

# THE DUAL OF A BALANCED INCOMPLETE BLOCK DESIGN

P. V. RAO

*College of Science, Nagpur, India*

**1. Summary.** Shrikhande [9] and Roy [7] have shown that certain Balanced Incomplete Block Designs (BIBDs) can be dualised to give Partially Balanced Incomplete Block Designs (PBIBDs) with exactly two associate classes. Roy and Laha [8] have obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for the dual of a BIBD to be a PBIBD with two associate classes. In this paper, a general result regarding the dual of a BIBD is established and the results of Shrikhande and Roy are obtained as particular cases. An illustration to show the use of the result when the dual is not a 2-associate PBIBD is also given.

**2. Two Lemmas connecting the parameters of a BIBD.** For the definition of a BIBD the reader may refer to Kempthorne [4]. The following two lemmas will be stated without proof. Lemma 2.1 is due to Connor [2], while Lemma 2.2 is due to Hussain [3].

LEMMA 2.1: *If  $l_{ij}$  is the number of treatments in common with the  $i$ th and the  $j$ th blocks of a BIBD with parameters  $v^*$ ,  $b^*$ ,  $r^*$ ,  $k^*$ ,  $\lambda^*$ ; the following inequalities hold:*

$$(2.1) \quad [2\lambda^*k^* + r^*(r^* - \lambda^* - k^*)]/r^* \geq l_{ij} \geq -(r^* - \lambda^* - k^*).$$

LEMMA 2.2: *If  $n_u$  denotes the number of blocks having  $u - 1$  treatments in common with a chosen initial block of a BIBD with parameters  $v^*$ ,  $b^*$ ,  $r^*$ ,  $k^*$ ,  $\lambda^*$ , and  $t$  is the largest integer contained in  $[2\lambda^*k^* + r^*(r^* - \lambda^* - k^*)]/r^*$ , such that  $t < k + 1$ , the following equalities hold:*

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{u=1}^{t+1} n_u = b^* - 1,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{u=1}^{t+1} (u - 1)n_u = k^*(r^* - 1),$$

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{u=1}^{t+1} (u - 1)(u - 2)n_u = k^*(k^* - 1)(\lambda^* - 1).$$

Note that if (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) admit a unique nonnegative integral solution, then, corresponding to each block of the design, the remaining  $b^* - 1$  blocks may be divided into  $t + 1 = m$  groups such that a block in the  $u$ th group has exactly  $u - 1 = \lambda_u$  ( $u = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) treatments in common with the chosen initial block, there being exactly  $n_u$  blocks in the  $u$ th group.

**3. The definition of a PBIBD.** An incomplete block design is said to be a PBIBD if it satisfies the following conditions:

(3.1) There are  $v$  treatments divided into  $b$  blocks of  $k$  plots each, different treatments being applied to the plots in the same block.

Received March 20, 1959; revised January 22, 1960.



(3.2) Each treatment occurs in exactly  $r$  blocks.

(3.3) There can be established an association relationship between any two treatments satisfying the following conditions:

(3.3a) Two treatments are either 1st, 2nd,  $\dots$   $m$ th associates.

(3.3b) Each treatment has exactly  $n_u$   $u$ th associates ( $u = 1, \dots, m$ ).

(3.3c) Given any two treatments which are  $k$ th associates, the number of treatments which are the  $u$ th associates of the first and  $u'$ th associates of the second is  $P_{uu'}^k$ . Also,  $P_{uu'}^k = P_{u'u}^k$ .

(3.4) Two treatments which are  $u$ th associates will occur together in exactly  $\lambda_u$  ( $u = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) blocks.

For the necessary conditions satisfied by the parameters of a PBIBD the reader is referred to Bose and Nair [1] and Nair and Rao [6].

**4. The dual of a design.** Let  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{b^*}$  and  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{v^*}$  denote the blocks and treatments of a given design,  $D^*$ , in which  $v^*(=b)$  treatments are arranged in  $b^*(=v)$  blocks of  $k^*(=r)$  plots each such that every treatment is replicated  $r^*(=k)$  times. Let  $D$  be a new design with  $v$  treatments and  $b$  blocks constructed by placing the treatment numbered  $i$  in block numbered  $j$  of  $D$ , if in  $D^*$  the block  $B_i$  contains the treatment  $T_j$ . The designs  $D^*$  and  $D$  are said to be the duals of each other. Evidently, in  $D$  each block contains  $k$  plots and each treatment is replicated  $r$  times. Further, if  $N^* = (n_{ij})$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, v^*; j = 1, 2, \dots, b^*$ ), where  $n_{ij}$  denotes the number of times the  $i$ th treatment occurs in the  $j$ th block, is the incidence matrix of  $D^*$ , the incidence matrix of  $D$  is  $(N^*)'$ , where  $(N^*)'$  is the transpose of  $N^*$ . Also the element in the  $i$ th row and the  $j$ th column of the  $v^* \times v^*$  matrix  $(N^*)'N^*$  will be equal to the number of blocks in the dual design  $D$  in which the  $i$ th and the  $j$ th treatments occur together.

**5. The dual of a BIBD.** Consider a BIBD with parameters  $v^*(=b)$ ,  $b^*(=v)$ ,  $r^*(=k)$ ,  $k^*(=r)$ ,  $\lambda^*$ . Let  $N^* = (n_{ij})$  be the incidence matrix. We have, by the well known properties of a BIBD,

$$(5.1) \quad N^*(N^*)' = \lambda^*E_{v^*} + (r^* - \lambda^*)I_{v^*},$$

where  $E_{v^*}$  is a  $v^* \times v^*$  matrix with all elements unity and  $I_{v^*}$  is a  $v^* \times v^*$  identity matrix. Also,

$$(5.2) \quad (N^*)'N^* = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{v^*} n_{ij}n_{ij'} \right) = (\lambda_{jj'}),$$

where, as already observed in the previous section,  $\lambda_{jj'}$  is the number of treatments common to the  $j$ th and the  $j'$ th blocks of the original BIBD, which is also equal to the number of blocks of the dual design in which the  $j$ th and the  $j'$ th treatments occur together. Thus, in the dual design, a pair of treatments can occur together in at most  $t$  blocks, where  $t$  is defined as in Lemma 2.2. Further, if the equations (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) admit a unique integral non-negative solution, in the dual design, corresponding to each treatment, the remaining

$v - 1$  treatments can be divided into  $t + 1 = m$  groups, such that a treatment in the  $u$ th group will occur in exactly  $\lambda_u = u - 1$  ( $u = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) blocks with the initial treatment, and, there will be exactly  $n_u$  treatments in the  $u$ th class. At this point, it may be noted that we do not exclude the possibility of some of the  $n_u$ 's being zero, in which case the exact number of classes will be less than  $m$ . In fact, the total number of groups will be exactly equal to the total number of non-null  $n_u$ 's.

We now proceed to investigate the conditions under which the dual will be a PBIBD. Evidently, if the equations (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) admit a unique integral non-negative solution, then the conditions (3.1), (3.2), (3.3a), (3.3b) and (3.4) are satisfied by the dual design. Hence it remains to see when (3.3c) will also be satisfied.

Define  $m \times v$  matrices  $B_u (u = 1, 2, \dots, m)$  as

$$(5.3) \quad B_u = (b_{jj'}^u) \quad j, j' = 1, 2, \dots, v;$$

where  $b_{jj}^u = 0$  for all  $j$ , and  $b_{jj'}^u = 1$  if  $\lambda_{jj'} = \lambda_u$  and 0 otherwise, for all  $j \neq j'$ .

The matrices  $B_u$  are symmetric, independent, and commutative with respect to multiplication. It is also clear that

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_{s=1}^v b_{is}^u b_{sj}^{u'} = \sum_{s=1}^v b_{si}^u b_{js}^{u'} = C_{ij}^{uu'},$$

which is the number of treatments common to the  $u$ th and  $u'$ th groups of treatments with respect to the treatments numbered  $i$  and  $j$  in the dual design if  $i \neq j$ . It equals  $n_u$  if  $i = j$  and  $u = u'$ , and it equals zero if  $i = j$  and  $u \neq u'$ .

Now consider any block,  $B_i$ , of the original BIBD. There will be  $n_u$  blocks in the design that have exactly  $\lambda_u$  treatments in common with  $B_i$ . Of these  $n_u$  blocks,  $C_{ij}^{uu'}$  blocks will have  $\lambda_{u'}$  treatments in common with the block  $B_j$ . Hence

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{u'=1}^m C_{ij}^{uu'} = n_u \text{ if the blocks } B_i \text{ and } B_j \text{ do not have } \lambda_u \text{ treatments in common,} \\ = n_u - 1 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Now using (5.2) and (5.3), and observing that  $\lambda_{jj} = k^*$ , we have,

$$(5.6) \quad (N^*)'N^* = k^*I_{b^*} + \sum_{u=1}^m \lambda_u B_u,$$

and hence,

$$(5.7) \quad [(N^*)'N^*][(N^*)'N^*] = (N^*)'[N^*(N^*)']N^* \\ = (N^*)'[\lambda^*E_{v^*} + (r^* - \lambda^*)I_{b^*}]N^* \\ = \lambda^*(N^*)'E_{v^*}N^* + (r^* - \lambda^*)(N^*)'N^*.$$

As  $N^*$  is the incidence matrix of a BIBD it is easy to verify that

$$(5.8) \quad (N^*)'E_{v^*}N^* = (k^*)^2 E_{b^*},$$

and that the left hand side of (5.7) can also be expressed as

$$(5.9) \quad (N^*)'N^* \left[ k^* I_{b^*} + \sum_{u=1}^m \lambda_u B_u \right].$$

Hence, using (5.8) and (5.9) and noting that  $\lambda_1 = 0$ , we get from (5.7),

$$k^*(N^*)'N^* + (N^*)'N^* \left( \sum_{u=2}^n \lambda_u B_u \right) = \lambda^*(k^*)^2 E_{b^*} + (r^* - \lambda^*)(N^*)'N^*.$$

Hence, from (5.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^*(k^*)^2 E_{b^*} - k^*(k^* - r^* - \lambda^*) I_{b^*} \\ = (2k^* - r^* + \lambda^*) \sum_{u=2}^m \lambda_u B_u + \left[ \sum_{u=2}^m \lambda_u B_u \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda^*(k^*) E_{b^*} - k^*(r^* - k^* - \lambda^*) I_{b^*} \\ = (2k^* - r^* + \lambda^*) \sum_u \lambda_u B_u + \sum_{u,u'} \lambda_u \lambda_{u'} B_u B_{u'}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the  $(ij)$ th non-diagonal terms on both sides of (5.10),

$$\sum_{u,u'} \lambda_u \lambda_{u'} \sum_s b_{is}^u b_{sj}^{u'} = \lambda^*(k^*)^2 - (2k^* - r^* + \lambda^*) \sum_u \lambda_u b_{ij}^u.$$

Using the notation of (5.4),

$$(5.11) \quad \sum_{u,u'} \lambda_u \lambda_{u'} C_{ij}^{uu'} = \lambda^*(k^*)^2 - (2k^* - r^* + \lambda^*) \sum_u \lambda_u b_{ij}^u.$$

We can divide the set of  $(b^*)^2$  equations (5.11) into  $m$  mutually exclusive sets such that the  $q$ th set ( $q = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) contains all the equations with  $C_{ij}^{uu'}$  for  $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_q$ . The coefficients in the left hand side, and the constant in the right hand side, are same for all the equations in a given set. In fact, the equations in the  $q$ th set will be obtained by giving all the values to  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_q$  in

$$(5.12) \quad \sum_{u,u'} \lambda_u \lambda_{u'} C_{ij}^{uu'} = \lambda^*(k^*)^2 - (2k^* - r^* + \lambda^*) \lambda_q.$$

Thus it is clear that the values of  $C_{ij}^{uu'}$  depend only on  $\lambda_u, \lambda_{u'}$  and  $\lambda_{ij}$ . Hence, by writing  $C_{ij}^{uu'} = P_{uu'}^q$  if  $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_q$ , the equations (5.6) and (5.12) may be rewritten as

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{u',=1}^m P_{uu'}^q &= n_u && \text{if } u \neq q, \\ &= n_u - 1 && \text{if } u = q; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(5.14) \quad \sum_{u,u'} \lambda_u \lambda_{u'} P_{uu'}^q = \lambda^*(k^*)^2 - (2k^* - r^* + \lambda^*) \lambda_q, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Hence, if (5.14) has a unique integral non-negative solution, it follows from (5.4) and (5.13) that the number of treatments common to the  $u$ th group and  $u'$ th

group of two treatments is the same for all treatment pairs which belong to the  $q$ th group with respect to each other. This number is equal to  $P_{uu'}^q$  with  $P_{uu'}^q = P_{u'u}^q$ . Thus we have proved Theorem 5.1.

