### TAIWANESE JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 415-420, September 2002

This paper is available online at http://www.math.nthu.edu.tw/tjm/

## ALGORITHMIC ASPECT OF k-TUPLE DOMINATION IN GRAPHS

Chung-Shou Liao\* and Gerard J. Chang\*

**Abstract.** In a graph G, a vertex is said to dominate itself and all of its neighbors. For a fixed positive integer k, the k-tuple domination problem is to find a minimum sized vertex subset such that every vertex in the graph is dominated by at least k vertices in this set. The present paper studies the k-tuple domination problem in graphs from an algorithmic point of view. In particular, we give a linear-time algorithm for the 2-tuple domination problem in trees by employing a labeling method.

# 1. Introduction

The concept of domination in graph theory is a good model for many location problems in operations research. In a graph G, a vertex is said to *dominate* itself and all of its neighbors. A *dominating set* of G = (V, E) is a subset D of V such that every vertex in V is dominated by some vertex in D. The *domination number*  $\gamma(G)$  is the minimum size of a dominating set of G. Domination and its variations have been extensively studied in the literature; see [3, 7, 8].

Among the variations of domination, the k-tuple domination was introduced in [6]; also see [7, p. 189]. For a fixed positive integer k, a k-tuple dominating set of G = (V, E) is a subset D of V such that every vertex in V is dominated by at least k vertices of D. The k-tuple domination number  $\gamma_{\times k}(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of a k-tuple dominating set of G. The special case when k = 1 is the usual domination. The case when k = 2 was called double domination in [6], where exact values of the double domination numbers for some special graphs are obtained. The same paper also gives various bounds of double and k-tuple domination numbers in

Received January 4, 2001; revised June 28, 2001.

Communicated by F. K. Hwang.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C69.

Key words and phrases: Domination, k-tuple domination, algorithm, tree, leaf, neighbor.

\*Supported in part by the National Science Council under grant NSC89-2115-M009-037 and by the Lee and MTI Center for Networking Research at NCTU.

terms of other parameters. Nordhaus-Gaddum type inequality for double domination was given in [5].

The purpose of this paper is to study the k-tuple domination problem from an algorithmic point of view. In particular, we give a linear-time algorithm for the 2-tuple domination problem in trees.

We note that not every graph has a k-tuple dominating set. In fact, a graph G has a k-tuple dominating set if and only if  $\delta(G) + 1 \ge k$ , where  $\delta(G)$  is the minimum degree of a vertex in G. As any nontrivial tree has at least two leaves, we only consider 2-tuple domination for trees.

To establish our algorithm, we employ a labeling method similar to those for variations of domination in tree-type graphs; see [2, 4, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. Suppose G = (V, E) is a graph in which every vertex v is associated with a label M(v) = (t(v), k(v)), where  $t(v) \in \{B, R\}$  and k(v) is a nonnegative integer. The interpretation of the label is that we want to find a "dominating set" D containing all vertices u with t(u) = R (called *required* vertices) such that each vertex v is dominated by at least k(v) vertices in D. More precisely, an M-dominating set of G = (V, E) is a subset D of V satisfying the following conditions:

(M1) If 
$$t(v) = R$$
, then  $v \in D$ .

(M2)  $|N_G[v] \cap D| \ge k(v)$  for all vertices  $v \in V$ , where  $N_G[v] = \{v\} \cup \{u \in V : uv \in E\}$  is the *closed neighborhood* of the vertex v.

The M-domination number  $\gamma_M(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of an M-dominating set in G. Notice that 2-tuple domination is M-domination with M(v)=(B,2) for all vertices v in V. Also, G has an M-dominating set, i.e.,  $\gamma_M(G)$  is finite, if and only if  $|N_G[v]| \geq k(v)$  for all vertices v in V. For instance, if G contains exactly one vertex x, then  $\gamma_M(G)=0$  when  $M(x)=(B,0), \gamma_M(G)=1$  when  $M(x)\in\{(B,1),(R,0),(R,1)\}$ , and  $\gamma_M(G)=\infty$  otherwise.

## 2. 2-TUPLE DOMINATION IN TREES

To give an algorithm for the 2-tuple domination problem in trees, we in fact establish one for the M-domination problem in trees. We believe that the approach has potential for other classes of graphs. We first give the following theorem which is the base of the algorithm. Notice that it works for general graphs.

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose G = (V, E) is a nontrivial graph in which every vertex v has a label M(v) = (t(v), k(v)). Let x be a leaf adjacent to y.

(1) If k(x) > 2 or  $k(y) > |N_G[y]|$ , then G has no M-dominating set.

- (2) If k(x) = 2 or  $k(y) = |N_G[y]|$ , then  $\gamma_M(G) = \gamma_{M'}(G') + 1$ , where G' is obtained from G by deleting x and M' is obtained from M by relabeling y with  $t'(y) = \mathbb{R}$  and  $k'(y) = \max\{k(y) 1, 0\}$ .
- (3) If t(x) = R and k(x) < 2 and  $k(y) < |N_G[y]|$ , then  $\gamma_M(G) = \gamma_{M'}(G') + 1$ , where G' is obtained from G by deleting x and M' is obtained from M by relabeling y with  $k'(y) = \max\{k(y) 1, 0\}$ .
- (4) If M(x) = (B, 1) and  $k(y) < |N_G[y]|$ , then  $\gamma_M(G) = \gamma_{M'}(G')$ , where G' is obtained from G by deleting x and M' is obtained from M by relabeling y with t'(y) = R.
- (5) If M(x) = (B, 0) and  $k(y) < |N_G[y]|$ , then  $\gamma_M(G) = \gamma_M(G x)$ .

*Proof.* (1) This follows from the definition of M-domination.

(2) Suppose D' is a minimum M'-dominating set of G'. Then  $y \in D'$ , since  $t'(y) = \mathbb{R}$ . Hence,  $D = D' \cup \{x\}$  is an M-dominating set of G, since  $|N_G[x] \cap D| \ge 2 \ge k(x)$ . Thus,  $\gamma_{M'}(G') + 1 = |D'| + 1 = |D| \ge \gamma_M(G)$ .

On the other hand, suppose D is a minimum M-dominating set of G. Then  $x,y\in D$ , since k(x)=2 or  $k(y)=|N_G[y]|$ . Hence,  $D'=D\setminus\{x\}$  is an M'-dominating set of G', since  $y\in D'$  and  $|N_G[y]\cap D'|=|N_G[y]\cap D|-1\geq \max\{k(y)-1,0\}=k'(y).$  So,  $\gamma_M(G)=|D|=|D'|+1\geq \gamma_{M'}(G')+1.$ 

These complete the proof of  $\gamma_M(G) = \gamma_{M'}(G') + 1$ .

(3) Suppose D' is an M'-dominating set of G'. Then  $D = D' \cup \{x\}$  is an M-dominating set of G, since  $|N_G[x] \cap D| \ge 1 \ge k(x)$ . Thus,  $\gamma_{M'}(G') + 1 = |D'| + 1 = |D| \ge \gamma_M(G)$ .

On the other hand, suppose D is a minimum M-dominating set of G. Then Then  $x \in D$ , since  $t(x) = \mathbb{R}$ . Hence,  $D' = D \setminus \{x\}$  is an M'-dominating set of G', since  $|N_{G'}[y] \cap D'| = |N_G[y] \cap D| - 1 \ge \max\{k(y) - 1, 0\} = k'(y)$ . So,  $\gamma_M(G) = |D| = |D'| + 1 \ge \gamma_{M'}(G') + 1$ .

These complete the proof of  $\gamma_M(G) = \gamma_{M'}(G') + 1$ .

(4) Suppose D' is a minimum M'-dominating set of G'. Then  $y \in D'$ , since t'(y) = R. Consequently, D' is an M-dominating set of G as M(x) = (B,1). Thus,  $\gamma_{M'}(G') = |D'| \geq \gamma_M(G)$ .

On the other hand, suppose that D is a minimum M-dominating set of G. If  $x \notin D$ , then  $y \in D$ , since k(x) = 1. And so, D is an M'-dominating set of G'. Therefore,  $\gamma_M(G) = |D| \geq \gamma_{M'}(G')$ . We may now assume that  $x \in D$ . Let  $D' = D \setminus \{x\}$ . If  $y \in D'$  and  $|N_{G'}[y] \cap D'| \geq k(y)$ , then D' is an M'-dominating set of G' and so  $\gamma_M(G) = |D| > |D'| \geq \gamma_{M'}(G')$ . So now  $y \notin D'$  or  $|N_{G'}[y] \cap D'| < k(y) - |N_{G}[y]| - 1 = |N_{G'}[y]|$ . For the case when  $y \notin D'$ , let z = y; for the case when  $y \in D'$ , choose a vertex  $z \in N_{G'}[y] \setminus D'$ . Then, in

any case,  $y \in D' \cup \{z\}$  and so  $D' \cup \{z\}$  is an M'-dominating set of G'. Hence,  $\gamma_M(G) = |D| = |D' \cup \{z\}| \ge \gamma_{M'}(G')$ .

These complete the proof of  $\gamma_M(G) = \gamma_{M'}(G')$ .

(5) Suppose D' is a minimum M-dominating set of G-x. Then D' is also an M-dominating set of G, since t(x) = B and k(x) = 0. Therefore,  $\gamma_M(G-x) = |D'| \ge \gamma_M(G)$ .

On the other hand, suppose that D is a minimum M-dominating set of G. If  $x \notin D$ , then D is also an M-dominating set of G-x. Thus,  $\gamma_M(G) = |D| \geq \gamma_M(G-x)$ . We may now assume that  $x \in D$ . Let  $D' = D \setminus \{x\}$ . If  $|N_{G-x}[y] \cap D'| \geq k(y)$ , then D' is an M-dominating set of G-x and so  $\gamma_M(G) = |D| > |D'| \geq \gamma_M(G-x)$ . So now  $|N_{G-x}[y] \cap D'| < k(y) \quad |N_G[y]| - 1 = |N_{G-x}[y]|$ . Choose a vertex  $z \in N_{G-x}[y] \setminus D'$ . Then  $D' \cup \{z\}$  is an M-dominating set of G-x. Hence,  $\gamma_M(G) = |D| = |D' \cup \{z\}| \geq \gamma_M(G-x)$ .

These complete the proof of  $\gamma_M(G)=\gamma_M(G-x)$ .

Based on the theorem above, we have the following linear-time algorithm for the M-domination problem in trees.

```
Algorithm. Find an M-dominating set of a tree.
```

**Input.** A tree T=(V,E) in which each vertex v is labeled by M(v)=(t(v),k(v)). **Output.** A minimum M-dominating set D of T. **Method.** 

```
D \leftarrow \emptyset;
T' \leftarrow T;
while (T') has at least two vertices do
        choose a leaf x adjacent to y in T';
        if (k(x) > 2 \text{ or } k(y) > |N_{T'}[y]|) then
                stop since there is no M-dominating set;
        elseif (k(x) = 2 \text{ or } k(y) = |N_{T'}[y]|) then
               t(y) = R \text{ and } k(y) = \max\{k(y) - 1, 0\} \text{ and } D \leftarrow D \cup \{x\};
        elseif \{* \text{ now } k(x) < 2 \text{ and } k(y) < |N_{T'}[y]| * \} (t(x) = R) then
                k(y) = \max\{k(y) - 1, 0\} \text{ and } D \leftarrow D \cup \{x\};
        elseif {* now t(x) = B, k(x) < 2, k(y) < |N_{T'}[y]| *} (k(x) = 1)
        then t(y) = R;
        T' \leftarrow T' - x \ \{* \ \text{delete} \ x \ \text{from} \ T' \ *\};
end while;
suppose the only vertex of T' is x;
if (k(x) > 1) then STOP as there is no M-dominating set;
elseif (t(x) = R \text{ or } k(x) = 1) then D \leftarrow D \cup \{x\}.
```

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the referee for many useful comments.

## REFERENCES

- 1. S. Arumugam and S. Velammal, Edge domination in graphs, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **2** (1998), 173-179.
- 2. G. J. Chang, Labeling algorithms for domination problems in sun-free chordal graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **22** (1988/89), 21-34.
- 3. G. J. Chang, Algorithmic aspects of domination in graphs, in: *Handbook of Combinatorial Optimization*, D.-Z. Du and P. M. Pardalos, eds., Vol. 3, 1998, pp. 339-405.
- 4. E. J. Cockayne, S. E. Goodman and S. T. Hedetniemi, A linear algorithm for the domination number of a tree, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **4** (1975), 41-44.
- 5. F. Harary and T. W. Haynes, Nordhaus-Gaddum inequalities for domination in graphs, *Discrete Math.* **155** (1996), 99-105.
- 6. F. Harary and T. W. Haynes, Double domination in graphs, *Ars Combin.* **55** (2000), 201-213.
- 7. T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi and P. J. Slater, *Domination in Graphs: the Theory*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- 8. T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi and P. J. Slater, *Domination in Graphs: Selected Topics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- 9. S. F. Hwang and G. J. Chang, The edge domination problem, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **15** (1995), 51-57.
- 10. R. Laskar, J. Pfaff, S. M. Hedetniemi and S. T. Hedetniemi, On the algorithm complexity of total domination, *SIAM J. Alg. Discrete Methods* **5** (1984), 420-425.
- 11. S. L. Mitchell and S. T. Hedetniemi, Edge domination in trees, in: *Proceedings Eighth S. E. Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing*, Utilitas Math., Winnipeg, 1977, pp. 489-509.
- 12. P. J. Slater, R-Domination in graphs, J. Assoc. Comput. Mach. 23 (1976), 446-450.
- 13. M. Yannakakis and F. Gavril, Edge dominating sets in graphs, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **38** (1980), 364-372.
- 14. H. G. Yeh and G. J. Chang, Algorithmic aspects of majority domination, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 1 (1997), 343-350.
- 15. H. G. Yeh and G. J. Chang, Weighted connected domination and Steiner trees in distance-hereditary graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **87** (1998), 245-253.
- 16. H. G. Yeh and G. J. Chang, Weighted *k*-domination and weighted *k*-dominating clique in distance-hereditary graphs, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **263** (2001), 3-8.

Chung-Shou Liao and Gerard J. Chang Department of Applied Mathematics National Chiao Tung University Hsinchu 30050, Taiwan

E-mail: gjchang@math.ntu.edu.tw