

Algebraically recurrent random walks on groups

Itai Benjamini* Hilary Finucane† Romain Tessera‡

Abstract

Initial steps are presented towards understanding which finitely generated groups are almost surely generated as a semigroup by the path of a random walk on the group.

Keywords: random walks on groups, recurrence/transience, semi-groups.

AMS MSC 2010: 05C81.

Submitted to ECP on December 25, 2012, final version accepted on April 12, 2013.

1 Introduction

Let G be a countable group, μ be a probability measure on G , $\zeta_i \sim \mu$ be i.i.d., and let $X_n = \zeta_1 \zeta_2 \cdots \zeta_n$. Then we call (X_1, X_2, \dots) a μ -random walk on G . Since Furstenberg [1] qualitative properties of random walks on groups were used to study and classify natural properties of groups G or pairs (G, μ) such as the Liouville property and amenability; see e.g. [3]. In this work we define a new group property based on random walks, which we call algebraic recurrence, and we present some initial steps towards understanding which groups are algebraically recurrent.

Definition 1.1. Let (X_1, X_2, \dots) be a μ -random walk on G , and let S_n denote the semigroup generated by $\{X_n, X_{n+1}, \dots\}$. We say (G, μ) is algebraically recurrent (AR) if for all n , $S_n = G$ almost surely, and we call G AR if (G, μ) is AR for all symmetric measures μ with $\langle \text{supp}(\mu) \rangle = G$.

Most classical properties of random walks on groups, such as recurrence/transience, Liouville property, etc. can be abstracted from the context of groups. By contrast, the definition of algebraic recurrence requires at least some binary operation on the state set of the random walk.

The use of a semigroup rather than a subgroup in the definition may seem unnatural, but in fact the property is trivial if defined in terms of subgroups rather than semigroups. To see this, let \mathcal{G}_n denote the group generated by $\{X_n, X_{n+1}, \dots\}$ and suppose $\langle \text{supp}(\mu) \rangle = G$. Then for each $g \in \text{supp}(\mu)$, $\Pr(g \notin \{\zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+2}, \dots\}) = 0$, but for all $i > n$, $\zeta_i = (X_{i-1})^{-1} X_i \in \mathcal{G}_n$. Thus, $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \mathcal{G}_n$, and so $\mathcal{G}_n = G$ almost surely. This argument in fact proves the more general fact:

Lemma 1.2. If $X_i^{-1} \in S_n$ almost surely for all $i \geq n$, then $S_n = G$ almost surely.

*Weizmann Institute, Israel

†MIT, USA

‡UMPA, ENS Lyon, France, partly supported by ANR projects AGORA and GGAA

In this note we show that the class of AR groups is nontrivial: i.e. there exist AR and non-AR groups. For example, we prove that nilpotent finitely generated groups are AR, while free groups with more than 4 generators are not AR. We also prove that Liouville random walks on polycyclic groups are AR. By [2], this includes symmetric random walks with a first finite moment. We do not know if this fact extends to any symmetric random walk on a polycyclic group.

We have to admit our frustration at not being able to establish that the standard random walk on the free group with two (or 3) generators is not AR. It turns out that Theorem 3.1 is by far the trickiest result of this paper and we would be curious to see another –more geometric– proof of this fact.

In view of the fact that a non-centered random walk on \mathbb{Z} is trivially not AR, the assumption that our random walks are symmetric seems reasonable. However, one would legitimately be tempted to extend the results of this note to centered random walks: namely random walks whose projection to any cyclic quotient is centered. We leave this aspect of the question to future developments.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we give examples of AR groups, then Section 3 deals with the case of free groups. Finally Section 4 is dedicated to open questions.

