

EXISTENCE OF THREE SOLUTIONS FOR A DOUBLY EIGENVALUE FOURTH-ORDER BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

G. A. Afrouzi, S. Heidarkhani and Donal O'Regan

Abstract. In this paper we consider the existence of at least three solutions for the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} u^{iv} + \alpha u'' + \beta u = \lambda f(x, u) + \mu g(x, u), & x \in (0, 1) \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0, \\ u''(0) = u''(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where α, β are real constants, $f, g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are L^2 -Carathéodory functions and $\lambda, \mu > 0$. The approach is based on variational methods and critical points.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper considers the existence of at least three solutions (weak) of the fourth-order boundary value problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} u^{iv} + \alpha u'' + \beta u = \lambda f(x, u) + \mu g(x, u), & x \in (0, 1) \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0, \\ u''(0) = u''(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where α, β are real constants, $f, g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Carathéodory functions and $\lambda, \mu > 0$.

Several results are known concerning the existence of multiple solutions for fourth-order boundary value problems, and we refer the reader to [2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11] and the references cited therein.

The aim of this paper is to establish the existence of a non-empty open set interval $A \subseteq I$ and a positive real number q with the following property: for each $\lambda \in A$ and for each Carathéodory function $g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sup_{|\xi| \leq s} |g(\cdot, \xi)| \in$

Received January 13, 2009, accepted July 9, 2009.

Communicated by Biagio Ricceri.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 34B15.

Key words and phrases: Fourth-order equations, Three solutions, Critical point, Multiplicity results.

$L^2(a, b)$ for all $s > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that, for each $\mu \in [0, \delta]$, problem (1) admits at least three weak solutions in $W_0^{1,2}([0, 1]) \cap W^{2,2}([0, 1])$ whose norms are less than q . Our analysis is based on the following three critical points theorem (see also [15] for an earlier version as well as [4, 13] for related results).

Theorem A. [Ricceri, 14]. *Let X be a reflexive real Banach space, $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ an interval, $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous C^1 functional bounded on each bounded subset of X whose derivative admits a continuous inverse on X^* and $J : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a C^1 functional with compact derivative.*

Assume that

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} (\Phi(x) + \lambda J(x)) = +\infty$$

for all $\lambda \in I$, and that there exists $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in I} \inf_{x \in X} (\Phi(x) + \lambda(J(x) + \rho)) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in I} (\Phi(x) + \lambda(J(x) + \rho)).$$

Then, there exist a non-empty open set interval $A \subseteq I$ and a positive real number q with the following property: for every $\lambda \in A$ and every C^1 functional $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact derivative, there exists $\tau > 0$ such that, for each $\mu \in [0, \tau]$, the equation

$$\Phi'(u) + \lambda J'(u) + \mu \Psi'(u) = 0$$

has at least three solutions in X whose norms are less than q .

In the proof of our main result we also use the next result to verify the minimax inequality in Theorem A.

Proposition B. [Bonanno, 4]. *Let X be a non-empty set and Φ, J two real functions on X . Assume that $\Phi(x) \geq 0$ for every $x \in X$ and there exists $u_0 \in X$ such that $\Phi(u_0) = J(u_0) = 0$. Further, assume that exist $u_1 \in X$, $r > 0$ such that*

$$(\kappa_1) \quad \Phi(u_1) > r$$

$$(\kappa_2) \quad \sup_{\Phi(x) < r} (-J(x)) < r \frac{-J(u_1)}{\Phi(u_1)}.$$

Then, for every $\nu > 1$ and for every $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\sup_{\Phi(x) < r} (-J(x)) + \frac{r \frac{-J(u_1)}{\Phi(u_1)} - \sup_{\Phi(x) < r} (-J(x))}{\nu} < \rho < r \frac{-J(u_1)}{\Phi(u_1)},$$

one has

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \inf_{x \in X} (\Phi(x) + \lambda(J(x) + \rho)) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in [0, \sigma]} (\Phi(x) + \lambda(J(x) + \rho))$$

where

$$\sigma = \frac{\nu r}{r \frac{-J(u_1)}{\Phi(u_1)} - \sup_{\Phi(x) < r} (-J(x))}.$$

For other basic notations and definitions, we refer the reader to [1, 3, 7, 10, 12, 16]. We note that some of the ideas used here were motivated by corresponding ones in [5].

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let α and β be two real constants such that

$$(1) \quad \max\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\pi^2}, -\frac{\beta}{\pi^4}, \frac{\alpha}{\pi^2} - \frac{\beta}{\pi^4}\right\} < 1.$$

Put

$$\sigma := \max\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\pi^2}, -\frac{\beta}{\pi^4}, \frac{\alpha}{\pi^2} - \frac{\beta}{\pi^4}, 0\right\}$$

and

$$\delta := \sqrt{1 - \sigma}.$$

Let $f : [0, 1] \times R \rightarrow R$ be a L^2 -Carathéodory function, namely, $x \rightarrow f(x, t)$ is measurable for every $t \in R$, $t \rightarrow f(x, t)$ is continuous for almost every $x \in [0, 1]$, and for every $s > 0$ there exists a function $l_s \in L^2([0, 1])$ such that

$$\sup_{|t| \leq s} |f(x, t)| \leq l_s(x)$$

for almost every $x \in [0, 1]$. Let F be the function defined by putting

$$F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, \xi) d\xi$$

for each $(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times R$.

A function $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow R$ is a generalized solution to problem (1) if $u \in C^3([0, 1])$, $u''' \in AC([0, 1])$, $u(0) = u(1) = 0$, $u''(0) = u''(1) = 0$, and $u^{iv} + \alpha u''' + \beta u = \lambda f(x, u) + \mu g(x, u)$ for almost every $x \in [0, 1]$, and it is a weak solution to problem (1) if $u \in W_0^{1,2}([0, 1]) \cap W^{2,2}([0, 1])$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 [u''(x)v''(x) - \alpha u'(x)v'(x) + \beta u(x)v(x)] dx \\ & - \int_0^1 [\lambda f(x, u(x)) + \mu g(x, u(x))] v(x) dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every $v \in W_0^{1,2}([0, 1]) \cap W^{2,2}([0, 1])$.

Standard methods (see [5, Proposition 2.2]) show that a weak solution to (1) is a generalized one when f, g are L^2 -Carathéodory functions.

Let $X = W_0^{1,2}([0, 1]) \cap W^{2,2}([0, 1])$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_0^1 (|u''(x)|^2 - \alpha|u'(x)|^2 + \beta|u(x)|^2) dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Our main result is the following theorem

Theorem 1. *Assume that there exist a positive constant r and a function $w \in W_0^{1,2}([0, 1]) \cap W^{2,2}([0, 1])$ such that:*

- (i) $\int_0^1 (|w''(x)|^2 - \alpha|w'(x)|^2 + \beta|w(x)|^2) dx > 2r$,
- (ii) $\int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-\frac{1}{\delta\pi}\sqrt{\frac{r}{2}}, \frac{1}{\delta\pi}\sqrt{\frac{r}{2}}]} F(x, t) dx < 2r \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, w(x)) dx}{\int_0^1 (|w''(x)|^2 - \alpha|w'(x)|^2 + \beta|w(x)|^2) dx}$,
- (iii) $\frac{2}{\delta^2\pi^4} \limsup_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, t)}{t^2} < \frac{1}{\theta}$ for almost every $x \in [0, 1]$ and for all $t \in R$, and for some θ satisfying

$$\theta > \frac{1}{2r \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, w(x)) dx}{\int_0^1 (|w''(x)|^2 - \alpha|w'(x)|^2 + \beta|w(x)|^2) dx} - \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-\frac{1}{\delta\pi}\sqrt{\frac{r}{2}}, \frac{1}{\delta\pi}\sqrt{\frac{r}{2}}]} F(x, t) dx}.$$

Then, there exist a non-empty open interval $A \subseteq]0, r\theta]$ and a number $q > 0$ with the following property: for each $\lambda \in A$ and for an arbitrary L^2 -Carathéodory function $g : [0, 1] \times R \rightarrow R$, there is $\tau > 0$ such that, whenever $\mu \in [0, \tau]$, problem (1) admits at least three generalized solutions whose norm in X are less than q .

Put

$$k = 2\delta^2\pi^2 \left(\frac{2048}{27} - \frac{32}{9}\alpha + \frac{13}{40}\beta \right)^{-1},$$

and it is easy to see (see [5 pg 1169]) that $k > 0$.

Let us first present a consequence of Theorem 1 for a fixed test function w .

