

**ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSIONS FOR THE SMIRNOV TEST AND
FOR THE RANGE OF CUMULATIVE SUMS¹**

BY J. H. B. KEMPERMAN

Purdue University

Summary. Let z_n denote the position at time n of a particle describing a one-dimensional random walk, such that the increments $\zeta_n = z_n - z_{n-1}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) are independent random variables, assuming only the values $+1$ and -1 , each with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. Of considerable importance in many applications is the conditional probability

$$p_n(i, j, c) = P(z_n = j, 0 < z_m < c, m = 1, \dots, n \mid z_0 = i);$$

here, i, j, c, n denote positive integers. In section 1, an asymptotic development for $p_n(i, j, c)$ is given; for each positive integer m , it yields an approximation to $p_n(i, j, c)$ with error smaller than Cn^{-m} where C is independent of i, j, c and n . As a simple application, an asymptotic development for the binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{s}$ is derived by letting i, j, c tend to infinity in such a manner that $j - i = 2s - n$.

As a second application, an asymptotic expansion is derived for the joint distribution of the extrema of the difference between the empirical distributions of two samples of size n .

The above asymptotic development for $p_n(i, j, c)$ is obtained by applying the central Lemma 4 to an exact formula for $p_n(i, j, c)$. In Section 5, using this formula, an exact formula is obtained for the distribution of the range R_n of the $n + 1$ numbers z_0, \dots, z_n . Applying Lemma 4 to it, a complete asymptotic expansion for the distribution of R_n is derived.

1. Main result. Consider a random walk z_0, z_1, \dots of independent increments $\zeta_n = z_n - z_{n-1}$, such that

$$P(\zeta_n = +1) = P(\zeta_n = -1) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

In the sequel, n, i, j, c always denote integers with $n \geq 0, 0 < i < c, 0 \leq j \leq c$. Let $p_n(i, j, c)$ denote the conditional probability, given $z_0 = i$, that $z_n = j$ and $0 < z_m < c$ for $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Observe that $p_n(i, j, c) = 0$ unless the integers $j - i$ and n are of the same parity.

It is well-known that

$$(1) \quad p_n(i, j, c) = 2^{-n} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\binom{n}{(n+j-i+2kc)/2} - \binom{n}{(n+j+i+2kc)/2} \right],$$

Received April 15, 1958; revised October 13, 1958.

¹ This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation, Grant NSF G-1979.

if $n + i + j$ is even. Moreover,

$$(2) \quad p_n(i, j, c) = (2/c) \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} \sin k\pi i/c \sin k\pi j/c (\cos k\pi/c)^n.$$

A simple proof of (1) and (2) is as follows. Let c and i be fixed; then the function $p_n(i, j, c)$ is uniquely determined by the obvious relations

$$p_{n+1}(i, j, c) = [p_n(i, j - 1, c) + p_n(i, j + 1, c)]/2, \quad (0 < j < c),$$

$p_n(i, 0, c) = p_n(i, c, c) = 0$ and $p_0(i, j, c) = 1$, if $i = j$, $= 0$ if $i \neq j$. But it is easily verified that the function defined by the right hand side of (2), (or (1), respectively), satisfies all these relations.

If $s(k)$ denotes the k th term in the right hand side of (2) we have $s(c - k) = (-1)^{i+j+n} s(k)$; moreover, $s(c/2) = 0$ if c is even. Hence, (2) may be written as

$$(3) \quad p_n(i, j, c) = (4/c) \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor (c-1)/2 \rfloor} \sin k\pi i/c \sin k\pi j/c (\cos k\pi/c)^n$$

if $i + j + n$ is even, ($p_n(i, j, c) = 0$, otherwise). Using (3), we shall derive an asymptotic development for $p_n(i, j, c)$ with a remainder $O(n^{-m-\frac{1}{2}})$ holding uniformly with respect to all the parameters i, j and c , ($m = 1, 2, \dots$).

More precisely, let

$$(4) \quad A_{\nu-1} = \frac{2^{2\nu}(2^{2\nu} - 1)}{(2\nu)(2\nu)!} B_\nu, \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where $B_\nu > 0$ denotes the ν th Bernoulli number, ($B_1 = 1/6, B_2 = 1/30, B_3 = 1/42, B_4 = 1/30, B_5 = 5/66, \dots; A_0 = 1/2, A_1 = 1/12, A_2 = 1/45, A_3 = 17/2520, A_4 = 61/28350, A_5 = 691/935550$). Further, let

$$(5) \quad A_{\mu h} = \sum' A_1^{\nu_1} \dots A_\mu^{\nu_\mu} (\nu_1! \dots \nu_\mu!)^{-1},$$

where the summation is extended over all the sets (ν_1, \dots, ν_μ) of non-negative integers which satisfy

$$\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \dots + \nu_\mu = h; \quad \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \dots + \mu\nu_\mu = \mu.$$

Thus, $A_{00} = 1$ and $A_{\mu h} = 0$ if $h > \mu$. Further, for $\mu \geq 1, A_{\mu 0} = 0, A_{\mu 1} = A_\mu, A_{\mu\mu} = (12)^{-\mu}/\mu!$; also $A_{32} = A_1 A_2 = 1/540$.

Finally, let

$$(6) \quad H_{2r}^*(x) = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{2r} e^{-x^2/2} = \bar{H}_{2r}(x) e^{-x^2/2},$$

($r = 0, 1, \dots$). For instance, $\bar{H}_0 = 1, \bar{H}_2 = x^2 - 1, \bar{H}_4 = x^4 - 6x^2 + 3, \bar{H}_6 = x^6 - 15x^4 + 45x^2 - 15$. In general,

$$\bar{H}_{2r}(x) = (2r)! \sum_{\nu=0}^r (-2)^{-\nu} x^{2(r-\nu)} / (\nu!(2r - 2\nu)!).$$

We can now state the main result concerning $p_n(i, j, c)$.

