

Does reference prior alleviate the incidental parameter problem?

Mário de Castro^a and Vera L. D. Tomazella^b

^a*Universidade de São Paulo*

^b*Universidade Federal de São Carlos*

Abstract. In this note we deal with a panel data model with fixed effects. We show that a Bayesian procedure based on the reference prior suffers from the incidental parameter problem, as also happens with the Jeffrey's prior [*Econom. Lett.* **82** (2004) 135–138]. Using an alternative prior distribution we present a solution to the problem.

1 Introduction

Some models for panel data include incidental parameters associated to fixed effects, leading to a lack of robustness in the sense discussed in Hahn (2004) and references therein. In fact, Hahn (2004) concluded that in a Bayesian setup under Jeffrey's prior, the resulting estimator for the parameter of interest suffers from the same problem of the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE). We tackle the incidental parameter problem, but instead of the Jeffrey's prior, we adopt another kind of noninformative priors; namely, the so-called reference prior (briefly described below) and a prior motivated by the work of Li and Leon-Gonzalez (2009). For the sake of space, the algebraic details are omitted.

Reference analysis, introduced by Bernardo (1979) and further developed by Berger and Bernardo (1989, 1992a, 1992b, 1992c) and Bernardo (2005) seems to be the only available method to achieve posterior distributions which produces objective Bayesian inference, meaning that inferential statements depend only on the postulated model and the available data. Moreover, there is the requirement that the prior distribution is minimally informative in a precise information-theoretic sense. Here, the driving idea is to maximize the expected Kullback–Leibler divergence of the posterior distribution with respect to the prior. Starting from a reference prior, the reference posterior is a consequence of a formal application of the Bayes theorem. Reference analysis provides posterior distributions with some nice properties, such as generality and invariance.

Key words and phrases. Reference prior, incidental parameter problem.
Received March 2009; accepted August 2009.

2 Model and estimation

As in [Hahn \(2004\)](#), we consider a simple normal (\mathcal{N}) model defined as

$$x_{it} \sim \mathcal{N}(\alpha_i, \theta) \text{ independent, } \quad i = 1, \dots, N, t = 1, \dots, T, \quad (2.1)$$

where α_i is a fixed effect and θ is the common variance (here, the parameter of interest). [Hahn \(2004\)](#) showed that for this model the Jeffrey's prior is proportional to $\theta^{-(N+2)/2}$. Letting $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_{11}, \dots, x_{NT})$, and $\bar{x}_i = \sum_{t=1}^T x_{it}/T$, and taking into account that $\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T (x_{it} - \bar{x}_i)(\alpha_i - \bar{x}_i) = 0$, it can be shown that the posterior distribution $p(\theta, \boldsymbol{\alpha} | \mathbf{x})$ is proportional to

$$\theta^{-(N(T+1)+2)/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\theta} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\sum_{t=1}^T (x_{it} - \bar{x}_i)^2 + T(\alpha_i - \bar{x}_i)^2 \right] \right\},$$

that can be written as

$$p(\theta, \boldsymbol{\alpha} | \mathbf{x}) \propto \theta^{-(NT+2)/2} \exp \left(-\frac{S_{xx}}{2\theta} \right) \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(\theta/T)^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\theta/T} (\alpha_i - \bar{x}_i)^2 \right\},$$

where $S_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T (x_{it} - \bar{x}_i)^2$. By integrating out $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ we arrive at

$$p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \propto \theta^{-(NT+2)/2} \exp \left(-\frac{S_{xx}}{2\theta} \right),$$

so that the posterior distribution for θ can be promptly recognized as an inverted gamma distribution with parameters $\beta_1 = NT/2$ and $\beta_2 = S_{xx}/2$. Hence, the posterior mode for θ comes out to be $\beta_2/(\beta_1 + 1)$, that is, an estimator for θ is given by

$$\hat{\theta}_J = \frac{S_{xx}}{NT + 2}.$$

Holding T fixed and taking $N \rightarrow \infty$ we get $\text{plim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{\theta}_J - \theta) = \theta/T = O(1/T)$, as it happens with the MLE of θ . We argue that in [Hahn \(2004\)](#) it should be \bar{x}_i in place of \bar{x} (with $\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{x}_i/N$), but we emphasize that this amendment does not change the conclusions in [Hahn \(2004\)](#).

According to [Bernardo \(2005\)](#), in this problem the reference prior for the parameters is proportional to θ^{-1} . So, by direct manipulations (as above) or recurring to Example 18 in [Bernardo \(2005\)](#), we have that the posterior distribution for θ is an inverted gamma with parameters $\beta_1 = (N - 1)T/2$ and $\beta_2 = S_{xx}/2$, whose mode furnishes another Bayesian estimator for θ given by

$$\hat{\theta}_R = \frac{S_{xx}}{(N - 1)T + 2}.$$

With T fixed we obtain $\text{plim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{\theta}_R - \theta) = \theta/T = O(1/T)$ as before. This is not surprising, for [Liseo \(2005\)](#) stressed that reference priors are well suited to

the incidental parameter problem only for a few examples. Furthermore, [Li and Leon-Gonzalez \(2009\)](#) pointed out that these priors are not intrinsically designed to solve this problem.

Following the approach in [Li and Leon-Gonzalez \(2009\)](#), now we turn our attention to another way to solve the problem. We denote the likelihood function corresponding to (2.1) by $p(\mathbf{x} | \theta, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$. Our choice of prior distribution for $(\theta, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ is such that $p(\boldsymbol{\alpha} | \theta) \propto 1$ and $p(\theta) \propto 1$. Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} p(\boldsymbol{\alpha} | \theta) p(\mathbf{x} | \theta, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) d\boldsymbol{\alpha} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \log p(\mathbf{x} | \theta, \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha} \partial \theta} \right] = \mathbf{0},$$

the correction proposed by [Li and Leon-Gonzalez \(2009\)](#) ensures that by integrating out $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ in $p(\theta, \boldsymbol{\alpha} | \mathbf{x})$, the mode of the resulting posterior distribution $p(\theta | \mathbf{x})$ is a consistent estimator for θ . Proceeding analogously as above we get

$$p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \propto \theta^{-(N(T-1))/2} \exp\left(-\frac{S_{xx}}{2\theta}\right).$$

Therefore, the posterior distribution is an inverted gamma with parameters $\beta_1 = N(T-1)/2 - 1$, $\beta_2 = S_{xx}/2$, and mode

$$\hat{\theta}_C = \frac{S_{xx}}{N(T-1)}.$$

It follows that $\text{plim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{\theta}_C - \theta) = 0$, as desired.

3 Conclusion

In this short note we contributed to the question raised by [Hahn \(2004\)](#). We have seen that in the incidental parameter problem, a Bayesian estimator stemming from the reference prior has the same drawback of the MLE and an estimator based on the Jeffrey's prior as well. However, resorting to a technique recently presented by [Li and Leon-Gonzalez \(2009\)](#), we found a Bayesian estimator asymptotically unbiased for the parameter of interest.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank an anonymous referee for the valuable comments and bibliographic suggestions.

References

Berger, J. O. and Bernardo, J. M. (1989). Estimating a product of means: Bayesian analysis with reference priors. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **84** 200–207. [MR0999679](#)

- Berger, J. O. and Bernardo, J. M. (1992a). Ordered group reference priors with application to the multinomial problem. *Biometrika* **79** 25–37. [MR1158515](#)
- Berger, J. O. and Bernardo, J. M. (1992b). Reference priors in a variance components problem. In *Bayesian Analysis in Statistics and Econometrics* (P. K. Goel and N. S. Iyengar, eds.) 323–340. Springer, Berlin. [MR1194392](#)
- Berger, J. O. and Bernardo, J. M. (1992c). On the development of reference priors. In *Bayesian Statistics (Peñíscola, 1991) Vol. 4*. (J. M. Bernardo, J. O. Berger, A. P. Dawid and A. F. M. Smith, eds.) 35–60. Oxford Univ. Press, New York. [MR1380269](#)
- Bernardo, J. M. (1979). Reference posterior distributions for Bayesian inference (with discussion). *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Ser. B* **41** 113–147. [MR0547240](#)
- Bernardo, J. M. (2005). Reference analysis. In *Handbook of Statistics Vol. 25* (D. K. Dey and C. R. Rao, eds.) 17–90. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Hahn, J. (2004). Does Jeffrey's prior alleviate the incidental parameter problem? *Economics Letters* **82** 135–138. [MR2028202](#)
- Li, G. and Leon-Gonzalez, R. (2009). A correction function approach to solve the incidental parameter problem. Cardiff Economics Working Papers E2009/6, Cardiff University, Wales. Available at http://www.cardiff.ac.uk/carbs/econ/workingpapers/papers/E2009_6.pdf.
- Liseo, B. (2005). The elimination of nuisance parameters. In *Handbook of Statistics Vol. 25*. (D. K. Dey and C. R. Rao, eds.) 193–219. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas
e de Computação
Universidade de São Paulo
Caixa Postal 668
13560-970, São Carlos-SP
Brasil
E-mail: mcastro@icmc.usp.br

Departamento de Estatística
Universidade Federal de São Carlos
Caixa Postal 676
13565-905, São Carlos-SP
Brasil
E-mail: vera@ufscar.br