2 Examples of AR groups

2.1 Torsion groups and lamplighters

Recall that G is a torsion group if every element of G has finite order. By Lemma 1.2, any torsion group is AR, since in a torsion group, $X_i^{-1} = X_i^m$ for some m , and so X_i^{-1} is in any semigroup that includes X_i . In fact, the following much stronger result holds.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $H \triangleleft G$ is a torsion group. Then G/H is AR if and only if G is AR.*

Proof. Suppose G/H is AR, and let π denote the projection from G to G/H . Let (X_1, X_2, \dots) be a μ -random walk on G with corresponding semigroup \mathcal{S}_n , and let $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_n$ be the semigroup of G/H corresponding to the projected random walk $(\pi(X_1), \pi(X_2), \dots)$. By Lemma 1.2, to show that G is AR, it suffices to show $X_i^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}_n$ for all $i \geq n$.

For any X and Y in G with $\pi(X) = \pi(Y)^{-1}$, we have $XY \in H$, so there is an exponent k such that $(XY)^k = e$, and thus $X^{-1} = Y(XY)^{k-1}$. So if there is a Y_i in \mathcal{S}_n with $\pi(Y_i) = \pi(X_i)^{-1}$, then we also have $X_i^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}_n$.

By the algebraic recurrence of G/H , we have $G/H = \bar{\mathcal{S}}_n$, and in particular, $\pi(X_i)^{-1} \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}_n$. But since $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_n = \pi(\mathcal{S}_n)$, this means that there is some Y_i in \mathcal{S}_n with $\pi(Y_i) = \pi(X_i)^{-1}$. So G is AR.

Conversely, if G is AR, then any random walk on G/H can be lifted to a random walk on G ; the corresponding semigroup is all of G , and so projects to all of G/H , showing that G/H is AR. □

The lamplighter group of a group G , denoted $LL(G)$, is the wreath product $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \wr G$. An element of $LL(G)$ is written (x, f) , where $x \in G$ and $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and $(x, f)(y, g) = (z, h)$, where $xy = z$ and $h(a) = f(a)g(ax^{-1})$.

Lamplighters often give examples of somewhat exotic behavior; for example, $LL(\mathbb{Z})$ has exponential growth but is Liouville and $LL(\mathbb{Z}^3)$ is amenable but non-Liouville [3]. It follows directly from Theorem 2.1 that lamplighters do not exhibit any unusual behavior in the case of algebraic recurrence: in fact, the algebraic recurrence of $LL(G)$ corresponds exactly to the algebraic recurrence of G .

Corollary 2.2. *LL(G) is AR if and only if G is AR.*

Proof. The position function $pos(x, f) = x$ is a surjective homomorphism from $LL(G)$ to G , and the kernel of pos is a torsion group with exponent two. \square

2.2 Finitely generated abelian groups

Lemma 1.2 can also be used to show that \mathbb{Z} is AR. Indeed, by the symmetry of μ , almost surely for all n there will be $y^+, y^- \in \mathcal{S}_n$ with $y^+ > 0$ and $y^- < 0$. Then for each $i \geq n$, if $X_i > 0$ we can write $X_i y^- + (-y^- - 1)X_i = -X_i$. But the LHS is in \mathcal{S}_n , so $-X_i \in \mathcal{S}_n$. Similarly, if $X_i < 0$ we have $(y^+ - 1)X_i + -X_i y^+ = -X_i$, and again the LHS is in \mathcal{S}_n so $-X_i \in \mathcal{S}_n$. Using Lemma 1.2, this suffices to show that \mathbb{Z} is AR.

It is not much more difficult to see that (\mathbb{Z}^d, μ_d) is AR, when μ_d is uniform over the standard generating set. Indeed, after finitely many steps, the random walk will have visited d linearly independent points, generating the intersection of a full-dimension lattice with a cone. Eventually, the random walk will visit a point x that is in the opposite cone, and by adding arbitrarily large multiples of x , the entire lattice is in \mathcal{S}_n . Since there are only finitely many cosets of the lattice, the random walk eventually visits each coset, showing that all of \mathbb{Z}^d is in \mathcal{S}_n .

