

A MONOTONICITY PROPERTY OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE STUDENTIZED SMALLEST CHI-SQUARE¹

BY KHURSHEED ALAM²

Indiana University

1. Main theorem. Let X_1, \dots, X_k be k i.i.d. random variables, each having a gamma distribution with m degrees of freedom. The random variable

$$(1.1) \quad X = \min(X_1/X_k, \dots, X_{k-1}/X_k),$$

is called the Studentized smallest chi-square. Its cumulative distribution function (cdf) is given by

$$(1.2) \quad G_m(x) = 1 - \int_0^\infty (1 - F_m(xy))^{k-1} dF_m(y)$$

where $F_m(y) = \{\Gamma(m)\}^{-1} \int_0^y x^{m-1} e^{-x} dx$ denotes the incomplete gamma function. Clearly, $G_m(1) = (k-1)/k$. A monotonicity property of the cdf of X , which has some applications, is given by the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. For $m > 1$, $G_m(x)$ is increasing (decreasing) in m for $x > (<) 1$.

PROOF. Let Y denote a random variable with cdf $F_m(y)$. For $m > 1$ let

$$(1.3) \quad X = F_m(cY)$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant. The probability density function of X is given by

$$(1.4) \quad g_m(x) = (f_m(F_m^{-1}(x)/c))/(cf_m(F_m^{-1}(x))) \\ = c^{-m} \exp((c-1)F_m^{-1}(x)/c), \quad 0 < x < 1,$$

where $f_m(x) = x^{m-1} e^{-x}/\Gamma(m)$ and $F_m^{-1}(x)$ denotes the inverse function of $F_m(x)$. For $r > 0$ let

$$(1.5) \quad A(x) = f_m(F_m^{-1}(x)) - f_{m+r}(F_{m+r}^{-1}(x)) \\ = F_{m-1}(F_m^{-1}(x)) - F_{m+r-1}(F_{m+r}^{-1}(x)) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(1.6) \quad B(x) = \log g_{m+r}(x) - \log g_m(x). \quad \text{Then}$$

$$(1.7) \quad dB(x)/dx = (c-1)c^{-1}(1/f_{m+r}(F_{m+r}^{-1}(x)) - 1/f_m(F_m^{-1}(x))) \\ = (c-1)c^{-1}A(x)/(f_m(F_m^{-1}(x))f_{m+r}(F_{m+r}^{-1}(x))).$$

It is shown below that $A(x)$ is nonnegative. Therefore, from (1.7) we have that $B(x)$ is nondecreasing (nonincreasing) in x for $c > (<) 1$. This result will be used in the sequel.

*Received November 12, 1968; revised July 29, 1969.

¹ This work was supported in part by NSF Grant GP7496 at Indiana University.

² Now at Clemson University.

Let

$$(1.8) \quad u = (m-1)F_{m+r}^{-1}(x) - (m+r-1)F_m^{-1}(x), \quad \text{then}$$

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} du/dx &= ((m-1)f_m(F_m^{-1}(x)) - (m+r-1)f_{m+r}(F_{m+r}^{-1}(x))) \\ &\quad \div (f_m(F_m^{-1}(x))f_{m+r}(F_{m+r}^{-1}(x))) \\ &= ((m-1)A(x) - rf_{m+r}(F_{m+r}^{-1}(x)))/(f_m(F_m^{-1}(x))f_{m+r}(F_{m+r}^{-1}(x))) \\ &< 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $A(x) < 0$, as $m > 1$ and $r > 0$. Also,

$$(1.10) \quad dA(x)/dx = u/(F_{m+r}^{-1}(x)F_m^{-1}(x)).$$

To show that $A(x)$ is nonnegative for $0 \leq x \leq 1$, suppose that the contrary is true. As $A(x) = 0$ for $x = 0$ and 1 we have that for some value of $x = \xi$, say, where $0 < \xi < 1$,

$$(1.11) \quad A(x) < 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$(1.12) \quad dA(x)/dx < 0.$$

From (1.9) and (1.10) we have

$$(1.13) \quad u < 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$(1.14) \quad du/dx < 0$$

for $x = \xi$. Suppose that $A(x) < 0$ for $\xi \leq x \leq \xi + h < 1$. Then for $\xi \leq x \leq \xi + h$ we have from (1.9) that $du/dx < 0$, from (1.13) that $u < 0$ from (1.10) that $dA/dx < 0$. It follows that $A(x)$ is decreasing in x for $\xi \leq x < 1$ which contradicts the relation $A(x) = 0$ for $x = 1$. Therefore, $A(x) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$.

