

AN EXTENSION OF JUNGCK AND SESSA RESULT

Arjamand Bano

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to extend the work of Jungck and Sessa [4] from single-valued maps to multivalued maps.

1. INTRODUCTION

Brosowski [1], Meinardus [9] and Singh [12] established some results on invariant approximation in normed spaces using fixed point theory. Jungck and Sessa [4] worked over approximation theory for setting of normed spaces. Later on, several generalizations of their results were obtained by Habiniak [2], Hicks and Humphries [3], Khan, Hussain and Thaheem [7], Latif and Bano [8] and Narang [10]. However, another important aspect of their work is still not explored and needs attention and that is the application of their results to multi-valued maps. Therefore, we have decided to extend the result of Jungck and Sessa [4] from single-valued maps.

Throughout the manuscript, the following definitions and results have been used. Let X be a normed space and M be its nonempty subset. We denote the families of all nonempty closed bounded and nonempty compact subsets of X by $CB(X)$ and $K(X)$ respectively. Let H be the Hausdorff metric on $CB(X)$ induced by the norm of X which means

$$H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} \|a - b\|, \sup_{a \in B} \inf_{b \in A} \|a - b\| \right\}, \text{ for } A, B \text{ in } CB(X),$$

M is said to be starshaped with respect to a point $q \in M$ if $(1 - h)q + hx \in M$ for all $x \in M$ and all $h \in (0, 1)$. Further, each convex set is necessarily starshaped, but a starshaped need not be convex.

Received September 30, 2006, accepted May 5, 2007.

Communicated by Sen-Yen Shaw.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47H10, 54C60, 54H25, 55M20.

Key words and phrases: Multi-valued φ -nonexpansive map, Fixed points, Common fixed points, Starshaped, Affine map, Best M-approximants, Normed spaces.

Let $P_M(x_0) = \{y \in M : d(y, x_0) = d(x_0, M)\}$ the set of best M approximation to x_0 . $P_M(x_0)$ is always bounded subset of X and it is closed or convex if M is closed or convex [1]. We adopt the following definitions for convenience. Let \wp be a family of single-valued self map of M and $\wp_f = \{f^n : M \rightarrow M, n \geq 0\}$ ($f^0 = I$). A map $T : M \rightarrow CB(M)$ said to be

- (i) \wp -nonexpansive [6], if for all $x, y \in M$ there exists $f, g \in \wp$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \|fx - gx\|;$$

- (ii) \wp -contraction [6], if for all $x, y \in M$ there exists a real number $h \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq h\|fx - gy\| \text{ for some } f, g \in \wp;$$

- (iii) \wp_f -nonexpansive, if for each $x, y \in M$ there exists $n, m \geq 0$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \|f^n x - f^m y\|;$$

We denote by $F(T)$ and $F(f)$ ($F(\wp)$) the set of all fixed points of T and f (the set of all common fixed points of \wp). Also we say that \wp and T commute, if for each $f \in \wp$ commutes with T .

In 1995 Jungck and Sessa [4] obtained the following generalization of Sahab, Khan and Sessa result [11].

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be compact subset of a normed linear space, X which is starshaped with respect to $q \in M$. Let $T : M \rightarrow M$ be continuous and let \wp be a family of affine maps $f : M \rightarrow M$ such that $q \in F(f)$ and $fT = Tf$. If for each pair $(x, y) \in M^2$ there exists $f, g \in \wp$ such that*

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|fx - gy\|,$$

then there exists $a \in M$ such that $a = T(a)$ and $a = f(a)$ for all continuous $f \in \wp$.

We now state a theorem, which is a special case of Theorem 1 in [5].

Theorem 1.2. *Let (X, d) be a compact metric space. Let \wp be a family of continuous self mappings of X and $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$ be \wp -contraction multi-valued map such that $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ for all $f \in \wp$ and \wp commutes with T . Then $F(T) \cap F(\wp) \neq \phi$.*

2. RESULTS

In this section Theorem 1.1 has been extended to multi-valued \wp -nonexpansive map.

