

CORRECTION NOTE: A STRONG ORDER 1/2 METHOD FOR MULTIDIMENSIONAL SDES WITH DISCONTINUOUS DRIFT

BY GUNTHER LEOBACHER¹ AND MICHAELA SZÖLGYENYI²

University of Graz and University of Klagenfurt

There is a gap in the proof of [3], Theorem 3.20. For closing this gap, weak additional assumptions on the regularity of the exceptional set Θ are needed. In this note, we close the gap and state the corrected version of the main theorems of [3]. The changes we state below only apply from Section 3 onward. The one-dimensional case in Section 2 is not affected.

For the multidimensional case, the function $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined in [3], equation (2), needs to be C^3 ; we define

$$(1) \quad \phi(u) = \begin{cases} (1+u)^4(1-u)^4 & \text{if } |u| \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

This function has the properties:

1. ϕ is C^3 on all of \mathbb{R} ;
2. $\phi(0) = 1$, $\phi'(0) = 0$, $\phi''(0) = -8$;
3. $\phi(u) = \phi'(u) = \phi''(u) = \phi'''(u) = 0$ for all $|u| \geq 1$.

With this, we define for some $c \in (0, \text{reach}(\Theta))$ and for all $x \in \Theta^c$,

$$(2) \quad G(x) := x + (x - p(x)) \cdot n(p(x)) \|x - p(x)\| \phi\left(\frac{\|x - p(x)\|}{c}\right) \alpha(p(x)),$$

where for all $\xi \in \Theta$,

$$(3) \quad \alpha(\xi) := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu(\xi - hn(\xi)) - \mu(\xi + hn(\xi))}{2n(\xi)^\top \sigma(\xi) \sigma(\xi)^\top n(\xi)}.$$

Note that (3) replaces [3], equation (6), and G has precisely the same form as in [3], equation (5), only now we use the new versions of α , ϕ .

Due to the change in the definition of ϕ , the following lemma needs to be adapted.

Received December 2018.

¹Supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): Project F5508-N26, which is part of the Special Research Program “Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods: Theory and Applications.”

²Supported by the AXA Research Fund grant “Numerical Methods for Stochastic Differential Equations with Irregular Coefficients with Applications in Risk Theory and Mathematical Finance.”

LEMMA 1 (Replaces [3], Lemma 3.18). Assume [3], Assumptions 3.1–3.4. Fix $\varkappa > 1$ and let

$$c_0 := \min\left(1, \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varkappa \max(K, 1)}, \left(1 + \frac{d}{3} \sup_{\xi \in \Theta} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |\alpha_i(\xi)| + \frac{d}{4} \frac{\varkappa}{\varkappa - 1} \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} \left| \frac{\partial \alpha_i(\xi)}{\partial x_j} \right| \right)\right)^{-1}.$$

Then for every choice of $c \in (0, c_0)$ we have that $G'(x)$ is invertible for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

PROOF. Note that $c_0 > 0$, since α and α' are bounded by [3], Assumption 3.4. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and recall equation (7) from the proof of [3], Theorem 3.14,

$$\begin{aligned} G'(x) &= \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^d} + \bar{\phi}'(\|x - p(x)\|) \alpha(p(x)) n(p(x))^\top \\ &\quad + \bar{\phi}(\|x - p(x)\|) \alpha'(p(x)) \mathcal{I}_\xi(\mathcal{T}^{-1}(x)) (\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^d} - n(p(x)) n(p(x))^\top) \\ &=: 1 + \mathcal{A}(x). \end{aligned}$$

We begin by estimating the operator norm of $\mathcal{A}(x)$ for given $c \in (0, c_0)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}(x)\| &\leq \|\bar{\phi}'(\|x - p(x)\|)\| d \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |\alpha_i(p(x))| \\ &\quad + \bar{\phi}(\|x - p(x)\|) \|\mathcal{I}_\xi\| \|\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^d} - n(p(x)) n(p(x))^\top\| d^2 \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} \left| \frac{\partial \alpha_i(p(x))}{\partial x_j} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{cd}{3} \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |\alpha_i(p(x))| + \frac{c^2 d^2}{12} \frac{1}{1 - |y_1| \|n'\|} \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} \left| \frac{\partial \alpha_i(p(x))}{\partial x_j} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\|\bar{\phi}'(\|x - p(x)\|)\| \leq \frac{c}{3}$ and $|\bar{\phi}(\|x - p(x)\|)| \leq \frac{c^2}{12}$ for $x \in \Theta^c$ (by estimating the maxima), and that $\|\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^d} - n(p(x)) n(p(x))^\top\| \leq 1$. Furthermore, $\|\mathcal{I}_\xi\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - |y_1| \|n'\|}$, since $\|y_1 n'\| < \frac{1}{\varkappa} < 1$ by $c < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varkappa \max(K, 1)}$, [3], Lemma 3.17, and [3], Remark 3.16. Hence

$$\frac{1}{1 - |y_1| \|n'\|} \leq \frac{\varkappa}{\varkappa - 1}.$$

Therefore, $\|\mathcal{A}(x)\| \leq \frac{cd}{3} (\max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |\alpha_i(p(x))|) + \frac{cd}{4} \frac{\varkappa}{\varkappa - 1} \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} \left| \frac{\partial \alpha_i(p(x))}{\partial x_j} \right|$.

We want c small enough to have $\|\mathcal{A}(x)\| < 1$ and to that end we choose $c < 1$ and

$$c < \left(1 + \frac{d}{3} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |\alpha_i(p(x))| + \frac{d}{4} \frac{\varkappa}{\varkappa - 1} \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} \left| \frac{\partial \alpha_i(p(x))}{\partial x_j} \right| \right)\right)^{-1}.$$

Hence $G'(x)$ is invertible for $x \in \Theta^c$ by [3], Lemma 3.17. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Theta^c$, $G'(x) = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^d}$. \square

We will need the following additional assumption.

