

## A CLASS OF LYM ORDERS IN DIVISOR LATTICES

Yi Wang and Yeong-Nan Yeh

Dedicated to Professor Ko-Wei Lih on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

**Abstract.** We present a new class of LYM orders, which generalizes Lih's result and is a common generalization of Griggs' result and a result of West, Harper and Daykin.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A *partially ordered set* (or *poset*) is a set equipped with a reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive relation. A poset  $P$  is *ranked* if there is a rank function  $r : P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $r(x) = 0$  if  $x$  is a minimal element of  $P$  and  $r(z) = r(y) + 1$  if  $z$  covers  $y$  in  $P$ . We call  $r(x)$  the *rank* of  $x$ . The rank of  $P$  is the maximum value of  $r(x)$  taken over all  $x \in P$ . Let  $P_i$  denote the set of elements of rank  $i$  in  $P$ . Its cardinality  $|P_i|$  is called the  *$i$ th Whitney number* of  $P$ . We say that  $P$  is *LC* if the Whitney numbers of  $P$  form a log-concave sequence, that is,

$$|P_i|^2 \geq |P_{i-1}| \cdot |P_{i+1}|$$

for all  $i > 0$ . An *antichain* is a subset of pairwise incomparable elements of  $P$ . We say that  $P$  has the *Sperner property* if the maximum size of an antichain in  $P$  equals the largest Whitney number of  $P$ . We say that  $P$  has the *LYM property* if

$$\sum_i |A \cap P_i| / |P_i| \leq 1$$

for every antichain  $A$  of  $P$ . It is well known that the LYM property implies the Sperner property ([5]).

---

Received December 17, 2007, Accepted January 7, 2008.

Communicated by Hung-Lin Fu.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 05D05; Secondary 06A07.

*Key words and phrases*: Posets, Divisor lattices, LYM orders.

Supported partially by NSFC Grant No. 10771027.

The subset lattice or the Boolean lattice  $B_n$  is the poset of all subsets of an  $n$ -element set, ordered by inclusion. In 1928, Sperner [13] showed, in current terminology, that the subset lattice has the Sperner property. In 1967, Rota [12] made a famous conjecture that the partition lattice has the Sperner property. Although the conjecture was shown to be invalid in general by Canfield [1] in 1978, efforts to prove analogues of Sperner's theorem for other posets have led to the emergence of an entire theory (see [4] for details). In 1980, Lih [11] discovered a generalization of Sperner's theorem. Let  $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be an  $n$ -element set and  $Y$  a subset of  $k$  elements of  $X$  where  $k \leq n$ . Let  $C(n, k)$  be the collection of all subsets of  $X$  which intersect  $Y$ , ordered by inclusion. Lih showed that  $C(n, k)$  has the Sperner property. Griggs [6] further showed, among other things, that  $C(n, k)$  has the LYM property before long. He also generalized this result as follows.

**Theorem 1.** ([6]). *Let  $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be partitioned into parts  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r$ . Suppose that  $I_i \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, |X_i|\}$  is an arithmetic progression for each  $i$ . Then*

$$P = \{Z \subseteq X : |Z \cap X_i| \in I_i, 1 \leq i \leq r\},$$

*ordered by inclusion, is LYM and LC.*

On the other hand, West, Harper and Daykin [16] gave a different generalization of Lih's result.

**Theorem 2.** ([16]). *Let  $C_1 \subset C_2 \subset \dots \subset C_s$  be a chain of subsets of  $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Suppose that  $\{a_i\}$  and  $\{b_i\}$  are two nondecreasing sequences with  $a_i \leq b_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Then*

$$P = \{Z \subseteq X : a_i \leq |Z \cap C_i| \leq b_i, 1 \leq i \leq s\},$$

*ordered by inclusion, is LYM and LC.*

They also hoped to find out a common generalization of their result and that of Griggs. Indeed, there are similarities in the statements and the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. In this note we broaden these results to the divisor lattice and give a common generalization.

Let  $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_t^{e_t}$  be a positive integer, where the  $p_i$  are distinct primes and  $e_i \in \mathbb{N}$ . The *divisor lattice*  $D(n)$  is the poset of all (positive) divisors of  $n$ , ordered by divisibility. As usual, let  $\sigma(n) = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_t$  denote the number of prime divisors of  $n$  counted according to multiplicity. Then  $D(n)$  is a ranked poset with the rank function  $\sigma$ . Clearly,  $D(n)$  reduces to  $B_n$  when  $n$  is square-free. Denote by  $(m, k)$  the largest common divisor of two positive integers  $m, k$  and replace  $\sigma((m, k))$  by  $\sigma(m, k)$ . Given two nonnegative integers  $a \leq b$ , denote  $[a, b] = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : a \leq i \leq b\}$ . Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $n = n_1 n_2 \cdots n_r$  where  $n_i$  are positive integers of pairwise coprime. Suppose that  $I_i \subseteq [0, \sigma(n_i)]$  is an arithmetic progression and  $J_i = [a_i, b_i]$  where  $a_i \leq b_i$  for each  $i$ . Then*

$$P = \{m \in D(n) : \sigma(m, n_j) \in I_j \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^j \sigma(m, n_i) \in J_j \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq r\},$$

ordered by divisibility, is LYM and LC.