Theorem 2.1. *Let M be a compact subset of a normed linear space, X which is starshaped with respect to $q \in M$. Let \wp be family of continuous affine maps $f : M \rightarrow M$ such that $q \in F(f)$ for all $f \in \wp$. If $T : M \rightarrow K(M)$ is multi-valued \wp -nonexpansive map which commutes with f for all $f \in \wp$ then there exists a point $z \in M$ such that $z \in F(T)$ and also $z \in F(f)$ for all $f \in \wp$ then $F(T) \cap F(f) \neq \emptyset$*

Proof. Consider a sequence $\{h_n\}$ of real numbers for which $0 < h_n < 1$ and $h_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For each n , a multi-valued map T_n is defined by setting

$$T_n(x) = h_n T x + (1 - h_n)q, \quad (x \in M).$$

Now we prove that for each $n \geq 1$, T_n maps M into $K(M)$. Indeed we show that $T_n(x)$ is compact for all $x \in M$. Let $x_k \in T_n(x)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of $T_n(x)$. We get $x_k = h_n u_k + (1 - h_n)q$ for some $u_k \in T(x)$ and, by using the compactness of $T(x)$ there exists a suitable subsequence $\{u_{k(p)}, p = 1, 2, \dots\}$ such that $u_{k(p)} \rightarrow u \in T(x)$. By setting $x_0 = h_n u + (1 - h_n)q$, we have that there is a subsequence $\{x_{k(p)}, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ of x_k , such that $x_{k(p)} = h_n u_{k(p)} + (1 - h_n)q \rightarrow x_0$. This proves that $T_n(x)$ is compact. ■

Next we show that T_n is \wp -contraction and commutes with \wp . Let $x, y \in M$ and $u_x \in T_n(x)$ then $u_x = h_n v_x + (1 - h_n)q$ for some $v_x \in T(x)$. Since for all $f, g \in \wp$, T is multivalued \wp -nonexpansive so there exists $v_y \in T(y)$ for all $y \in M$ such that

$$d(v_x, v_y) \leq H(Tx, Ty).$$

Put $u_y = h_n v_y + (1 - h_n)q$ then $v_y \in T(y)$ and

$$d(u_x, u_y) = h_n d(v_x, v_y) \leq h_n H(Tx, Ty).$$

so by the \wp -nonexpansiveness of T we have

$$d(u_x, u_y) \leq h_n d(f(x), g(y)).$$

It follows that

$$\sup_{u_x \in T_n(x)} d(u_x, T_n(y)) \leq d(u_x, u_y) \leq h_n d(f(x), g(y)).$$

The same argument concludes that

$$\sup_{u_y \in T_n(y)} d(u_y, T_n(x)) \leq h_n d(f(x), g(y)).$$

Hence

$$H(T_n x, T_n y) \leq h_n \|fx - gy\|, \forall f, g \in \wp$$

Which proves that each T_n is \wp -contraction for each $x \in M$. Moreover, since T commutes with \wp and each $f \in \wp$ is affine so for each $x \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_n f x &= h_n T f x + (1 - h_n) f q \\ &= h_n f T x + (1 - h_n) f q \\ &= f(h_n T x + (1 - h_n) q) \\ &= f T_n x \end{aligned}$$

Thus each T_n commutes with f . As all the conditions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied hence $x_n \in M$ such that $x_n \in T_n x_n$ and $x_n = f x_n$ for all $f \in \wp$. So by the definition of $T_n x_n$ there is some $w_n \in T x_n$ such that

$$x_n = h_n w_n + (1 - h_n) q$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in a compact set M , there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ with $x_{n_i} \rightarrow z \in M$. As $z = \lim_i x_{n_i} = \lim_i h_{n_i} w_{n_i} + \lim_i (1 - h_{n_i}) q$ and that $h_{n_i} \rightarrow 1$ then it follows that $z \in Tz$, which implies that $z \in F(T)$. Further, if $f \in \wp$ is continuous, then it follows that

$$z = \lim_i x_{n_i} = f(\lim_i x_{n_i}) = f(z)$$

which implies that $z = f(z)$

Corollary 2.2. *Let M be a compact subset of a normed space X which is starshaped with respect to $q \in M$. Let f be self affine map of M with $q \in F(f)$. If $T : M \rightarrow K(M)$ is multi-valued \wp_f -nonexpansive map and commute with T then $F(T) \neq \phi$. Moreover, if f is continuous, then $F(T) \cap F(\wp_f) \neq \phi$*

Proof. Let $\wp_f = \{f^n : n \geq 0\} \{f^0 = I\}$ For each n , f^n is affine, $T f^n = f^n T$ and $f^n q = q$ and $f^n : M \rightarrow M$ since f has these properties. Now the proof of the corollary follows from Theorem 2.1. ■

3. A RESULT IN BEST APPROXIMATION THEORY

We shall require the following Lemma, proved by Hicks and Humphries ([3], p. 221) for normed spaces.