Corollary 1. *Assume that there exist two positive constants c and d with $c < \frac{d}{\sqrt{k}}$ such that:*

- (j) $F(x, t) \geq 0$ for each $(x, t) \in ([0, \frac{3}{8}] \cup [\frac{5}{8}, 1]) \times [0, d]$,
- (jj) $\int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-c, c]} F(x, t) dx < k(\frac{c}{d})^2 \int_{\frac{3}{8}}^{\frac{5}{8}} F(x, d) dx$,
- (jjj) $\frac{2}{\delta^2\pi^4} \limsup_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, t)}{t^2} < \frac{1}{\theta}$ for almost every $x \in [0, 1]$ and for all $t \in R$, and for some θ satisfying

$$\theta > \frac{1}{k(\frac{c}{d})^2 \int_{\frac{3}{8}}^{\frac{5}{8}} F(x, d) dx - \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-c, c]} F(x, t) dx}.$$

Then, there exist a non-empty open interval $A \subseteq]0, r\theta]$ and a number $q > 0$ with the following property: for each $\lambda \in A$ and for an arbitrary L^2 -Carathéodory function $g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, there is $\tau > 0$ such that, whenever $\mu \in [0, \tau]$, problem (1) admits at least three generalized solutions whose norm in X are less than q .

The proof of Corollary 1 is based on the following lemma motivated from a result in [5].

Lemma 1. Assume that there exist two positive constants c and d with $c < \frac{d}{\sqrt{k}}$. Under Assumptions (j) and (jj) of Corollary 1, there exist $r > 0$ and $w \in X$ such that $\|w\|^2 > 2r$ and

$$\int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-\frac{1}{\delta\pi}\sqrt{\frac{r}{2}}, \frac{1}{\delta\pi}\sqrt{\frac{r}{2}}]} F(x, t) dx < 2r \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, w(x)) dx}{\|w\|^2}.$$

Proof. We put

$$(2) \quad w(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{64d}{9}(x^2 - \frac{3}{4}x) & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{3}{8}, \\ d & \text{if } \frac{3}{8} \leq x < \frac{5}{8}, \\ -\frac{64d}{9}(x^2 - \frac{5}{4}x + \frac{1}{4}) & \text{if } \frac{5}{8} \leq x < 1 \end{cases}$$

and $r = 2(\delta\pi c)^2$. It is easy to see that $w \in X$ and, in particular, one has

$$\|w\|^2 = \frac{4\delta^2\pi^2}{k}d^2.$$

Hence, taking into account that $c < \frac{d}{\sqrt{k}}$, one has

$$2r < \|w\|^2.$$

Since $0 \leq w(x) \leq d$ for each $x \in [0, 1]$, condition (j) ensures that

$$\int_0^{\frac{3}{8}} F(x, w(x)) dx + \int_{\frac{5}{8}}^1 F(x, w(x)) dx \geq 0.$$

Moreover from (jj), $r = 2(\delta\pi c)^2$ and the above inequality we have that

$$\int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-\frac{1}{\delta\pi}\sqrt{\frac{r}{2}}, \frac{1}{\delta\pi}\sqrt{\frac{r}{2}}]} F(x, t) dx < k\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^2 \int_{\frac{3}{8}}^{\frac{5}{8}} F(x, d) dx \leq 2r \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, w(x)) dx}{\|w\|^2}.$$

Thus

$$\int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-\frac{1}{\delta\pi}\sqrt{\frac{r}{2}}, \frac{1}{\delta\pi}\sqrt{\frac{r}{2}}]} F(x, t) dx < 2r \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, w(x)) dx}{\|w\|^2},$$

so the proof is complete. \blacksquare

Proof of Corollary 1. From Lemma 1 we see that Assumptions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 are fulfilled for w given in (3). Also, from (jjj), one has that (iii) is satisfied. Hence, the conclusion follows directly from Theorem 1. \blacksquare

Let us present an application of Corollary 1.

Example 1. Consider the problem

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} u^{iv} + \alpha u'' + \beta u = \lambda(e^{-u}u^7(8-u) + 1) + \mu g(x, u), & x \in (0, 1) \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0, \\ u''(0) = u''(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a fixed L^2 -Carathéodory function and α, β satisfying (2) are such that $k > \frac{4(e^4 + e^5)}{5^6}$. Let $f(x, t) = f(t) = e^{-t}t^7(8-t) + 1$ and note $F(x, t) = F(t) = e^{-t}t^8 + t$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It is clear that (j) is satisfied. Also, by choosing $c = 1$ and $d = 5$ and taking into account that $0 < k < \frac{1}{2}$ (for more details, see [5 pg 1169]), one has $c < \frac{d}{\sqrt{k}}$, and since $k > \frac{4(e^4 + e^5)}{5^6}$ we have $e^{-1} + 1 < k \left(\frac{1}{20}\right) e^{-5}5^7 < K \left(\frac{1}{20}\right) (e^{-5}5^7 + 1)$, and as a result (jj) is satisfied. Also since $\limsup_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, t)}{t^2} = 0$ then (jjj) holds. As a result we can apply Corollary 1 for every