When  $n$  is square-free, the corresponding result is the following.

**Corollary 1.** *Suppose that  $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is partitioned into parts  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r$ . Let  $I_i \subseteq [0, |X_i|]$  be an arithmetic progression and  $J_i = [a_i, b_i]$  where  $a_i \leq b_i$  for each  $i$ . Then*

$$P = \{Z \subseteq X : |Z \cap X_j| \in I_j \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^j |Z \cap X_i| \in J_j \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq r\},$$

ordered by inclusion, is LYM and LC.

It is not difficult to see that Theorem 1 and 2 follow immediately from Corollary 1. In fact, we can obtain Theorem 1 by putting each  $J_i = [0, n]$  in Corollary 1. On the other hand, suppose that  $C_1 \subset C_2 \subset \cdots \subset C_s$  is a chain of subsets of  $X$ . Let

$$X_1 = C_1, X_2 = C_2 \setminus C_1, \dots, X_s = C_s \setminus C_{s-1}, X_{s+1} = X \setminus C_s.$$

Then  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_s, X_{s+1}$  is a partition of  $X$  and  $C_i = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \cdots \cup X_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ). We obtain Theorem 2 by putting  $J_{s+1} = [0, n]$  and  $I_i = [0, |X_i|]$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s + 1$ ) in Corollary 1.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

We use the product theorem for LYM posets to prove Theorem 3. The (*direct*) product  $Q_1 \times Q_2$  of two posets  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  is defined to be the set of all pairs  $(q_1, q_2)$ ,  $q_1 \in Q_1, q_2 \in Q_2$ , with the order given by  $(q_1, q_2) \leq (q'_1, q'_2)$  if and only if  $q_1 \leq q'_1$  in  $Q_1$  and  $q_2 \leq q'_2$  in  $Q_2$ . Furthermore, the product of two ranked posets  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  is defined to be the poset together with the rank function  $r$  given by  $r(q_1, q_2) = r_1(q_1) + r_2(q_2)$ , where  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are the rank functions of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ , respectively. The product of two LYM posets  $P$  and  $Q$  may not be LYM in general, but it will be true if  $P$  and  $Q$  are LC also. The following result is discovered by Harper [7] and later independently by Hsieh and Kleitman [10].

**Product Theorem.** *If two posets  $Q_1, Q_2$  are both LYM and LC, then so is their product poset  $Q_1 \times Q_2$ .*

A subposet of a poset  $Q$  is a subset of  $Q$  whose elements are ordered as in  $Q$ . Let  $Q = \bigcup_{i=0}^n Q_i$  be a poset of rank  $n$ . Given a subset  $I$  of  $[0, n]$ , let  $Q_I = \bigcup_{i \in I} Q_i$  be the subposet of  $Q$  induced by  $I$ . Clearly, an antichain of  $Q_I$  is also antichain of  $Q$ . It follows that if the poset  $Q$  is LYM, then so is the subposet  $Q_I$ .

Let  $\{W_i\}_{i=0}^n$  be a log-concave sequence of positive numbers. Then the sequence  $\{W_i/W_{i-1}\}_{i=1}^n$  is nonincreasing. Thus  $W_j/W_{j-1} \geq W_k/W_{k-1}$  for  $j \leq k$ , or equivalently,  $W_j W_{k-1} \geq W_{j-1} W_k$ . It follows that

$$(2.1) \quad W_i^2 \geq W_{i-1}W_{i+1} \geq W_{i-2}W_{i+2} \geq \cdots \geq W_{i-d}W_{i+d}.$$

Let  $I = \{a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + md\}$  be an arithmetic progression in the closed interval  $[0, n]$ . Then the inequality (2.1) implies that the subsequence  $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$  is log-concave.

From the above discussion, we can conclude the following.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $Q$  be a ranked poset of rank  $n$  and let  $I$  be an arithmetic progression in the closed interval  $[0, n]$ . If  $Q$  is LYM and LC, then so is the subposet  $Q_I$  induced by  $I$ .*

We now prove Theorem 3.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* We proceed by induction on  $r$ . If  $r = 1$ , then

$$P = \{m \in D(n) : \sigma(m, n) \in I \cap J\},$$

where  $I \subseteq [1, \sigma(n)]$  is an arithmetic progression and  $J = [a, b]$ . Clearly,  $I \cap J$  is still an arithmetic progression. Note that  $P$  consists of those elements of  $D(n)$  with rank in  $I \cap J$  and it is also well known that  $D(n)$  is LYM and LC ([3]). Hence the subposet  $P$  of  $D(n)$  is LYM and LC by Lemma 1.