**THEOREM 5.1:** *The dual of a BIBD with parameters  $v^*(=b)$ ,  $b^*(=v)$ ,  $r^*(=k)$ ,  $k^*(=r)$ ,  $\lambda^*$  is a PBIBD with parameters  $v, b, r, k; \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m; n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m; P_{uu'}^q(u, u', q = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ , where  $m = t + 1$  is defined as in Lemma 2.2, provided the equations (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (5.14) admit unique integral non-negative solution subject to the conditions (5.13).*

**6. Shrikhande's two theorems as particular cases of the Theorem 5.1.**

(6.1) *The case  $\lambda^* = 1$ .* Consider a BIBD with parameters  $v^*(=b)$ ,  $b^*(=v)$ ,  $r^*(=k)$ ,  $k^*(=r)$ ,  $\lambda^* = 1$ . In this case we have  $t = 1$  and the equations (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) reduce to  $n_1 + n_2 = b^* - 1$  and  $n_2 = k^*(r^* - 1)$ , giving the unique non-negative solution

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 &= (v - 1) - r(k - 1), \\ n_2 &= r(k - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $\lambda_1 = 0$  and  $\lambda_2 = 1$ , we can solve the equations (5.14) uniquely to get the solution  $P_{22}^1 = r^2, P_{22}^2 = r^2 - 2r + k - 1 = (r - 1)^2 + (k - 2)$ . The other parameters can be easily obtained by using condition (5.13).

Thus we have proved Shrikhande's [9] Theorem 1 that the dual of a BIBD with parameters  $v^* = rk - k + 1, b^* = k(rk - k + 1)/r, r^* = k, k^* = r, \lambda^* = 1$  is a PBIBD with parameters  $v = k(rk - k + 1)/r, b = rk - k + 1, r = r, k = k, \lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = 1; n_1 = r(k - 1), n_2 = (k - r)(r - 1)(k - 1)/r;$

$$\begin{aligned} p_{uu'}^1 &= \begin{bmatrix} (k - r)^2 + 2(r - 1) - k(k - 1)/r & r(k - r - 1) \\ r(k - r - 1) & r^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ p_{uu'}^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} (r - 1)(k - r)(k - r - 1)/r & (r - 1)(k - r) \\ (r - 1)(k - r) & (k - 2) + (r - 1)^2 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

(6.2) *The case  $\lambda^* = 2$ .* It can be easily seen that, if we exclude the solutions in which the same block is repeated, for all designs with  $\lambda^* = 2$  and  $r \leq 10$ , we must have  $t = 2$ . In this case the equations (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) will have the unique solution given by

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 &= (b^* - 1) - k^*(r^* - k^*) - k^*(k^* - 1)/2, \\ n_2 &= k^*(r^* - k^*), \\ n_3 &= k^*(k^* - 1)/2. \end{aligned}$$

But, in general, equations (5.14) will not have a unique solution. However, if we consider the particular case  $n_1 = 0$ , i.e. when  $r^* = k^* + 2$ , the equations (5.14), when  $q = 3$ , reduce to  $P_{22}^3 + 4(P_{23}^3 + P_{33}^3) = 2k^*(k^* - 1)$ . Hence, using (5.13), we get,  $P_{22}^3 = 2k^*(k^* - 1) - 4(n_3 - 1) = 4$ . Similarly, the other parameters may be found. Hence we have proved Theorem 3 of Shrikhande [6]

that the dual of a BIBD with parameters

$$v^* = \binom{k-1}{2}, \quad b^* = \binom{k}{2}, \quad r^* = k, \quad k^* = k-2, \quad \lambda^* = 2,$$

is a PBIBD with parameters

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \binom{k}{2}, & b &= \binom{k-2}{2}, & r &= k-2, & k &= k; \\ \lambda_1 &= 1, & \lambda_2 &= 2; & n_1 &= 2(k-2), & n_2 &= \binom{k-2}{2}; \\ P_{uu'}^1 &= \begin{bmatrix} k-2 & k-3 \\ k-3 & \binom{k-3}{2} \end{bmatrix}; & P_{uu'}^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2(k-4) \\ 2(k-4) & \binom{k-4}{2} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Roy's [7] Theorem 3, regarding the dual of an affine resolvable BIBD, can be proved in a similar way by using Theorem 5.1 of this paper.

#### 7. Application of Theorem 5.1 when the solution of the equations is not unique.

When the solution of the equations (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (5.14) is not unique, Theorem 5.1 will not give complete information about the dual. However, if the structure of the original BIBD is known, Theorem 5.1 can be used to simplify the investigation about the properties of the dual. As an illustration, we consider the dual of a BIBD with parameters  $v^* = 16$ ,  $b^* = 24$ ,  $r^* = 9$ ,  $k^* = 6$ ,  $\lambda^* = 3$ . A plan of this design is given by Mann [5]. He constructed it by the process of residuation from the symmetric BIBD with parameters  $v^* = b^* = 25$ ,  $r^* = k^* = 9$ ,  $\lambda^* = 3$ . We shall denote Mann's design by  $D^*$ .

Since any two blocks of a symmetric BIBD must have  $\lambda^*$  treatments in common, any two blocks of the design  $D^*$  cannot have more than three treatments in common. Hence we must have  $n_5 = n_6 = n_7 = 0$ . Thus the equations (2.2), (2.3), and (2.4) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 &= 5 - n_4, \\ n_2 &= 3(n_4 - 4), \\ n_3 &= 3(10 - n_4). \end{aligned}$$

From inspection of Mann's plan we can see that no two blocks of the design  $D^*$  have exactly one treatment in common. This gives the unique solution,  $n_1 = 1$ ,  $n_2 = 0$ ,  $n_3 = 18$ ,  $n_4 = 4$ . For the sake of simplicity, we shall write  $n_1, n_2, n_3$  instead of  $n_1, n_3, n_4$  and make corresponding changes in  $P_{uu'}^q$ . Now, as  $n_1 = 1$  and  $P_{11}^1 + P_{12}^1 + P_{13}^1 = n_1 - 1 = 0$ , we must have  $P_{11}^1 = P_{12}^1 = P_{13}^1 = 0$ . Therefore, the equations (5.14), when  $q = 1$ , may be solved uniquely to get the values of  $P_{uu'}^1(u, u' = 2, 3)$ . Again, if the dual of the design  $D^*$  is a PBIBD, then  $P_{12}^2$  and  $P_{13}^3$  must both be unique and equal to  $(n_1/n_2)P_{22}^1$  and  $(n_1/n_3)P_{33}^1$  respectively. It can be verified that, for the design  $D^*$ , the values of  $P_{12}^2$  and  $P_{13}^3$  satisfy

these conditions and are both equal to 1. Hence, as  $n_1 = 1$ , it follows from (5.13) that  $P_{11}^2 = P_{13}^2 = P_{11}^3 = P_{12}^3 = 0$ . It is now easy to see that the equations (5.14) will have the unique solution

$$P_{uu'}^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 18 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{uu'}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 12 & 4 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{uu'}^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 18 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence the dual of the design  $D^*$  is a PBIBD with the parameters  $v = 24$ ,  $b = 16$ ,  $r = 6$ ,  $k = 9$ ;  $\lambda_1 = 0$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 2$ ,  $\lambda_3 = 3$ ;  $n_1 = 1$ ,  $n_2 = 18$ ,  $n_3 = 4$ ;  $P_{uu'}^q(u, u', q = 1, 2, 3)$ .

Roy and Laha [8] have already pointed out that this PBIBD may be obtained as the dual of a BIBD. However, they have not stated how they arrived at this conclusion.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. C. BOSE AND K. R. NAIR, "Partially balanced incomplete block designs," *Sankhyā* Vol. 4 (1939), pp. 337-373.
- [2] W. S. CONNOR, "On the structure of balanced incomplete block design," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 23 (1952), pp. 57-71.
- [3] Q. M. HUSSAIN, "Structure of some incomplete block designs," *Sankhyā*, Vol. 8 (1948) pp. 381-383.
- [4] O. KEMPTHORNE, *The Design and Analysis of Experiments*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1952.
- [5] H. B. MANN, *Analysis and Design of Experiments*, Dover Pubs., New York, 1949.
- [6] K. R. NAIR AND C. R. RAO, "A note on partially balanced incomplete block designs," *Science and Culture*, Vol. 7 (1942), p. 568.
- [7] PURNENDU MOHAN ROY, "On the method of inversion in the construction of partially balanced incomplete block designs from the corresponding b.i.b. designs," *Sankhyā* Vol. 14 (1954), pp. 39-52.
- [8] J. ROY AND R. G. LAHA, "Classification and analysis of linked block designs," *Sankhyā* Vol. 17 (1956), pp. 115-132.
- [9] S. S. SHRIKHANDE, "On the dual of some balanced incomplete block designs," *Biometrics* Vol. 8 (1952), pp. 66-71.