TAIWANESE JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 641-646, September 2001

This paper is available online at http://www.math.nthu.edu.tw/tjm/

NON-TRIVIAL DEFORMATION OF AN ENTIRE FUNCTION $abz + e^{bz} + c$

Shunsuke Morosawa* and Masahiko Taniguchi

Abstract. In this note, we consider the dynamics of the family of transcendental entire functions $f(z;a,b,c)=abz+e^{bz}+c$. In particular, while studying the case when they have a Baker domains, we investigate the area of Julia sets and the Hausdorff convergence of Julia sets.

Consider the family

$$f(z; a, b, c) = abz + e^{bz} + c.$$

These f(z; a, b, c) with $a, b \neq 0$ are structurally infinite [7], for they have infinitely many critical values. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that a, b and c are real.

Theorem 1. If $ab \ge 1$, a > 0, $c \le -1$ and

$$a\log a - a + c < 0,$$

then f = f(z; a, b, c) has a Baker domain $B = B_{a,b,c}$, which is completely invariant.

In particular, the Julia set is the boundary of B.

Proof. We set $D_0 = \{z | \text{Re } z < 0\}$. Elementary calculation shows that D_0 is mapped by f to a proper subdomain in D_0 , and hence D_0 is invariant. The Fatou component B containing D_0 is a Baker domain, for if $z \in D_0$, then

$$\operatorname{Re} f(z) < ab \operatorname{Re} z.$$

Received June 13, 2000.

Communicated by P. Y. Wu.

2001 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D05, 37F50.

Key words and phrases: Transcendental entire function, Baker domain, Julia set, Hausdorff convergence.

^{*}Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research 09640199.

Also the line $L_k = \{z = x + iy \mid x \ge 0, y = (2k+1)\pi/b\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is mapped by f into D_0 , since the assumptions imply that

Re
$$(abz + e^{bz} + c) = abx - e^{bx} + c < 0$$

for every $z \in L_k$.

Since every preimage of D_0 by f contains either D_0 or some L_k , it intersects with D_0 , which shows that B is completely invariant.

The set D_0 in the proof is called a *fundamental set* of the Baker domain B (see [1]).

The function f = f(z; a, b, c) as above has a completely invariant Baker domain B containing the left-half plane and the rays L_k . Since, the set of all the critical points

$$\left\{z_m = \frac{\log a + (2m+1)\pi i}{b}\right\} \subset D_0 \cup \{L_k\},\,$$

the orbits of every critical point have the imaginary parts tending to $-\infty$. Hence, a theorem of Hinkkanen, Krauskopf and Kriete [2] (essentially due to Bergweiler [3]) gives the following

Theorem 2. For such an f(z; a, b, c) as in Theorem 1,

$$F(f) = B$$
.

Proof. First, the boundary of the Baker domain B has a positive Euclidean distance, say $\sigma > 0$, from the post-critical set C^+ , consisting of the forward orbits of all critical points of f.

On the other hand, since B contains all L_k , every other Fatou component U has a bounded distance, say η , from the boundary of B. Then the theorem of Hinkkanen, Krauskopf and Kriete gives the assertion.

But for the sake of convenience, we include a proof, following their preprint. Suppose that there were a Fatou component U, which should be either a wandering domain or a Baker domain disjoint from B. Fix a point $\zeta \in U$, and set $\zeta_n = f^n(\zeta)$. Then the disk D_n with center ζ_n and radius

$$r_n = \sigma + d(\zeta_n, B)$$

satisfies $D_n \cap C^+ = \emptyset$. Then there is a univalent branch ϕ_n of $(f^n)^{-1}$ which sends ζ_n to ζ , and a point $\xi_n \in J(f)$ such that

$$|\zeta_n - \xi_n| = d(\zeta_n, B)$$

for every n. Since functions

$$g_n(z) = \phi_n(r_n \ z + \zeta_n)$$

on $\{z|\,|z|<1\}$ map 0 to ζ and the images are disjoint from C^+ , $\{g_n\}$ is a normal family. So assume that g_n converges to g uniformly on compact sets. Then the preimages w_n of $\xi_n'=\phi_n(\xi_n)$ by g_n satisfy

$$1 > \frac{\eta}{\sigma + \eta} > |w_n| > d$$

with some d>0 by Schwarz lemma, since $\xi_n'\in J(f)$ cannot tend to ζ . Thus g is nonconstant and hence a univalent map. The Carathéodory convergence theorem implies that, for an r<1 sufficiently close to 1, $\{f^n\}$ on $D=g(\{z||z|< r\})$ converges uniformly, and hence $D\subset F(f)$. But this contradicts the fact that $\xi_n'\in J(f)$ are contained in D for all but finitely many n.

Remark. If every point z in a component U as in the above proof has such orbits whose real parts tend to $+\infty$, then the standard argument due to Eremenko and Lyubich gives the same contradiction.

We further note the following facts.

Theorem 3. The Julia set of such an f(z; a, b, c) as in Theorem 1 has vanishing area.

Proof. We can find an $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$(L_k)_{\epsilon} = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} \mid d(w, L_k) \le \epsilon \} \subset B$$

for every k. The assertion follows from McMullen-Stallard theorem in [6].

Proposition 4. Set $a = e^{i\alpha}$ and $b = i\beta$. Then we have

$$f(z; a, b, c) = \int_0^z 2be^{(\beta t + \alpha)i/2} \frac{\beta t - \alpha + \pi}{2} \prod_{k=1}^\infty \left(1 - \frac{(\beta t - \alpha + \pi)^2}{4k^2 \pi^2} \right) dt + d.$$

Proof. Since

$$f'(z) = 2be^{(\beta z + \alpha)i/2}\cos\frac{\beta z - \alpha}{2},$$

by using the famous equation

$$\sin \pi z = \pi z \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{k^2} \right),$$

we have

$$f'(z) = 2be^{(\beta z + \alpha)i/2} \frac{\beta z - \alpha + \pi}{2} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{(\beta z - \alpha + \pi)^2}{4k^2 \pi^2} \right).$$

Hence we obtain the desired equation.

Finally we consider the convergence of Julia sets for two cases.

Theorem 5. Fix b > 0 and c < -3 satisfying $1 - bc + \log b > 0$ and let ab < 1. Then the sequence of the Julia sets of $f_a(z) = f(z; a, b, c)$ converges to the Julia set of $f(z) = z + e^{bz} + c$ in the sense of the Hausdorff convergence as $ab \nearrow 1$.

Proof. The assumption $1 - bc + \log b > 0$ implies F(f) consists of only one Baker domain, which can be seen by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.

In the case ab < 1, there exists an attracting fixed point on the negative real axis, say, $-r_a$ $(r_a > 0)$. It is easy to see that r_a tends to infinity monotonically as $ab \nearrow 1$. We set

$$D_a = \{ z | |z + r_a| < r_a \}.$$

For $z \in D_a$, we have

$$|f_a(z) + r_a| < abr_a + 2 < r_a + c + 3 < r_a$$
.

This means that D_a is a collapsing disk for the attracting fixed point $-r_a$. The sequence $\{D_a\}$ is monotonically increasing and converges to $D_0 = \{z | \operatorname{Re} z < 0\}$ in the sense of the Carathéodory convergence. To see the sequence of the basins B_a of the attracting fixed points $-r_a$ to F(f) in the sense of the Carathéodory convergence, we need to show only that, for an arbitrary compact set $E \subset F(f)$, there exists an A>0 such that $E \subset B_a$ for all a>A with ab<1.

There exists an n such that $f^n(E) \subset D_0$, for D_0 is a fundamental set. Since $\{f_a\}$ converges to f uniformly on compact sets as $ab \nearrow 1$ (see [5]), we have $f^n(E) \subset D_a$, and hence E is contained in B_a for all a > A for some A with ab < 1. Therefore, the sequence of the complements $\{B_a^c\}$ converges to the complement $F(f)^c = J(f)$ in the sense of the Hausdorff convergence. Since J(f) has no interior points, we see that $\{J(f_a)\}$ converges to J(f) in the sense of the Hausdorff convergence.

The Fatou set of $z\mapsto z+e^z$ contains infinitely many Baker domains, each of which is contained in the strip

$$S_m = \{z | |\text{Im } z - (2m-1)\pi| < \pi\}$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ (see [4]). The orbits of every point in the Baker domain have the real parts tending to $-\infty$.

Theorem 6. If $f_c(z) = z + e^z + c$ with c < 0, then $F(f_c)$ consists of only one Baker Domain. Furthermore, $\{J(f_c)\}$ converges to $J(z + e^z)$ as $c \nearrow 0$ in the sense of the Hausdorff convergence.

Proof. We set $f(z) = z + e^z$. Let B_m be the Baker domain in S_m . If $z = x + i\{(2m-1)\pi \pm (\alpha + \pi/2)\}$ with $0 \le \alpha < \pi/2$, then we have

Re
$$f(z) = x + e^x \sin \alpha > x$$
.

If $z = x + i\{(2m-1)\pi \pm \alpha\}$ with $0 \le \alpha < \pi/2$ and x < 0, then we have

Im
$$f(z) = (2m-1)\pi \pm \alpha \mp e^x \sin \alpha$$

and thus

$$(2m-1)\pi - \alpha < \text{Im } f(z) < (2m-1)\pi + \alpha.$$

Hence, for $z \in B_m$, there exists an N such that

$$|\operatorname{Im} f^{n}(z) - (2m-1)\pi| < \frac{\pi}{2}$$

for all n > N. Setting

$$K_m = \left\{ z | |\text{Im } z - (2m - 1)\pi| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \text{ Re } z < 0 \right\},$$

we see that K_m is a fundamental set of B_m .

We consider only the case c > -1. Then $D_0 = \{z | \text{Re } z < \log(-c)\}$ is a fundamental set of a Baker domain of f_c . Since $f_c(z) = f(z) + c$,

$$D_0 \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} K_m \right)$$

is also a fundamental set. By the argument similar to that in the proof of Theorem 1, we see that $F(f_c)$ consists of only one Baker domain. Further by the argument similar to that in the proof of Theorem 5, we see that $\{J(f_c)\}$ converges to J(f) as $c \nearrow 0$ in the sense of the Hausdorff convergence.

REFERENCES

1. C. Cowen, Iteration and the solution of functional equations for functions analytic in the unit disk, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **265** (1981), 69-95.

- 2. A. Hinkkanen, B. Krauskopf and H. Kriete, Growing a Baker domain from attracting islands I: The dynamics of the limit function, preprint.
- 3. W. Bergweiler, Invariant domains and singularities, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **117** (1995), 525-532.
- 4. S. Morosawa, Y. Nishimura, M. Taniguchi and T. Ueda, *Holomorphic Dynamics*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999.
- 5. S. Morosawa, The Carathéodory convergence of Fatou components of polynomials to Baker domains or wandering domains, Proceedings of the Second Congress ISAAC, to appear.
- 6. G. M. Stallard, Entire functions with Julia sets of zero measure, *Math Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **108** (1990), 551-557.
- 7. M. Taniguchi, Maskit surgery and structurally finiteness of entire functions, to appear.

Shunsuke Morosawa Department of Mathematics and Information Science, Faculty of Science, Kochi University, Kochi 780-8520, Japan

Masahiko Taniguchi Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan