

REFERENCES

- [1] J. F. DALY, "On the use of the sample range in an analogue of student's t -test," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 17 (1946), pp. 71-74.
- [2] S. GEISSER, "A note on the normal distribution," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 27 (1956), pp. 858-859.
- [3] E. LUKACS, "A characterization of the normal distribution," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 13 (1942), pp. 91-93.

A NOTE ON P.B.I.B. DESIGN MATRICES

BY W. A. THOMPSON, JR.

University of Delaware

Summary. The notation P.B.I.B. (m) will mean partially balanced incomplete block design with m associative classes.

It is found that the C matrix of a P.B.I.B. (m) may be expressed as a linear function of $m + 1$ commutative and linearly independent matrices. The author feels that this decomposition may be of interest to those studying the properties of P.B.I.B. designs.

1. The C matrix of a P.B.I.B. design. The reader should review the definition of partially balanced designs, and the relations among the parameters. See, for example, Bose and Shimamoto [2], or Bose [1], or Connor and Clatworthy [3].

The matrix

$$C = (c_{ij}),$$

where

$$c_{ii} = r(1 - 1/k),$$

$$c_{ij} = -\lambda_{ij}/k, \quad i \neq j$$

is of special interest in incomplete block design theory.

In the case of a P.B.I.B. (m), the C matrix may be written in a particular form. We may write

$$(1.1) \quad kC = r(k - 1)I - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i B_i,$$

where $B_s = [b_{ij}^{(s)}]$ for $s = 1, \dots, m$, where $b_{ii}^{(s)} = 0$ and $b_{ij}^{(s)} = 1$ or 0 according as the treatments i and j are or are not s th associates. Note that I, B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m form a linearly independent set of matrices since a one in the (i, j) th position of any of them implies a zero in the (i, j) th position of all the others. $b_{hj}^{(s)} b_{hi}^{(s)}$ equals 1 if treatment j and treatment i are both s th associates of treatment h , but equals 0 otherwise. If $j \neq i$ then $\sum_s b_{ij}^{(s)} b_{ii}^{(s)}$ is the number of treatments which are s th associates of both treatments j and i . But if j and i

Received May 10, 1955; revised April 8, 1958.

are r th associates, then this is the definition of p_{st}^r . Note further that if $j = t$ then $\sum_i [b_{ij}^{(s)}]^2 = n_s$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_s B_s &= \left[\sum_i b_{ji}^{(s)} b_{ii}^{(s)} \right] = \left[\sum_i b_{ij}^{(s)} b_{ii}^{(s)} \right] \\
 (1.2) \qquad &= n_s I + \sum_i p_{ss}^i B_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_s B_t &= \left[\sum_i b_{ij}^{(s)} b_{iq}^{(s)} \right] \\
 (1.3) \qquad &= \sum_i p_{st}^i B_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 C &= r(1 - 1/k)I - 1/k \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i B_i, \\
 CB_j &= r(1 - 1/k)B_j - 1/k \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i B_i B_j, \qquad j = 1 \cdots m, \\
 &= r(1 - 1/k)B_j - 1/k \sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_i \left(\sum_{s=1}^m p_{ij}^s B_s \right) \\
 &\quad - \lambda_j/k \left(n_j I + \sum_{i=1}^m p_{ji}^i B_i \right) \\
 &= -\frac{n_j \lambda_j}{k} I + \left[r(1 - 1/k) - 1/k \sum_i \lambda_i p_{ij}^i \right] B_j \\
 &\quad - \sum_{s \neq j} 1/k \sum_i \lambda_i p_{is}^i B_s \qquad j = 1 \cdots m.
 \end{aligned}$$

We may rewrite these equations as

$$\begin{aligned}
 C &= d_{00}I + d_{01}B_1 + \cdots + d_{0m}B_m, \\
 CB_1 &= d_{10}I + d_{11}B_1 + \cdots + d_{1m}B_m, \\
 \vdots &\qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \\
 CB_m &= d_{m0}I + d_{m1}B_1 + \cdots + d_{mm}B_m,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{00} &= r(1 - 1/k), \\
 d_{0i} &= -\lambda_i/k, \qquad i = 1 \cdots m, \\
 (1.5) \qquad d_{j0} &= -\frac{n_j \lambda_j}{k}, \qquad j = 1 \cdots m, \\
 d_{jj} &= r(1 - 1/k) - 1/k \sum_i \lambda_i p_{ij}^i, \qquad j = 1 \cdots m, \\
 d_{js} &= -1/k \sum_i \lambda_i p_{is}^i, \qquad s = 1 \cdots m; j \neq s.
 \end{aligned}$$

If e is arbitrary, and I is a $v \times v$ matrix, then by subtracting eI from C in (1.4)

we get the single matrix equation:

$$= \begin{bmatrix} C - eI & & & 0 \\ & C - eI & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & C - eI \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ B_1 \\ \vdots \\ B_m \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} (d_{00} - e)I & d_{01} I & \cdots & d_{0m} I \\ d_{10} I & (d_{11} - e)I & \cdots & d_{1m} I \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ d_{m0} I & d_{m1} I & \cdots & (d_{mm} - e)I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ B_1 \\ \vdots \\ B_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let D be the $(m + 1) \times (m + 1)$ square matrix:

$$(1.7) \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} d_{00} & d_{01} & \cdots & d_{0m} \\ d_{10} & d_{11} & \cdots & d_{1m} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ d_{m0} & d_{m1} & \cdots & d_{mm} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \gamma,$$

We could at this point use the B matrices to verify the following result:

THEOREM 1. *If e is a characteristic root of C then it is a characteristic root of D , and conversely if e is a characteristic root of D then it is a characteristic root of C .*

However, this theorem also follows from Lemma 3.1 of Connor and Clatworthy [3].

Using the matrices M and A of Lemma 3.1, with $z = kx - r(k - 1)$ we have

$$|M/k| = |xI - C|,$$

and

$$x|A/k| = |xI - D|.$$

This second relation follows by first adding all other rows of $|xI - D|$ to the first row and then subtracting the first column from all others. Theorem 1 then follows from Connor and Clatworthy's lemma.

2. The principal idempotent matrices of C . (If the reader is unfamiliar with the properties of principal idempotent matrices, then he may consult [4].) Let e be a characteristic root of C , and let $E(e)$ be the principal idempotent matrix of C corresponding to e . Theorem 1 then states that e is a root of D . B_0 will denote the identity matrix.

THEOREM 2. $E(e) = \sum_{i=0}^m c_i B_i$, where (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_m) is a characteristic vector of D corresponding to e .

PROOF. $E(e)$ must be a polynomial in C . Therefore, $E(e) = \sum_{i=0}^m c_i B_i$ according to (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3). At this point in the proof c_0, c_1, \dots, c_m are arbitrary constants. Now, $E(e)(C - eI) = 0$ since this is a property of principal idempotent matrices for C real and symmetric.

We rewrite this relation

$$(2.1) \quad (c_0 I, c_1 I, \dots, c_m I) \begin{bmatrix} C - eI & & & 0 \\ & C - eI & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & C - eI \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ B_1 \\ \vdots \\ B_m \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Using 1.6 and the linear independence of the B 's, 2.1 yields

$$(2.2) \quad (c_0 I, c_1 I, \dots, c_m I) \begin{bmatrix} (d_{00} - e)I & d_{01} I & \dots & d_{0m} I \\ d_{10} I & (d_{11} - e)I & & d_{1m} I \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ d_{m0} I & d_{m1} I & \dots & (d_{mm} - e)I \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Therefore

$$(2.3) \quad (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_m) (D - eI) = 0.$$

If C has m^* distinct non-zero characteristic roots, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{m^*} , then we may write

$$C = e_1 E(e_1) + e_2 E(e_2) + \dots + e_{m^*} E(e_{m^*}).$$

Now using Theorem 2 we have

THEOREM 3. *The C matrix of a P.B.I.B. (m) may be expressed as a linear function of the $m + 1$ commutative and linearly independent matrices B_0, B_1, \dots, B_m .*

REFERENCES

[1] R. C. BOSE, *Least Square Aspects of Analysis of Variance*, Institute of Statistics, University of North Carolina.
 [2] R. C. BOSE AND T. SHIMAMOTO, "Classification and Analysis of Partially Balanced Incomplete Block Designs with Two Associate Classes," *J. Amer. Stat. Assn.*, Vol. 47, No. 258.
 [3] W. S. CONNOR AND W. H. CLATWORTHY, "Some Theorems for Partially Balanced Designs," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 25 (1954), pp. 100-113.
 [4] S. PERLIS, *Theory of Matrices*, Addison-Wesley, Mass., 1952, Theorem 9-5, p. 175.

ON A FACTORIZATION THEOREM IN THE THEORY OF ANALYTIC CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTIONS¹

Dedicated to Paul Lévy on the occasion of his seventieth birthday

BY R. G. LAHA

The Catholic University of America

1. Introduction. Let $F(x)$ be a distribution function, that is, a non-decreasing right-continuous function such that $F(-\infty) = 0$ and $F(+\infty) = 1$. The characteristic function

$$(1.1) \quad \phi(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itz} dF(x)$$

of the distribution function $F(x)$ is defined for all real t . A characteristic function is said to be an *analytic characteristic function* if it coincides with a regular analytic function $\phi(z)$ in some neighborhood of the origin in the complex z -plane.

Received November 22, 1957; revised March 3, 1958.

¹ This work was supported by the National Science Foundation through grant NSF-G-4220.