ASSUMPTION 1. The exceptional set Θ of μ is C^4 . Every unit normal vector n of Θ has a bounded second and third derivative.

LEMMA 2. Assume [3], Assumptions 3.1 and 3.2, and Assumption 1. Let $c \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$.

Then the function $\tilde{\phi}: \Theta^c \setminus \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\tilde{\phi}(x) = (x - p(x)) \cdot n(p(x)) \|x - p(x)\| \phi\left(\frac{\|x - p(x)\|}{c}\right)$ is three times differentiable with a bounded first, second and third derivative.

PROOF. For $x \in \Theta^c \setminus \Theta$, we have $(x - p(x)) \cdot n(p(x)) \|x - p(x)\| = sd(x, \Theta)^2$ with $s \in \{-1, 1\}$. By [1], Corollary 4.5, $d(\cdot, \Theta)$ is C^4 on $\Theta^c \setminus \Theta$.

Since $p'(x)$ maps into the tangent space of Θ in $p(x)$, it holds that $(x - p(x))^\top p'(x) = 0$. Thus we have $(d(x, \Theta)^2)' = (\|x - p(x)\|^2)' = 2(x - p(x))^\top \times (\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^d} - p'(x)) = 2(x - p(x))^\top$. Note that $(x - p(x))^\top$ is bounded by c on Θ^c .

The function $p: \Theta^c \rightarrow \Theta$ is C^3 by Assumption 1, [3], Assumptions 3.1 and 3.2, and [1], Theorem 4.1.

By [3], Assumptions 3.1 and 3.2, and [3], Lemma 3.10, the first derivative of every unit normal vector n is bounded, and by Assumption 1 the second and third derivative of n are bounded. Now [2], Corollary 4, implies that $p', p'',$ and p''' are bounded on Θ^c .

Now it follows from the chain and product rule that the function $x \mapsto d(x, \Theta)^2$ and its derivatives up to order 4 are bounded on $\Theta^c \setminus \Theta$.

Note further that

$$\phi\left(\frac{\|x - p(x)\|}{c}\right) = \begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{d(x, \Theta)^2}{c^2}\right)^4 & d(x, \Theta) < c, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

In total, by the chain and product rule, the first three derivatives of $\tilde{\phi}$ are bounded. \square

LEMMA 3. Assume [3], Assumptions 3.1, 3.2 and 3.4, and Assumption 1. Let $c \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$.

Then the function $\alpha \circ p: \Theta^c \setminus \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is three times differentiable with a bounded first, second and third derivative.

PROOF. By [3], Assumption 3.4, α is three times differentiable with a bounded first, second and third derivative. As shown in the proof of Lemma 2, $p: \Theta^c \rightarrow \Theta$

is C^3 and p' , p'' and p''' are bounded on Θ^c . The chain and product rules now assure that $(\alpha \circ p)'$, $(\alpha \circ p)''$, $(\alpha \circ p)'''$ are bounded. \square

From now on, choose c as in Lemma 1.

LEMMA 4. *Let [3], Assumptions 3.1–3.5, and Assumption 1 be satisfied. Then G'' is bounded and it is differentiable with bounded derivative on $\Theta^c \setminus \Theta$.*

PROOF. A sufficient condition for this is, by the definition of G and the product rule, that the functions $x \mapsto \tilde{\phi}(x)$ and $x \mapsto \alpha(p(x))$ have this property. This is guaranteed by Lemmas 2 and 3. \square

In the proof of [3], Theorem 3.20, we write “in the same way we see that G'' is differentiable with bounded derivative on $\Theta^c \setminus \Theta$ and is therefore intrinsic Lipschitz by [3], Lemma 3.8. Moreover, both G'' and σ are bounded on $\Theta^c \setminus \Theta$.” This statement holds under the additional Assumption 1 and is proven in Lemma 4.

THEOREM 5 (Replaces [3], Theorem 3.20). *Let [3], Assumptions 3.1–3.5, be satisfied. In addition, let Assumption 1 hold. Then the SDE for $G(X)$ has Lipschitz coefficients.*

THEOREM 6 (Replaces [3], Theorem 3.21). *Let [3], Assumptions 3.1–3.5, be satisfied. In addition, let Assumption 1 hold. Then the d -dimensional SDE (1) has a unique global strong solution.*

THEOREM 7 (Replaces [3], Theorem 3.23). *Let [3], Assumptions 3.1–3.5, be satisfied. In addition, let Assumption 1 hold. Then [3], Algorithm 3.22, converges with strong order 1/2 to the solution X of the d -dimensional SDE (1).*

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Thomas Müller-Gronbach for pointing out an inaccuracy in the definition of α .

REFERENCES

- [1] DUDEK, E. and HOLLY, K. (1994). Nonlinear orthogonal projection. *Ann. Polon. Math.* **59** 1–31. [MR1270298](#)
- [2] LEOBACHER, G. and STEINICKE, A. (2018). Existence, uniqueness and regularity of the projection onto differentiable manifolds. Available at [arXiv:1811.10578](#).
- [3] LEOBACHER, G. and SZÖLGYENYI, M. (2017). A strong order 1/2 method for multidimensional SDEs with discontinuous drift. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **27** 2383–2418. [MR3693529](#)

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING
UNIVERSITY OF GRAZ
HEINRICHSTRASSE 36
8010 GRAZ
AUSTRIA
E-MAIL: gunther.leobacher@uni-graz.at

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF KLAGENFURT
UNIVERSITÄTSSTRASSE 65-67
9020 KLAGENFURT
AUSTRIA
E-MAIL: michaela.szoelgyenyi@aau.at