

CERTAIN CLASSES OF INFINITE SUMS EVALUATED BY MEANS OF FRACTIONAL CALCULUS OPERATORS[Ⓜ]

Shy-Der Lin, Shih-Tong Tu and H. M. Srivastava

Abstract. In several recent works, many different families of infinite series were evaluated by applying certain operators of fractional calculus (that is, calculus of derivatives and integrals of any arbitrary real or complex order). In the present sequel to some of these recent investigations, it is observed that much more general classes of infinite sums can be derived *without* using fractional calculus. Some other related evaluations of finite and infinite sums are also considered.

1. INTRODUCTION

The subject of *fractional calculus* (that is, calculus of derivatives and integrals of any arbitrary real or complex order) has gained importance and popularity during the past three decades or so, due mainly to its demonstrated applications in many seemingly diverse fields of science and engineering (see, for details, [3] and [12]). Indeed one of the most frequently encountered tools in the theory and applications of fractional calculus is furnished by the Riemann-Liouville (*fractional differintegral*) operator D_z^{-1} defined by (*cf.*, *e.g.*, [6] and [12])

$$(1.1) \quad D_z^{-1} f(z) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^z (z-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt & (\Re(\alpha) < 0) \\ \frac{d^m}{dz^m} D_z^{-1-m} f(z) & (m-1 \leq \Re(\alpha) < m; m \in \mathbb{N}); \end{cases}$$

Received August 31, 2001.

Communicated by S.-B. Hsu.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 26A33, 33C20; Secondary 33B15.

Key words and phrases: Fractional calculus, infinite series, Riemann-Liouville operator, fractional derivative, fractional integral, generalized Leibniz rule, hypergeometric functions, expansion formula, reduction formula, harmonic numbers, recurrence (or contiguous-function) relations, combinatorial identity, Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function, Psi (or Digamma) function, summation formulas.

[Ⓜ]Invited paper

provided that the integral in (1.1) exists, N being (as usual) the set of *positive* integers.

Recently, by applying the following (essentially equivalent) definition of a *fractional differintegral* (that is, *fractional derivative* and *fractional integral*) of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, Nishimoto *et al.* [11] derived the sums of two interesting families of infinite series which we reproduce here, in *slightly modified forms*, as Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 below.

Definition (*cf.* [7], [8], and [17]). If the function $f(z)$ is analytic (regular) inside and on C , where

$$(1.2) \quad C := fC^i ; C^+g;$$

C^i is a contour along the cut joining the points z and $j^{-1} + i\mathfrak{J}(z)$, which starts from the point at j^{-1} , encircles the point z once counter-clockwise, and returns to the point at j^{-1} , C^+ is a contour along the cut joining the points z and $1 + i\mathfrak{J}(z)$, which starts from the point at 1 , encircles the point z once counter-clockwise, and returns to the point at 1 ,

$$(1.3) \quad f_\alpha(z) = {}_C f_\alpha(z) := \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^{\alpha+1}}$$

$$(\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \neq -1; \quad \zeta := j^{-1}; j^{-2}; j^{-3}; \dots; g)$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad f_{i^{-n}}(z) := \lim_{i \rightarrow n} {}_C f_\alpha(z) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N});$$

where $\zeta \in z$;

$$(1.5) \quad j^{-1/4} \leq \arg(\zeta - z) \leq 1/4 \quad \text{for } C^i ;$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad 0 \leq \arg(\zeta - z) \leq 2\pi/4 \quad \text{for } C^+;$$

then $f_\alpha(z)$ ($\alpha > 0$) is said to be the *fractional derivative of $f(z)$ of order α* and $f_\alpha(z)$ ($\alpha < 0$) is said to be the *fractional integral of $f(z)$ of order $|\alpha|$* , provided that

$$(1.7) \quad |j f_\alpha(z)| < 1 \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{R});$$

Theorem 1 (cf. Nishimoto *et al.* [11, p. 92, Theorem 1]). *Let c and z be complex numbers. Then*

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{k=2}^1 \frac{(i\ c)^k}{k(k\ i\ 1)} \zeta \frac{kz\ i\ c}{(z\ i\ c)^{k_i\ 1}} = c^2;$$

provided that

$$(1.9) \quad \left| \frac{c}{z\ i\ c} \right| < 1 \quad (c; z\ 2\ C):$$

Theorem 2 (cf. Nishimoto *et al.* [11, p. 93, Theorem 2]). *For complex parameters a ; b ; and c ;*

$$(1.10) \quad \sum_{k=1}^1 \frac{(k + c + a\ i\ 1)\Gamma(k + a + b\ i\ 1)}{k!} \left(i\ \frac{c}{b\ i\ c} \right)^k \\ = \Gamma(a + b\ i\ 1) \left\{ (a\ i\ 1) \left(\frac{b\ i\ c}{b} \right)^{a+b} i\ (c + a\ i\ 1) \right\};$$

provided that

$$(1.11) \quad \max\{j\Gamma(k + a); j\Gamma(k + a + b\ i\ 1)\} < 1 \quad (a; b\ 2\ C; k\ 2\ N)$$

and

$$(1.12) \quad \left| \frac{c}{b\ i\ c} \right| < 1 \quad (b; c\ 2\ C):$$

The proof of *each* of their results (Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 above) by Nishimoto *et al.* [11] is based rather heavily upon several lemmas and properties involving the fractional differintegrals of logarithm and power functions (and, in the case of Theorem 2, also upon the generalized Leibniz rule for the differintegral of the product of two functions), which are defined by (1.3). For the sake of completeness, we find it to be worthwhile to recall here each of these potentially useful lemmas and properties associated with the fractional differintegration which is defined above (cf., e:g., [7] and [8]).

Lemma 1 (Linearity Property). *If the functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are single-valued and analytic in some domain $\Omega \mu C$; then*

$$(1.13) \quad (k_1f(z) + k_2g(z))^\circ = k_1f^\circ(z) + k_2g^\circ(z) \quad (\circ \ 2\ R; z \ 2\ \Omega)$$

for any constants k_1 and k_2 .

Lemma 2 (Index Law). *If the function $f(z)$ is single-valued and analytic in some domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$; then*

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} (f_1(z))^\circ &= f_{1+\circ}(z) = (f^\circ(z))_1 \\ (f_1(z) \neq 0; \quad f^\circ(z) \neq 0; \quad 1; \circ \in \mathbb{R}; \quad z \in \Omega): \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3 (Generalized Leibniz Rule). *If the functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are single-valued and analytic in some domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$; then*

$$(1.15) \quad (f(z) \natural g(z))^\circ = \sum_{n=0}^1 \binom{\circ}{n} f^{\circ-n}(z) \natural g_n(z) \quad (\circ \in \mathbb{R}; \quad z \in \Omega);$$

where g_n is the ordinary derivative of $g(z)$ of order n ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$); it being tacitly assumed (for simplicity) that $g(z)$ is the polynomial part (if any) of the product $f(z) \natural g(z)$.

Property 1. *For constants $c; \circ;$ and $\circ;$*

$$(1.16) \quad \begin{aligned} ((z-i c)^\circ)^\circ &= e^{i \frac{\circ}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\circ-i \frac{\circ}{2})}{\Gamma(i \frac{\circ}{2})} (z-i c)^{i \frac{\circ}{2}} \\ (\circ \in \mathbb{R}; \quad c; z \in \mathbb{C}; \quad j\Gamma(\circ-i \frac{\circ}{2}) = \Gamma(i \frac{\circ}{2}) \quad j < 1): \end{aligned}$$

Property 2. *For constants c and $\circ;$*

$$(1.17) \quad \begin{aligned} ((z-i c)^{i \frac{\circ}{2}})_{i \frac{\circ}{2}} &= i \frac{e^{i \frac{\circ}{2}}}{\Gamma(\circ)} \log(z-i c) \\ (\circ \in \mathbb{R}; \quad c; z \in \mathbb{C}; \quad j\Gamma(\circ) \quad j < 1): \end{aligned}$$

Property 3. *For constants c and $\circ;$*

$$(1.18) \quad \begin{aligned} (\log(z-i c))^\circ &= i e^{i \frac{\circ}{2}} \Gamma(\circ) (z-i c)^{i \frac{\circ}{2}} \\ (\circ \in \mathbb{R}; \quad c; z \in \mathbb{C}; \quad j\Gamma(\circ) \quad j < 1): \end{aligned}$$

Subsequently, Salinas de Romero and Srivastava [14] demonstrated that, not only *each* of the assertions of Theorems 1 and 2, but much more general families of infinite sums can also be evaluated *without* using the aforementioned fractional differintegral operator defined by (1.3). In fact, the only tool employed by Salinas de Romero and Srivastava [14], in their *alternative* derivation of Theorem 1 and its generalizations *without* using fractional calculus, is the familiar expansion formula:

$$(1.19) \quad \log(1+z) = \sum_{k=1}^1 \frac{(i-1)^{k+1}}{k} z^k = z-i \sum_{k=1}^1 \frac{(i-1)^{k+1}}{k+1} z^{k+1} \quad (|z| < 1)$$

or its *obvious* variation given by

$$(1.20) \quad \log(1 + z) = \sum_{k=1}^{m_i - 1} \frac{(i - 1)^{k+1}}{k} z^k + \sum_{k=0}^1 \frac{(i - 1)^{k+m}}{k + m} z^{k+m}$$

($|z| < 1; m \in \mathbb{N}$);

where (*and throughout this paper*) an empty sum is interpreted (*as usual*) to be zero. On the other hand, Theorem 2 *as well as* its *natural* generalization were shown (by Salinas de Romero and Srivastava [14]) to be *simple* consequences of the following known hypergeometric reduction formula (cf., e.g., [4] and [15, p. 39, Equation (6)]):

$$(1.21) \quad {}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} -1 + m; \textcircled{2}; \dots; \textcircled{p}; \\ -1; \dots; -q; \end{matrix} ; z \right] = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \frac{(\textcircled{2})_j \textcircled{\text{c}} \textcircled{\text{c}} \textcircled{\text{c}} (\textcircled{p})_j}{(-1)_j \textcircled{\text{c}} \textcircled{\text{c}} \textcircled{\text{c}} (-q)_j} \textcircled{z}^j {}_{p_i - 1}F_{q_i - 1} \left[\begin{matrix} \textcircled{2} + j; \dots; \textcircled{p} + j; \\ -2 + j; \dots; -q + j; \end{matrix} ; z \right];$$

which holds true whenever *each* member exists. Here ${}_pF_q$ denotes a generalized hypergeometric function with p numerator and q denominator parameters, defined by (cf. [1, Chapter 4])

$$(1.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & {}_pF_q(\textcircled{1}; \dots; \textcircled{p}; -1; \dots; -q; z) \\ &= {}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} \textcircled{1}; \dots; \textcircled{p}; \\ -1; \dots; -q; \end{matrix} ; z \right] \\ &:= \sum_{k=0}^1 \frac{(\textcircled{1})_k \textcircled{\text{c}} \textcircled{\text{c}} \textcircled{\text{c}} (\textcircled{p})_k}{(-1)_k \textcircled{\text{c}} \textcircled{\text{c}} \textcircled{\text{c}} (-q)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!} \end{aligned}$$

$$(p; q \in \mathbb{N}_0; p \leq q + 1; p \leq q \text{ and } |z| < 1;$$

$$p = q + 1 \text{ and } |z| < 1; p = q + 1; |z| = 1; \text{ and } \Re(!) > 0);$$

where (*and in what follows*) $(s)_k$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol (or the *shifted* factorial, since $(1)_k = k!$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}_0$)) given by

$$(1.23) \quad (s)_k := \frac{\Gamma(s + k)}{\Gamma(s)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (k = 0) \\ s(s + 1)\textcircled{\text{c}} \textcircled{\text{c}} \textcircled{\text{c}} (s + k - 1) & (k \in \mathbb{N}) \end{cases}$$

and

$$(1.24) \quad f := \sum_{j=1}^q z_j^{-i} \sum_{j=1}^p \mathbb{R}_j \quad (z_j \notin Z_0 := Z^i \setminus \{0\}; j = 1, \dots, q);$$

First of all, following Salinas de Romero and Srivastava [14], we denote, for convenience, the infinite series in (1.8) by S . Then, upon replacing the summation index k by $k + 1$; it is easily seen that

$$(1.25) \quad \begin{aligned} S &:= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(i-c)^k}{k(k-i-1)} \zeta \frac{kz_j - c}{(z_j - c)^{k+1}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(i-c)^{k+1}}{k(k+1)} \zeta \frac{(k+1)z_j - c}{(z_j - c)^k} \\ &= cZ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(i-1)^{k+1}}{k} \left(\frac{c}{z_j - c}\right)^k \\ &\quad - i c^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (i-1)^{k+1} \left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1}\right) \left(\frac{c}{z_j - c}\right)^k \\ &= c(z_j - c) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(i-1)^{k+1}}{k} \left(\frac{c}{z_j - c}\right)^k \\ &\quad + c(z_j - c) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(i-1)^{k+1}}{k+1} \left(\frac{c}{z_j - c}\right)^{k+1}; \end{aligned}$$

Now, under the hypothesis (1.9) of Theorem 1, we can apply the expansion formula (1.19) to each of the infinite series in (1.25). We thus find that

$$\begin{aligned} S &= c(z_j - c) \left\{ \log \left(1 + \frac{c}{z_j - c}\right) + \left[\frac{c}{z_j - c} - \log \left(1 + \frac{c}{z_j - c}\right) \right] \right\} \\ &= c^2 \left(c; z_j - c; \left| \frac{c}{z_j - c} \right| < 1 \right); \end{aligned}$$

which evidently proves Theorem 1.