THEOREM 1. *Let*

$$(7) \quad g_r = 4(\alpha/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sin k\pi i/c \sin k\pi j/c e^{-\alpha k^2} (2\alpha k^2)^r,$$

where, for brevity,

$$\alpha = \pi^2 n / (2c^2).$$

A formula equivalent to (7) is

$$(8) \quad g_r = (-1)^r \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} [H_{2r}^*(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(j - i + 2kc)) - H_{2r}^*(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(j + i + 2kc))],$$

if $r = 0, 1, \dots$. Finally, let

$$(9) \quad u_m = p_n(i, j, c) - (2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} n^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} (-1)^h A_{\mu h} g_{\mu+\frac{1}{2}h},$$

($m = 1, 2, \dots$). Then, for each integer $m \geq 1$ and each constant $K > 0$, there exists a number $M > 0$, depending on m and K , but independent of i, j, c, n , such that

$$(10) \quad |u_m| \leq M(e^{-Kn^{\frac{1}{2}}} + n^{-m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\alpha}(1 + \alpha^{2m+\frac{1}{2}})),$$

for each choice of the integers i, j, c, n with $i + j + n$ even, $0 < i < c, 0 \leq j \leq c, n > 0$.

2. Auxiliary results. Proof of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. Let $-\log \cos w^{\frac{1}{2}} = w/2 + w^2/12 + \dots$ denote the analytic function for $|w| < \pi^2/4$, which assumes real and positive values for w real, $0 < w < \pi^2/4$, and let

$$(1) \quad \varphi(w) = (-\log \cos w^{\frac{1}{2}} - w/2)w^{-2}.$$

Then $\varphi(w) \geq 0$ for w real and positive, $w < \pi^2/4$. Moreover, we have the Taylor expansion

$$(2) \quad e^{u\varphi(w)} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} A_{\mu h} u^h w^{\mu-h},$$

holding for $|w| < \pi^2/4$ and arbitrary u , where the $A_{\mu h}$ are as defined above.

PROOF. Let $A_j > 0$ be defined by (1.4), especially, $A_0 = \frac{1}{2}$. Integrating the well-known expansion $\tan x = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (2\nu + 2)A_{\nu} x^{2\nu+1}$, ($|x| < \pi/2$), we obtain $-\log \cos x = x^2/2 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} A_{\nu} x^{2\nu+2}$. Hence, from (1), $\varphi(w) = w^{-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} A_{\nu} w^{\nu}$, ($|w| < \pi^2/4$). The above assertions now easily follow.

Observe that, from (1), formula (1.3) may be written as

$$(3) \quad p_n(i, j, c) = \frac{2}{c} \sum_{k=1}^{[(c-1)/2]} \left(\cos \frac{k\pi(j-i)}{c} - \cos \left(\frac{k\pi(j+i)}{c} \right) \right) e^{-\alpha k^2} \psi \left(-\frac{4}{n} (\alpha k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{2}{n} \alpha k^2 \right),$$

where $\alpha = \pi^2 n / (2c^2)$ and

$$(4) \quad \psi(u, w) = e^{u\varphi(w)} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} A_{\mu h} u^h w^{\mu-h}, \quad (|w| < \pi^2/4).$$

The proofs not being any more difficult, and in view of the proof in Section 5, we shall determine the asymptotic behavior (for small values of $|\sigma|$ and $|\tau|$) of more general sums of the type

$$\sum_{1 \leq k \leq \lambda} \cos kx e^{-\beta k^2} f(\sigma(\beta k^2)^p, \tau(\beta k^2)^q).$$

Here, $f(u, w)$ denotes a fixed analytic function for $|u| < u_0, 0 < |w| < w_0, (u_0 > 0, w_0 > 0)$, admitting the expansion

$$(5) \quad f(u, w) = w^{-s} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} B_{\mu h} u^h w^{\mu-h},$$

($|u| < u_0, 0 < |w| < w_0$), where s denotes an integer and the $B_{\mu h}$ are complex constants.

LEMMA 2. Let m denote a fixed non-negative integer, and let

$$R(m) = f(u, w) - w^{-s} \sum_{\mu=0}^m \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} B_{\mu h} u^h w^{\mu-h}.$$

Then to each pair of positive constants u_1 and w_1 with $u_1 < u_0, w_1 < w_0$ there corresponds a constant M , independent of u, w , such that

$$|w^s R(m)| \leq M(|u|^m + |w|^m),$$

whenever $|u| \leq u_1, |w| \leq w_1$.

PROOF. Let $|u| \leq u_1, |w| \leq w_1$ and put $\theta = \text{Max}(|u|/u_1, |w|/w_1), \theta \leq 1$. We have

$$|w^s R(m)| = \left| \sum_{\mu=m}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} B_{\mu h} u^h w^{\mu-h} \right| \leq \theta^m \sum_{\mu=m}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} |B_{\mu h}| u_1^h w_1^{\mu-h} = K\theta^m.$$

LEMMA 3. To each real number $r \neq -\frac{1}{2}$ there corresponds a constant M , such that, for each choice of the positive numbers β and λ ,

$$(6) \quad \sum_{k \geq \lambda} e^{-\beta k^2} (\beta k^2)^r \leq M\beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta \lambda^2} (1 + (\beta \lambda^2)^{r+\frac{1}{2}}), \quad \text{if } r > -\frac{1}{2},$$

$$\leq M\beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta \lambda^2} (\beta \lambda^2)^{r+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{if } r < -\frac{1}{2}.$$

PROOF. Let $S(\beta, \lambda)$ denote the left hand side of (6). In the proof we may assume that $\lambda \geq 1$. For, suppose the lemma has been proved for this case. Then, for $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $r < -\frac{1}{2}$,

$$S(\beta, \lambda) = S(\beta, 1) \leq M\beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta} \beta^{r+\frac{1}{2}} \leq M\beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta \lambda^2} (\beta \lambda^2)^{r+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

On the other hand, let $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $r > -\frac{1}{2}$. Then $S(\beta, \lambda) = S(\beta, 1) \leq M\beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta} (1 + \beta^{r+\frac{1}{2}})$. If $2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq \lambda < 1$ we have

$$e^{-\beta} (1 + \beta^{r+\frac{1}{2}}) \leq e^{-\beta} (1 + (2\beta \lambda^2)^{r+\frac{1}{2}}) \leq 2^{r+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta \lambda^2} (1 + (\beta \lambda^2)^{r+\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Further, for $0 < \lambda < 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $e^{-\beta}(1 + \beta^{r+\frac{1}{2}}) \leq e^{-\beta\lambda^2}e^{-\beta/2}(1 + \beta^{r+\frac{1}{2}}) \leq Ke^{-\beta\lambda^2}$, if K denotes the maximum value of the function $e^{-\beta/2}(1 + \beta^{r+\frac{1}{2}})$, $\beta > 0$.

