

DISTANCES OF PROBABILITY MEASURES AND RANDOM VARIABLES

BY R. M. DUDLEY¹

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

1. Introduction. Let (S, d) be a separable metric space. Let $\mathcal{P}(S)$ be the set of Borel probability measures on S . $\mathcal{C}(S)$ denotes the Banach space of bounded continuous real-valued functions on S , with norm

$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup \{|f(x)| : x \in S\}.$$

On $\mathcal{P}(S)$ we put the usual weak-star topology TW^* , the weakest such that

$$P \rightarrow \int f dP, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}(S)$$

is continuous for each $f \in \mathcal{C}(S)$.

It is known ([8], [11], [1]) that TW^* on $\mathcal{P}(S)$ is metrizable. The main purpose of this paper is to discuss and compare various metrics and uniformities on $\mathcal{P}(S)$ which yield the topology TW^* .

For S complete, V. Strassen [10] proved the striking and important result that if $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(S)$, the Prokhorov distance $\rho(\mu, \nu)$ is exactly the minimum distance "in probability" between random variables distributed according to μ and ν . Theorems 1 and 2 of this paper extend Strassen's result to the case where S is measurable in its completion, and, with "minimum" replaced by "infimum", to an arbitrary separable metric space S . We use the finite combinatorial "marriage lemma" at the crucial step in the proof rather than the separation of convex sets (Hahn-Banach theorem) as in [10]. This offers the possibility of a constructive method of finding random variables as close as possible with the given distributions.

For S complete, V. Skorokhod ([9], Theorem 3.1.1, p. 281) proved the related result that if $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu_0$ for TW^* there exist random variables X_n with distributions μ_n such that $X_n \rightarrow X_0$ almost surely. This is proved in Section 3 below for a general separable S . Note that it is not sufficient to establish consistent finite-dimensional joint distributions for the X_n ; the Kolmogorov existence theorem for stochastic processes is not available in this generality. Instead we construct the joint distribution of $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ out of suitable infinite Cartesian product measures.

When S is the real line R , various special constructions involving distribution and characteristic functions are known. In Section 4, we compare some of these uniformities on $\mathcal{P}(R)$.

2. Strassen's theorem. The metric of Prokhorov [8] is defined as follows. For any $x \in S$ and $T \subset S$ let

$$d(x, T) = \inf (d(x, y) : y \in T),$$

Received 11 January 1968.

¹ Fellow of the A. P. Sloan Foundation.

and for $\delta \geq 0$ let

$$T^\delta = \{x \in S: d(x, T) < \delta\},$$

$$T^{\delta 1} = \{x \in S: d(x, T) \leq \delta\}.$$

Given P and Q in $\mathcal{O}(S)$ let

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma(P, Q) &= \inf (\epsilon > 0: P(F) \leq Q(F^\epsilon) + \epsilon \text{ for all closed } F \subset S), \\ \rho(P, Q) &= \max (\sigma(P, Q), \sigma(Q, P)). \end{aligned}$$

Then ρ is a metric and metrizes TW^* on $\mathcal{O}(S)$ (this was proved in [8], Section 1.4, for S complete and is established for general separable S by results toward the end of this section).

We may replace F^ϵ by $F^{\epsilon 1}$ in the definition of σ without changing its value. Also we may replace "all closed F " by "all Borel sets B " since if F is the closure of B , $F^\epsilon = B^\epsilon$ and $F^{\epsilon 1} = B^{\epsilon 1}$.

PROPOSITION 1 (known to Strassen [10]). *If $P, Q \in \mathcal{O}(S)$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$, then $P(F) \leq Q(F^\alpha) + \beta$ for all closed F if and only if the same conditions hold with P and Q interchanged. Thus $\sigma(P, Q) = \sigma(Q, P) = \rho(P, Q)$.*

PROOF. Suppose $P(F) \leq Q(F^\alpha) + \beta$ for all closed F and let T be closed. Then T^α is open,

$$T \subset (S \sim (S \sim T^\alpha)^\alpha), \text{ and}$$

$$P(S \sim T^\alpha) \leq Q((S \sim T^\alpha)^\alpha) + \beta, \text{ so}$$

$$Q(T) \leq Q(S \sim (S \sim T^\alpha)^\alpha) \leq P(T^\alpha) + \beta.$$

The conclusions follow.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \text{Pr})$ be a probability space and let $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, S)$ be the set of S -valued random variables over Ω , i.e. functions from Ω to S , measurable from \mathcal{B} to the Borel σ -algebra in S , modulo functions vanishing with probability 1.

Then the natural topology of convergence in probability in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, S)$ is metrized by the metric

$$d_{\text{Pr}}(f, g) = \inf (\epsilon > 0: \text{Pr} (d(f(\omega), g(\omega)) \geq \epsilon) < \epsilon).$$

Now $f \times g: \omega \rightarrow (f(\omega), g(\omega))$ maps Ω measurably into $S \times S$ and defines an element $\text{Pr} \circ (f \times g)^{-1}$ of $\mathcal{O}(S \times S)$. On $S \times S$ let π_1 and π_2 be the natural projections onto S :

$$\pi_1(x, y) \equiv x, \pi_2(x, y) \equiv y.$$

THEOREM 1. *Let S be a separable metric space, $P, Q \in \mathcal{O}(S)$, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(I) $P(T) \leq Q(T^{\alpha 1}) + \beta$ for all closed $T \subset S$;

(II) For any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a μ in $\mathcal{O}(S \times S)$ with $\mu \circ \pi_1^{-1} = P$, $\mu \circ \pi_2^{-1} = Q$, and $\mu(d(x, y) > \alpha + \epsilon) \leq \beta + \epsilon$.

PROOF. First assume (II). Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ and closed $T \subset S$, $P(T) \leq Q(T^{\alpha+\epsilon}) + \beta + \epsilon$. Letting $\epsilon \downarrow 0$, this yields (I).

Conversely, assume (I). Given $\epsilon > 0$, let $\gamma = \epsilon/9$.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a dense sequence in S . For any $x \in S$ let $f(x) = x_n$ for the least n such that $d(x, x_n) < \gamma$. Let $P_\gamma = P \circ f^{-1}, Q_\gamma = Q \circ f^{-1}$. Let $H_n = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ and choose n so that

$$\min (P_\gamma(H_{n-1}), Q_\gamma(H_{n-1})) > 1 - \gamma.$$

Then choose an integer m so that $n < m\gamma$. Let $P' \in \mathcal{P}(H_n)$ be such that for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1, mP'(x_i)$ is the largest integer $\leq mP_\gamma(x_i)$. Likewise construct Q' from Q_γ . Then for any set $T \subset S$,

$$\max (|(Q' - Q_\gamma)(T)|, |(P' - P_\gamma)(T)|) \leq 2\gamma,$$

$$\begin{aligned} P'(T) &\leq P_\gamma(T) + 2\gamma \leq P(T^\gamma) + 2\gamma \leq Q(T^{\gamma+\alpha}) + 2\gamma + \beta \\ &\leq Q_\gamma(T^{2\gamma+\alpha}) + 2\gamma + \beta \leq Q'(T^{2\gamma+\alpha}) + 4\gamma + \beta, \end{aligned}$$

$$P'(T) \leq Q'(T^{2\gamma+\alpha}) + r/m,$$

where r is the largest integer $\leq m(4\gamma + \beta)$.

Let I be the unit interval $[0, 1]$ with Lebesgue measure λ . On the Cartesian product $S \times I$ we form the product measures $P \times \lambda$ and $Q \times \lambda$. Let X be the natural projection of $S \times I$ on S .