Alternatively (and *relatively* more simply), in view of the expansion formula (1.19) and the elementary identity:

$$kz_j - c = k(z_j - c) + (k - 1)c;$$

the first member S of the assertion (1.8) of Theorem 1 can immediately be rewritten in the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &:= \sum_{k=2}^1 \frac{(i\ c)^k}{k(k\ i\ 1)} \zeta \frac{kz\ i\ c}{(z\ i\ c)^{k_i\ 1}} \\
 &= \sum_{k=2}^1 \frac{(i\ c)^k}{k(k\ i\ 1)} \zeta \frac{k(z\ i\ c) + (k\ i\ 1)c}{(z\ i\ c)^{k_i\ 1}} \\
 &= \sum_{k=2}^1 \frac{(i\ c)^k}{(k\ i\ 1)(z\ i\ c)^{k_i\ 2}} + c \sum_{k=2}^1 \frac{(i\ c)^k}{k(z\ i\ c)^{k_i\ 1}} \\
 &= c(z\ i\ c) \sum_{k=1}^1 \frac{(i\ 1)^{k+1}}{k} \left(\frac{c}{z\ i\ c}\right)^k + c(z\ i\ c) \sum_{k=1}^1 \frac{(i\ 1)^{k+1}}{k+1} \left(\frac{c}{z\ i\ c}\right)^{k+1} \\
 &= c(z\ i\ c) \log\left(1 + \frac{c}{z\ i\ c}\right) + c(z\ i\ c) \left[\frac{c}{z\ i\ c} \ i \log\left(1 + \frac{c}{z\ i\ c}\right)\right] \\
 &= c^2 \left(c; z\ 2\ C; \left|\frac{c}{z\ i\ c}\right| < 1\right);
 \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely the second member of the assertion (1.8) of Theorem 1. By noticing the *obvious* similarity of the last two infinite series above, we remark that the use of the expansion formula (1.19) can *also* be avoided *completely* in *this* alternative (and *relatively* simpler) derivation of the assertion (1.8) of Theorem 1.

Next we turn to the alternative derivation of the assertion (1.10) of Theorem 2 *without* using fractional calculus. Since

$$(1.26) \quad z = \frac{\Gamma(z+1)}{\Gamma(z)} \left(z \geq C; \left|\frac{\Gamma(z+1)}{\Gamma(z)}\right| < 1\right);$$

by appealing appropriately to the definitions (1.22) and (1.23), we readily find from the left-hand side of (1.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.27) \quad \Omega(a; b; c) &:= \sum_{k=1}^1 \frac{(k+c+a\ i\ 1)\Gamma(k+a+b\ i\ 1)}{k!} \left(i\ \frac{c}{b\ i\ c}\right)^k \\
 &= (c+a\ i\ 1)\Gamma(a+b\ i\ 1) \left({}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} c+a; a+b\ i\ 1; \\ c+a\ i\ 1; \end{matrix} i\ \frac{c}{b\ i\ c} \right] i\ 1\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

in terms of the Gauss hypergeometric function which corresponds to a special case of the definition (1.22) when

$$p = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad q = 1:$$

Upon setting

$$(1.28) \quad \begin{aligned} p &= 2; \quad q = 1; \quad m = 1; \quad \textcircled{2} = a + b_i - 1; \quad \textcircled{-} = c + a_i - 1; \quad \text{and} \\ z &= i \frac{c}{b_i - c} \end{aligned}$$

in the hypergeometric reduction formula (1.21), and recalling that

$$(1.29) \quad {}_1F_0(\textcircled{-}; -; z) = (1 - z)^{\textcircled{-}} \quad (\textcircled{-} \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1);$$

we obtain

$$(1.30) \quad \begin{aligned} & {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} c + a; a + b_i - 1; \\ c + a_i - 1; \end{matrix} ; i \frac{c}{b_i - c} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{1}{j} \frac{(a + b_i - 1)_j}{(c + a_i - 1)_j} \left(i \frac{c}{b_i - c} \right)^j \zeta \left(1 + \frac{c}{b_i - c} \right)^{1_i a_i b_i j} \\ &= \left(\frac{b}{b_i - c} \right)^{1_i a_i b} i \frac{a + b_i - 1}{c + a_i - 1} \zeta \frac{c}{b_i - c} \left(\frac{b}{b_i - c} \right)^{i a_i b} \\ &= \left(\frac{b_i - c}{b} \right)^{a+b} \left(\frac{b}{b_i - c} i \frac{c(a + b_i - 1)}{(c + a_i - 1)(b_i - c)} \right) \\ &= \frac{a_i - 1}{c + a_i - 1} \left(\frac{b_i - c}{b} \right)^{a+b}; \end{aligned}$$

which holds true under the constraints (1.11) and (1.12), *exceptional* parameter values (that would render any expression invalid or undefined) being tacitly excluded.

The assertion (1.10) of Theorem 2 would now follow immediately upon substituting from (1.30) into the last member of (1.27).

For the sake of ready reference, we choose *also* to state the aforementioned generalizations of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, given by Salinas de Romero and Srivastava [14, p. 142, Equations (3.2) and (3.3)], as follows:

$$(1.31) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\textcircled{2})_{k-2} (\textcircled{-})_{k-2}}{(\textcircled{0})_{k-2}} \zeta \frac{(i - c)^k}{(k - 2)!(z - c)^{k-1}} \\ & \zeta \left[z - c \left(1 - i \frac{(\textcircled{2} + k - 2)(\textcircled{-} + k - 2)}{(k - 1)(\textcircled{0} + k - 2)} \right) \right] = c^2 \\ & \left(\textcircled{0} \geq Z_0; \left| \frac{c}{z - c} \right| < 1; c; z \in \mathbb{C} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where exceptional values of c and z (which would render either side of (1.34) invalid or undefined) are tacitly excluded.

Remark 2. In its special case when

$$l = m - j - 1 \quad (m \geq N);$$

the summation formula (1.34) would reduce at once to (1.27) which, in turn, yields the assertion (1.8) of Theorem 1 when we *further* set $m = 1$:

The following consequence of the hypergeometric reduction formula (1.21) does provide a generalization of Theorem 2, which was also given by Salinas de Romero and Srivastava [14, p. 144, Equation (3.9)]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.35) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^1 (k + \bar{})_m \Gamma(k + \textcircled{c}) \frac{z^k}{k!} \\
 & = \Gamma(\textcircled{c}) \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \frac{(\textcircled{c})_j (\bar{})_m}{(\bar{})_j} \frac{z^j}{(1 - z)^{\textcircled{c}+j}} \right\} i (\bar{})_m \\
 & \quad (\textcircled{c} \geq C; \bar{} \geq C - n; Z_0^i; |z| < 1):
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3. In its special case when

$$m = 1; \textcircled{c} = a + b - j - 1; \bar{} = c + a - j - 1; \quad \text{and} \quad z = i \frac{c}{b - j - c};$$

the summation formula (1.35) would immediately yield the assertion (1.10) of Theorem 2.

Yet another interesting generalization of Theorem 1, which does not seem to follow easily from any of the generalizations (1.31) to (1.34) of Theorem 1 due to Salinas de Romero and Srivastava [14], was presented recently by Nishimoto *et al.* [10]. We recall here the *main* result of Nishimoto *et al.* [10] as

Theorem 3 (cf. Nishimoto *et al.* [10]). *Let H_n denote the familiar harmonic numbers defined by*

$$(1.36) \quad H_n := \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} & (n \geq N) \\ 0 & (n \geq Z_0^i): \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.37) \quad & \sum_{k=n+1}^1 \frac{\Gamma(k-i-n)}{k!} \left(i \frac{c}{z-i-c} \right)^k \\
 &= \frac{(i-1)^n}{n!} \left[{}_f \log(z-i-c) \left|_{i-H_n}^{g_i} \left(\frac{z}{z-i-c} \right)^n (\log z-i-H_n) \right] \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(i-1)^n}{k!(n-i-k)!} \left(\frac{c}{z-i-c} \right)^k {}_f \log(z-i-c) \left|_{i-H_{n_i-k}}^{g_i} \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. \left(n \geq N_0; \left| \frac{c}{z-i-c} \right| < 1; c; z \geq C \right); \right. \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

provided that each member of the assertion (1.37) exists.

In view of the definition (1.36), upon setting $n = 1$ in the assertion (1.37) of Theorem 3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.38) \quad & \sum_{k=2}^1 \frac{(i-c)^k}{k(k-i-1)} \zeta \frac{1}{(z-i-c)^{k_i-1}} = z \log \left(\frac{z}{z-i-c} \right) \left|_{i-c} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left(\left| \frac{c}{z-i-c} \right| < 1; c; z \geq C \right); \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

so that, by appealing also to the expansion formula (1.20) with $m = 1$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=2}^1 \frac{(i-c)^k}{k(k-i-1)} \zeta \frac{kz-i-c}{(z-i-c)^{k_i-1}} \\
 &= i \zeta \sum_{k=0}^1 \frac{(i-1)^{k+1}}{k+1} \left(\frac{c}{z-i-c} \right)^{k+1} \left|_{i-c} \right. \left[z \log \left(\frac{z}{z-i-c} \right) \left|_{i-c} \right. \right] \\
 &= i \zeta \left[i \log \left(1 + \frac{c}{z-i-c} \right) \right] \left|_{i-c} \right. \left[z \log \left(\frac{z}{z-i-c} \right) \left|_{i-c} \right. \right] \\
 &= c^2 \quad \left(\left| \frac{c}{z-i-c} \right| < 1; c; z \geq C \right);
 \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely the assertion (1.8) of Theorem 1.

In their proof of Theorem 3, Nishimoto *et al.* [10] apply many of the aforementioned lemmas and properties involving the fractional differintegral of logarithm and power functions as well as the generalized Leibniz rule for the differintegral

of the product of two functions, and indeed *also* the following *exceptional* case of Property 3 above:

$$(1.39) \quad (\log(z - c))_{i, n} = \frac{(z - c)^n}{n!} {}_f \log(z - c)_{i, H_n} g \\ (c; z - 2C; n - 2N_0);$$

where we have continued to use the differintegral notation of (1.18) with $\circ = i - n$ ($n \in N_0$) and H_n denotes the harmonic numbers defined by (1.36). Our *main* object in the present sequel to the work of Nishimoto *et al.* [10] is to demonstrate that, not only the assertion (1.37) of Theorem 3, but substantially more general families of infinite sums can also be evaluated *without* using the fractional differintegral operator defined by (1.3).

2. DERIVATIONS WITHOUT USING OPERATORS OF FRACTIONAL CALCULUS

At the outset, if we denote the left-hand side of the assertion (1.37) of Theorem 3 by $\Omega_n(c; z)$, we find from the definition (1.23) that

$$(2.1) \quad \Omega_n(c; z) := \sum_{k=n+1}^1 \frac{\Gamma(k - i - n)}{k!} \left(i - \frac{c}{z - i - c} \right)^k \\ = \sum_{k=2}^1 \frac{\Gamma(k - i - 1)}{(k + n - i - 1)!} \left(i - \frac{c}{z - i - c} \right)^{k+n-i-1} \\ = \frac{(i - c)^{n-i-1}}{(n+1)!(z - i - c)^n} \sum_{k=2}^1 \frac{(1)_{k-i-2} (1)_{k-i-2}}{(n+2)_{k-i-2}} \left(i - \frac{c}{z - i - c} \right)^k;$$

which exhibits the fact that the infinite sum in the assertion (1.37) of Theorem 3 is essentially analogous to the series involved in the summation formula (1.31) with, of course,

$$\circ = - = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \circ = n + 2 \quad (n \in N);$$

Moreover, in terms of the Gauss hypergeometric ${}_2F_1$ function which, just as we remarked above in connection with (1.27), corresponds to a special case of the generalized hypergeometric ${}_pF_q$ function defined by (1.22) when

$$p - 1 = q = 1;$$

it immediately follows from (2.1) that

$$(2.2) \quad \Omega_n(c; z) = \frac{{}_f c = (c - i - z) g^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} {}_2F_1 \left(1; 1; n+2; i - \frac{c}{z - i - c} \right)$$

$$\left(n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \left| \frac{c}{z - c} \right| < 1; c; z \in \mathbb{C} \right):$$

Thus, upon simplifying the right-hand side of (1.37), if we compare (1.37) and (2.2), we can rewrite the assertion (1.37) of Theorem 3 in its *equivalent* form:

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_2F_1 \left(1; 1; n + 2; i \frac{c}{z - c} \right) \\ (2.3) \quad &= (n + 1) \left[\left(1 - \frac{z}{c} \right) \left(\frac{z}{c} \right)^n \left\{ H_n - i \log \left(\frac{z}{z - c} \right) \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{c}{z - c} \right)^{k - n - 1} H_{n - k} \right] \\ & \left(n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \left| \frac{c}{z - c} \right| < 1; c; z \in \mathbb{C} \right): \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(2.4) \quad \left(\frac{z}{c} \right)^n = \left(1 + \frac{z - c}{c} \right)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{z - c}{c} \right)^k$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \left(1 - \frac{z}{c} \right) \left(\frac{z}{c} \right)^n = i \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{z - c}{c} \right)^{k+1};$$

the assertion (1.37) of Theorem 3 can also be put in the elegant form:

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_2F_1 \left(1; 1; n + 2; i \frac{c}{z - c} \right) \\ (2.6) \quad &= (n + 1) \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{z - c}{c} \right)^{k+1} \left\{ \log \left(\frac{z}{z - c} \right) - i (H_n - H_k) \right\} \\ & \left(n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \left| \frac{c}{z - c} \right| < 1; c; z \in \mathbb{C} \right): \end{aligned}$$

The case $n = 0$ of the equivalent summation formulas (1.37), (2.3), and (2.6) corresponds to the well-known relationship [1, p. 102, Equation 2.8 (15)]:

$$(2.7) \quad {}_2F_1(1; 1; 2; z) = i \frac{1}{z} \log(1 - z);$$

which would follow immediately when we compare (1.19) and the definition (1.22) *with*, of course,

$$p - 1 = q = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_1 = -1 = \sigma_1 - 1 = 1:$$

Also, starting from the relationship (2.7) and applying the known recurrence (or, more precisely, contiguous-function) relation [1, p. 103, Equation 2.8 (38)]:

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & {}^{\circ}(1 \mid z) {}_2F_1(\textcircled{a}; \textcircled{b}; \textcircled{c}; z) \mid {}^{\circ} {}_2F_1(\textcircled{a} \mid 1; \textcircled{b}; \textcircled{c}; z) \\ & + ({}^{\circ} \mid \textcircled{b}) z {}_2F_1(\textcircled{a}; \textcircled{b}; \textcircled{c} + 1; z) = 0; \end{aligned}$$

which, upon setting $\textcircled{a} = \textcircled{b} = 1$; assumes the remarkably simple form:

$$(2.9) \quad {}_2F_1(1; 1; \textcircled{c} + 1; z) = \frac{\textcircled{c}}{(\textcircled{c} \mid 1)z} [1 \mid (1 \mid z) {}_2F_1(1; 1; \textcircled{c}; z)] \quad (\textcircled{c} \notin 1);$$

we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad {}_2F_1(1; 1; 3; z) = \frac{2}{z} \left[1 \mid \left(\frac{z \mid 1}{z} \right) \log(1 \mid z) \right];$$

which corresponds to the case $n = 1$ of the equivalent summation formulas (1.37), (2.3), and (2.6).

By making use of the recurrence relation (2.9) with

$$\textcircled{c} = n + 2 \quad \text{and} \quad z \nabla! \mid \frac{c}{z \mid c};$$

it is not difficult to prove the general summation formula (2.6), and hence also its equivalent forms (1.37) and (2.3), by appealing to the principle of mathematical induction for every nonnegative integer n . Indeed, if we assume that the summation formula (2.6) holds true for some positive integer n , we thus find from (2.9) and (2.6) that

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & {}_2F_1 \left(1; 1; n + 3; \mid \frac{c}{z \mid c} \right) \\ & = \mid \frac{n + 2}{n + 1} \left(\frac{z \mid c}{c} \right) \left[1 \mid \left(1 + \frac{c}{z \mid c} \right) {}_2F_1 \left(1; 1; n + 2; \mid \frac{c}{z \mid c} \right) \right] \\ & = \mid \frac{n + 2}{n + 1} \left(\frac{z \mid c}{c} \right) + \frac{n + 2}{n + 1} \left(1 + \frac{z \mid c}{c} \right) {}_2F_1 \left(1; 1; n + 2; \mid \frac{c}{z \mid c} \right) \\ & = \mid \frac{n + 2}{n + 1} \left(\frac{z \mid c}{c} \right) + (n + 2) \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{z \mid c}{c} \right)^{k+1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left\{ \log \left(\frac{z}{z \mid c} \right) \mid (H_n \mid H_k) \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{z \mid c}{c} \right)^{k+2} \left\{ \log \left(\frac{z}{z \mid c} \right) \mid (H_n \mid H_k) \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= i \frac{n+2}{n+1} \left(\frac{z_i c}{c}\right) + (n+2) \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{z_i c}{c}\right)^{k+1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left\{ \log \left(\frac{z}{z_i c}\right) i (H_n i H_k) \right\} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \binom{n}{k_i 1} \left(\frac{z_i c}{c}\right)^{k+1} \left\{ \log \left(\frac{z}{z_i c}\right) i (H_n i H_{k_i 1}) \right\} \right] \\
 &= i \frac{n+2}{n+1} \left(\frac{z_i c}{c}\right) + (n+2) \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} \left(\frac{z_i c}{c}\right)^{k+1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left\{ \log \left(\frac{z}{z_i c}\right) i (H_n i H_k) \right\} i \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{n}{k_i 1} \left(\frac{z_i c}{c}\right)^{k+1} \right];
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have made use of the combinatorial identity:

$$(2.12) \quad \binom{s}{k} + \binom{s}{k_i 1} = \binom{s+1}{k} \quad (k \geq N; s \geq C)$$

and the fact that

$$(2.13) \quad H_{k_i 1} = H_k i \frac{1}{k} \quad (k \geq N):$$

Applying (2.13) once again with $k = n + 1$ ($n \geq N_0$), (2.11) immediately yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.14) \quad & {}_2F_1 \left(1; 1; n+3; i \frac{c}{z_i c} \right) \\
 &= i \frac{n+2}{n+1} \left(\frac{z_i c}{c}\right) + (n+2) \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} \left(\frac{z_i c}{c}\right)^{k+1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left\{ \log \left(\frac{z}{z_i c}\right) i (H_{n+1} i H_k) \right\} + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} \left(\frac{z_i c}{c}\right)^{k+1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. i \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{n}{k_i 1} \left(\frac{z_i c}{c}\right)^{k+1} \right] \\
 &= i \frac{n+2}{n+1} \left(\frac{z_i c}{c}\right) + (n+2) \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} \left(\frac{z_i c}{c}\right)^{k+1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left\{ \log \left(\frac{z}{z_i c}\right) i (H_{n+1} i H_k) \right\} + \frac{1}{n+1} \left(\frac{z_i c}{c}\right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \left\{ \binom{n+1}{k} i \frac{n+1}{k} \binom{n}{k_i 1} \right\} \left(\frac{z_i c}{c}\right)^{k+1} \right];
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\binom{s+1}{k} = \frac{s+1}{k} \binom{s}{k-1} \quad (k \geq 1; s \geq 0);$$

it follows from (2.14) that

(2.15)

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_2F_1\left(1; 1; n+3; i; \frac{c}{z-i-c}\right) \\ &= (n+2) \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} \left(\frac{z-i-c}{c}\right)^{k+1} \left\{ \log\left(\frac{z}{z-i-c}\right) i (H_{n+1} - H_k) \right\} \\ & \quad \left(n \geq 0; \left|\frac{c}{z-i-c}\right| < 1; c, z \in \mathbb{C}\right); \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely the summation formula (2.6) with n replaced by $n+1$ ($n \geq 0$).

This evidently completes our proof of the summation formula (2.6), and hence also of its equivalent forms (1.37) and (2.3), by the principle of mathematical induction.

3. FURTHER SUMMATION FORMULAS

In view of the relationships (2.7) and (2.10), it is fairly straightforward to apply the recurrence relation (2.9) once again in order to prove (also by appealing to the principle of mathematical induction) that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad {}_2F_1(1; 1; n+2; z) &= \frac{n+1}{z} \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{f(z-i-1) = zg^{k-1}}{n-i-k+1} i \left(\frac{z-i-1}{z}\right)^n \log(1-i-z) \right] \\ & \quad (n \geq 0; 0 < |z| < 1); \end{aligned}$$

which immediately yields the special cases (2.7) and (2.10) when we set $n = 0$ and $n = 1$; respectively. More generally, if we similarly apply the recurrence relation (2.8) with $\tau = 1$:

$$(3.2) \quad {}_2F_1(\circledast; 1; \circ + 1; z) = \frac{\circ}{(\circ - i - 1)z} [{}_2F_1(\circledast; i - 1; 1; \circ; z) - i(1-i-z) {}_2F_1(\circledast; 1; \circ; z)];$$

we shall obtain (cf. [13, p. 462, Entry 7.3.1.128])

$$\begin{aligned}
 & {}_2F_1(l; 1; n + 2; z) \\
 (3.3) \quad &= \frac{(n + 1)!}{(n_i - l + 1)! z} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n_i - l + 1} \frac{(n_i - k_i - l + 1)!}{(n_i - k + 1)!} \left(\frac{z_i - 1}{z}\right)^{k_i - 1} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. {}_i \frac{z}{(l_i - 1)!} \left(\frac{z_i - 1}{z}\right)^{n_i - l + 1} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{l_i - 1} \frac{z_i^k}{l_i - k} + z_i^{-l} \log(1 - z) \right\} \right] \\
 & \quad (l \geq N; n \geq N_0; n \geq l_i - 1; 0 < |z| < 1);
 \end{aligned}$$

which, in the special case when $l = 1$; reduces at once to the relationship (3.1).

Upon substituting from (3.1) into the right-hand side of (2.2), we are easily led to the following yet another equivalent form of each of the summation formulas (1.37), (2.3), and (2.6):

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad & \sum_{k=n+1}^1 \frac{\Gamma(k_i - n)}{k!} \left({}_i \frac{c}{z_i - c} \right)^k \\
 &= \frac{f c = (c_i - z) g^n}{n!} \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(z=c)^{k_i - 1}}{n_i - k + 1} {}_i \left(\frac{z}{c}\right)^n \log \left(\frac{z}{z_i - c}\right) \right] \\
 & \quad (n \geq N_0; \left| \frac{c}{z_i - c} \right| < 1; c; z \geq C);
 \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad & {}_2F_1\left(1; 1; n + 2; {}_i \frac{c}{z_i - c}\right) \\
 &= (n + 1) \left({}_i \frac{z}{c} \right) \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(z=c)^{k_i - 1}}{n_i - k + 1} {}_i \left(\frac{z}{c}\right)^n \log \left(\frac{z}{z_i - c}\right) \right] \\
 & \quad (n \geq N_0; \left| \frac{c}{z_i - c} \right| < 1; c; z \geq C);
 \end{aligned}$$

In case we similarly apply (3.3) instead, we shall obtain the following interesting generalization of the assertion (1.37) of Theorem 3:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad & \sum_{k=n+1}^1 \frac{\Gamma(k + l_i - n_i - 1)}{k!} \left({}_i \frac{c}{z_i - c} \right)^k \\
 &= \frac{(l_i - 1)!}{(n_i - l + 1)!} \left(\frac{c}{c_i - z}\right)^n \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n_i - l + 1} \frac{(n_i - k_i - l + 1)!}{(n_i - k + 1)!} \left(\frac{z}{c}\right)^{k_i - 1} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. {}_i \frac{(z=c)^{n_i - l + 1}}{(l_i - 1)!} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{l_i - 1} \frac{f 1_i (z=c) g^{k_i - 1}}{l_i - k} + \left({}_i \frac{z}{c} \right)^{l_i - 1} \log \left(\frac{z}{z_i - c}\right) \right\} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\left(l \geq 2, n \geq 2, n_0; n \geq l, i = 1; \left| \frac{c}{z - c} \right| < 1; c, z \in \mathbb{C} \right);$$

where it is understood, as usual, that exceptional values of c and z (which would render any expression invalid or undefined) are tacitly excluded.

Obviously, in its special case when $l = 1$; (3.6) would reduce immediately to Theorem 3 in its equivalent form (3.4).

With a view to demonstrating that the right-hand side of (3.4) is the same as that of the assertion (1.37) of Theorem 3, we recall the known sum (*cf.*, e.g., [2, p. 363, Entry (55.7.4)]):

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{s}{k}}{k!} H_k z^k = \frac{1}{1 - z} \Phi \left(\frac{1}{1 - z}; 1; 1 - s \right) \\ + (1 - z)^i \cdot \left[\tilde{A}(1 - s)_i \tilde{A}(1)_i \log \left(\frac{z - 1}{z} \right) \right] \\ (s \in \mathbb{C}, n \in \mathbb{N}; |z| < 1);$$

where $\Phi(z; s; a)$ denotes the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function defined by (*cf.* [1, p. 27, Equation 1.11 (1)]; see also [16, p. 121, Equation 2.5 (1)])

$$(3.8) \quad \Phi(z; s; a) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(k + a)^s}$$

$$(a \in \mathbb{C}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+; s \in \mathbb{C} \text{ when } |z| < 1; \Re(s) > 1 \text{ when } |z| = 1)$$

and $\tilde{A}(z)$ denotes the Psi (or Digamma) function defined by (see, for details, [1], [5], and [16])

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{A}(z) := \frac{d}{dz} \log \Gamma(z) = \frac{\Gamma'(z)}{\Gamma(z)} \quad \text{or} \quad \log \Gamma(z) = \int_1^z \tilde{A}(t) dt;$$

Since [1, p. 16, Equation 1.7.1 (10)]

$$(3.10) \quad \tilde{A}(z + n) = \tilde{A}(z) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{z + k - 1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N});$$

we immediately have the relationship:

$$(3.11) \quad \tilde{A}(1 + n) - \tilde{A}(1) = H_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

with the harmonic numbers H_n defined by (1.36). Thus, upon setting $s = i - n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) in (3.7) and simplifying the resulting Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function by means of the expansion formula (1.20), we find from (3.7) that

$$(3.12) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} H_k z^k = (1 + z)^n H_n + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(1 + z)^{k-1}}{n - k + 1};$$

or, equivalently,

$$(3.13) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} H_{n_i k} z^k = (1+z)^n H_{n_i} z^n \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{f(1+z)=zg^{k_i-1}}{n_i k+1};$$

which, for $z \neq -c = (z_i - c)$, yields

$$(3.14) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{c}{z_i - c}\right)^k H_{n_i k} = \left(\frac{z}{z_i - c}\right)^n H_{n_i} \left(\frac{c}{z_i - c}\right)^n \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(z=c)^{k_i-1}}{n_i k+1};$$

We now substitute from (3.14) into the right-hand side of (3.4), and we get

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=n+1}^1 \frac{\Gamma(k_i - n)}{k!} \left(i \frac{c}{z_i - c}\right)^k \\ &= \frac{(i-1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{z}{z_i - c}\right)^n \left\{ H_{n_i} \log\left(\frac{z}{z_i - c}\right) \right\} \\ & \quad i \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(i-1)^n}{k!(n_i - k)!} \left(\frac{c}{z_i - c}\right)^k H_{n_i k} \\ & \quad \left(n \geq N_0; \left|\frac{c}{z_i - c}\right| < 1; c; z \neq c\right); \end{aligned}$$

which, just as we observed above in our derivations of (2.3) and (2.6), is the *simplified* form of the assertion (1.37) of Theorem 3.

The general summation formula (3.6) can also be simplified in several special cases other than the case $l = 1$ when it yields the assertion (1.37) of Theorem 3. The details involved are being left as an exercise for the interested reader.

Next, by letting $k \neq k + n$ ($n \geq N_0$) on its left-hand side, and then setting

$$(3.16) \quad \beta = i \frac{c}{z_i - c} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \beta = 1 + \frac{c}{z_i - c} = \frac{z}{z_i - c};$$

the summation formula (3.15) assumes the form:

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^1 \frac{\beta^{k+n}}{k(k+1)\dots(k+n)} &= \frac{(i-1)^n}{n!} (1-\beta)^n f H_{n_i} \log(1-\beta) g \\ & \quad i \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(i-1)^{n+k}}{k!(n_i - k)!} \beta^k H_{n_i k} \\ & \quad (n \geq N_0; |\beta| < 1) \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently,

$$(3.18) \quad \sum_{k=1}^1 \frac{3^{k+n}}{k(k+1)\zeta\zeta(k+n)} = \frac{(i-1)^n}{n!} (1-i^{-3})^n H_n + \frac{(i-1)^{n+1}}{n!} (1-i^{-3})^n \\ \zeta \log(1-i^{-3}) + \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(i-1)^{k+1}}{(n-i-k)!k!} 3^{n_i k} H_k \\ (n \geq N_0; j^3 j < 1);$$

Since

$$(3.19) \quad H_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H_k = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{j} \quad (k \geq N_{nf1g});$$

by the definition (1.36), it is readily observed that

$$(3.20) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(i-1)^{k+1}}{(n-i-k)!k!} 3^{n_i k} H_k = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(i-1)^{k+1}}{(n-i-k)!k!} 3^{n_i k} \left(1 + \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{j} \right) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(i-1)^{k+1}}{(n-i-k)!k!} 3^{n_i k} + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{(i-1)^{k+1}}{(n-i-k)!k!} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{j} \right) 3^{n_i k} \\ = \frac{3^n}{n!} i \frac{(i-1)^n}{n!} (1-i^{-3})^n + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{(i-1)^{k+1}}{(n-i-k)!k!} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{j} \right) 3^{n_i k};$$

Upon substituting this last expression from (3.20) into the right-hand side of (3.18), if we make use of the second equation in (3.19) once again, we shall obtain

$$(3.21) \quad \sum_{k=1}^1 \frac{3^{k+n}}{k(k+1)\zeta\zeta(k+n)} = \frac{3^n}{n!} + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{(i-1)^{k+1}}{(n-i-k)!k!} \left(\sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{j} \right) 3^{n_i k} \\ + \frac{(i-1)^n}{n!} \left(\sum_{j=2}^n \frac{1}{j} \right) (1-i^{-3})^n \\ + \frac{(i-1)^{n+1}}{n!} (1-i^{-3})^n \zeta \log(1-i^{-3}) \\ (n \geq N_0; j^3 j < 1);$$

provided that each side of (3.21) exists.

The summation formula (3.21) happens to be the *main* result in a recent paper by Tu *et al.* [18, p. 6, Theorem 2]. Closed-form expressions for infinite series of the type occurring in (3.21) can also be found to be listed by Hansen [2, p. 174].

Finally, we remark that three summation formulas were derived recently by Nishimoto [9] by making use of the aforementioned operators of fractional calculus. His first *main* result [9, p. 2, Theorem 1]:

$$(3.22) \quad \sum_{k=0}^1 \frac{(i\ c)^k \Gamma(k + {}^{\circledast}i\)}{k! \Gamma({}^{\circledast}i\) (z\ i\ c)^k} = \left(\frac{z}{z\ i\ c} \right)^{i\ } \\ \left(\left| \frac{c}{z\ i\ c} \right| < 1; \left| \frac{\Gamma(k + {}^{\circledast}i\)}{\Gamma({}^{\circledast}i\)} \right| < 1 \right)$$

is precisely the binomial expansion [*cf.* Equation (1.29) above]:

$$(3.23) \quad \sum_{k=0}^1 \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k}{k!} z^k = {}_1F_0(\frac{1}{2}; -; z) = (1 - z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ (\frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1)$$

with

$$\frac{1}{2} = {}^{\circledast}i\ \ \text{and} \ \ z = i\ \frac{c}{z\ i\ c}$$

Nishimoto’s second *main* result [9, p. 3, Theorem 2]:

$$(3.24) \quad \sum_{k=1}^1 \frac{(i\ c)^k}{k} \frac{1}{(z\ i\ c)^k} = \log \left(\frac{z\ i\ c}{z} \right) \quad \left(\left| \frac{c}{z\ i\ c} \right| < 1 \right)$$

is simply the logarithmic series in (1.19) with z replaced by $c=(z\ i\ c)$. And, if we denote the left-hand side of Nishimoto’s third (and last) *main* result [9, p. 4, Theorem 3]:

$$(3.25) \quad \sum_{k=1}^1 \left(i\ \frac{c}{z\ i\ c} \right)^k \frac{\Gamma({}^{\circledast}i\ 1 + k)}{k!} f(k\ i\ 1)(z\ i\ b) + {}^{\circledast}(c\ i\ b)g \\ = \Gamma({}^{\circledast}i\ 1) \left[\left(\frac{z\ i\ c}{z} \right)^{\circledast} f b(1\ i\ {}^{\circledast}i\) z g + f z + {}^{\circledast}(b\ i\ c) i\ b g \right] \\ \left(j\Gamma({}^{\circledast}i\ 1 + k) < 1 \ (k \geq N_0); \left| \frac{c}{z\ i\ c} \right| < 1 \right)$$

by $\Lambda_{a;b;c}^{(\otimes)}(z)$, then we readily observe that

(3.26)

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{a;b;c}^{(\otimes)}(z) &:= \sum_{k=1}^1 \left(i \frac{c}{z \ i \ c} \right)^k \zeta \frac{\Gamma^{(\otimes)}(i \ 1 + k)}{k!} f^{(k \ i \ 1)}(z \ i \ b) + {}^{\otimes}(c \ i \ b)g \\ &= (z \ i \ b) \sum_{k=1}^1 \left(i \frac{c}{z \ i \ c} \right)^k \zeta \frac{\Gamma^{(\otimes)}(i \ 1 + k)}{(k \ i \ 1)!} \\ &\quad + f^{\otimes}(c \ i \ b) \ i \ (z \ i \ b)g \sum_{k=1}^1 \left(i \frac{c}{z \ i \ c} \right)^k \zeta \frac{\Gamma^{(\otimes)}(i \ 1 + k)}{k!} \\ &= (z \ i \ b) \sum_{k=0}^1 \left(i \frac{c}{z \ i \ c} \right)^{k+1} \zeta \frac{\Gamma^{(\otimes)}(k)}{k!} \\ &\quad + f^{\otimes}(c \ i \ b) \ i \ (z \ i \ b)g \sum_{k=1}^1 \left(i \frac{c}{z \ i \ c} \right)^k \zeta \frac{\Gamma^{(\otimes)}(i \ 1 + k)}{k!} \\ &= i \frac{c(z \ i \ b)}{z \ i \ c} \Gamma^{(\otimes)} \zeta {}_1F_0 \left(\begin{matrix} \otimes \\ -; i \frac{c}{z \ i \ c} \end{matrix} \right) \\ &\quad + f^{\otimes}(c \ i \ b) \ i \ (z \ i \ b)g \Gamma^{(\otimes)}(i \ 1) \zeta \left\{ {}_1F_0 \left(\begin{matrix} \otimes \ i \ 1; -; i \frac{c}{z \ i \ c} \\ i \ 1 \end{matrix} \right) \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

by employing the Pochhammer notation given by (1.23). Making use of the familiar binomial expansion (3.23) once again, we find from (3.26) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{a;b;c}^{(\otimes)}(z) &= i \frac{c(z \ i \ b)}{z \ i \ c} \Gamma^{(\otimes)} \zeta \left(1 + \frac{c}{z \ i \ c} \right)^{i \ \otimes} \\ &\quad + f^{\otimes}(c \ i \ b) \ i \ (z \ i \ b)g \Gamma^{(\otimes)}(i \ 1) \zeta \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{c}{z \ i \ c} \right)^{1 \ i \ \otimes} \ i \ 1 \right\} \\ &\quad \left(\left| \frac{c}{z \ i \ c} \right| < 1 \right); \end{aligned}$$

that is,

(3.27)

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{a;b;c}^{(\otimes)}(z) &= \Gamma^{(\otimes)}(i \ 1) \left[\left(\frac{z \ i \ c}{z} \right)^{\otimes} \zeta \frac{[c(1 \ i \ \otimes)(z \ i \ b) + z f^{\otimes}(c \ i \ b) \ i \ (z \ i \ b)g]}{z \ i \ c} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + f z + {}^{\otimes}(b \ i \ c) \ i \ bg \right]; \end{aligned}$$

which, after some simplification, leads us to the second member of the assertion (3.25), since

$$c(1 \ i \ \otimes)(z \ i \ b) + z f^{\otimes}(c \ i \ b) \ i \ (z \ i \ b)g = (z \ i \ c)fb(1 \ i \ \otimes) \ i \ zg;$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The present investigation was initiated *and* finalized during the third-named author's visits to Chung Yuan Christian University at Chung-Li in April 2001 *and* August 2001, respectively. This work was supported, in part, by the *National Science Council of the Republic of China*, the *Faculty Research Program of Chung Yuan Christian University*, and the *Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada* under Grant OGP0007353.

REFERENCES

1. A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F. G. Tricomi, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. **I**, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, Toronto, and London, 1953.
2. E. R. Hansen, *A Table of Series and Products*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1975.
3. R. Hilfer (Editor), *Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics*, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London, and Hong Kong, 2000.
4. P. W. Karlsson, Hypergeometric functions with integral parameter differences, *J. Math. Phys.* **12** (1971), 270-271.
5. L. Lewin, *Polylogarithms and Associated Functions*, Elsevier (North-Holland), New York, London, and Amsterdam, 1981.
6. K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane, Toronto, and Singapore, 1993.
7. K. Nishimoto, *Fractional Calculus*, Vols. **I**, **II**, **III**, **IV**, and **V**, Descartes Press, Koriyama, 1984, 1987, 1989, 1991, and 1996.
8. K. Nishimoto, *An Essence of Nishimoto's Fractional Calculus (Calculus of the 21st Century): Integrations and Differentiations of Arbitrary Order*, Descartes Press, Koriyama, 1991.
9. K. Nishimoto, On some infinite sums obtained by N-fractional calculus, *J. Fract. Calc.* **20** (2001), 1-6.
10. K. Nishimoto, I-C. Chen, and S.-T. Tu, Some families of infinite series summable by means of fractional calculus, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **6** (2002), 465-474.
11. K. Nishimoto, D.-K. Chyan, S.-D. Lin, and S.-T. Tu, On some infinite sums derived by N-fractional calculus, *J. Fract. Calc.* **20** (2001), 91-97.
12. I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Vol. **198**, Academic Press, New York, London, Tokyo, and Toronto, 1999.

13. A. P. Prudnikov, Yu. A. Brychkov, and O. I. Marichev, *Integrals and Series (Supplementary Chapters)*, "Nauka," Moscow, 1986 (in Russian); see also *Integrals and Series*, Vol. 2: *Special Functions* (Translated from the Russian by N. M. Queen), Second Edition, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1988.
14. S. Salinas de Romero and H. M. Srivastava, Some families of infinite sums derived by means of fractional calculus, *East Asian Math. J.* **17** (2001), 135-146.
15. H. M. Srivastava, Generalized hypergeometric functions with integral parameter differences, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.* **35** (1973), 38-40.
16. H. M. Srivastava and J. Choi, *Series Associated with the Zeta and Related Functions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, and London, 2001.
17. H. M. Srivastava, S. Owa, and K. Nishimoto, Some fractional differintegral equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **106** (1985), 360-366.
18. S.-T. Tu, I-C. Chen, and P.-Y. Wang, A certain family of infinite sums via fractional calculus, *Chung Yuan J.* **29** (2001), 5-8.

S.-D. Lin and S.-T. Tu
Department of Mathematics, Chung Yuan Christian University
Chung-Li, Taiwan 32023, R.O.C.
E-Mail: shyder@math.cycu.edu.tw
sttu@math.cycu.edu.tw

H. M. Srivastava
Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria
Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3P4
Canada
E-Mail: harimsri@math.uvic.ca