Thus, let $\lambda \geq 1$. The function $f(x) = e^{-\beta x^2}(\beta x^2)^r$, ($x > 0$), is decreasing if $r \leq 0$ and, for $r > 0$, has a unique maximum at $x_0 = (r/\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $f(x_0) = C$, C denoting a constant independent of β . Further, if $r > 0$, $f(x)$ is increasing for $0 < x \leq x_0$ and decreasing for $x \geq x_0$. Hence, letting

$$(7) \quad I = \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} e^{-\beta x^2}(\beta x^2)^r dr,$$

we have

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} S(\beta, \lambda) &\leq I + C, && \text{if } r > 0 \text{ and } \lambda < x_0, \\ &\leq I + e^{-\beta\lambda^2}(\beta\lambda^2)^r, && \text{if } r \leq 0 \text{ or } \lambda \geq x_0. \end{aligned}$$

If $r > 0$ and $\lambda < x_0 = (r/\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we have, from $\lambda \geq 1$, that $\beta \leq \beta\lambda^2 < r$, hence, $C \leq Ce^{r-\beta\lambda^2}(\beta/r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. In any case, from $\lambda \geq 1$, $e^{-\beta\lambda^2}(\beta\lambda^2)^r \leq \beta^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\beta\lambda^2}(\beta\lambda^2)^{r+\frac{1}{2}}$. Finally, letting $\beta x^2 = y$ in (7), $I = \beta^{-\frac{1}{2}}J(\beta\lambda^2)/2$, where

$$(9) \quad J(w) = \int_w^{\infty} e^{-y}y^{r-\frac{1}{2}} dy.$$

It follows from (8) that it suffices to prove the existence of an absolute constant M , such that, for $w > 0$,

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} J(w) &\leq Me^{-w}(1 + w^{r+\frac{1}{2}}), && \text{if } r > -\frac{1}{2}, \\ &\leq Me^{-w}w^{r+\frac{1}{2}}, && \text{if } r < -\frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Letting $y = w(1 + z)$ in (9), we have $J(w) = e^{-w}w^{r+\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-wz}(1 + z)^{r-\frac{1}{2}} dz$. This proves (10) when either $r < -\frac{1}{2}$ or $r > -\frac{1}{2}$, $w \geq c$, c denoting a fixed positive constant. Finally, if $r > -\frac{1}{2}$, $w \leq c$, we have

$$J(w) \leq \Gamma(r + \frac{1}{2}) \leq \Gamma(r + \frac{1}{2})e^{c-w}.$$

LEMMA 4. Consider the sum

$$(11) \quad S = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \lambda} \cos kx e^{-\beta k^2} f(\sigma(\beta k^2)^p, \tau(\beta k^2)^q),$$

where σ and τ denote complex numbers, x a real number, λ, β positive real numbers, p, q non-negative integers; ($S = 0$ if $\lambda < 1$). Further, let $B_{\mu h}, s, u_0, w_0$ be as in (5), and

$$(12) \quad S_m = \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} B_{\mu h} \sigma^h \tau^{\mu-h-s} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \cos kx e^{-\beta k^2} (\beta k^2)^{ph+q(\mu-h-s)}.$$

Assertion: to each choice of the integer $m > 0$ and the positive numbers $u_1 < u_0, w_1 < w_0$ there corresponds a constant $M > 0$, independent of $\lambda, x, \beta, \sigma, \tau$, such that

$$|S - S_m| \leq M\beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\tau|^{-s} \{ e^{-\beta\lambda^2} (\beta\lambda^2)^{-qs+\frac{1}{2}} + e^{-\beta} |\sigma|^m (1 + \beta^{2m-qs+\frac{1}{2}}) + e^{-\beta} |\tau|^m (1 + \beta^{qm-qs+\frac{1}{2}}) \},$$

for each choice of the parameters $\lambda, x, \beta, \sigma$ and τ , satisfying $\lambda > 0, \beta > 0, \beta\lambda^2 \geq 1$ and

$$(13) \quad |\sigma|(\beta\lambda^2)^p \leq u_1, \quad |\tau|(\beta\lambda^2)^q \leq w_1.$$

Finally, the same assertion holds true if in (11) and (12) the summation variable k is restricted to the odd integers.

PROOF. In the following, M denotes a positive constant, independent of $\lambda, \beta, \sigma, \tau$, not necessarily the same constant on each occasion. From Lemma 2, using (13), for $1 \leq k \leq \lambda$,

$$f(\sigma(\beta k^2)^p, \tau(\beta k^2)^q) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} B_{\mu h} \sigma^h \tau^{\mu-h-s} (\beta k^2)^{ph+q(\mu-h-s)} + a_{mk}$$

with $|a_{mk}| \leq M |\tau|^{-s} (|\sigma| (\beta k^2)^{pm-qs} + |\tau|^m (\beta k^2)^{qm-qs})$. Hence, from $|\cos kx| \leq 1$, (whether or not k is restricted to the odd positive integers), $|S - S_m| \leq T_1 + T_2$, where $T_1 = M |\tau|^{-s} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\beta k^2} (|\sigma| (\beta k^2)^{pm-qs} + |\tau|^m (\beta k^2)^{qm-qs})$, and $T_2 = \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} |B_{\mu h} \sigma^h \tau^{\mu-h-s}| \sum_{k>\lambda} e^{-\beta k^2} (\beta k^2)^{ph+q(\mu-h-s)}$. From $\beta\lambda^2 \geq 1$ and Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &\leq M \beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta\lambda^2} \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} |\sigma^h \tau^{\mu-h-s}| (\beta\lambda^2)^{ph+q(\mu-h-s)+\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq M \beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta\lambda^2} |\tau|^{-s} (\beta\lambda^2)^{-qs+\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

from (13). Further, from Lemma 3, applied with $\lambda = 1$,

$$T_1 \leq M \beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta} |\tau|^{-s} (|\sigma|^m (1 + \beta^{pm-qs+\frac{1}{2}}) + |\tau|^m (1 + \beta^{qm-qs+\frac{1}{2}})),$$

yielding the stated assertion.

LEMMA 5. For $\beta > 0, r = 0, 1, \dots$, we have

$$\delta_0^r + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \cos kx e^{-\beta k^2} (2\beta k^2)^r = (-1)^r (\pi/\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} H_{2r}^* \left(\frac{x + 2\pi k}{\sqrt{2\beta}} \right),$$

where H_{2r}^* is defined by (1.6).

PROOF. In view of $H_0^*(y) = e^{-y^2/2}$ and $\delta_0^0 = 1$, the special case $r = 0$ is equivalent to a well-known identity for theta-functions. Differentiating $2r$ times with respect to x , the general result immediately follows.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let i, j, n, c denote integers, $0 < i < c, 0 \leq j \leq c, n > 0, i + j + n$ even. Then $p_n(i, j, c)$ is given by (3) and (4), where

$$(14) \quad \alpha = \pi^2 n / (2c^2).$$

Further, let m denote a given positive integer, K a given positive constant. It suffices to prove (1.10), (with M depending only on m and K), under the additional restriction that

$$(15) \quad n \geq K^2 \geq 1$$

(for, letting afterwards $K = 1$, the general result immediately follows). Let

$\lambda > 0$ be defined by

$$(16) \quad \alpha\lambda^2 = Kn^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

hence,

$$(17) \quad (\pi\lambda/c)^2 = 2n^{-1}\alpha\lambda^2 = 2n^{-\frac{1}{2}}K \leq 2 < \pi^2/4,$$

thus, $\lambda < c/2$. From Lemma 1 and (4),

$$0 \leq \psi\left(-\frac{4}{n}(\alpha k^2)^2, \frac{2}{n}\alpha k^2\right) \leq 1, \quad 0 < k < c/2,$$

thus, the contribution to the right hand side of (3) of the terms with $k > \lambda$ is at most equal to $(4/c)(c/2)e^{-\alpha\lambda^2} = 2e^{-Kn^{\frac{1}{2}}}$. Consequently, from (3) and (14),

$$(18) \quad p_n(i, j, c) = O(e^{-Kn^{\frac{1}{2}}}) + (2/\pi)(2\alpha/n)^{\frac{1}{2}}(S(\pi(j-i)/c) - S(\pi(j+i)/c)),$$

where $S(x) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \lambda} \cos kx e^{-\alpha k^2} \psi(-\frac{4}{n}(\alpha k^2)^2, \frac{2}{n}\alpha k^2)$. In order to estimate the latter sum, we apply Lemma 4 with λ as above, $\beta = \alpha$, $\sigma = -4/n$, $\tau = 2/n$, $p = 2$, $q = 1$, $f(u, w) = \psi(u, w)$, $s = 0$, $u_1 = 4K^2$, (u_0 arbitrary, $u_0 > u_1$), $w_1 = 2 < \pi^2/4 = w_0$. Then (13) holds, from (17) and $(4/n)(\alpha\lambda^2)^2 = 4K^2 = u_1$. Moreover, $\alpha\lambda^2 = Kn^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq K \geq 1$. Hence, using Lemma 1, Lemma 4 yields that, (for real values x),

$$(19) \quad |S(x) - S_m(x)| \leq M\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}(n^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-Kn^{\frac{1}{2}}} + n^{-m}e^{-\alpha}(1 + \alpha^{2m+\frac{1}{2}})),$$

where M denotes a constant depending only on K and m . Here,

$$(20) \quad S_m(x) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} A_{\mu h} (-4/n)^h (2/n)^{\mu-h} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \cos kx e^{-\alpha k^2} (\alpha k^2)^{\mu+h}.$$

Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of (18), (19), (20) and Lemma 5, the latter implying the equivalence of (1.7) and (1.8).

3. Asymptotic expansion of the binomial coefficient. Let $p_n(i, j)$ denote the conditional probability, given $z_0 = i$, that $z_n = j$, $z_\nu > 0$ for $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, n$, thus,

$$(1) \quad p_n(i, j) = \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} p_n(i, j, c).$$

From (1.8), $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} (-1)^r g_r = H_{2r}^*(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(j-i)) - H_{2r}^*(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(j+i))$, hence, from Theorem 1 and (1), if $i > 0$, $j \geq 0$, $n+i+j$ even,

$$(2) \quad p_n(i, j) = (2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} (-1)^\mu n^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} A_{\mu h} [H_{2\mu+2h}^*(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(j-i)) - H_{2\mu+2h}^*(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(j+i))] + u_m$$

where

$$(3) \quad |u_m| \leq Mn^{-m-\frac{1}{2}},$$

M denoting a constant independent of i, j, n . Further,

$$(4) \quad p_n(i, j) = 2^{-n} \left[\binom{n}{(n+j-i)/2} - \binom{n}{(n+j+i)/2} \right],$$

(e.g., from (1) and (1.1)), if $i > 0, j \geq 0, n + i + j$ even. Keeping n fixed and letting i, j tend to infinity, such that $n + j - i = 2s, s$ an integer, we have from (2), (3) and (4),

$$(5) \quad 2^{-n} \binom{n}{s} = (2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} (-1)^\mu n^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} A_{\mu h} H_{2\mu+2h}^*(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(2s-n)) + O(n^{-m-\frac{1}{2}}),$$

the remainder holding uniformly in s and n . An alternative proof of (5) might be obtained by starting with Stirling's formula or from an application of a general theorem of C. G. Esseen (*Acta Mathematica*, Vol. 77 (1945), p. 63).

