochastic convergence of  $V(N)$ .** As in [1], if it can be shown that  $R_N(t)$  converges stochastically to  $S(t)$  for each positive  $t$ , the convergence of  $V(N)$  follows. Therefore we fix a positive value for  $t$ .

We define the chance variable  $Z(i, j, N)$  to be equal to unity if no observations fall in the half-open interval  $[(X(i, j), X(i, j) + t/N]$ , and equal to zero otherwise. We denote  $1/N \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Z(i, j, N)$  by  $K(N)$ . Clearly,

$$K(N) = (1 - 1/N)R_N(t) + 1/N,$$

---

Received July 17, 1957; revised August 26, 1957.

<sup>1</sup> Research sponsored by the Office of Naval Research.