However, this proof does not extend to arbitrary symmetric generating measures on \mathbb{Z}^d . For example, in \mathbb{Z}^2 , μ could have a very heavy tail along the line $x = y$ and a very small weight along the line $x = -y$, so that there are cones that the random walk has non-zero probability never to intersect. So for the general case, a more subtle proof is needed.

Clearly, (G, μ) is AR if and only if the trace of a μ -random walk on G is almost surely not contained in any maximal subsemigroup of G . In the case of \mathbb{Z}^d , these maximal subsemigroups are easy to describe.

Lemma 2.3. *Every proper subsemigroup of \mathbb{Z}^d is contained either in a proper subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^d or in a half-space of \mathbb{Z}^d .*

Proof. Let S be a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^d . If 0 is not in the convex hull of S , then there is a half-space containing S . Otherwise, by Caratheodory’s theorem, there are points x_1, \dots, x_{d+1} and positive numbers t_1, \dots, t_{d+1} such that $\sum t_i x_i = 0$ and x_1, \dots, x_d are linearly independent. Thus, x_{d+1} is written as a linear combination of x_1, \dots, x_d , using only negative coefficients. This allows us to generate arbitrary linear combinations of x_1, \dots, x_d , so the group H generated by x_1, \dots, x_d is contained in S . Let \bar{S} denote the projection of S to \mathbb{Z}^d/H . Because \mathbb{Z}^d/H is torsion, \bar{S} is a subgroup. If $\bar{S} = \mathbb{Z}^d/H$, then $S = \mathbb{Z}^d$. Otherwise, S is contained in a proper subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^d . \square

Definition 2.4. *Let G be a countable group. Denote by $\mathfrak{S}(G)$ the set of subsemi-groups of G . Note that $\mathfrak{S}(G)$ is a compact space for the product topology (hence a standard Borel space). The inverse of some semigroup H is the semigroup consisting of inverses of elements of H . Let (H_n) be a decreasing sequence of $\mathfrak{S}(G)$ -valued random variables. We shall say that (H_n) is*

- *non-degenerate if H_n generates G as a subgroup for all n almost surely;*
- *Liouville if the tail σ -algebra is trivial;*
- *symmetric if for every n , and every Borel subset $\Omega \subset \mathfrak{S}(G)$, the events $\{H_n \subset \Omega\}$ and $\{H_n^{-1} \subset \Omega\}$ are equiprobable.*

Clearly, if (X_n) is a μ -random walk with μ symmetric and non-degenerate, then the sequence (\mathcal{S}_n) is symmetric non-degenerate. Moreover if X_n is Liouville, then so is \mathcal{S}_n .

Theorem 2.5. \mathbb{Z}^d is AR for all $d \geq 1$. More generally, every non-degenerate Liouville symmetric decreasing sequence of random semigroups (H_n) of \mathbb{Z}^d is such that $H_n = \mathbb{Z}^d$ a.s. for all n .

Proof. The proof immediately follows from Lemma 2.3 together with the following lemma. □

Lemma 2.6. Let G be a countable subgroup of \mathbb{R}^d and let (H_n) be some Liouville symmetric decreasing sequence of random semigroups of G , such that for all n , H_n generates \mathbb{R}^d as a vector space. Then a.s. H_n does not eventually get trapped in a closed half-space of \mathbb{R}^d .

Proof. We will prove the lemma by induction on d , the case $d = 0$ being trivial. Let A_n the closure of the radial projection of H_n to the sphere S^{d-1} in \mathbb{R}^d . By compactness of the sphere, the intersection of the A_n 's is a non-empty closed subset $A \subset S^{d-1}$.

Claim: A is a deterministic set; i.e. there exists a set $T \subset S^{d-1}$ such that $\Pr(A = T) = 1$. Moreover, $A = -A$ almost surely.