4. The Smirnov test with equal sample sizes. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$ denote $2n$ independent observations on a real random variable having a continuous distribution. Further, let

$$F_1(s) = \sum_{x_i \leq s} 1/n, \quad F_2(s) = \sum_{y_i \leq s} 1/n$$

denote the empiric distributions of the samples x_1, \dots, x_n and y_1, \dots, y_n , respectively. Finally, let

$$(1) \quad P_n(a, b) = \text{Prob}(-a/n < F_2(s) - F_1(s) < b/n \text{ for all } s),$$

where a, b denote positive integers or $+\infty$. It is not difficult to show, cf. Gnedenko and Korolyuk [4], that, irrespective of the underlying distribution,

$$(2) \quad 2^{-2n} \binom{2n}{n} P_n(a, b) = p_{2n}(a, a, a+b),$$

where $p_n(i, j, c)$ is precisely the quantity studied in the previous sections. Hence, from (1.1),

$$\binom{2n}{n} P_n(a, b) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\binom{2n}{n+kc} - \binom{2n}{n+a+kc} \right],$$

where $c = a + b$, a result due to Gnedenko and Rvačeva [5]. Moreover, from (2) and (1.3),

$$(3) \quad 2^{-2n} \binom{2n}{n} P_n(a, b) = (4/c)^{\lfloor (c-1)/2 \rfloor} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor (c-1)/2 \rfloor} (\sin k\pi a/c)^2 \cos k\pi/c)^{2n},$$

where $c = a + b$, especially,

$$(4) \quad 2^{-2n} \binom{2n}{n} P_n(a, a) = (2/a)^{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor} (\cos(k - \frac{1}{2})\pi/a)^{2n}.$$

Massey [6] gave a table of $P_n(a, a)$ for $n \leq 40, a \leq 13$, (in his notation, $a =$

$k + 1$). In computing this table from (4), the resulting series would contain at most six terms, most of which are negligibly small for (say) $n \geq 10$.

Applying Theorem 1 to (2), one obtains an asymptotic development for $P_n(a, b)$. In fact, let

$$(5) \quad g_r = 4(\alpha/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sin k\pi a/c \sin k\pi b/c e^{-\alpha k^2} (2\alpha k^2)^r,$$

where $c = a + b$, $\alpha = \pi^2 n/c^2$; an equivalent formula is

$$(6) \quad (-1)^r g_r = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \{H_{2r}^*(2kc(2n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}) - H_{2r}^*((2a + 2kc)(2n)^{-\frac{1}{2}})\},$$

(both formulae being especially simple if $a = b$). Then, for each fixed integer $m \geq 1$, there exists a constant M , independent of a, b, n , such that

$$(7) \quad \left| 2^{-2n} \binom{2n}{n} P_n(a, b) - (2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} (2n)^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} (-1)^h A_{\mu h} g_{\mu+h} \right| \leq Mn^{-m-\frac{1}{2}}$$

holds true for each choice of the positive integers a, b, n . Moreover, from Stirling's formula, for n large,

$$(8) \quad 2^{2n} \binom{2n}{n}^{-1} \sim (\pi n)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + 1/(8n) + 1/(128n^2) - 5/(1024n^3) + \dots).$$

Combining (7) and (8), one obtains an asymptotic expansion of $P_n(a, b)$ in powers of $1/n$ with a remainder $O(n^{-m})$ holding uniformly with respect to the integers a and b , ($m = 1, 2, \dots$). For instance, the special case $m = 4$ yields

$$(9) \quad P_n(a, b) = g_0 + (3g_0 - g_2)/(24n) + (\frac{3}{2}g_0 - 3g_2 - \frac{1}{8}g_3 + \frac{1}{2}g_4)/(24n)^2 + (-\frac{1}{2}\frac{3}{5}g_0 - \frac{3}{2}g_2 - \frac{4}{5}g_3 - \frac{7}{70}g_4 + \frac{1}{5}g_5 - \frac{1}{8}g_6)/(24n)^3 + O(n^{-4}).$$

The weaker result

$$P_n(a, b) = g_0 + (3g_0 - g_2)/(24n) + o(n^{-1})$$

is due to Gnedenko [3].

Finally, from (2), (3.1), (3.2), we have the expansion

$$2^{-2n} \binom{2n}{n} P_n(a, \infty) \sim (2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{\mu} (2n)^{-\mu-1/2} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} A_{\mu h} \{H_{2\mu+2h}^*(0) - H_{2\mu+2h}^*(2an^{-\frac{1}{2}})\}.$$

Using (8), one obtains results of the type

$$(10) \quad P_n(a, \infty) = 1 - e^{-a^2/n} \{1 + a^2(1 - a^2/(3n))/(2n^2)\} + O(n^{-2}),$$

the remainder holding uniformly in a . Here, (10) contains a result due to Gnedenko [3].

Remark. The reader should note that (9) holds only for positive integer values of a and b . For instance, from (9) and (5),

$$(11) \quad P_n(a, a) = G_0(\pi^2 n / (4a^2)) + O(n^{-1}), \quad (a = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where $G_0(x) = 4(x/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}(e^{-x} + e^{-9x} + e^{-25x} + \dots)$. Suppose that one wants to choose the integer a_β such that $P_n(a_\beta, a_\beta)$ is close to a given number β , (say, $\beta = .95$). From existing tables, one can find x_0 such that $G_0(x_0) = \beta$. Now, for the reasonable choice of a_β as the smallest integer $\geq \pi/2(n/x_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, one can only say that $\pi^2 n / (4a^2) = x_0 + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, thus, from (11), $P_n(a_\beta, a_\beta) = \beta + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. On the other hand, if n is large and a_β has been chosen, (say) in the above manner, formula (9) will yield an excellent approximation to $P_n(a_\beta, a_\beta)$.