A real-valued random variable X with probability density function $p_\theta(x)$ depending on a real parameter θ is said to have monotone likelihood ratio (m.l.r.) property if $p_{\theta_1}(x_1)p_{\theta_2}(x_2) \geq p_{\theta_1}(x_2)p_{\theta_2}(x_1)$ for $x_1 < x_2$ and $\theta_1 < \theta_2$. The m.l.r. property implies that

$$(1.15) \quad E_{\theta_1} \psi(X) \leq (\geq) E_{\theta_2} \psi(X)$$

for all monotone nondecreasing (nonincreasing) function $\psi(x)$. Strict inequality holds in (1.15) if $\psi(x)$ is strictly monotone.

From (1.7) and the result shown above that $A(x) \geq 0$ we see that the distribution of X , given by (1.3), has m.l.r. property for $c > 1$ and in the opposite direction for $c < 1$. From (1.15) it follows that $G_m(c) = 1 - E(1-x)^{k-1}$ is increasing (decreasing) in m for $c > (<) 1$. \square

2. Applications. Consider a multinomial population with K cells and the associated ordered probabilities $p_{[1]} \leq \dots \leq p_{[k]}$ where $\sum_{i=1}^k p_{[i]} = 1$. Cacoullos and Sobel [1] have considered the sequential procedure for selecting the "best" cell, that is, the cell corresponding to $p_{[k]}$: Take observations one at a time from the population until any one cell has n counts in it and select that cell as the best cell.

For $(p_{[k]}/p_{[k-1]}) \geq \theta > 1$ the probability of a correct selection (Pcs) is minimized for $p_{[i]} = 1/(\theta + k - 1)$, $i = 1, \dots, k - 1$; $p_{[k]} = \theta/(\theta + k - 1)$ and the minimum value of the Pcs is given by (see (4.5) of [1])

$$(2.1) \quad \min \text{Pcs} = \frac{\Gamma(kN)}{(\Gamma(N))^k} \int_{\theta^{-1}}^{\infty} \dots \int_{\theta^{-1}}^{\infty} \frac{(y_1 \cdots y_{k-1})^{N-1} dy_1 \cdots dy_{k-1}}{(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} y_i)^{kN}}.$$

The multiple integral on the right-hand side of (2.1) can be shown to be equal to

$$(2.2) \quad \Pr \{X_i \geq \theta^{-1} X_k; \quad i = 1, \dots, k-1\} = 1 - G_N(\theta^{-1})$$

where X_1, \dots, X_k denote k i.i.d. random variables, each having a gamma distribution with N degrees of freedom. From Theorem 1.1 it follows that the minimum value of the Pcs, given by (2.1) is increasing in N . Therefore, given θ and p^* , the smallest value of N for which $\text{Pcs} \geq p^*$ when $(p_{[k]}/p_{[k-1]}) \geq \theta$ is uniquely determined.

Similar application of Theorem 1.1 arises in a problem of selecting a subset of k given normal populations which includes the population with the smallest variance. This problem has been considered by Gupta and Sobel [2].

REFERENCES

- [1] CACOULOS, T. and SOBEL, M. (1966). An inverse sampling procedure for selecting the most probable event in a multinomial distribution. In *Proceedings of International Symposium on Multivariate Analysis*. Dayton, Aerospace Research Laboratories, 423-455.
- [2] GUPTA, S. S. and SOBEL, M. (1961). On selecting a subset containing the population with the smallest variance. *Biometrika* **49** 495-507.