For $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ we select measurable subsets E_i and F_i of $(f \circ X)^{-1}(x_i)$ such that

$$(P \times \lambda)(E_i) = P'(x_i), \quad (Q \times \lambda)(F_i) = Q'(x_i).$$

Let

$$E_n = (S \times I) \sim (E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_{n-1}),$$

$$F_n = (S \times I) \sim (F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_{n-1}).$$

We divide each E_i into $mP'(x_i)$ sets E_{ij} with $(P \times \lambda)(E_{ij}) = 1/m$; likewise each F_i into $mQ'(x_i)$ sets F_{ij} with $(Q \times \lambda)(F_{ij}) = 1/m$. We call the E_{ij} "boys" and the F_{ij} "girls". For ω in E_{ij} let $b(\omega) = x_i$; we say the boy E_{ij} "lives at" x_i . Likewise on F_{ij} let $g(\omega) = x_i$. Let B (resp. G) be the set of boys (resp. girls) so far defined, m of each. Let U (resp. V) be a new disjoint set of r elements called boys (resp. girls).

We say a boy b knows a girl g if they live at points less than $2\gamma + \alpha$ apart or if $b \in U$ or $g \in V$. Then for any set $A \subset B$, with k members, living on a set $T \subset H_n$,

$$k \leq mP'(T) \leq mQ'(T^{2\gamma+\alpha}) + r$$

\leq the numbers of girls known by the k boys.

Thus any set of boys in $B \cup U$ knows at least as many girls. Hence by the marriage lemma (Philip Hall [5]; cf. also [4], p. 60) there is a function M from $B \cup U$ onto $G \cup V$ such that b knows $M(b)$ for each b . Hence there is a function h from B onto G such that b knows $h(b)$ except for at most r boys in B .

Now for each boy $b = E_{ij}$, let

$$p_b(A) = (P \times \lambda)(A \cap b), \quad q_b(A) = (Q \times \lambda)(A \cap h(b))$$

for any measurable set $A \subset S \times I$. Let μ_b be the product measure

$$m(p_b \circ X^{-1}) \times (q_b \circ X^{-1}) \text{ on } S \times S, \text{ and } \sum_{b \in B} \mu_b = \mu.$$

Then since the $b \in B$ are disjoint with union $S \times I$, as are the $h(b)$, and $p_b(S \times I) = q_b(S \times I) = 1/m$, we have $\mu \circ \pi_1^{-1} = P$ and $\mu \circ \pi_2^{-1} = Q$.

All but at most $2m\gamma$ of the boys in B are subsets each of some $(f \circ X)^{-1}(x_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, all but at most r of them know $h(b)$, and likewise for the girls in G . Thus except for at most $4m\gamma + r$ of the boys b in B , the following three statements all hold:

$$\begin{aligned} b &\subset (f \circ X)^{-1}(x_i) \text{ for some } i, \\ h(b) &\subset (f \circ X)^{-1}(x_j) \text{ for some } j, \end{aligned}$$

and $d(x_i, x_j) < 2\gamma + \alpha$.

Thus

$$\mu(d(x, y) > \alpha + \epsilon) = \sum_b \mu_b(d(x, y) > \alpha + \epsilon) < 4\gamma + r/m < 8\gamma + \beta < \beta + \epsilon.$$

This completes the proof.

A separable metric space (S, d) is called *inner regular* if for every Borel probability measure ν on S and Borel set $A \subset S$,

$$\nu(A) = \sup \{ \nu(K) : K \subset A, K \text{ compact} \}.$$

Then S is inner regular if it is complete, or a Borel subset of its completion \bar{S} , or if (and only if) it is P -measurable for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(\bar{S})$ (Varadarajan [11], b, p. 224).

THEOREM 2. *If in addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 1 S is inner regular, then (I) is equivalent to*

(II') *There is a μ in $\mathcal{P}(S \times S)$ with*

$$\mu \circ \pi_1^{-1} = P, \quad \mu \circ \pi_2^{-1} = Q, \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(d(x, y) > \alpha) \leq \beta.$$

PROOF. Clearly (II') \Rightarrow (II) \Rightarrow (I). Assuming (I) let $\epsilon = \epsilon_k \downarrow 0$ in (II) and let μ_k be corresponding measures on $S \times S$. For any $\delta > 0$ there is a compact $K \subset S$ such that

$$P(S \sim K) < \delta/2, \quad Q(S \sim K) < \delta/2,$$

so

$$\mu_k((S \times S) \sim (K \times K)) < \delta.$$

Thus the sequence $\{\mu_k\}$ is "tight" and has a TW^* -convergent sub-sequence (Varadarajan [11], Appendix, p. 223, Theorem 2; Part II, p. 202, Theorem 27). Thus we may assume $\mu_k \rightarrow \mu$ (TW^*) for some μ in $\mathcal{P}(S)$. Then $\mu \circ \pi_1^{-1} = P$,