5. The range of cumulative sums. Let ζ_1, ζ_2, \dots be independent random variables, each assuming only the values $+1$ and -1 with equal probability. Further, let R_n denote the range of the cumulative sums z_0, \dots, z_n , ($z_m = \zeta_1 + \dots + \zeta_m, z_0 = 0$). Thus, $R_n = U_n + V_n$, where

$$-U_n = \text{Min}(z_0, \dots, z_n), \quad V_n = \text{Max}(z_0, \dots, z_n).$$

Note that R_n, U_n and V_n assume only the values $0, 1, \dots, n$. In this section, by applying Lemma 4 to the exact formula (1) below, we shall obtain a complete asymptotic expansion for the distribution of R_n . For each positive integer m , it yields an approximation to $P(R_n < r)$ with error smaller than $Cn^{-m-\frac{1}{2}}$, C denoting a constant independent of n and r .

LEMMA 6. *We have*

$$(1) \quad P(R_n < r) = A_{r+1}(n) - A_r(n), \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where

$$(2) \quad A_c(n) = (1/c) \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} (1 - (-1)^k) \cot^2 k\pi / (2c) (\cos k\pi/c)^n.$$

PROOF. From the definition of $p_n(i, j, c)$ in Section 1, (replacing z_n by $z'_n = a + z_n$), we have, for positive integers a, b ,

$$P(U_n < a, V_n < b, z_n = j - a) = p_n(a, j, a + b).$$

Further, $p_n(a, j, a + b) = 0$ if $j \leq 0$ or $j \geq a + b$, hence,

$$P(U_n < a, V_n < b) = \sum_{j=1}^{a+b-1} p_n(a, j, a + b).$$

Moreover, for $r = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(U_n + V_n < r) \\ = \sum_{a=1}^r \{P(U_n < a, V_n < r - a + 1) - P(U_n < a, V_n < r - a)\}. \end{aligned}$$

From $U_n + V_n = R_n, P(U_n < r, V_n < 0) = 0$, it follows that (1) holds with

$A_c(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{c-1} \sum_{j=1}^{c-1} p_n(i, j, c)$. Using (1.2), the latter formula easily implies (2).

REMARK. A formula equivalent to (2) is

$$A_c(n) = 2^{-n+1} \sum_{0 \leq m \leq n/2} \binom{n}{m} f_c(n - 2m).$$

Here, $f_c(x)$ is defined by $f_c(0) = (c - 1)/2$, $f_c(h) = c - 2h$, ($h = 1, \dots, c - 1$), $f_c(c) = -c + 1$, $f_c(sc + h) = (-1)^s f_c(h)$, ($s = 1, 2, \dots; h = 1, \dots, c$). We omit the proof.

Transforming in (2) the terms with $k > c/2$ to the summation variable $k' = c - k$, we have

$$(3) \quad A_c(n) = (1/c) \sum_{k=1}^{[(c-1)/2]} \{ (1 - (-1)^k) \cot^2 k\pi/(2c) + (1 - (-1)^{c-k}) \tan^2 k\pi/(2c) \} (\cos k\pi/c)^n.$$

Applying Lemma 4 to (3), one may derive the asymptotic expansion of $A_c(n)$ for large n . For convenience, we shall restrict ourselves to the case that, in (3), n is an odd positive integer, c an even positive integer, thus, from (3),

$$A_c(n) = (8/c) \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}}}^{c/2-1} \operatorname{cosec}^2 k\pi/c (\cos k\pi/c)^{n+1}.$$

In view of (2.1), the latter formula may be written as

$$(4) \quad A_c(n - 1) = (8/c) \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}}}^{c/2-1} e^{-\alpha k^2} f\left(-\frac{4}{n} (\alpha k^2)^2, \frac{2}{n} \alpha k^2\right),$$

(c and n even), where

$$(5) \quad \alpha = \pi^2 n / (2c^2)$$

and

$$(6) \quad f(u, w) = \operatorname{cosec}^2 w^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{u\varphi(w)}.$$

Here, from Lemma 1,

$$(7) \quad e^{u\varphi(w)} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} A_{\mu h} u^h w^{\mu-h}, \quad (|w| < \pi^2/4).$$

Further, differentiating the well-known Taylor expansion of $\cot z$ about 0,

$$(8) \quad \operatorname{cosec}^2 w^{\frac{1}{2}} = w^{-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} C_{\nu} w^{\nu}, \quad (|w| < \pi^2),$$

where $C_0 = 1$ and

$$(9) \quad C_{\nu} = (2\nu - 1)2^{2\nu} B_{\nu} / (2\nu)! \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots),$$

B_{ν} denoting the ν th Bernoulli number, ($B_1 = 1/6, \dots; C_1 = 1/3, C_2 = 1/15,$

$C_3 = 2/189, C_4 = 1/675, \dots$). Hence, from (6), (7) and (8), for $|w| < \pi^2/4$ and arbitrary values u ,

$$(10) \quad f(u, w) = w^{-1} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} B_{\mu h} u^h w^{\mu-h},$$

where

$$(11) \quad B_{\mu h} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\mu-h} C_{\nu} A_{\mu-\nu, h} > 0;$$

here, $B_{\mu\mu} = A_{\mu\mu}, B_{\mu 0} = C_{\mu}$ (from $A_{00} = 1, A_{\mu 0} = 0$ for $\mu > 0$), thus, $B_{00} = 1, B_{10} = 1/3, B_{11} = 1/12, B_{20} = 1/15, B_{21} = 1/20, B_{22} = 1/288$.