$$\theta > \frac{1}{k\left(\frac{1}{20}\right)(e^{-5}5^7 + 1) - (e^{-1} + 1)}.$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We apply Theorem A, taking $X = W_0^{1,2}([0, 1]) \cap W^{2,2}([0, 1])$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_0^1 (|u''(x)|^2 - \alpha|u'(x)|^2 + \beta|u(x)|^2) dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let

$$(4) \quad \Phi(u) = \frac{\|u\|^2}{2}$$

and

$$(5) \quad J(u) = - \int_0^1 F(x, u(x)) dx$$

for each $u \in X$. First of all, by classical results, the functional Φ is well defined, bounded on each bounded subset of X as well as continuously *Gâteaux* differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semi continuous functional and whose *Gâteaux* derivative admits a continuous inverse on X^* , and the functional J is well defined and a continuously *Gâteaux* differentiable functional whose *Gâteaux* derivative is compact. In particular, for each $u, v \in X$ one has

$$\Phi'(u)v = \int_0^1 [u''(x)v''(x) - \alpha u'(x)v'(x) + \beta u(x)v(x)] dx$$

and

$$J'(u)v = - \int_0^1 f(x, u(x))v(x) dx.$$

Furthermore from (iii) there exist two constants $\gamma, \tau \in R$ with $0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{\theta}$ such that

$$\frac{2}{\delta^2 \pi^4} F(x, t) \leq \gamma t^2 + \tau \text{ for a.e. } x \in (0, 1) \text{ and all } t \in R.$$

Fix $u \in X$. Then

$$(6) \quad F(x, u(x)) \leq \frac{\delta^2 \pi^4}{2} (\gamma |u(x)|^2 + \tau) \text{ for all } x \in (0, 1).$$

Then, for any fixed $\lambda \in]0, \theta]$, since $\|u\|_{L_2([0,1])} \leq \frac{1}{\delta \pi^2} \|u\|$ (see [5 pg 1168]), from (5), (6) and (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) + \lambda J(u) &= \frac{\|u\|^2}{2} - \lambda \int_0^1 F(x, u(x)) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{\theta \delta^2 \pi^4}{2} \left(\gamma \int_0^1 |u(x)|^2 dx + \tau \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma \theta) \|u\|^2 - \frac{\theta \delta^2 \pi^4}{2} \tau, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow +\infty} (\Phi(u) + \lambda J(u)) = +\infty.$$

We claim that there exist $r > 0$ and $w \in X$ such that

$$\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])} (-J(u)) < r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)}.$$

Note

$$\max_{x \in [0,1]} |u(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2\delta\pi} \|u\|$$

for each $u \in X$ (see Proposition 2.1 of [5]) and so

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[) &= \{u \in X; \Phi(u) < r\} \\ &= \left\{u \in X; \|u\| < \sqrt{2r}\right\} \\ &\subseteq \left\{u \in X; |u(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2\delta\pi}\sqrt{2r} \text{ for all } x \in [0, 1]\right\} \\ &= \left\{u \in X; |u(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\delta\pi}\sqrt{\frac{r}{2}} \text{ for all } x \in [0, 1]\right\},\end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)} (-J(u)) \leq \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-\frac{1}{\delta\pi}\sqrt{\frac{r}{2}}, \frac{1}{\delta\pi}\sqrt{\frac{r}{2}}]} F(x, t) dx.$$

Now from (ii) we have

$$\int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-\frac{1}{\delta\pi}\sqrt{\frac{r}{2}}, \frac{1}{\delta\pi}\sqrt{\frac{r}{2}}]} F(x, t) dx < 2r \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, w(x)) dx}{\|w\|^2},$$

and so

$$\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)} (-J(u)) < r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)}.$$

Also from (i) we have $\Phi(w) > r$. Next recall from (iii) that

$$\theta > \frac{1}{r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)} - \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)} (-J(u))}.$$

