

ON SOME SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR STARLIKENESS OF
ORDER α IN C^n

Ming-Sheng Liu and Yu-Can Zhu

Abstract. In this paper, we obtain some new sufficient conditions for starlikeness of order α of biholomorphic mappings on the unit ball in C^n or a complex Hilbert space X by using differential inequalities. We also obtain a distortion theorem and a covering theorem. As their special case, we obtain some sufficient conditions for starlikeness of order α of analytic functions on the unit disc in the complex plane C , which generalize some results of P. T. Mocanu and G. Oros.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H be the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} a_k z^k$$

which are analytic on the unit disk $U = \{z \in C; |z| < 1\}$. By $S^*(\alpha)$ we denote the class of starlike functions of order α in U , where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. It is obvious that $f \in S^*(\alpha)$ if and only if $f(z) \in H$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} > \alpha, \quad \text{for all } z \in U.$$

Suppose that n, m, j, k and l are positive integers, and let C^n be the space of n complex variables $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ with the usual inner product $\langle z, w \rangle =$

Received September 12, 2004; revised October 28, 2004.

Communicated by H. M. Srivastava.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 30C45.

Key words and phrases: Locally biholomorphic mapping, Starlike mapping, Covering theorem.

This work was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of the People's Republic of China (No. 10471048), the Education Commission Foundation of the Fujian Province of the People's Republic of China (No. JA02146) and the Science and Technical Development Foundation of Fuzhou University in the People's Republic of China (No. 2003-XY-11).

$\sum_{j=1}^n z_j \overline{w_j}$ and Euclidian norm $\|z\| = \sqrt{\langle z, z \rangle}$. Let $N(B^n)$ be the class of mappings $f(z) = (f_1(z), \dots, f_n(z))$, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in C^n$, which are holomorphic on the unit ball $B^n = \{z \in C^n : \|z\| < 1\}$ with values in C^n . A mapping $f \in N(B^n)$ is said to be locally biholomorphic on B^n if f has a locally inverse at each point $z \in B^n$ or, equivalently, if the first Fréchet derivative

$$Df(z) = \left(\frac{\partial f_j(z)}{\partial z_k} \right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$$

is nonsingular at each point in B^n .

The second Fréchet derivative of a mapping $f \in N(B^n)$ is a symmetric bilinear operator $D^2f(z)(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $C^n \times C^n$, and $D^2f(z)(z, \cdot)$ is the linear operator obtained by restricting $D^2f(z)$ to $\{z\} \times C^n$. The matrix representation of $D^2f(z)(b, \cdot)$ is

$$D^2f(z)(b, \cdot) = \left(\sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f_j(z)}{\partial z_k \partial z_l} b_l \right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n},$$

where $f(z) = (f_1(z), \dots, f_n(z))$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in C^n$. The norm of $n \times n$ complex matrix A is defined by

$$\|A\| = \sup_{\|z\| \leq 1} \|Az\|.$$

If $f \in N(B^n)$, then for every $k = 1, 2, \dots$, there exists a bounded symmetric k -linear map $D^k f(0) : C^n \times C^n \times \dots \times C^n \rightarrow C^n$ such that $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} D^k f(0)(z^k)$ for $z \in B^n$, where $D^0 f(0)(z^0) = f(0)$ and $D^k f(0)(z^k) = D^k f(0)(z, z, \dots, z)$.

Let $H_m(B^n)$ denote the subclass of $N(B^n)$ consisting of mappings f , which are local biholomorphic and $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} D^k f(0)(z^k)$. $H_m(B^1)$ is denoted by $H_m(\Delta)$.

The class of biholomorphic starlike mappings f on B^n with $f(0) = 0$ is denoted by $S^*(B^n)$. Then $f \in S^*(B^n)$ if and only if f is local biholomorphic such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Df(z)^{-1} f(z), z \rangle > 0$$

for all $z \in B^n - \{0\}$ (see [8, Theorem 1]).