THEOREM 2. *Let*

$$(12) \quad G_r = G_r(\alpha) = 4(\alpha/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}}}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha k^2} (2\alpha k^2)^r,$$

where α is given by (5). For $r = 0, 1, \dots$ an equivalent formula is

$$(13) \quad G_r = (-1)^r \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k H_{2r}^*(k\pi(2\alpha)^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Then, for each positive integer m and each positive constant K , there exists a constant $M > 0$, not depending on c or n , such that

$$(14) \quad T_m = A_c(n-1) - (8/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} n^{-\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} (-1)^h B_{\mu h} G_{\mu+h-1}$$

satisfies

$$(15) \quad |T_m| \leq M(e^{-Kn^{\frac{1}{2}}} + n^{-m+\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\alpha}(1 + \alpha^{2m-1})),$$

for each choice of the even positive integers n and c .

PROOF. Let n, c denote even positive integers, thus, (4) holds true. Further, let $m \geq 1$ be a given integer, $K > 0$ a given constant, K_1 a fixed constant $> K$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $n^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq K_1 \geq 1$. Let $\lambda > 0$ be defined by $\alpha\lambda^2 = K_1 n^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then

$$(16) \quad (\pi\lambda/c)^2 = \frac{2}{n} \alpha\lambda^2 = 2n^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_1 \leq 2 < \pi^2/4,$$

thus, $\lambda < c/2$. From Lemma 1, $\varphi(w) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq w \leq \pi^2/4$, hence, from (6), the contribution to the right hand side of (4) of the terms with $k > \lambda$ is at most equal to $(8/c)(c/4) \operatorname{cosec}^2((2/n)\alpha\lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\alpha\lambda^2} \leq (\pi^2/2)((2/n)K_1 n^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-1} e^{-K_1 n^{\frac{1}{2}}} = O(e^{-Kn^{\frac{1}{2}}})$. Hence, from (4) and (5),

$$(17) \quad A_c(n-1) = O(e^{-Kn^{\frac{1}{2}}}) + (8/\pi)(2\alpha/n)^{\frac{1}{2}} S,$$

where

$$S = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq \lambda \\ k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}}} e^{-\alpha k^2} f\left(-\frac{4}{n}(\alpha k^2)^2, \frac{2}{n}\alpha k^2\right).$$

We now apply Lemma 4 with $\beta = \alpha$, $\sigma = -4/n$, $\tau = 2/n$, $p = 2$, $q = 1$, $s = 1$, $u_1 = 4K_1^2$, ($u_0 > u_1$ arbitrary), $w_1 = 2 < \pi^2/4 = w_0$. Then (2.13) holds, from (16) and $(4/n)(\alpha\lambda^2)^2 = 4K_1^2 = u_1$. Moreover, $\alpha\lambda^2 = K_1 n^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq K_1 \geq 1$. Hence, in view of (10), Lemma 4 yields

$$(18) \quad |S - S_m| \leq M\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}n(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-K_1 n^{\frac{1}{2}}} + n^{-m}e^{-\alpha}(1 + \alpha^{2m-\frac{1}{2}})),$$

M denoting a constant independent of α and n . Here,

$$(19) \quad S_m = \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} B_{\mu h} (-4/n)^h (2/n)^{\mu-h-1} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}}}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha k^2} (\alpha k^2)^{\mu+h-1}.$$

Thus, if G_r is defined by (12), (15) is an immediate consequence of (17), (18) and (19). That (12) and (13) are equivalent for $r = 0, 1, \dots$, follows by subtracting the asserted relation of Lemma 5 with $x = \pi$ from that with $x = 0$.

Remark. In view of (3) and $\tan^2 w^{\frac{1}{2}} = w + 2w^2/3 + \dots$, it is easily seen that, for $m \leq 2$, the estimate (15) holds for all positive integers n and c .

Let us introduce the distribution function

$$(20) \quad F_n(r) = P(R_n < r) + P(R_n = r)/2$$

and the quasi-frequency function

$$(21) \quad f_n(r) = P(R_n = r - 1)/4 + P(R_n = r)/2 + P(R_n = r + 1)/4.$$

From (1),

$$(22) \quad 2F_{n-1}(c) = A_{c+2}(n-1) - A_c(n-1)$$

and

$$(23) \quad 4f_{n-1}(c+1) = A_{c+4}(n-1) - 2A_{c+2}(n-1) + A_c(n-1).$$

Hence, applying Theorem 2, one obtains an asymptotic development for the quantities $F_{n-1}(c)$ and $f_{n-1}(c+1)$, c and n denoting even positive integers.

In order to simplify these expansions, we introduce

$$(24) \quad \gamma_{\mu}(\alpha) = \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} (-1)^h B_{\mu h} G_{\mu+h-1}(\alpha),$$

($\mu = 0, 1, \dots$), where $G_r(\alpha)$ is defined by (12) or (13), (the latter only for $r \geq 0$), α ranging through the positive real numbers. From Theorem 2, for each integer $m \geq 1$,

$$(25) \quad A_c(n-1) = (8/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} \gamma_{\mu}(\pi^2 n / (2c^2)) n^{-\mu+\frac{1}{2}} + O(n^{-m+\frac{1}{2}})$$

if n and c are even positive integers, the remainder holding uniformly in c . From (12) and Lemma 3, for each integer r ,

$$(26) \quad G_r(\alpha) = O(e^{-\alpha}(1 + \alpha^{r+\frac{1}{2}})), \quad (\alpha > 0).$$

Moreover, from (12),

$$(27) \quad \frac{dG_r}{d\alpha} = -(2\alpha)^{-1}(G_{r+1} - (2r + 1)G_r).$$

Hence, letting $\alpha = \pi^2 n / (2c^2)$ and $D = \partial / \partial c$,

$$(28) \quad DG_r(\alpha) = c^{-1}(G_{r+1} - (2r + 1)G_r),$$

thus,

$$(29) \quad D^2G_r = c^{-2}(G_{r+2} - (4r + 5)G_{r+1} + (2r + 1)(2r + 2)G_r).$$

In general,

$$(30) \quad D^s G_r(\alpha) = c^{-s} \sum_{\nu=0}^s a_{s\nu}(r) G_{r+\nu}(\alpha),$$

($\alpha = \pi^2 n / (2c^2)$, $s = 0, 1, \dots$), where the $a_{s\nu}(r)$ are certain constants, independent of n and c , which may be computed from the recursion relation $a_{s\nu}(r) = a_{s-1, \nu-1}(r) - (2r + 2\nu + s)a_{s-1, \nu}(r)$, ($a_{s\nu}(r) = 0$ if $\nu < 0$ or $\nu > s$).

It follows from (24) and (30), that

$$(31) \quad D^s \gamma_\mu(\pi^2 n / (2c^2)) = \gamma_{\mu s}(\pi^2 n / (2c^2)) n^{-s/2}, \quad (s = 0, 1, \dots),$$

where

$$(32) \quad \gamma_{\mu s}(\alpha) = (2\alpha / \pi^2)^{s/2} \sum_{h=0}^{\mu} \sum_{\nu=0}^s (-1)^h B_{\mu h} a_{s\nu}(\mu + h - 1) G_{\mu+h+\nu-1}(\alpha).$$

Here, the functions $\gamma_{\mu s}(\alpha)$ are explicitly known, for instance, from $B_{00} = 1$, (12) and (28),

$$(33) \quad \gamma_{01}(\alpha) = (2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha(2k+1)^2} (2\alpha + (2k+1)^{-2}).$$

Further, from (13) and (29),

$$(34) \quad \gamma_{02}(\alpha) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} e^{-(k\pi)^2 / (4\alpha)} k^2.$$

Observe that, from (26) and (32), $\gamma_{\mu s}(\alpha)$ is a bounded function of α , $\alpha > 0$, whenever $s \geq 1$. Hence, from (31), letting

$$f_\mu(n, c) = \gamma_\mu(\pi^2 n / (2c^2)),$$

we have, for each positive integer q , and $\Delta > 0$,

$$(35) \quad f_\mu(n, c + \Delta) - f_\mu(n, c) = \sum_{s=1}^{q-1} n^{-s/2} \gamma_{\mu s}(\pi^2 n / (2c^2)) \Delta^s / s! + O(\Delta^q n^{-q/2}),$$

the remainder holding uniformly in c . Finally, letting $q = 2m - 2\mu$, (22), (23) and (25) easily imply the following result.

THEOREM 3. *Let $F_n(r), f_n(r)$ be defined by (20) and (21). Then, for each positive integer m , there exists a constant M , independent of n and c , such that*

$$(36) \quad \left| F_{n-1}(c) - (2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} \sum_{s=1}^{2m-2\mu-1} n^{-(2\mu+s-1)/2} \gamma_{\mu s}(\pi^2 n / (2c^2)) 2^s / s! \right| \leq M n^{-m+\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$(37) \quad \left| f_{n-1}(c+1) - (2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-2} \sum_{s=1}^{2m-2\mu-1} n^{-(2\mu+s-1)/2} \gamma_{\mu s}(\pi^2 n / (2c^2)) 2^s (2^{s-1} - 1) / s! \right| \leq M n^{-m+\frac{1}{2}},$$

for each choice of the even positive integers n and c , where $\gamma_{\mu s}(\alpha)$ is defined by (32). Here, for each $\mu, s \geq 1, \gamma_{\mu s}(\alpha)$ is a bounded function of $\alpha, \alpha > 0$.

Note that, from the remark following the proof of Theorem 2, (36) and (37) hold for each choice of the positive integers n and c , provided $m \leq 2$. From (36), applied with $m = 2$, we have $F_{n-1}(c) = (8/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma_{01}(\alpha) + n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\gamma_{02}(\alpha) + n^{-1}(\gamma_{11}(\alpha) + 2\gamma_{03}(\alpha)/3) + O(n^{-\frac{3}{2}})$, with $\alpha = \pi^2 n / (2c^2)$, especially, from (33),

$$(38) \quad F_{n-1}(c) = (8/\pi^2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\pi^2(2k+1)^2 n / 2c^2} (\pi^2 n / c^2 + (2k+1)^{-2}) + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Further, from (37), applied with $m = 2$,

$$f_{n-1}(c+1) = (8/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\gamma_{02}(\alpha) + 2n^{-1}\gamma_{03}(\alpha)) + O(n^{-\frac{3}{2}}),$$

with $\alpha = \pi^2 n / (2c^2)$, especially, from (34),

$$(39) \quad f_{n-1}(c-1) = 8(2\pi n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} e^{-(kc)^2 / (2n)} k^2 + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

As was shown by Feller [2], cf. also Darling and Siegert ([1], p. 638), the slightly weaker result, obtained by replacing in (39) the remainder $O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ by $o(1)$, holds whenever the ζ_n are independently and identically distributed random variables, $E(\zeta_n) = 0, \text{Var}(\zeta_n) = 1$.

REFERENCES

[1] D. A. DARLING AND A. J. F. SIEGERT, "The first passage problem for a continuous Markov process," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 24 (1953), pp. 624-639.
 [2] W. FELLER, "The asymptotic distribution of the range of sums of independent random variables," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 22 (1951), pp. 427-432.
 [3] B. V. GNEDENKO, "Some results on the maximal deviation between two empirical distributions," *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, Vol. 82 (1952), pp. 661-663.
 [4] B. V. GNEDENKO AND V. S. KOROLYUK, "On the maximal deviation of two empirical distribution functions," *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, Vol. 80 (1951), pp. 525-528.
 [5] B. V. GNEDENKO AND E. L. RVAČEVA, "On a problem of comparison of two empirical distributions," *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, Vol. 82 (1952), pp. 513-516.
 [6] F. J. MASSEY, JR., "The distribution of the maximum deviation between two sample cumulative step functions," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 22 (1951), pp. 125-128.