Suppose next that  $r > 1$ . Consider the following two posets:

$$P_1 = \{m \in D(n_r) : \sigma(m, n_r) \in I_r\}$$

and

$$P_2 = \{m \in D(n_1 \cdots n_{r-1}) : \sigma(m, n_j) \in I_j \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^j \sigma(m, n_i) \in J_j \\ \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq r - 1\}.$$

By the induction hypotheses and Lemma 1, both  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are LYM and LC. So  $P_1 \times P_2$  is also LYM and LC by the Product Theorem. Note that  $P_1 \times P_2$  is

isomorphic to the subposet of  $D(n)$

$$Q = \{m \in D(n) : \sigma(m, n_j) \in I_j \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq r \text{ and} \\ \sum_{i=1}^j \sigma(m, n_i) \in J_j \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq r-1\}$$

and that  $P$  is the subposet  $Q_{J_r}$  of  $Q$  induced by  $J_r$ . Hence  $P$  is LYM and LC by Lemma 1. This completes the proof of Theorem 3. ■

### 3. REMARKS

Let  $F$  be a collection of  $t$ -subsets of  $X = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Consider the filter generated by  $F$ :

$$P(F) = \{Y \subseteq X : Y \supseteq A \text{ for some } A \in F\},$$

which is a subposet of the Boolean lattice  $B_n$ . Lih [11] conjectured that  $P(F)$  has the Sperner property. The case  $t = 0$  is just the classical Sperner theorem and the case  $t = 1$  is Lih's result about  $C(n, k)$ . However, Zhu [18] found counterexamples to the conjecture with  $t > n/2$ . Griggs [6] showed that the conjecture fails for  $t = 4$  and Zha [17] constructed counterexamples for all  $t \geq 4$  and  $n \geq 2t - 1$ . Horrocks [8, 9] gave a graph-theoretical interpretation for the  $t = 2$  conjecture and left 116 exceptional graphs in his proof. Cheng and Lih [2] carried on further with Horrocks's reduction method to reduce the number of exceptional graphs and gave a complete proof for the  $t = 2$  conjecture. The conjecture remains open for  $t = 3$ . An interesting problem is to consider analogue of Lih's conjecture for the divisor lattices and other posets. We also refer the reader to [14, 15] for a subspace lattice analogue of Lih's poset  $C(n, k)$ .

### REFERENCES

1. E. R. Canfield, On a problem of Rota, *Adv. Math.*, **29** (1978), 1-10.
2. S.-E. Cheng and K.-W. Lih, An improvement on a Spernerity proof of Horrocks, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, **263** (2001), 355-377.
3. G. F. Clements, Antichains in the set of subsets of a multiset, *Discrete Math.*, **48** (1984), 23-45.
4. K. Engel, *Sperner Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
5. C. Greene and D. J. Kleitman, Proof techniques in the theory of finite sets, in: *Studies in Combinatorics*, MAA Stud. Math., **17**, Math. Assoc. America, Washington, D.C., 1978, pp. 22-79.

6. J. R. Griggs, Collections of subsets with the Sperner property, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **269** (1982), 575-591.
7. L. H. Harper, The morphology of partially ordered sets, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **17** (1974), 44-58.
8. D. G. C. Horrocks, Nested chain partitions of Hamiltonian filters, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **81** (1998), 176-189.
9. D. G. C. Horrocks, On Lih's conjecture concerning Spernerity, *European J. Combin.*, **20** (1999), 131-148.
10. W. N. Hsieh and D. J. Kleitman, Normalized matching in direct products of partial orders, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **52** (1973), 285-289.
11. K.-W. Lih, Sperner families over a subset, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **129** (1980), 182-185.
12. G.-C. Rota, A generalization of Sperner's theorem, *J. Combin. Theory*, **2** (1967), 104.
13. E. Sperner, Ein Satz über Untermengen einer endlichen Menge, *Math. Z.*, **27** (1928), 327-330.
14. J. Wang and H. J. Zhang, Normalized matching property of a class of subspace lattices, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **11** (2007), 43-50.
15. Y. Wang, On a class of subspace lattices, *J. Math. Res. Exposition*, **19** (1999), 341-348.
16. D. B. West, L. H. Harper and D. E. Daykin, Some remarks on normalized matching, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **35** (1983), 301-308.
17. X. Y. Zha, On a conjecture on the Sperner property, *European J. Combin.*, **10** (1989), 603-607.
18. Y. X. Zhu, A note on a conjecture concerning the Sperner property (in Chinese), *J. Math. Res. Exposition*, **4** (1984), 148.

Yi Wang

Department of Applied Mathematics,  
Dalian University of Technology,  
Dalian 116024,  
P. R. China  
E-mail: wangyi@dlut.edu.cn

Yeong-Nan Yeh

Institute of Mathematics,  
Academia Sinica,  
Taipei 11529, Taiwan  
E-mail: mayeh@math.sinica.edu.tw