$\mu \circ \pi_2^{-1} = Q$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(d(x, y) > \alpha) &= \lim_{c \downarrow 0} \mu(d(x, y) > c + \alpha) \\ &\leq \lim_{c \downarrow 0} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_k(d(x, y) > c + \alpha) \quad ([8], \text{Theorem 1.2,}) \\ &\leq \liminf (\beta + \epsilon_k) = \beta. \end{aligned} \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

We shall see in a moment that Theorem 2 cannot be proved under the hypotheses of Theorem 1 only. The following holds by definition of ρ , Proposition 1, and a passage to the limit:

COROLLARY 1. *Under the hypotheses of Theorems 1 or 2, (I) holds (hence (II) or (II') respectively) when*

$$\alpha = \beta = \rho(P, Q).$$

In Theorems 1 and 2, μ depends on α and β . Now (I) will hold for different pairs (α, β) yet it may be impossible to obtain (II) for two different pairs simultaneously. For example let $S = R$, $P(0) = P(\frac{3}{2}) = \frac{1}{2} = Q(1) = Q(\frac{5}{2})$. Then (I) holds for $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}$ and for $\alpha = 1, \beta = 0$. If μ satisfied (II) for both these pairs then $\mu(x = \frac{3}{2}, y = 1) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mu(x = 0, y = 1) = \mu(x = \frac{3}{2}, y = \frac{5}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}$, a contradiction.

Note that Theorem 1 yields an independent proof of Proposition 1.

Now we give an example showing that the hypothesis of inner regularity cannot simply be dropped from Theorem 2. Let λ be Lebesgue measure on the real line. Then there is a subset A of the interval $[0, 3]$ whose outer measure $\lambda^*(A)$ is 3, and such that A and $A + 1$ are disjoint (Halmos [6], Theorem E, p. 70). (Then A is not Lebesgue measurable and hence not inner regular.) Let $S = A$ and for any Borel set B in S let

$$P(B) = \lambda^*(B \cap [0, 2]/2),$$

$$Q(B) = \lambda^*(B \cap [1, 3])/2.$$

Then $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ ([6], p. 75 Theorem A), and for any Borel set B in S , $P(B) \leq Q(B^1)$. Suppose

$$\mu \in \mathcal{P}(S \times S), \quad \mu \circ \pi_1^{-1} = P, \quad \mu \circ \pi_2^{-1} = Q, \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(|x - y| > 1) = 0.$$

Then $y \leq x + 1$ almost surely, and

$$\int y \, d\mu = 2 = \int x \, d\mu + 1 = \int (x + 1) \, d\mu, \quad \text{so } y = x + 1$$

almost surely, contradicting disjointness of A and $A + 1$.

We shall use Theorem 1 to compare ρ with another metrization of $TW^*[1]$. Let $BL(S, d)$ denote the set of all bounded real-valued functions f on S which are Lipschitzian, i.e.

$$\|f\|_L \equiv \sup_{x \neq y} |f(x) - f(y)|/d(x, y) < \infty.$$

We let $\|f\|_{BL} = \|f\|_\infty + \|f\|_L$. (The use of Lipschitzian functions has been

suggested in the excellent survey by Fortet [2], p. 191, and the boundedness assumption assures integrability for each probability measure. Cf. also Fortet and Mourier [2a].)

Now $(BL(S, d), \|\cdot\|_{BL})$ is a Banach space. If

$$\|\mu\|_{BL}^* = \sup \{ |\int f d\mu| : \|f\|_{BL} \leq 1 \}$$

then the metric $\|\mu - \nu\|_{BL}^*$ metrizes TW^* on $\mathcal{O}(S)$ ([1], Theorems 6, 8, and 18).

PROPOSITION 2. *If the hypotheses of Theorem 1 and (I) hold then $\|P - Q\|_{BL}^* \leq 2 \max(\alpha, \beta)$.*

PROOF. By (II), given $\epsilon > 0$ we take random variables X with distribution P and Y with distribution Q such that

$$P(d(X, Y) > \alpha + \epsilon) \leq \beta + \epsilon.$$

Then for any f in $BL(S, d)$,

$$|\int f d(P - Q)| = |E(f(X) - f(Y))| \leq (\alpha + \epsilon)\|f\|_L + 2(\beta + \epsilon)\|f\|_\infty.$$

Letting $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ we get the desired conclusion.

COROLLARY 2. *For S separable metric and $P, Q \in \mathcal{O}(S)$,*

$$\|P - Q\|_{BL}^* \leq 2\rho(P, Q).$$

PROPOSITION 3. *If $P, Q \in \mathcal{O}(S)$, F is a closed set in the metric space S , $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta > 0$, and $P(F) > Q(F^\beta) + \alpha$, then*

$$\|P - Q\|_{BL}^* \geq 2\alpha\beta/(2 + \beta).$$

PROOF. We define a function f in $BL(S)$ such that $f = 1$ on F , $f = -1$ on $S \sim F^\beta$, $\|f\|_\infty = 1$, and $\|f\|_{BL} \leq 1 + 2/\beta$ ([1], Lemma 5)². Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + 2/\beta)\|P - Q\|_{BL}^* &\geq \int f d(P - Q) \\ &= \int (f + 1) d(P - Q) \geq 2(P(F) - Q(F^\beta)) \geq 2\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows.

Now note that

$$f(x) \equiv 2x^2/(2 + x) = 2/[2/x^2 + 1/x]$$

is an increasing function of x for $x > 0$. Thus if $x \geq 0$, $0 \leq f(x) \leq \frac{2}{3}$ if and only if $x \leq 1$, and for $0 \leq x \leq 1$, $2x^2/3 \leq f(x)$.

COROLLARY 3. *For S metric and $P, Q \in \mathcal{O}(S)$, $f(\rho(P, Q)) \leq \|P - Q\|_{BL}^*$. Thus if $\rho(P, Q) \leq 1$ or $\|P - Q\|_{BL}^* \leq \frac{2}{3}$,*

$$\|P - Q\|_{BL}^* \geq \frac{2}{3}\rho(P, Q)^2, \quad \rho(P, Q) \leq (\frac{3}{2}\|P - Q\|_{BL}^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Corollaries 2 and 3 together imply that if S is separable (metric), $\|\cdot\|_{BL}^*$

² The extension of a Lipschitzian function f from $A \subset S$ to S without increasing $\|f\|_L$ was reportedly first shown by Banach (unpublished); cf. also McShane, E. J., "Extension of range of functions," *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **40** (1934) 837-842.

and ρ define the same uniformity on $\mathcal{P}(S)$ (but this is not the weak-star uniformity, defined by all pseudo-metrics $|\int f d(P - Q)|, f \in \mathcal{C}(S)$, which indeed is not metrizable, unless S is compact ([1], Theorem 13)).

Here are examples showing that the inequalities in Corollaries 2 and 3 can be improved at most by a factor of 2. Let $d(p, q) = 1/n$, and let μ be a point mass 1 at p , and ν at q . Then

$$\rho(\mu, \nu) = 1/n, \quad \|\mu - \nu\|_{BL}^* = 2/(2n + 1),$$

and the two distances are asymptotic as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand let

$$\sigma(p) = \sigma(q) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \tau(p) = \frac{1}{2} + 1/n, \quad \tau(q) = \frac{1}{2} - 1/n.$$

Then $\rho(\sigma, \tau) = 1/n, \|\sigma - \tau\|_{BL}^* = \|\mu - \nu\|_{BL}^*/n$, asymptotic to $1/n^2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Almost sure convergence. A set A in a topological space S is called a *continuity set* of a measure $\mu \geq 0$ if the boundary of A has μ -measure 0. If S is metrizable and $P_n \rightarrow P_0$ for TW^* in $\mathcal{P}(S)$, then $P_n(A) \rightarrow P_0(A)$ for every continuity set A of P_0 ([11], Theorem 2(IV), p. 182). The continuity sets of P_0 form an algebra ([8], Lemma 1.1) the proof does not use completeness of S .