The symmetry of A follows from the symmetry of H_n . To show that A is deterministic, we use the Liouville property of (H_n) : A depending only on the tail of H_n , any event depending only on A has probability 0 or 1. But the only probability measure on closed sets that satisfies this property is the Dirac measure; in particular, there is one closed set T such that $P(A = T) = 1$.

By the Claim, there is a pair of points $x, -x$ in S^{d-1} that are almost surely contained in A . Thus, H_n is almost surely not contained in any halfspace that does not contain x and $-x$. Let π denote the projection onto the hyperplane orthogonal to x . If H_n is eventually contained in halfspace containing x and $-x$, then $\pi(H_n)$ must be contained in a halfspace of \mathbb{R}^{d-1} . But the projection $\pi(H_n)$ generates \mathbb{R}^{d-1} as a vector space (and is obviously Liouville and symmetric). Hence the lemma follows by induction on the dimension. □

Remark 2.7. The level of generality of Theorem 2.5 will be needed for the proof of the polycyclic case (see Theorem 2.10).

2.3 Finitely generated nilpotent groups

To prove algebraic recurrence of finitely generated nilpotent groups, we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8. Let S be a subsemigroup of a torsion-free nilpotent group N , and let \bar{S} be the projection of S to $N/[N, N]$. Then $S = N$ if and only if $\bar{S} = N/[N, N]$.

Proof. Let S be a subsemigroup of N that projects to all of $N/[N, N]$. Let $1 = N_r \triangleleft N_{r-1} \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft N_0 = N$ be the lower central series of N , and suppose by induction that the lemma holds for N/Z for every cyclic subgroup Z of N_{r-1} . For each such Z , S projects to all of $(N/Z)/[(N/Z), (N/Z)]$, and so by induction it projects to all of N/Z . So to show $N \subset S$, it suffices to find a cyclic subgroup $Z \subset N_{r-1}$ such that $Z \subset S$.

Let Z be an arbitrary cyclic subgroup of N_{r-1} , and let z be a generator of Z . There are a and b in N such that $[a, b] = z$, and by induction S contains representatives of each coset of Z' , so there are k_1, \dots, k_4 such that $c = az^{k_1}$, $d = bz^{k_2}$, $e = a^{-1}z^{k_3}$ and $f = b^{-1}z^{k_4}$ are all in S . A simple calculation shows that $c^n d^m e^n f^m = z^{nm+L(n,m)}$, where $L(n, m) = (k_1 + k_3)n + (k_2 + k_4)m$, and $d^m c^n f^m e^n = z^{-nm+L(n,m)}$. Letting n and m be large, we get that z^k and z^ℓ are both in S , for some $k > 0$ and $\ell < 0$. Together, z^k and z^ℓ generate a cyclic subgroup Z' of Z which is contained in S . □

Theorem 2.9. *Every finitely generated nilpotent group N is AR.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, we can assume that N is torsion-free. Given a random walk (X_1, X_2, \dots) on N , let $(\bar{X}_1, \bar{X}_2, \dots)$ denote the projection onto $N/[N, N]$. The semigroup generated by $\{\bar{X}_n, \bar{X}_{n+1}, \dots\}$ is the projection \mathcal{S}_n of \mathcal{S}_n . By Theorem 2.1, we can assume $N/[N, N] \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$, and so $N/[N, N]$ is AR, so $\mathcal{S}_n = N/[N, N]$ almost surely. By Lemma 2.8, $\mathcal{S}_n = N$ almost surely. \square

2.4 Polycyclic groups

To prove that finitely generated nilpotent groups are AR, we crucially used the fact that every symmetric random walk is Liouville. This is unknown for polycyclic groups. However one has

Theorem 2.10. *Every Liouville symmetric non-degenerate random walk on a virtually polycyclic group is AR.*

Proof. Let us start with an easy lemma

Lemma 2.11. *To prove that a group G is AR, it suffices to show that any finite index subgroup is AR. Moreover, the same holds if one restricts to Liouville random walks.*