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be a subset of a normed space X . Then, for any $x_0 \in X$, $P_M(x_0) \subseteq \partial M$ (the boundary of M).*

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a normed space and $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$ be multivalued map such that $T(x_0) = \{x_0\}$ for some $x_0 \in X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be single-valued map such that $x_0 \in F(f)$. Let M be a subset of X such that $T(\partial M) \subseteq M$. Suppose T is φ_f -nonexpansive on $P_M(x_0) \cup \{x_0\}$, f is affine and commutes with T on $P_M(x_0)$. If $P_M(x_0)$ is nonempty, compact and starshaped with respect to $q \in F(f)$ and if, $f(P_M(x_0)) \subseteq P_M(x_0)$, then $P_M(x_0) \cap F(T) \neq \phi$. Further if f is continuous on $P_M(x_0)$, then $P_M(x_0) \cap F(T) \cap F(\varphi_f) \neq \phi$.*

Proof. Let $D = P_M(x_0)$ and $u \in D$. Then $u \in M$ and

$$\|x_0 - u\| = d(x_0, M).$$

Let $v \in T(u) \subset M$. Then we have

$$\|v - x_0\| \leq H(T(u), T(x_0))$$

Using the φ -nonexpansiveness of T , one gets

$$H(T(u), T(x_0)) \leq \|f^n(u) - f^m(x_0)\|$$

for some $m, n \geq 0$. As $f^m(x_0) = x_0$ for $m \geq 0$, and $f(P_M(x_0)) \subseteq P_M(x_0)$, so for all $n \geq 0$, $f^n(u) \in P_M(x_0)$, and

$$\|v - x_0\| = d(x_0, M).$$

implies that $v \in D$ and thus $T(u) \subset D$. Therefore T carries D into $K(D)$.

Thus, by Corollary 2.2 the conclusion holds.

We observe that if $f = I$ the identity map on $P_M(x_0)$, then φ_f -nonexpansive map is the usual nonexpansive map. ■

In this case we have the following result.

Corollary 3.3. *Let X be a normed space and $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$ such that $T(x_0) = x_0$ for some $x_0 \in X$. M be a subset of X such that $T(\partial M) \subseteq M$ Let T be nonexpansive map on $P_M(x_0) \cup \{x_0\}$. If $P_M(x_0)$ is nonempty compact, and starshaped, then $P_M(x_0) \cap F(T) \neq \phi$*

Remark 3.4.

- (1) Theorem 3.2 is an extension of Theorem 4 of Jungck and Sessa [4] which in turn includes the main result of Sahab, Khan and Sessa [11].
- (2) Corollary 3.3 extends the main result of Singh [12].

REFERENCES

1. B. Brosowski, Fixpunktsätze in der Approximations Theorie, *Mathematica(cluj)*, **11** (1969), 195-220.
2. L. Habiniak, Fixed point theorems and invariant approximation, *J. Approx. Theory*, **56** (1989), 241-244.
3. T. L. Hicks and M. D. Humphries, A note on fixed point theorems, *J. Approx. Theory*, **34** (1982), 221-225.
4. G. Jungck and S. Sessa, Fixed point theorems in best approximation theory, *Math. Japon*, **42** (1995), 249-252.
5. H. Kaneko, A Banach type fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings, *K. J. Math.*, (1984), 163-165.
6. H. Kaneko, Single-valued and multivalued f -contraction, *Boll. U.M.I.*, **6** (1985), 29-33.
7. A. R. Khan, N. Hussain, and A. B. Thaheem, Application of fixed point theorems to invariant approximation, *J. Approx. Theory and its Appl.*, (2000), 48-55.
8. A. Latif and A. Bano, A result on invariant approximation, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **33(1)** (2002), 89-92.
9. G. Meinardus, Invarianze bei Linearen Approximationen, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **14** (1963), 301-303.
10. T. D. Narang, Applications of fixed point theorems to approximation theory, *Math. Vesnik*, **36** (1984), 69-75.
11. S. A. Sahab, M. S. Khan and Sessa, A result in best approximation theory, *J. Approx. Theory*, **55** (1988), 349-351.
12. S. P. Singh, An application of fixed point theorem to approximation theory, *J. Approx. Theory*, **25** (1979), 89-90.

Arjamand Bano
Department of Mathematics,
Gomal University,
Dera Ismail Khan,
Pakistan
E-mail: arjamandbano2002@yahoo.com