Given $x \in S$, the balls

$$\{y \in S: d(x, y) < \epsilon\}$$

are continuity sets of P_0 except for at most countably many values of ϵ . Thus if S is separable, given $\delta > 0$ we can find finitely many disjoint continuity sets of P_0 , each of diameter less than δ , and with total P_0 -measure at least $1 - \delta$ (cf. [9], p. 281).

THEOREM 3. *Let S be a separable metric space, $P_n \in \mathcal{P}(S), n = 0, 1, \dots$, and $P_n \rightarrow P_0$ weak-star. Then there is a probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$ with S -valued random variables $X_n, X_n \rightarrow X_0$ almost surely, and $\mu \circ X_n^{-1} \equiv P_n$.*

PROOF. For each $k = 1, 2, \dots$, we take finitely many disjoint continuity sets of P_0 , called $A(k, j), j = 1, 2, \dots, J_k$, each of diameter less than $1/k$, and satisfying

$$\sum_j P_0(A(k, j)) \geq 1 - 2^{-k}.$$

We may assume each term in the above sum is positive. Then for each k there is an n_k such that for all $n \geq n_k$

$$\sum_j |(P_n - P_0)(A(k, j))| < 2^{-k} \min_j P_0(A(k, j)).$$

We may assume $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$.

Now for each n let S_n be a copy of S and I_n of the unit interval $[0, 1]$ with Lebesgue measure λ_n . Let $\Omega_n = S_n \times I_n$ and let P_n' be the product measure $P_n \times \lambda_n$ on Ω_n . We define countable Cartesian products

$$\Omega_* = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n, \quad \Omega = \Omega_0 \times \Omega_*.$$

Let X_n be the natural projection of Ω onto S_n and π the projection of Ω_n onto S_n for each n .

For each $k, j \leq J_k$, and $n \geq n_k$ we let

$$B(n, k, j) = A(k, j) \times [0, \delta(n, k, j)] \subset \Omega_n,$$

$$C(n, k, j) = A(k, j) \times [0, \gamma(n, k, j)] \subset \Omega_0,$$

choosing δ and γ so that

$$P_n'(B(n, k, j)) = P_0'(C(n, k, j)) = \min(P_n(A(k, j)), P_0(A(k, j))).$$

Then one of δ and γ is 1 and the other is at least $1 - 2^{-k}$. Let $B(n, k, 0) = \Omega_n \sim \bigcup_{j \geq 1} B(n, k, j)$, $C(n, k, 0) = \Omega_0 \sim \bigcup_{j \geq 1} C(n, k, j)$.

Let $n_0 = 1$ and for each n let $k(n)$ be the unique k such that $n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}$.

For each n , Ω_0 is the disjoint union of finitely many sets $E(n, j) = C(n, k(n), j)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, J_{k(n)}$. For $j \geq 1$ the $E(n, j)$ have diameters less than $1/k(n)$, and if also $n \geq n_2$, $P_0(E(n, j)) > 0$. Likewise Ω_n is the disjoint union of finitely many sets

$$D(n, j) = B(n, k(n), j), j = 0, 1, \dots, J_{k(n)}, \text{ with the same properties.}$$

For each n , and x in Ω_0 , let $j(n, x)$ be the j such that $x \in E(n, j)$. Let

$$A = \{x \in \Omega_0 : P_0'(E(n, j(n, x))) > 0 \text{ for all } n\}.$$

Then clearly $P_0'(\Omega_0 \sim A) = 0$. For x in A let $P(n, x)$ be the measure P_n' restricted to measurable subsets of $D(n, j(n, x))$ in Ω_n , then normalized to mass 1 (i.e. divided by $P_0'(E(n, j(n, x)))$). Let μ_x be the product measure