Proof. If H is a subgroup of G , then a μ -random walk on G can be projected to a random walk on G/H . If this random walk is recurrent, then we can define the harmonic measure μ_H on H . If G/H is μ -recurrent and (H, μ_H) is AR, then (G, μ) is AR. Indeed, the intersection of a μ -random walk on G with H is a μ_H -random walk on H (which is Liouville if the latter is). Because (H, μ_H) is AR, we must have $H \subset \mathcal{S}_n$ almost surely. But by the recurrence of G/H , there is a representative of each coset of H in \mathcal{S}_n . Thus, G is in \mathcal{S}_n . As a special case of this fact, we see that if H is a finite index subgroup of G and H is AR, then G is AR. \square

Now let us turn to the proof of Theorem 2.10. Up to passing to a finite index subgroup, we can assume that G is (finitely generated nilpotent)-by-abelian. Let (X_n) be a symmetric non-degenerate Liouville random walk on G and let (\mathcal{S}_n) be the corresponding sequence of semigroups. Since $G/[G, G]$ is AR, it is enough to prove that a.s. $H_n := \mathcal{S}_n \cap [G, G] = [G, G]$. On the other hand, $[G, G]$ being nilpotent, up to dividing by the derived subgroup of $[G, G]$ (which is normal in G), one can assume that $[G, G]$ is abelian: this indeed follows from Lemma 2.8.

Up to dividing G by a finite normal subgroup, and applying Theorem 2.1, one can assume that $[G, G]$ is torsion-free, hence isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^k . Clearly H_n is a Liouville symmetric decreasing sequence of random semigroups of \mathbb{Z}^k , so in order to apply Theorem 2.5, it is enough to show that H_n is non-degenerate: this will end the proof of Theorem 2.10.

Claim: H_n a.s. generates $[G, G]$ as a subgroup.

Observe that for every integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the subgroup N_m of m -powers of elements of $[G, G]$ is normal in G and let π_m be the projection of G to G/N_m . It follows from Theorem 2.1 that a.s. $\pi_m(\mathcal{S}_n) = G/N_m$, and so $\pi_m(H_n) = [G, G]/N_m$. Recall that every proper subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^k sits inside the kernel of some surjective morphism $\mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. In particular such subgroup does not surject to G/N_m for the corresponding m . Put together, these two facts imply the claim, so the theorem. \square

3 The free group

An example of a group that is not AR is the free group on more than four generators.

Theorem 3.1. *Let F_d be the free group on d generators, and let μ_d be uniform over the standard generating set of F_d . For $d > 4$, (F_d, μ_d) is not AR.*

Let $X_n(i, j)$ denote the symbols i through j of X_n , written in its reduced form. Let \log denote \log base 2, and let \exp denote exponentiation base 2. We will use the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a j_0 such that 2ith positive probability, for all $j > j_0$ there are at most $\log j$ strings of length j that appear as prefixes of some X_n .*

Proof. Consider a random walk (Y_1, Y_2, \dots) on \mathbb{Z}^+ , reflected at 0, with probabilities $(2d-1)/(2d)$ and $1/(2d)$ to increase by one or decrease by one, respectively. The number of strings of length j that appear as prefixes of some X_n is upper bounded by the number V_j of visits to j in this biased random walk. The V_j for $j \neq 0$ are i.i.d. geometric random variables with parameter $p = \text{probability of returning to } j$. The return probability p satisfies the equation $p = 1/(2d) + (\frac{1}{2d}) (\frac{2d-1}{2d}) p$, implying $p = \frac{2d}{(2d)^2 - 2d + 1}$. We have $\Pr(V_j > \log j) = p^{\log j}$, so the probability that there is a $j > j_0$ with $V_j > \log j$ is at most

$$\sum_{j > j_0} p^{\log j} = \sum_{j > j_0} j^{\log p} < 1,$$

for sufficiently large j_0 , since $p < 1/2$ and therefore $\log p < 1$ for $d > 4$. □

Define:

$$A_r = \{w : |w| = r, w = X_{n_1}(i_1, j_1) \cdots X_{n_m}(i_m, j_m), i_1 = 1, i_k \leq \log j_{k-1} \text{ for all } 2 \leq k \leq m\}.$$

We will show that there exists an n_0 such that with constant probability, all words in \mathcal{S}_{n_0} have length- r prefixes in A_r .