We now define

$$S^*(\alpha, B^n) = \left\{ f \in H_1(B^n) : \left| \frac{1}{\|z\|^2} \langle Df(z)^{-1} f(z), z \rangle - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right| < \frac{1}{2\alpha} \text{ for all } z \in B^n - \{0\} \right\}$$

for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $S^*(0, B^n) \equiv S^*(B^n)$. P. Curt [1] and G. Kohr [2] called the biholomorphic mapping $f \in S^*(\alpha, B^n)$ starlike of order α . Let $S_m^*(\alpha, B^n) \equiv$

$S^*(\alpha, B^n) \cap H_m(B^n)$ for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. It is obvious that $S^*(\alpha, B^1) \equiv S^*(\alpha)$ and $S_m^*(\alpha, B^n) \subset S^*(\alpha, B^n) \equiv S_1^*(\alpha, B^n) \subset S^*(B^n)$ for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

In order to derive our main results, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that $w : B^n(r) \rightarrow C^n$ is a holomorphic mapping with $w(z) = \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} D^k w(0)(z^k)$. If the point $z_0 \in B^n(r) - \{0\}$ satisfies*

$$\|w(z_0)\| = \max_{\|z\| \leq \|z_0\| < r} \|w(z)\|,$$

then there exists a real number $t \geq m + 1$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \langle Dw(z_0)(z_0), w(z_0) \rangle = t \|w(z_0)\|^2.$$

Proof. Let $\psi(\xi) = \langle w(\frac{\xi}{\|z_0\|} z_0), w(z_0) \rangle$, $\xi \in \mathbf{C}$, then $\psi(\xi) = \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k \xi^k$ is analytic on the disc $U = \{\xi : |\xi| < r\}$ and

$$|\psi(\|z_0\|)| = \max_{|\xi| \leq \|z_0\|} |\psi(\xi)|.$$

By Lemma A of [5], we obtain that there exists a real number $t \geq m + 1$ such that

$$\|z_0\| \psi'(\|z_0\|) = t \psi(\|z_0\|).$$

Since

$$\psi'(\|z_0\|) = \left\langle Dw(z_0)\left(\frac{z_0}{\|z_0\|}\right), w(z_0) \right\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(\|z_0\|) = \|w(z_0)\|^2,$$

hence (1.1) holds, and the proof is complete.

Remark 1. In the case $r = 1$ and $m = 0$, the result of Lemma 1 was obtained by P. Liczberski [3].

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < m + 1$ or $\operatorname{Im} \lambda \neq 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, and let*

$$(2.1) \quad R(\lambda) = \begin{cases} |m + 1 - \lambda|, & \operatorname{Re} \lambda < m + 1, \\ |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|, & \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq m + 1, \operatorname{Im} \lambda \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad N = N(\lambda, \alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{1 - 2\alpha}}{\sqrt{(|\lambda| + R(\lambda))^2 + 1 - 2\alpha}}, & 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda| + R(\lambda) + 2}, \\ \frac{1 - \alpha}{|\lambda| + R(\lambda) + \alpha}, & \frac{1}{|\lambda| + R(\lambda) + 2} < \alpha < 1. \end{cases}$$

If $f \in H_m(B^n)$ satisfies the inequality

$$(2.3) \quad \|\|z\|^2(Df(z)(u) - u) - \lambda\langle u, z\rangle(f(z) - z)\| \leq M\|z\|^2$$

for all $z \in B^n$ and all $\|u\| = 1$, where $M = R(\lambda)N(\lambda, \alpha)$, then $f \in S_m^*(\alpha, B^n)$.

Proof. Let $q(z) = f(z) - z$. Then $q(z) = \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} D^k q(0)(z^k) \in N(B^n)$ and

$$(2.4) \quad Df(z)(z) - \lambda f(z) + (\lambda - 1)z = Dq(z)(z) - \lambda q(z).$$

Setting $u = \frac{z}{\|z\|}$ in (2.3) for $z \in B - \{0\}$, using (2.4) and noting $q(0) = 0$, we have

$$(2.5) \quad \|Dq(z)(z) - \lambda q(z)\| \leq M\|z\|.$$

for all $z \in B^n$.

Now we prove that $\|q(z)\| < N$ for all $z \in B^n$.