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} P(n, x) \text{ on } \Omega_*$$

(Halmos [6], Section 38, Theorem B, p. 157). Now I claim that for any measurable subset F of Ω_* , $x \rightarrow \mu_x(F)$ is a measurable function on Ω_0 . In fact, for a given n , $P(n, x)$ has only finitely many possible values, each for x in a measurable set, and hence so does

$$\prod_{n=1}^N P(n, x), \quad N \text{ finite.}$$

Thus the claim is true for sets $F = Y_N^{-1}(G)$ where Y_N is the projection of Ω_* on, and G is measurable in,

$$\prod_{n=1}^N \Omega_n.$$

But the algebra of such sets generates the σ -algebra of measurable sets in Ω_* , and the class of sets F for which the claim holds is closed under countable monotone increasing and decreasing limits. Thus the claim holds for all measurable F ([6], Section 6, Theorem B, p. 27).

For any measurable $H \subset \Omega$, and $x \in \Omega_0$, let

$$H_x = \{y : (x, y) \in H\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(H) = \int \mu_x(H_x) dP_0(x).$$

Note that $x \rightarrow \mu_x(H_x)$ is measurable if H is a finite union of measurable "rectangles" $A \times B$, $A \subset \Omega_0$, $B \subset \Omega_*$. Hence by monotone convergence it is measurable for any measurable $H \subset \Omega$, and μ is a countably additive probability

measure on W . The distribution of X_n for μ is

$$[\sum_j P_0'(E(n, j))P(n, x)_{x \in E(n, j)}] \circ \pi^{-1} = P_n' \circ \pi^{-1} = P_n.$$

Since $\sum_k P_0(S \sim \bigcup_j A(k, j)) < \infty$, P_0 -almost every point of S_0 belongs to $\bigcup_j A(k(n), j)$ for all large enough n . Also if $t \in I_0$ and $t < 1$, then $t < \gamma(n, k(n), j)$ for all j if n is large enough. Thus P_0' -almost all x belong to an $E(n, j)$ with $j \geq 1$ for n large enough, and then

$$d(X_0, X_n) \leq 1/k(n) \rightarrow 0$$

so $X_n \rightarrow X_0$. Thus $\mu(X_n \rightarrow X_0) = 1$, q.e.d.

4. The real line R . If $S = R$, the proof of Skorokhod ([9], Theorem 3.1) reduces naturally to the following. Let $P_n \in \mathcal{P}(R)$ and let F_n be their distribution functions

$$F_n(x) = P_n((-\infty, x]).$$

Let Ω be the unit interval $[0, 1]$ with Lebesgue measure λ and for y in Ω let $X_n(y)$ be any x such that $F_n(x) = y$, or $F_n(x^-) \leq y \leq F_n(x)$. X_n is well-defined except for at most countably many values of y and hence is a well-defined random variable. If $P_n \rightarrow P_0$ for TW^* , then $F_n(x) \rightarrow F_0(x)$ whenever F_0 is continuous at x , and $X_n(y) \rightarrow X_0(y)$ except on the possible countable set of y where X_0 is not well-defined. Thus $X_n \rightarrow X$ almost surely. Clearly $\lambda \circ X_n^{-1} \equiv P_n$.

The above method seems unsuited to proving Theorem 1 on R . Let

$$P_n(j) = Q_n(j + 1) = 1/n, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1, P_n, Q_n \in \mathcal{P}(R).$$

$P_n - Q_n \rightarrow 0$ (even in total variation), but if X_n and Y_n are random variables on (Ω, λ) constructed from P_n and Q_n as above, then $X_n + 1 \equiv Y_n$.

For P in $\mathcal{P}(R)$ we introduce the usual characteristic function

$$\hat{P}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixt} dP(X).$$

On $\mathcal{P}(R)$ let UC be the uniformity of uniform convergence of \hat{P} on compact sets, with a base given by the vicinities $\{(P, Q) : |\hat{P}(t) - \hat{Q}(t)| \leq 1/n \text{ whenever } |t| \leq n\}$. Clearly the identity on $\mathcal{P}(R)$ is uniformly continuous from the BL^* (= Prokhorov) uniformity to UC . We do not have uniform continuity in the converse direction, as is shown by the following stronger result:

PROPOSITION 4. *For any $\delta > 0$ there exist $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(R)$ with $\|P - Q\|_{BL}^* \geq 1$ (in fact P concentrated in $x \geq 1$ and Q in $x \leq -1$) and $|\hat{P}(t) - \hat{Q}(t)| < \delta$ for all t .*

PROOF. For each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, let

$$C_n = \sum_{k=1}^n 1/k$$

and let P_n have mass $1/kC_n$ at $k = 1, \dots, n$, with $Q_n(A) \equiv P_n(-A)$. Then clearly $\|P_n - Q_n\|_{BL}^* \geq 1$. Also $C_n|\hat{P}_n(t) - \hat{Q}_n(t)|$ is bounded uniformly in n and t (see e.g. Zygmund [12], volume 1, II, 9, p. 61), so $\hat{P}_n(t) - \hat{Q}_n(t) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in t as $n \rightarrow \infty$, q.e.d.

The central limit theorem is generally proved using characteristic functions, and as long as one considers convergence $P_n \rightarrow P$ for a specific limit P , it is a question of topology rather than uniformity on $\mathcal{O}(R)$. But it is notable, and not surprising given Proposition 4, that in order to prove uniform closeness of n -fold convolutions $P * P * \cdots * P$, $P \in \mathcal{O}(R)$, to infinitely divisible distributions, one does not use characteristic functions (Kolmogorov [7]).

For $P, Q \in \mathcal{O}(R)$, Paul Lévy's metric $\rho_L(P, Q)$ may be defined by replacing, in the definition of Prokhorov's metric ρ , closed sets F by semi-infinite intervals $(-\infty, x]$. Now let $P_n, Q_n \in \mathcal{O}(R)$ where

$$P_n(2j) = Q_n(2j + 1) = 1/n, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then $\rho_L(P_n, Q_n) \equiv 1/n$, while $\|P_n - Q_n\|_{BL}^* \geq \frac{1}{2}$, so the uniformity of Lévy's metric is strictly weaker than that of $\|\cdot\|_{BC}^*$ and ρ . ρ_L metrizes TW^* on $\mathcal{O}(R)$ ([3], p. 33, Theorem 1).

REFERENCES

- [1] DUDLEY, R. M. (1966). Convergence of Baire measures. *Studia Math.* **27** 251–268.
- [2] FORTET, ROBERT (1958). Recent advances in probability theory. *Some Aspects of Analysis and Probability*. Wiley, New York.
- [2a] FORTET, ROBERT and E. MOURIER (1953). Convergence de la répartition empirique vers la répartition théorique. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* **70** 266–285.
- [3] GNEDENKO, B. V., and A. N. KOLMOGOROV (1954). *Limit Distributions for Sums of Independent Random Variables* (transl. by K. L. Chung). Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass.
- [4] HALL, M. (1958). A survey of combinatorial analysis. *Some Aspects of Analysis and Probability*. Wiley, New York.
- [5] HALL, P. (1935). On representatives of subsets. *J. London Math. Soc.* **10** 26–30.
- [6] HALMOS, P. (1950). *Measure Theory*. Van Nostrand, Princeton.
- [7] KOLMOGOROV, M. N. (1963). Approximation to distributions of sums of independent terms by means of infinitely divisible distributions. *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* **12** 492–509.
- [8] PROKHOROV, YU. V. (1956). Convergence of random processes and limit theorems in probability theory. *Theor. Prob. Appl.* **1** 157–214.
- [9] SKOROKHOD, A. V. (1956). Limit theorems for stochastic processes. *Theor. Prob. Appl.* **1** 261–290.
- [10] STRASSEN, V. (1965). The existence of probability measures with given marginals. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **36** 423–439.
- [11] VARADARAJAN, V. S. (1961). Measures on topological spaces. *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Series 2*, **48** 161–228.
- [12] ZYGMUND, ANTONI (1959). *Trigonometrical Series*. Cambridge Univ. Press.