Choose

$$\nu = \theta \left(r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)} - \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)} (-J(u)) \right),$$

and note $\nu > 1$. Also, since

$$\theta > \frac{1}{r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)} - \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)} (-J(u))},$$

we have

$$\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)} (-J(u)) + \frac{1}{\theta} < r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)},$$

and so with our choice of ν we have

$$\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)} (-J(u)) + \frac{r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)} - \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)} (-J(u))}{\nu} < r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)}.$$

Now from Proposition B (with $u_0 = 0$ and $u_1 = w$) for every $\rho \in R$ satisfying

$$\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}([-\infty, r])} (-J(u)) + \frac{r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)} - \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}([-\infty, r])} (-J(u))}{\nu} < \rho < r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)},$$

we have (note $\sigma = r\theta$)

$$\sup_{\lambda \in R} \inf_{u \in X} (\Phi(u) + \lambda J(u) + \rho \lambda) < \inf_{u \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in [0, r\theta]} (\Phi(u) + \lambda J(u) + \rho \lambda).$$

For any fixed L^2 -Carathéodory function $g : [0, 1] \times R \rightarrow R$, set

$$\Psi(u) = - \int_0^1 \int_0^{u(x)} g(x, s) ds dx.$$

It is well known that Ψ is a continuously differentiable functional whose differential $\Psi'(u) \in X^*$, at $u \in X$ is given by

$$\Psi'(u)v = - \int_0^1 g(x, u(x))v(x) dx \text{ for every } v \in X,$$

and $\Psi' : X \rightarrow X^*$ is a compact operator. Now, all the assumptions of Theorem A, are satisfied. Hence, applying Theorem A, taking into account that the critical points of the functional $\Phi + \lambda J + \mu \Psi$ are exactly the weak solutions of the problem (1), we have that problem (1) admits at least three weak solutions in $W_0^{1,2}([0, 1]) \cap W^{2,2}([0, 1])$ whose norms in X are less than q .

REFERENCES

1. G. A. Afrouzi and S. Heidarkhani, Three solutions for a quasilinear boundary value problem, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **69** (2008), 3330-3336.
2. Z. Bai and H. Wang, On positive solutions of some nonlinear fourth-order beam equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **270** (2002), 357-368.
3. G. Bonanno, Existence of three solutions for a two point boundary value problem, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **13** (2000), 53-57.
4. G. Bonanno, Some remarks on a three critical points theorem, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **54** (2003), 651-665.
5. G. Bonanno and B. Di Bella, A boundary value problem for fourth-order elastic beam equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **343** (2008), 1166-1176.
6. A. Cabada, J. A. Cid and L. Sanchez, Positivity and lower and upper solutions for fourth-order boundary value problems, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **67** (2007), 1599-1612.

7. P. Candito, Existence of three solutions for a nonautonomous two point boundary value problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **252** (2000), 532-537.
8. M. R. Grossinho, L. Sanchez and S. A. Tersian, On the solvability of a boundary value problem for a fourth-order ordinary differential equation, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **18** (2005), 439-444.
9. G. Han and Z. Xu, Multiple solutions of some nonlinear fourth-order beam equation, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **68** (2008), 3646-3656.
10. S. Heidarkhani and D. Motreanu, *Multiplicity results for a two-point boundary value problem*, preprint.
11. X.-L. Liu and W.-T. Li, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for fourth-order boundary value problems with parameters, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **327** (2007), 362-375.
12. R. Livrea, Existence of three solutions for a quasilinear two point boundary value problem, *Arch. Math.*, **79** (2002), 288-298.
13. S. A. Marano and D. Motreanu, On a three critical points theorem for non-differentiable functions and applications nonlinear boundary value problems, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **48** (2002), 37-52.
14. B. Ricceri, A three critical points theorem revisited, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **70** (2009), 3084-3089.
15. B. Ricceri, On a three critical points theorem, *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, **75** (2000), 220-226.
16. E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications*, Vol. II, III. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1985.

G. A. Afrouzi
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Basic Sciences,
University of Mazandaran,
47416-1467 Babolsar, Iran
E-mail: afrouzi@umz.ac.ir

S. Heidarkhani
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Basic Sciences,
Razi University,
Kermanshah, Iran
E-mail: sh.heidarkhani@yahoo.com

Donal O'Regan
Department of Mathematics,
National University of Ireland,
Galway, Ireland
E-mail: donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie