

ON ASYMPTOTIC DISTRIBUTIONS OF ESTIMATES OF PARAMETERS OF STOCHASTIC DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

T. W. ANDERSON

Columbia University and Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences

1. Summary and introduction. Let x_t ($t = 1, 2, \dots$) be defined recursively by

$$(1.1) \quad x_t = \alpha x_{t-1} + u_t, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where x_0 is a constant, $\mathcal{E}u_t = 0$, $\mathcal{E}u_t^2 = \sigma^2$ and $\mathcal{E}u_t u_s = 0$, $t \neq s$. (\mathcal{E} denotes mathematical expectation.) An estimate of α based on x_1, \dots, x_T (which is the maximum likelihood estimate of α if the u 's are normally distributed) is

$$(1.2) \quad \hat{\alpha} = \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_{t-1} \right) / \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_{t-1}^2 \right).$$

If $|\alpha| < 1$, $\sqrt{T}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)$ has a limiting normal distribution with mean 0 under fairly general conditions such as independence of the u 's and uniformly bounded moments of the u 's of order $4 + \epsilon$, for some $\epsilon > 0$. (See [2], Chapter II, for example.) If $|\alpha| > 1$, White [3] has shown $(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)|\alpha|^T / (\alpha^2 - 1)$ has a limiting Cauchy distribution under the assumption that $x_0 = 0$ and the u 's are normally distributed; he has also found the distribution when $x_0 \neq 0$. His results can be easily modified and restated in the following form $(\sum_{t=1}^T x_{t-1}^2)^{1/2}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)$ has a limiting normal distribution if the u 's are normally distributed and if $|\alpha| \neq 1$. Peculiarly, for $|\alpha| = 1$ this statistic has a limiting distribution which is not normal (and is not even symmetric for $x_0 = 0$). One purpose of this paper is to characterize the limiting distributions for $|\alpha| > 1$ when the u 's are not necessarily normally distributed; it will be shown that for $|\alpha| > 1$ the results depend on the distribution of the u 's. Central limit theorems are not applicable.

Secondly, the limiting distribution for $|\alpha| < 1$ will be shown to hold under the assumption that the u 's are independently, identically distributed with finite variance. This was conjectured by White.

2. Asymptotic distributions in the unstable case. Here $|\alpha| > 1$. Let

$$(2.1) \quad A_T = \sum_1^T x_t x_{t-1} - \alpha \sum_1^T x_{t-1}^2 = \sum_1^T u_t x_{t-1},$$

$$(2.2) \quad B_T = \sum_1^T x_{t-1}^2.$$

Then $\hat{\alpha} - \alpha = A_T/B_T$. Note that

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} x_t &= \alpha x_{t-1} + u_t = \alpha(\alpha x_{t-2} + u_{t-1}) + u_t = \dots \\ &= u_t + \alpha u_{t-1} + \dots + \alpha^{t-1} u_1 + \alpha^t x_0. \end{aligned}$$

Received August 25, 1958; revised February 17, 1959.

Let $\beta = 1/\alpha$ and let

$$(2.4) \quad z_t = \beta^{t-2} x_{t-1} = u_1 + \beta u_2 + \dots + \beta^{t-2} u_{t-1} + \alpha x_0.$$

It is easily verified that $E z_t = \alpha x_0$ and $\text{Var } z_t \rightarrow \sigma^2 / (1 - \beta^2)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

THEOREM 2.1.

$$(2.5) \quad \text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\beta^{2(T-2)} B_T - \frac{1}{1 - \beta^2} z_T^2 \right) = 0.$$

PROOF. We shall show that

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \beta^{2(T-2)} B_T - \frac{1}{1 - \beta^2} z_T^2 \\ &= \left[\beta^{2(T-2)} B_T - \frac{1 - \beta^{2T}}{1 - \beta^2} z_T^2 \right] + \left[\frac{1 - \beta^{2T}}{1 - \beta^2} z_T^2 - \frac{1}{1 - \beta^2} z_T^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{T-1} \beta^{2s} (z_{T-s}^2 - z_T^2) - \frac{\beta^{2T}}{1 - \beta^2} z_T^2 \end{aligned}$$

converges stochastically to 0. From (1.1) and (2.4) we find

$$(2.7) \quad z_t = z_{t-1} + \beta^{t-2} u_{t-1},$$

and hence

$$(2.8) \quad z_T = \beta^{T-2} u_{T-1} + \beta^{T-3} u_{T-2} + \dots + \beta^{T-s-1} u_{T-s} + z_{T-s}.$$

We shall use the results that

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} E(z_{T-s} - z_T)^2 &= \sigma^2 [\beta^{2(T-2)} + \dots + \beta^{2(T-s-1)}] \\ &\leq \sigma^2 \frac{\beta^{2(T-s-1)}}{1 - \beta^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} E(z_{T-s} + z_T)^2 &\leq 2Ez_{T-s}^2 + 2Ez_T^2 \\ &\leq 4Ez_T^2 \leq 4 \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \beta^2} + \alpha^2 x_0^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} E \left| \beta^{2(T-2)} B_T - \frac{1 - \beta^{2T}}{1 - \beta^2} z_T^2 \right| &\leq \sum_{s=1}^{T-1} \beta^{2s} E |z_{T-s}^2 - z_T^2| \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{T-1} \beta^{2s} E |(z_{T-s} + z_T)(z_{T-s} - z_T)| \\ &\leq \sum_{s=1}^{T-1} \beta^{2s} [E(z_{T-s} + z_T)^2 E(z_{T-s} - z_T)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq 2 \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \beta^2} + \alpha^2 x_0^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{(1 - \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{s=1}^{T-1} |\beta|^{T+s-1} \\ &\leq 2 \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \beta^2} + \alpha^2 x_0^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{(1 - \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{|\beta|^T}{1 - |\beta|}. \end{aligned}$$

By Tchebycheff's inequality

$$(2.12) \quad \Pr \left\{ \left| \beta^{2(T-2)} B_T - \frac{1 - \beta^{2T}}{1 - \beta^2} z_T^2 \right| > \epsilon \right\} \leq \frac{K}{\epsilon} |\beta|^T,$$

where K is a constant, and for T sufficiently large this is arbitrarily small. Since

$$(2.13) \quad \epsilon \frac{\beta^{2T}}{1 - \beta^2} z_T^2 \leq \beta^{2T} C$$

for C a suitable positive constant, the term in (2.6) converges in probability to 0 and the theorem follows.

The convergence in (2.5) is also with probability 1. The sum of (2.12) for $T = 1, 2, \dots$ converges and similarly for (2.13). Hence, by the Borel-Cantelli Lemma (2.6) converges to 0 with probability 1.

It should be observed that z_T will have a limiting distribution. (In fact $\sum_1^\infty \beta^{(t-1)} u_t$ converges in the mean and with probability 1.) It will also be noted that $\beta^{2(T-2)} B_T$ is in the limit a nondegenerate random variable; if $\beta^{2(T-2)}$ is replaced by a function of T that decreases faster, then the resulting random variable converges stochastically to 0.

Let

$$(2.14) \quad y_T = u_T + \beta u_{T-1} + \dots + \beta^{T-2} u_2 + \beta^{T-1} u_1.$$

THEOREM 2.2.

$$(2.15) \quad \text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} (\beta^{T-2} A_T - y_T z_T) = 0.$$

PROOF. We have

$$\beta^{T-2} A_T - y_T z_T = \sum_{s=1}^{T-1} \beta^s u_{T-s} (z_{T-s} - z_T).$$

Then

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \epsilon |\beta^{T-2} A_T - y_T z_T| &\leq \sum_{s=1}^{T-1} |\beta|^s \epsilon |u_{T-s} (z_{T-s} - z_T)| \\ &\leq \sum_{s=1}^{T-1} |\beta|^s [\epsilon u_{T-s}^2 \epsilon (z_{T-s} - z_T)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sigma^2}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} \sum_{s=1}^{T-1} |\beta|^{T-1} \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} (T - 1) |\beta|^{T-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since (2.16) converges to 0, the Tchebycheff inequality implies the theorem.

Since the sum of (2.16) for $T = 1, 2, \dots$ converges, the Borel-Cantelli lemma implies convergence with probability 1.

It will be noticed that y_T has the same form as z_T except for x_0 and the order

of the u 's is reversed and there is one more term. Under the assumptions we have made y_T does not necessarily have a limiting distribution. For example, u_T makes a not negligible contribution to y_T ; if the u 's are independent and if the sequence of distributions of u_T is wildly fluctuating, y_T will not have a limiting distribution. However, if the u 's are independent and identically distributed, y_T has the same limiting distribution as z_T for $x_0 = 0$. The covariance between y_T and z_T is $(T - 1)\sigma^2\beta^{T-1}$, which converges to 0.

THEOREM 2.3. *If the u 's are independently distributed, and if y_T has a limiting distribution, then (y_T, z_T) has a limiting distribution, say the distribution of (y, z) , and y and z are independent.¹*

PROOF. Let

$$(2.17) \quad z_T^* = \alpha x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{[\frac{1}{2}T]} \beta^{i-1} u_i,$$

$$(2.18) \quad \tilde{z}_T = \sum_{i=[\frac{1}{2}T]+1}^{T-1} \beta^{i-1} u_i,$$

$$(2.19) \quad y_T^* = \sum_{i=[\frac{1}{2}T]+1}^T \beta^{T-i} u_i,$$

$$(2.20) \quad \tilde{y}_T = \sum_{i=1}^{[\frac{1}{2}T]} \beta^{T-i} u_i,$$

where $[\frac{1}{2}T]$ is the largest integer not greater than $\frac{1}{2}T$. Then z_T^* and y_T^* are independently distributed because they involve disjoint sets of u 's. We have

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(z_T - z_T^*)^2 &= \mathcal{E}\tilde{z}_T^2 \\ &= \sigma^2 \sum_{i=[\frac{1}{2}T]+1}^{T-1} \beta^{2(i-1)} \\ &\leq \frac{\sigma^2 \beta^{2[\frac{1}{2}T]}}{1 - \beta^2} \leq \frac{\sigma^2 |\beta|^{T-1}}{1 - \beta^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(y_T - y_T^*)^2 &= \mathcal{E}\tilde{y}_T^2 \\ &= \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^{[\frac{1}{2}T]} \beta^{2(T-i)} \\ &\leq \frac{\sigma^2 \beta^{2(T-[\frac{1}{2}T])}}{1 - \beta^2} \leq \frac{\sigma^2 |\beta|^T}{1 - \beta^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $z_T - z_T^*$ and $y_T - y_T^*$ converge stochastically and with probability 1 to 0 and the theorem follows.

THEOREM 2.4. *If (y_T, z_T) has a limiting distribution, say the distribution of (y, z) then $(\beta^{T-2}A_T, (1 - \beta^2)\beta^{2(T-2)}B_T)$ has a limiting distribution, the distribution of (yz, z^2) .*

¹ This theorem as well as several other points, was suggested by Julius Blum.

THEOREM 2.5. *If (y_T, z_T) has a limiting distribution, the distribution of (y, z) , and if $\Pr\{z = 0\} = 0$, then $[\alpha^T/(\alpha^2 - 1)](\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)$ has as a limiting distribution the distribution of y/z .*

THEOREM 2.6. *If the u 's are independently normally distributed, the limiting distribution of (y_T, z_T) is normal with variances $\sigma^2/(1 - \beta^2)$, correlation 0, $Ey = 0$ and $Ez = \alpha x_0$.*

It will be observed that if the u 's are independent and not all normally distributed, then z_T does not have a limiting normal distribution. For example, u_1 is not negligible; if it is not normal, z is not normal (since a convolution is normal only if the two component distributions are normal).

In the case of y_T , if all the u 's beyond some t are normal and independent, then y_T will have a limiting normal distribution. If the u 's are independently and identically distributed, then y_T has a limiting normal distribution if and only if the u 's are normally distributed. If α is an integer and if the u 's are independently distributed according to a rectangular discrete distribution over $0, 1, \dots, \alpha - 1$, then the limiting distribution of y_T is (continuous) uniform on $(0, \alpha)$. It will be noted that central limit theorems are not applicable here.

THEOREM 2.7. *If the u 's are independently normally distributed and if $x_0 = 0$, $[\alpha^T/(\alpha^2 - 1)](\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)$ has a Cauchy distribution as a limiting distribution.*

THEOREM 2.8. *If the u 's are independently normally distributed $(\sum x_{i-1}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)$ has a limiting normal distribution with mean 0 and variance σ^2 .*

THEOREM 2.9. *If the u 's are independently normally distributed, the limiting moment generating function of $\beta^{T-2}(1 - \beta^2)A_T / \sigma^2$ and $\beta^{2(T-2)}(1 - \beta^2)^2B_T / \sigma^2$ is*

$$(2.23) \quad (1 - U^2 - 2V)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left[\frac{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - 1)x_0^2}{\sigma^2} \frac{U^2 + 2V}{1 - U^2 - 2V} \right].$$

PROOF. We have

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}e^{(Uyz + Vz^2)(1 - \beta^2)/\sigma^2} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \beta^2}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(1 - \beta^2)\{y^2 + (z - \alpha x_0)^2\}/\sigma^2 + (Uyz + Vz^2)(1 - \beta^2)/\sigma^2} dy dz \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \beta^2}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(1 - \beta^2)\{y^2 - 2Uyz + (1 - 2V)z^2 - 2\alpha x_0 z + \alpha^2 x_0^2\}/\sigma^2} dy dz \end{aligned}$$

which is (2.23). This was given by White [3].

Theorem 2.8 permits setting up tests of hypotheses about α and forming confidence intervals for α if the u 's are independently normally distributed. In the case of $|\alpha| < 1$, the result holds without the assumption of normality (see Section 4). It should be emphasized that statistical procedures based on the asymptotic normal distribution of $(\sum x_{i-1}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)$ have wide scope when $|\alpha| < 1$ but when $|\alpha| > 1$ are justified only if the u 's are normal.

If $\alpha = 1$, $x_t = \sum_1^t u_s + x_0$ and the numerator of $\hat{\alpha} - \alpha$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_T &= \sum u_t x_{t-1} \\
 (2.25) \quad &= \sum_{s < t} u_t u_s + \sum u_t x_0 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}[(\sum u_t)^2 - \sum u_t^2] + x_0 \sum u_t.
 \end{aligned}$$

In this case the normalization factor is T^2 . Then

$$(2.26) \quad \frac{1}{T^2} A_T = \frac{1}{2} (\sum u_t / \sqrt{T})^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum u_t^2 / T + x_0 \sum u_t / T.$$

If the u 's are independently and identically distributed, $\sum u_t / T$ converges stochastically to $\mathcal{E}u_t = 0$ and $\sum u_t^2 / T$ converges stochastically to $\mathcal{E}u_t^2 = \sigma^2$, and $\sum u_t / \sqrt{T}$ has a limiting normal distribution with mean 0 and variance σ^2 . Thus the limiting distribution of A_T/T is that of $\frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2$, where x has a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance σ^2 . From this it is clear that A_T multiplied by any nonnegative function of the observations cannot have a limiting normal distribution since

$$(2.27) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Pr \{A_T \leq 0\} = \Pr \{x^2 \leq \sigma^2\}$$

which is not $\frac{1}{2}$. White has observed that if the u 's are independently normally distributed and if $x_0 = 0$, the limiting distribution of

$$(2.28) \quad T(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) = \frac{A_T/T}{B_T/T^2}$$

is that of

$$(2.29) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2(1) - 1}{\int_0^1 x^2(t) dt}$$

where $x(t)$ is the Wiener stochastic process with $\mathcal{E}x(t) = 0$ and $\mathcal{E}x^2(t) = t$, and he has given the limiting characteristic function of $(A_T/T, B_T/T^2)$.

It might be noted that in the case of $|\alpha| > 1$, the condition $\mathcal{E}u_t^2 = \sigma^2$ could be replaced by the condition $\mathcal{E}u_t^2 = \sigma_t^2 < M$ for some M . The results would involve such modifications as replacing $\sigma^2/(1 - \beta^2)$ by

$$\sum_0^\infty \beta^{2s} \sigma_{s+1}^2 [< M / (1 - \beta^2)].$$

3. Asymptotic distributions in the unstable vector case. Let x_t and u_t be p -component column vectors and α a $p \times p$ matrix. Let the process be defined by (1.1), where x_0 is a vector of constants, $\mathcal{E}u_t = 0$, $\mathcal{E}u_t u_t' = \Sigma$ and $\mathcal{E}u_t u_s' = 0$, $t \neq s$. The estimate of α is

$$(3.1) \quad \hat{\alpha} = \sum x_t x_{t-1}' (\sum x_{t-1} x_{t-1}')^{-1}.$$

The process is stable if all the characteristic roots of α are less than 1 in absolute value; we shall consider in this section the case that all p characteristic roots

are greater than 1 in absolute value. The methods for the scalar case can be used here, but the results are more complicated. A more general case would include matrices α with some roots less and some roots greater than 1 in absolute value, but this would be much more involved.

Let

$$(3.2) \quad A_T = \sum x_t x'_{t-1} - \alpha \sum x_{t-1} x'_{t-1},$$

$$(3.3) \quad B_T = \sum x_{t-1} x'_{t-1},$$

$$(3.4) \quad z_T = \alpha^{-(T-2)} x_{T-1} \\ = u_1 + \alpha^{-1} u_2 + \cdots + \alpha^{-(T-2)} u_{T-1} + \alpha x_0,$$

$$(3.5) \quad z = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{-(t-1)} u_t + \alpha x_0,$$

$$(3.6) \quad F_T = z_T z'_T + \alpha^{-1} z_T z'_T \alpha^{-1'} + \cdots + \alpha^{-(T-1)} z_T z'_T \alpha^{-(T-1)'},$$

$$(3.7) \quad G_T = u_T z'_T + u_{T-1} z'_T \alpha^{-1'} + \cdots + u_1 z'_T \alpha^{-(T-1)'}$$

Then

$$(3.8) \quad \hat{\alpha} - \alpha = A_T B_T^{-1}.$$

THEOREM 3.1.

$$(3.9) \quad \text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha^{-(T-2)} B_T \alpha^{-(T-2)'} - F_T) = 0.$$

THEOREM 3.2.

$$(3.10) \quad \text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} (A_T \alpha^{-(T-2)'} - G_T) = 0.$$

These theorems are proved by methods similar to those used for Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. The convergence in each case is also with probability 1.

Suppose that α is a matrix such that there exists a nonsingular matrix c such that

$$(3.11) \quad c \alpha c^{-1} = \lambda,$$

where λ is a diagonal matrix with the characteristic roots of α as the diagonal elements. Then $\alpha = c^{-1} \lambda c$, $\alpha^{-1} = c^{-1} \lambda^{-1} c$, and $\alpha^{-r} = c^{-1} \lambda^{-r} c$. Let $\lambda^{-1} = \gamma$. Then

$$(3.12) \quad F_T = z_T z'_T + c^{-1} \gamma c z_T z'_T c' \gamma c^{-1'} + \cdots \\ + c^{-1} \gamma^{T-1} c z_T z'_T c' \gamma^{T-1} c^{-1'} \\ = c^{-1} (c z_T z'_T c' + \cdots + \gamma^{T-1} c z_T z'_T c' \gamma^{T-1}) c^{-1'}.$$

The i, j th element of the matrix in parentheses is the i, j th element of $c z_T z'_T c'$ multiplied by

$$(3.13) \quad 1 + \gamma_i \gamma_j + \cdots + (\gamma_i \gamma_j)^{T-1} = \frac{1 - (\gamma_i \gamma_j)^T}{1 - \gamma_i \gamma_j},$$

where γ_i is the i th diagonal element of γ . This converges to $1/(1 - \gamma_i\gamma_j)$. Then the i, j th element of cF_Tc' is asymptotically the i, j th element of $czzc'$ divided by $1 - \gamma_i\gamma_j$. Let Γ be the matrix with $1/(1 - \gamma_i\gamma_j)$ as the i, j th element, and let Z_T be a diagonal matrix with i th diagonal element equal to the i th element of cz_T .

COROLLARY 3.1.

$$(3.14) \quad \text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} (F_T - c^{-1}Z_T\Gamma Z_Tc^{-1'}) = 0.$$

Now consider

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} G_T &= u_Tz'_T + u_{T-1}z'_Tc'\gamma c^{-1'} + \cdots + u_1z'_Tc'\gamma^{T-1}c^{-1'} \\ &= (u_Tz'_Tc' + u_{T-1}z'_Tc'\gamma + \cdots + u_1z'_Tc'\gamma^{T-1})c^{-1'}. \end{aligned}$$

The j th column of the matrix in parentheses is the j th element of $z'c'$ times

$$(3.16) \quad u_T + \gamma_j u_{T-1} + \cdots + \gamma_j^{T-1} u_1.$$

Let this be the j th element of a matrix Y_T .

COROLLARY 3.2.

$$(3.17) \quad \text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} (G_T - Y_TZ_Tc^{-1'}) = 0.$$

It should be noted that γ and c do not need to be real, but $c^{-1}Z_T\Gamma Z_Tc^{-1'}$ and $Y_TZ_Tc^{-1'}$ will be real. In fact the diagonal elements of Z_T are the elements of cz_T , where c is complex and z_T consists of real random variables. The elements of Y_T are complex linear combinations of real random variables. When we speak of Y_T having a limiting distribution we mean the set of real random variables has a limiting distribution. (The coefficients of the linear combinations remain fixed.)