If it is not true, then there exists a point $z_0 \in B^n - \{0\}$ such that

$$(2.6) \quad N = \|q(z_0)\| = \max_{\|z\| \leq \|z_0\| < 1} \|q(z)\|.$$

Since

$$(2.7) \quad \langle Dq(z_0)(z_0) - \lambda q(z_0), q(z_0) \rangle = \langle Dq(z_0)(z_0), q(z_0) \rangle - \lambda \|q(z_0)\|^2,$$

according to Lemma 1 and (2.5)–(2.7), there exists a real number $t \geq m + 1$ such that

$$\sqrt{(t - \operatorname{Re}\lambda)^2 + (\operatorname{Im}\lambda)^2} N^2 = |t - \lambda| N^2 \leq \|Dq(z_0)(z_0) - \lambda q(z_0)\| \|q(z_0)\| \leq MN \|z_0\|.$$

When $\operatorname{Re}\lambda < m + 1$, we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad \sqrt{(t - \operatorname{Re}\lambda)^2 + (\operatorname{Im}\lambda)^2} \geq \sqrt{(m + 1 - \operatorname{Re}\lambda)^2 + (\operatorname{Im}\lambda)^2} = |m + 1 - \lambda|$$

for $t \geq m + 1$.

When $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq m + 1$ and $\operatorname{Im}\lambda \neq 0$, we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \sqrt{(t - \operatorname{Re}\lambda)^2 + (\operatorname{Im}\lambda)^2} \geq |\operatorname{Im}\lambda|$$

for $t \geq m + 1$.

From (2.1), (2.8) and (2.9), we have

$$(2.10) \quad R(\lambda)N^2 \leq MN \|z_0\| < MN.$$

This leads to $M = R(\lambda)N < M$, which is a contradiction. Hence we conclude that $\|q(z)\| < N$ for all $z \in B^n$. According to Schwarz's Lemma, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \|q(z)\| \leq N\|z\|^{m+1} \quad \text{for all } z \in B^n.$$

From (2.3), we have

$$\| \|z\|^2 Dq(z)(u) - \lambda \langle u, z \rangle q(z) \| \leq M\|z\|^2 \quad \text{for } z \in B^n, \quad \|u\| = 1.$$

It follows that

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \|Dq(z)\| &\leq \sup_{\|u\| \leq 1} \{ \|Dq(z)(u)\| \} \\ &\leq \sup_{\|u\| \leq 1} \left\{ \left\| Dq(z)(u) - \lambda \langle u, z \rangle \frac{q(z)}{\|z\|^2} \right\| + |\lambda| \frac{\|q(z)\|}{\|z\|^2} |\langle u, z \rangle| \right\} \\ &\leq M + |\lambda|N\|z\|^m \leq M + |\lambda|N = M_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $M_1 = (|\lambda| + R(\lambda))N$. Let $w(z) = Df(z)^{-1}f(z)$. Then by (2.12), we have

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \|q(z) + z - w(z)\| &= \|Df(z)w(z) - w(z)\| = \|Dq(z)w(z)\| \\ &\leq \|Dq(z)\| \|w(z)\| \leq M_1 \|w(z)\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in B$.

In the following, we split into two cases to prove.

Case 1. When $\alpha = 0$,

$$(2.14) \quad N = N(\lambda, 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(|\lambda| + R(\lambda))^2 + 1}}.$$

Suppose that f is not in $S^*(0, B^n) = S^*(B^n)$, then there exists a point $z_1 \in B^n - \{0\}$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \langle w(z_1), z_1 \rangle = 0$. From (2.13), we have

$$(2.15) \quad \|q(z_1) + z_1 - w(z_1)\| \leq M_1 \|w(z_1)\|.$$

Claim 1.

$$(2.16) \quad \|z_1 - w(z_1)\| - N\|z_1\| \geq M_1 \|w(z_1)\|.$$

It is equivalent to

$$(2.17) \quad \|z_1\|^2 + \|w(z_1)\|^2 = \|z_1 - w(z_1)\|^2 \geq [N\|z_1\| + M_1\|w(z_1)\|]^2.$$

From (2.17), we obtain

$$(2.18) \quad (1 - N^2)\|z_1\|^2 + [1 - M_1^2]\|w(z_1)\|^2 - 2M_1N\|z_1\|\|w(z_1)\| \geq 0.$$

Note that $N^2 + M_1^2 = 1$, the inequality (2.16) is equivalent to

$$M_1^2\|z_1\|^2 + N^2\|w(z_1)\|^2 - 2M_1N\|z_1\|\|w(z_1)\| = [M_1\|z_1\| - N\|w(z_1)\|]^2 \geq 0.$$

Hence the claim (2.16) is established.

Using (2.16) and (2.11), we obtain

$$\|q(z_1) + z_1 - w(z_1)\| \geq \|z_1 - w(z_1)\| - N\|z_1\|^{m+1} > \|z_1 - w(z_1)\| - N\|z_1\| \geq M_1\|w(z_1)\|,$$

which contradicts (2.15). Hence $f \in S_m^*(B^n)$.

Case 2. When $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $h(z) = 2\alpha Df(z)^{-1}f(z) - z$. We shall prove that $\|h(z)\| < \|z\|$ for all $z \in B^n - \{0\}$. If not, then there exists a point $z_2 \in B^n$ such that $\|h(z_2)\| = \|z_2\|$, it follows that

$$(2.19) \quad \operatorname{Re}\langle w(z_2), z_2 \rangle = \alpha\|w(z_2)\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|w(z_2)\| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}\|z_2\|.$$

Claim 2.

$$(2.20) \quad \|z_2 - w(z_2)\| - N\|z_2\| \geq M_1\|w(z_2)\|.$$

This inequality is equivalent to

$$(2.21) \quad (1 - N^2)\|z_2\|^2 + [1 - 2\alpha - M_1^2]\|w(z_2)\|^2 - 2M_1N\|z_2\|\|w(z_2)\| \geq 0.$$

If $\|w(z_2)\| = 0$, then the inequality holds. If $\|w(z_2)\| > 0$, then from (2.19), we have $\frac{\|z_2\|}{\|w(z_2)\|} \geq \alpha$. According to (2.21), we have

$$x^2 + 1 - 2\alpha \geq [M_1 + Nx]^2,$$

where $x = \frac{\|z_2\|}{\|w(z_2)\|}$. Hence the inequality (2.20) is equivalent to

$$(2.22) \quad N \leq \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1 - 2\alpha}}{|\lambda| + R(\lambda) + x}.$$

for $x \geq \alpha$.

Let $\varphi(x) = \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1 - 2\alpha}}{|\lambda| + R(\lambda) + x}$ for $x \geq \alpha$. Then

$$(2.23) \quad \varphi'(x) = \frac{(|\lambda| + R(\lambda))x - 1 + 2\alpha}{\sqrt{x^2 + 1 - 2\alpha}(|\lambda| + R(\lambda) + x)^2}.$$

Taking $\varphi'(x) = 0$, we conclude that $x_0 = \frac{1-2\alpha}{|\lambda+R(\lambda)}$.

If $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda+R(\lambda)+2}$, then $x_0 \geq \alpha$. Therefore

$$(2.24) \quad \min_{x \geq \alpha} \varphi(x) = \varphi(x_0) = \frac{\sqrt{1-2\alpha}}{\sqrt{(|\lambda+R(\lambda)|)^2 + 1 - 2\alpha}} = N(\lambda, \alpha).$$

If $\frac{1}{|\lambda+R(\lambda)+2} < \alpha < 1$, then $x_0 < \alpha$. Therefore

$$(2.25) \quad \min_{x \geq \alpha} \varphi(x) = \varphi(\alpha) = \frac{1-\alpha}{|\lambda+R(\lambda)+\alpha} = N(\lambda, \alpha).$$

Hence the claim (2.20) is established.

Using (2.20) and (2.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|q(z_2) + z_2 - w(z_2)\| &\geq \|z_2 - w(z_2)\| - N\|z_2\|^{m+1} \\ &> \|z_2 - w(z_2)\| - N\|z_2\| \geq M_1\|w(z_2)\|, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (2.13). Hence $\|2\alpha Df(z)^{-1}f(z) - z\| < \|z\|$ for all $z \in B^n - \{0\}$. Thus we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{\|z\|^2} \langle Df(z)^{-1}f(z), z \rangle - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right| &= \frac{1}{2\alpha\|z\|^2} \left| \langle 2\alpha Df(z)^{-1}f(z) - z, z \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\alpha\|z\|^2} \|2\alpha Df(z)^{-1}f(z) - z\| \cdot \|z\| < \frac{1}{2\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in B^n - \{0\}$. Hence we obtain that $f(z) \in S_m^*(\alpha, B^n)$, and the proof is complete.

Setting $n = 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Suppose that $\operatorname{Re}\lambda < m + 1$ or $\operatorname{Im}\lambda \neq 0$, $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $M = R(\lambda)N(\lambda, \alpha)$, where $R(\lambda)$ and $N = N(\lambda, \alpha)$ are defined by (2.1) and (2.2), respectively. If $f \in H_m(\Delta)$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\left| f'(z) - \lambda \frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda - 1 \right| \leq M$$

for all $z \in U$, then $f \in S^*(\alpha)$.

Remark 2. Corollary 1 generalizes Theorem 2.1 in [7] and Theorem 2.2 in [4], where λ is a real number in Theorem 2.1 of [7] and Theorem 2.2 of [4].

Setting $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2. Let $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and

$$N_m(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{1-2\alpha}}{\sqrt{(m+1)^2+1-2\alpha}}, & 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{m+3}, \\ \frac{1-\alpha}{m+1+\alpha}, & \frac{1}{m+3} < \alpha < 1. \end{cases}$$

If $f \in H_m(B^n)$ satisfies the following inequality

$$\|Df(z) - I\| \leq M \equiv (m+1)N_m(\alpha)$$

for all $z \in B^n$, then $f \in S_m^*(\alpha, B^n)$.

Remark 3. Setting $n = 1, \alpha = 0$ in Corollary 2, we get the result obtained by Mocanu [6]. Setting $n = 1$ in Corollary 2, we get a result, which is better than Corollary 2.2 in [7].

Example 1. Suppose that A is a bounded symmetric $(m+1)$ -linear operator from $C^n \times C^n \times \cdots \times C^n$ to C^n with $\|A\| \leq \frac{M}{m+1+|\lambda|}$, where $M = R(\lambda)N(\lambda, \alpha)$ is defined in Theorem 1. Let $f(z) = z + A(z^{m+1})$, $z \in C^n$. Then $f \in S_m^*(\alpha, B^n)$.

Proof. Some direct computations yield the relations

$$Df(z) = I + (m+1)A(z^m, \cdot)$$

for $z \in B^n$. It implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\|z\|^2(Df(z)(u) - u) - \lambda\langle u, z \rangle(f(z) - z)\| &= \|A(z^m, (m+1)\|z\|^2u + \lambda\langle u, z \rangle z)\| \\ &\leq \|A\|\|z\|^m\|(m+1)\|z\|^2u + \lambda\langle u, z \rangle z\| \\ &\leq (m+1+|\lambda|)\|A\|\|z\|^2 \leq M\|z\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in B^n$ and all $u \in C^n$ with $\|u\| = 1$. Hence by Theorem 1, we obtain that $f \in S_m^*(\alpha, B^n)$.

In particular, let

$$A(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{m+1}) = a \langle z_1, u \rangle \langle z_2, u \rangle \cdots \langle z_{m+1}, u \rangle v,$$

where $u, v \in C^n$ with $\|u\| = \|v\| = 1$ and $a \in C$. Then A is a bounded symmetric $(m+1)$ -linear operator from $C^n \times C^n \times \cdots \times C^n$ to C^n with $\|A\| = |a|$. If

$$f(z) = z + a[\langle z, u \rangle]^{m+1}v$$

and $|a| \leq \frac{m}{m+1+|\lambda|}$, where $M = R(\lambda)N(\lambda, \alpha)$ is defined in Theorem 1, then $f \in S_m^*(\alpha, B^n)$.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $\operatorname{Re}\lambda < m + 1$ or $\operatorname{Im}\lambda \neq 0$ and $0 < R \leq \frac{R(\lambda)}{\sqrt{(|\lambda|+R(\lambda))^2+1}}$, where $R(\lambda)$ is defined by (2.1). If $f \in H_m(B^n)$ satisfies the inequality

$$(2.26) \quad \|\|z\|^2(Df(z)(u) - u) - \lambda\langle u, z \rangle(f(z) - z)\| \leq R\|z\|^2$$

for all $z \in B^n$ and all $\|u\| = 1$, then $f \in S_m^*(\beta, B^n)$, where

$$(2.27) \quad \beta = \begin{cases} \frac{R(\lambda)(1-R) - |\lambda|R}{R+R(\lambda)}, & 0 < R < \frac{R(\lambda)}{|\lambda|+R(\lambda)+1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{R^2(|\lambda|+R(\lambda))^2}{2(R^2-R(\lambda)^2)}, & \frac{R(\lambda)}{|\lambda|+R(\lambda)+1} \leq R \leq \frac{R(\lambda)}{\sqrt{(|\lambda|+R(\lambda))^2+1}}. \end{cases}$$

Proof.

Case 1. When $0 < R < \frac{R(\lambda)}{|\lambda|+R(\lambda)+1}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|\lambda|+R(\lambda)+2} < \beta = \frac{R(\lambda)(1-R) - |\lambda|R}{R+R(\lambda)} < 1,$$

it is equivalent to

$$0 < R = \frac{R(\lambda)(1-\beta)}{|\lambda|+R(\lambda)+\beta} < \frac{R(\lambda)}{|\lambda|+R(\lambda)+1}.$$

Hence by Theorem 1, we have $f \in S_m^*(\beta, B^n)$.

Case 2. When $\frac{R(\lambda)}{|\lambda|+R(\lambda)+1} \leq R \leq \frac{R(\lambda)}{\sqrt{(|\lambda|+R(\lambda))^2+1}}$, we have

$$0 \leq \beta = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{R^2(|\lambda|+R(\lambda))^2}{2(R^2-R(\lambda)^2)} \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda|+R(\lambda)+2},$$

it is equivalent to

$$\frac{R(\lambda)}{|\lambda|+R(\lambda)+1} \leq R = \frac{R(\lambda)\sqrt{1-2\beta}}{\sqrt{(|\lambda|+R(\lambda))^2+1-2\beta}} \leq \frac{R(\lambda)}{\sqrt{(|\lambda|+R(\lambda))^2+1}}.$$

Hence by Theorem 1, we have $f \in S_m^*(\beta, B^n)$, and the proof is complete.

Setting $n = 1$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3. Suppose that $\operatorname{Re}\lambda < m + 1$ or $\operatorname{Im}\lambda \neq 0$ and $0 < R \leq \frac{R(\lambda)}{\sqrt{(|\lambda|+R(\lambda))^2+1}}$, where $R(\lambda)$ is defined by (2.1). If $f \in H_m(\Delta)$ satisfies the inequality

$$\left| f'(z) - \lambda \frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda - 1 \right| \leq R$$

for all $z \in U$, then $f \in S^*(\beta)$, where β is defined by (2.27).

Theorem 3. Suppose that $\operatorname{Re}\lambda < m + 1$ or $\operatorname{Im}\lambda \neq 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, $R(\lambda)$ is defined by (2.1) and $N = N(\lambda, \alpha)$ is defined by (2.2). If $f \in H_m(B^n)$ satisfies the inequality

$$\| \|z\|^2(Df(z)(u) - u) - \lambda\langle u, z \rangle(f(z) - z) \| \leq M\|z\|^2$$

for all $z \in B^n$ and all $\|u\| = 1$, where $M = R(\lambda)N(\lambda, \alpha)$, then

$$(2.28) \quad \|z\| - N\|z\|^{m+1} \leq \|f(z)\| \leq \|z\| + N\|z\|^{m+1},$$

and

$$1 - (|\lambda| + R(\lambda))N\|z\|^m \leq \|Df(z)\| \leq 1 + (|\lambda| + R(\lambda))N\|z\|^m$$

for $z \in B^n$.

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\|f(z) - z\| \leq N\|z\|^{m+1}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\| - N\|z\|^{m+1} &\leq \|z\| - \|f(z) - z\| \leq \|f(z)\| \\ &= \|[f(z) - z] + z\| \leq \|f(z) - z\| + \|z\| \leq \|z\| + N\|z\|^{m+1} \end{aligned}$$

for $z \in B^n$. From (2.12) and $Dq(z)(u) = \sum_{m+1}^{\infty} \frac{kD^k q(0)}{k!}(z^{k-1}, u)$, where $q(z) = f(z) - z$, by Schwarz's Lemma, we obtain

$$\|Dq(z)\| \leq (|\lambda| + R(\lambda))N\|z\|^m$$

for $z \in B^n$. Hence we have

$$\|Df(z) - I\| \leq (|\lambda| + R(\lambda))N\|z\|^m$$

for $z \in B^n$. It follows that

$$1 - (|\lambda| + R(\lambda))N\|z\|^m \leq \|Df(z)\| \leq 1 + (|\lambda| + R(\lambda))N\|z\|^m$$

for $z \in B^n$. Hence the proof is complete.

Corollary 4. [Covering Theorem] Suppose that $\operatorname{Re}\lambda < m + 1$ or $\operatorname{Im}\lambda \neq 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, $R(\lambda)$ is defined by (2.1) and $N = N(\lambda, \alpha)$ is defined by (2.2). If $f \in H_m(B^n)$ satisfies the inequality

$$\| \|z\|^2(Df(z)(u) - u) - \lambda\langle u, z \rangle(f(z) - z) \| \leq M\|z\|^2$$

for all $z \in B^n$ and all $\|u\| = 1$, where $M = R(\lambda)N(\lambda, \alpha)$, then

$$f(B^n) \supset (1 - N)B^n.$$

Theorem 4. Suppose that $\operatorname{Re}\mu < m$ or $\operatorname{Im}\mu \neq 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, and let

$$(2.29) \quad T(\mu) = \begin{cases} |m - \mu|, & \operatorname{Re}\mu < m, \\ |\operatorname{Im}\mu|, & \operatorname{Re}\mu \geq m, \operatorname{Im}\mu \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.30) \quad S = S_m(\mu, \alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{T(\mu)(m+1)\sqrt{1-2\alpha}}{\sqrt{(m+1)^2 + 1 - 2\alpha}}, & 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{m+3}, \\ \frac{T(\mu)(m+1)(1-\alpha)}{m+1+\alpha}, & \frac{1}{m+3} < \alpha < 1. \end{cases}$$

If $f \in H_m(B^n)$ satisfies the inequality

$$(2.31) \quad \|D^2f(z)(z, \cdot) - \mu Df(z) + \mu I\| < S$$

for all $z \in B^n$, then $f \in S_m^*(\alpha, B^n)$.

Proof. Let $u \in B^n - \{0\}$ and fix it. Set $w(z) = Df(z)(u) - u$, then $w(z) \in N(B^n)$ with $w(z) = \sum_{m+1}^{\infty} \frac{kD^k f(0)}{k!}(z^{k-1}, u)$ and $w(0) = 0$.

Now we verify that $\|w(z)\| < S_1 = \frac{S}{T(\mu)}\|u\|$ for all $z \in B^n$. If not, then there exists a point $z_3 \in B^n$ such that

$$S_1 = \|w(z_3)\| = \max_{\|z\| \leq \|z_3\|} \|w(z)\|.$$

By Lemma 1, there exists a real number $t \geq m$ such that

$$(2.32) \quad \langle Dw(z_3)(z_3), w(z_3) \rangle = t\|w(z_3)\|^2.$$

Then by a simple computation, from (2.31), we obtain

$$(2.33) \quad \|Dw(z_3)(z_3) - \mu w(z_3)\| < S\|u\|.$$

It follows from (2.32) and (2.33) that

$$|t - \mu|\|w(z_3)\|^2 \leq |\langle Dw(z_3)(z_3) - \mu w(z_3), w(z_3) \rangle| < S\|u\|\|w(z_3)\|.$$

When $\operatorname{Re}\mu < m$, we obtain

$$(2.34) \quad |t - \mu| = \sqrt{(t - \operatorname{Re}\mu)^2 + (\operatorname{Im}\mu)^2} \geq \sqrt{(m - \operatorname{Re}\mu)^2 + (\operatorname{Im}\mu)^2} = |m - \mu|$$

for $t \geq m$.

When $\operatorname{Re}\mu \geq m$ and $\operatorname{Im}\mu \neq 0$, we obtain

$$(2.35) \quad |t - \mu| = \sqrt{(t - \operatorname{Re}\mu)^2 + (\operatorname{Im}\mu)^2} \geq |\operatorname{Im}\mu|.$$

From (2.29), (2.34) and (2.35), we have

$$T(\mu)\|w(z_3)\|^2 \leq |\langle Dw(z_3)(z_3) - \mu w(z_3), w(z_3) \rangle| < S\|u\|\|w(z_3)\|.$$

Therefore $\|w(z_3)\| < \frac{S}{T(\mu)}\|u\| = S_1$, which contradicts $\|w(z_3)\| = S_1$. Hence we obtain

$$\|Df(z)(u) - u\| \leq \frac{S}{T(\mu)}\|u\|$$

for all $\|u\| = 1$. From this, we conclude that

$$\|Df(z) - I\| \leq \frac{S}{T(\mu)} = (m + 1)N_m(\alpha),$$

for all $z \in B^n$. By Corollary 2, we obtain that $f(z) \in S_m^*(\alpha, B^n)$ and the proof is complete.

Remark 4. Suppose that X is a complex Hilbert space with product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\| = \sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$, and $B = \{z \in X : \|z\| < 1\}$ is the unit ball in X .

Similarly, $f \in S_m^*(\alpha, B)$ if and only if $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=m+1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k!} D^k f(0)(z^k)$ is a locally biholomorphic mapping on B and satisfies the following inequalities

$$\left| \frac{1}{\|z\|^2} \langle Df(z)^{-1} f(z), z \rangle - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right| < \frac{1}{2\alpha}, \quad z \in B - \{0\}$$

for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Df(z)^{-1} f(z), z \rangle > 0, \quad z \in B - \{0\}$$

for $\alpha = 0$. We call the biholomorphic mapping $f \in S_m^*(\alpha, B)$ starlike of order α .

Recently, we discover that if we let X instead of C^n and $f : B \rightarrow X$ is a locally biholomorphic mapping (see [8], p. 146-147), then the results of Lemma 1 and Theorem 1-4 still hold. The proofs are similar. For example, we state two results as follows and omit their proofs.

Theorem 1'. Suppose that $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=m+1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k!} D^k f(0)(z^k) : B \rightarrow X$ is a locally biholomorphic mapping on B and $R(\lambda)$ is defined by (2.1), $N = N(\lambda, \alpha)$ is defined by (2.2). If $f(z)$ satisfies the inequality

$$\| \|z\|^2 (Df(z)(u) - u) - \lambda \langle u, z \rangle (f(z) - z) \| \leq M \|z\|^2$$

for all $z \in B$ and all $u \in X$ with $\|u\| = 1$, where $M = R(\lambda)N(\lambda, \alpha)$, then $f \in S_m^*(\alpha, B)$.

Theorem 4'. Suppose that $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=m+1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k!} D^k f(0)(z^k) : B \rightarrow X$ is a locally biholomorphic mapping on B and $T(\mu)$ is defined by (2.29), $S = S_m(\mu, \alpha)$ is defined by (2.30). If $f(z)$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|D^2 f(z)(z, \cdot) - \mu Df(z) + \mu I\| < S$$

for all $z \in B$, then $f \in S_m^*(\alpha, B)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank Professor H. M. Srivastava for his suggestions and the referee for his helpful comments and suggestions to improve the quality of our paper.

REFERENCES

1. P. Curt, A Marx-Strohhacker theorem on several complex variables, *Mathematica (Cluj)* **1** (1997), 59-70.
2. G. Kohr, Certain partial differential inequalities and applications for holomorphic mappings defined on the unit ball of C^n , *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A*, **50** (1996), 87-94.
3. P. Liczberski, Jack's lemma for holomorphic mappings in C^n , *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A*, **13** (1986), 131-140.
4. M.-S. Liu, On certain sufficient condition for starlike functions, *Soochow J. Math.*, **29** (2003), 407-412.
5. S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **65** (1978), 289-305.
6. P. T. Mocanu, Some simple criteria for starlikeness and convexity, *Libertas Math.*, **13** (1993), 27-40.
7. P. T. Mocanu and G. Oros, A sufficient condition for starlikeness of order α , *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **28** (2001), 557-560.
8. T. J. Suffridge, Starlikeness, convexity and other geometric properties of holomorphic maps in higher dimensions, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **599** (1975), 146-159.

Ming-Sheng Liu
Department of Mathematics,
South China Normal University,
Guangzhou 510631, Guangdong,
People's Republic of China
E-mail: liumsh@scnu.edu.cn

Yu-Can Zhu
Department of Mathematics,
Fuzhou University,
Fuzhou 350002, Fujian,
People's Republic of China
E-mail: zhuyucan@fzu.edu.cn