Lemma 3.3. $|A_r| \leq 4^r$ with positive probability.

Proof. Let $\ell_k = j_k - i_k$. There are 2^{r-1} ways to choose the ℓ_k , so we only need to show that for any fixed $\{\ell_k\}$, there are fewer than 2^r ways to choose the $\{i_k\}$ and $\{X_{n_k}\}$. We have $i_k \leq \log j_{k-1} = \log(i_{k-1} + \ell_{k-1})$, so the number of ways to choose the i_k is at most

$$\begin{aligned} & \log j_1 \cdots \log j_m \\ & \leq \log \ell_1 \cdot \log(\ell_2 + \log \ell_1) \cdot \log(\ell_3 + \log(\ell_2 + \log \ell_1)) \cdots \log(\ell_m + \log(\ell_{m-1} + \cdots + \log \log \cdots \log \ell_1)) \\ & \leq \prod_{1 \leq k \leq m} (\log \ell_k + \log \log \ell_{k-1} + \cdots + \log \cdots \log \ell_1) \\ & = \exp \left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq m} \log(\log \ell_k + \log \log \ell_{k-1} + \cdots + \log \cdots \log \ell_1) \right) \\ & \leq \exp \left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq m} (\log \log \ell_k + \log \log \log \ell_{k-1} + \cdots + \log \cdots \log \ell_1) \right) \\ & \leq \exp \left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq m} (\log \log \ell_k + \log \log \log \ell_k + \cdots + \log \cdots \log \ell_k + 1) \right) \\ & \leq \exp \left(2 \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m} \log \log \ell_k \right) \\ & \leq \exp(2(r/4)) \\ & = 2^{r/2}. \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows because $\sum_{1 \leq k \leq m} \log \log \ell_k$ is maximized when all of the ℓ_k are equal to 4. Similarly, Lemma 3.2 tells us that with positive probability, the number of possible choices of the $\{X_{n_k}\}$ is also bounded by $\log j_1 \cdots \log j_m \leq 2^{r/2}$. Thus, the we have $|A_r| < 4^r$, as claimed. \square

Let $A = \cup_r A_r$ and $d > 4$. For reduced words x and y , let $cancel(x, y)$ denote the number of symbols that are cancelled in the multiplication $x \cdot y$.

Lemma 3.4. *There exists an n_0 such that with positive probability, $cancel(X_n, w) < \log |X_n|$ for any $n > n_0$ and any $w \in A$.*

Proof. For a random X with $|X| = s$ and a fixed w , we have $\Pr(cancel(X, w) > \log s) \leq (2d - 1)^{-\log s}$ (times a factor $\frac{2d-1}{2d}$ that we will ignore). For any $w \in A_r$ with $r > \log n$, the length $\log n$ prefix of w is in $A_{\log n}$. So the probability that there exists a $w \in A$ such that $cancel(X, w) > \log s$ is at most $|A_{\log s}|(2d - 1)^{-\log s} \leq s^{-\log((2d-1)/4)}$ by a union bound. When $d > 4$, we have $-\log((2d - 1)/4) < -1$. Union bounding this over the at most $\log s$ choices of X_n for which $|X_n| = s$, and then over all $s \geq s_0$, we get $\sum_{s \geq s_0} (\log s) s^{-\log((2d-1)/4)}$. Because the sum converges, we can choose s_0 large enough that this sum is strictly less than one. By the transitivity of the random walk, there exists an n_0 so that with positive probability, $|X_n| > s_{min}$ for all $n > n_0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The proof is by induction. $X_n \in A$ by definition for all n . By Lemma 3.4, with positive probability, if $X_{n_1} \cdots X_{n_m} \in A$ for all $n_1, \dots, n_m \geq n_0$, then $X_{n_1} \cdots X_{n_{m+1}} \in A$ for all $n_1, \dots, n_{m+1} \geq n_0$. Thus, $\mathcal{S}_{n_0} \subset A \neq F_d$. \square

4 Open Questions

This first study leaves several natural questions open.

- *Is (G, μ) -AR a group property?* We do not know if it is possible for there to be two symmetric measures μ_1 and μ_2 on a group G with $\langle \mu_1 \rangle = \langle \mu_2 \rangle = G$ such that (G, μ_1) is AR and (G, μ_2) is not AR. A first step towards determining whether this is possible could be to prove that there is no μ for which (F_d, μ) is AR.
- *Is AR preserved under taking finite index subgroups?* It follows from Lemma 2.11 that if G contains a finite-index AR subgroup, then it is AR. We do not know if the converse holds.
- *Non-AR groups.* We strongly suspect that the free group on two generators is not AR, but our proof technique is not strong enough to show this, and it does not follow immediately from the fact that F_d is a finite index subgroup of F_2 (see the previous question). On the other hand, perhaps the proof of Theorem 3.1 extends to small cancellation groups with growth at least 10^n . More generally, it would be interesting to prove that small cancellation groups or hyperbolic groups are not AR. (There are nonamenable torsion groups [5], ruling out the possibility that no nonamenable groups are AR.)
- *What is the structure of the sequence of semigroups in non-AR groups?* For non-AR groups, we know that \mathcal{S}_n is not all of G , but it would be interesting to determine other properties of \mathcal{S}_n . For example, what is the growth of \mathcal{S}_n ? Is \mathcal{S}_n transient? Is the intersection $\cap_n \mathcal{S}_n$ empty almost surely? In particular what do the limit sets of such semigroups look like in free groups?
- *Are Liouville random walks algebraically recurrent?* Recall that the converse is false (see Section 2.1).
- *Infinitely generated groups.* Infinitely generated groups present a separate challenge. For example, we do not know if the abelian group $\oplus_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}$ is AR.

- *Quantitative versions.* It follows from Theorem 3.2 in [4] that if R denotes the range of Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^n then a.s. $R + R + \dots + R$ $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ times covers \mathbb{R}^n . A simpler second moment argument gives a similar statement for \mathbb{Z}^d or nilpotent groups. This suggests studying quantitative variants of algebraic recurrence; for example, when (G, μ) is not AR, estimate the probability $\mathcal{S}_n = G$.

References

- [1] H. Furstenberg, A Poisson formula for semi-simple Lie groups. *Ann. Math.* **77(2)** (1963), 335–386. MR-0146298
- [2] V. Kaimanovich. *Boundaries of random walks on polycyclic groups and the law of large numbers for solvable Lie groups.* (Russian. English summary) *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. Mat. Mekh. Astronom.* (1987), vyp. 4, 93-95, 112. MR-0931055
- [3] V. Kaimanovich and A. Vershik, Random walks on discrete groups: boundary and entropy. *Ann. Probab.* **11(3)** (1983), 457–490. MR-0704539
- [4] D. Khoshnevisan, Y. Xiao and Y. Zhong, Local times of additive Levy processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **104** (2003), 193–216. MR-1961619
- [5] A. Yu. Olshanskii. On the question of the existence of an invariant mean on a group. (Russian) *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 35 **4(214)** (1980), 199–200. MR-0586204

Acknowledgments. Thank you to Elon Lindenstrauss and Ron Peled for pointing out errors in earlier versions of the proofs of Theorems 2.5 and 3.1, respectively. Thank you also to Uri Bader, Vadim Kaimanovich and Yehuda Shalom for useful comments.