THEOREM 3.3. *If the u 's are independently distributed and if Y_T has a limiting distribution, then (Y_T, Z_T) has a limiting distribution, say the distribution of (Y, Z) , and Y and Z are independent.*

THEOREM 3.4. *If (Y_T, Z_T) has a limiting distribution, say the distribution of (Y, Z) , then $(A_T\alpha^{-(T-2)'}, \alpha^{-(T-2)'}B_T\alpha^{-(T-2)'})$ has a limiting distribution, the distribution of $(YZc^{-1'}, c^{-1}Z\Gamma Zc^{-1'})$.*

THEOREM 3.5. *If (Y_T, Z_T) has a limiting distribution, the distribution of (Y, Z) , if the probability is 1 that each diagonal component of Z is different from 0, and if Γ is nonsingular, then $(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)\alpha^{(T-2)}$ has as a limiting distribution the distribution of $Y\Gamma^{-1}Z^{-1}c$.*

It may be noted that Γ is nonsingular if and only if the characteristic roots of α are all different. Since a diagonal component of Z is a linear combination of the components of z , all the diagonal components will be different from 0 with probability 1 if the probability is 0 that the components of z satisfy a linear relation.

THEOREM 3.6. *If the u 's are independently normally distributed the limiting distribution of (Y_T, Z_T) is that of (Y, Z) where Y and Z are composed of linear combinations of two sets of independent normal variables.*

The mean of z is αx_0 and the covariance matrix is

$$(3.18) \quad c^{-1} \left(\frac{\sum_{k,l} c_{ik} \sigma_{kl} c_{jl}}{1 - \gamma_i \gamma_j} \right) c^{-1'}$$

The mean of Y is 0. The covariances are harder to describe. Let w be an arbitrary real p -component vector and let W be the diagonal matrix with the elements of cw as the diagonal elements. Then the covariance matrix of the i th and j th rows of YWc^{-1} is $\sigma_{ij}c^{-1}W\Gamma Wc^{-1'}$.

We can give a kind of analogue of Theorem 2.8. In the scalar case, if the u 's are independently normally distributed, $\alpha^{(T-2)}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)$ and $B_T\alpha^{-2(T-2)}$ have as a limiting distribution, the distribution of y/z and z^2 ; this limiting distribution has the property that the conditional distribution of y/z given z is normal with mean 0 and variance σ^2/z^2 . In the vector case if the u 's are independently normally distributed and the characteristic roots of α are all different, $(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)\alpha^{(T-2)}$ and $\alpha^{-(T-2)}B_T\alpha^{-(T-2)'}$ have as a limiting distribution the distribution of $Y\Gamma^{-1}Z^{-1}c$ and $c^{-1}Z\Gamma Zc^{-1'}$; this has the property that the conditional distribution of $Y\Gamma^{-1}Z^{-1}c$ given Z is normal with mean 0 and covariances $\Sigma \times x(c^{-1}Z\Gamma Zc^{-1'})^{-1}$. This result can be used to justify the usual procedures of testing hypotheses and confidence intervals when the above conditions are satisfied.

The m th order scalar difference equation can be treated by writing it as a special first order vector equation by letting the vector x'_t be made up of the scalars $(x_t, x_{t-1}, \dots, x_{t-m+1})$, and the m th order vector case can be treated similarly.

4. Asymptotic distributions in the stable case. In this section we assume that the u 's are independently and identically distributed and that $|\alpha| < 1$. Then we show that $\sqrt{T}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)$ has a limiting normal distribution. The important feature here is that the variance of u_t is assumed finite, but nothing is assumed about moments of higher order. Diananda [1] proved a result similar to this when $\alpha = 0$.

THEOREM 4.1. *The limiting distribution of A_T/\sqrt{T} is normal with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^4/(1 - \alpha^2)$.*

PROOF.

$$(4.1) \quad A_T = \sum_{t=2}^T u_t u_{t-1} + \alpha \sum_{t=3}^T u_t u_{t-2} + \dots + \alpha^{T-2} u_T u_1 + x_0 \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha^{t-1} u_t$$

The last term has mean 0 and variance $x_0^2\sigma^2(1 - \alpha^{2T})/(1 - \alpha^2)$; this divided by T converges to 0, the random term converges stochastically to 0 and can be neglected. Let

$$(4.2) \quad A_T^* = A_T - x_0 \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha^{t-1} u_t$$

Then A_T^* is a linear combination of terms $u_t u_s, t \neq s$. Each term has mean $E u_t u_s = 0$ and variance $E(u_t u_s)^2 = E u_s^2 u_t^2 = \sigma^4$. Each term is uncorrelated with each other term.

Let

$$(4.3) \quad C_{T,S} = \sum_2^T u_t u_{t-1} + \alpha \sum_3^T u_t u_{t-2} + \cdots + \alpha^S \sum_{t=S+2}^T u_t u_{t-S-1}$$

for $S \leq T - 2$ and let $C_{T,S} = A_T^*$ for $S > T - 2$. Then $A_T^* - C_{T,S}$ has mean 0 and variance bounded by

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{\sigma^4 \alpha^{2(S+1)}}{1 - \alpha^2} [T - (S + 2)].$$

Then $A_T^*/\sqrt{T} - C_{T,S}/\sqrt{T}$ has mean 0 and a variance bounded (uniformly in T) by $\sigma^4 \alpha^{2(S+1)}/(1 - \alpha^2)$. This can be made arbitrarily small by making S sufficiently large. Now let

$$(4.5) \quad C_{T,S}^* = \sum_{s+2}^T [u_t u_{t-1} + \alpha u_t u_{t-2} + \cdots + \alpha^S u_t u_{t-S-1}].$$

The limiting distribution of $C_{T,S}^*/\sqrt{T}$ is the same as of $C_{T,S}/\sqrt{T}$. Let

$$(4.6) \quad y_t = u_t u_{t-1} + \alpha u_t u_{t-2} + \cdots + \alpha^S u_t u_{t-S-1}.$$

Then

$$(4.7) \quad \mathcal{E}y_t^2 = \frac{1 - \alpha^{2(S+1)}}{1 - \alpha^2} \sigma^4,$$

$$(4.8) \quad \mathcal{E}y_t y_s = 0, \quad t \neq s,$$

and y_t is an $(S + 1)$ -dependent sequence. Theorem 4.4 below applies, and hence $C_{T,S}^*/\sqrt{T}$ has a limiting normal distribution with mean 0 and variance (4.7). Theorem 4.5 below completes the proof.

THEOREM 4.2.

$$(4.9) \quad \text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} B_T/T = \sigma^2/(1 - \alpha^2).$$

PROOF.

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} B_T &= \sum_1^T x_{t-1}^2 = x_0^2 + (u_1 + \alpha x_0)^2 + (u_2 + \alpha u_1 + \alpha^2 x_0)^2 \\ &\quad + \cdots + (u_{T-1} + \alpha u_{T-2} + \cdots + \alpha^{T-1} x_0)^2 \\ &= [u_1^2(1 + \alpha^2 + \cdots + \alpha^{2(T-2)}) + \cdots + u_{T-1}^2] \\ &\quad + 2[\alpha(u_2 u_1 + \cdots + u_{T-1} u_{T-2}) + \cdots + \alpha^{T-2} u_{T-1} u_1] \\ &\quad + 2x_0[u_1(\alpha + \alpha^3 + \cdots + \alpha^{2T-3}) + \cdots + \alpha^{T-1} u_{T-1}] \\ &\quad + x_0^2[1 + \alpha^2 + \cdots + \alpha^{2(T-1)}]. \end{aligned}$$

The last term divided by T converges to 0. The next to last term has mean 0 and variance bounded by a constant times T ; when this term is divided by T it converges stochastically to 0. The second bracket has mean 0 and variance

$$(4.11) \quad [\alpha^2(T-2) + \alpha^4(T-3) + \cdots + \alpha^{2(T-2)}]\sigma^4 < T\sigma^4[1 + \alpha^2 + \cdots] = T\sigma^4/(1 - \alpha^2).$$

This term divided by T converges stochastically to 0. Thus B_T/T has the probability limit of the first bracket divided by T . But

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1 - \alpha^2} \sum_1^{T-1} u_i^2 - [u_1^2(1 + \alpha^2 + \cdots + \alpha^{2(T-2)}) + \cdots + u_{T-1}^2] \\ &= u_1^2(\alpha^{2(T-1)} + \alpha^{2T} + \cdots) + \cdots + u_{T-1}^2(\alpha^2 + \alpha^4 + \cdots) \\ &= u_1^2 \frac{\alpha^{2(T-1)}}{1 - \alpha^2} + \cdots + \frac{\alpha^2}{1 - \alpha^2} u_{T-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This is a nonnegative random variable with expected value

$$(4.13) \quad \frac{1}{1 - \alpha^2} [\alpha^2 + \cdots + \alpha^{2(T-1)}]\sigma^2 = \frac{\alpha^2}{1 - \alpha^2} \frac{1 - \alpha^{2(T-1)}}{1 - \alpha^2} \sigma^2,$$

and divided by T converges to 0. Thus

$$(4.14) \quad \text{plim} \frac{B_T}{T} = \text{plim} \frac{\sum_1^{T-1} u_i^2}{(1 - \alpha^2)T} = \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \alpha^2}$$

by the law of large numbers.

THEOREM 4.3. *The limiting distribution of $\sqrt{T}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)$ is normal with mean 0 and variance $1 - \alpha^2$.*

PROOF.

$$(4.15) \quad \sqrt{T}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) = \sqrt{T} \frac{A_T}{B_T} = \frac{A_T/\sqrt{T}}{B_T/T}.$$

This proof exploits the fact that the second-order moments of A_T involve only the second-order moments of the u 's (because A_T only involves products of independent u 's) and that a special central limit theorem applies. The result can easily be extended to the vector case, where the characteristic roots of the matrix α are less than 1 in absolute value. In turn this permits extension to the general-order difference equation (scalar or vector) in the stable case. The case of

$$(4.16) \quad x_t = \alpha x_{t-1} + \gamma + u_t$$

again can be treated this way. However, the case of

$$(4.17) \quad x_t = \alpha x_{t-s} + \gamma z_t + u_t,$$

where z_t is a sequence of fixed variates, will not in general yield to this treatment (unless restrictions are made so that asymptotically z_t washes out); the reason is that in addition to terms like $u_t u_{t-s}$ there will be terms $u_t z_{t-s}$ and these will not be identically distributed.

The following central limit theorem was given by Diananda:

THEOREM 4.4. *Let y_1, y_2, \dots , be a sequence of random variables such that the distribution of $(y_{t+t_1}, y_{t+t_2}, \dots, y_{t+t_n})$ is independent of t for every $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n (t_1 \geq 0)$ and n and such that this collection is independent of $(y_{s+s_1}, y_{s+s_2}, \dots, y_{s+s_p})$ for every $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_p (s_1 \geq 0)$ and p if $s > t + t_n + m$. Assume $\mathcal{E}y_t = 0, \mathcal{E}y_t^2 < \infty$. Then $\sum_1^T y_t/\sqrt{T}$ has a limiting normal distribution with mean 0 and variance*

$$(4.18) \quad \mathcal{E}y_1^2 + 2\mathcal{E}y_1y_2 + \dots + 2\mathcal{E}y_1y_{m+1}.$$

The sequence y_t is called m -dependent. The proof depends upon a theorem proved by Diananda, which is similar to the following:²

THEOREM 4.5. *Let*

$$(4.19) \quad S_T = Z_{kT} + X_{kT}, \quad \begin{matrix} T = 1, 2, \dots, \\ k = 1, 2, \dots, \end{matrix}$$

such that

$$(4.20) \quad \mathcal{E}X_{kT}^2 \leq M_k,$$

$$(4.21) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_k = 0,$$

$$(4.22) \quad \Pr\{Z_{kT} \leq z\} = F_{kT}(z) \rightarrow F_k(z), \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(4.23) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_k(z) = F(z)$$

at every continuity point. Then

$$(4.24) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\{S_T \leq z\} = F(z)$$

at every continuity point of $F(z)$.

The condition on X_{kT} is essentially that it converge stochastically to 0 uniformly in T .

REFERENCES

[1] P. H. DIANANDA, "Some probability limit theorems with statistical applications," *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, Vol. 49 (1953), pp. 239-246.
 [2] T. KOOPMANS, Ed., *Statistical Inference in Dynamic Economic Models*+Cowles Commission Monograph 10, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1950.
 [3] JOHN S. WHITE, "The limiting distribution of the serial correlation coefficient in the explosive case," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 29 (1958), pp. 1188-1197.

² Theorem 4.4 and 4.5 were proved for the present paper before the author was aware of Diananda's results.