

ON b -WEAKLY COMPACT OPERATORS ON BANACH LATTICES

Birol Altin

Abstract. In this paper every b -weakly compact operator is shown to factor through a KB -space. Also we give some necessary and sufficient conditions for a continuous operator $T : E \rightarrow X$ from a Banach lattice into a Banach space to be a b -weakly compact. Moreover, we investigated the order structure of b -weakly compact operator.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The notions b -weakly compactness of operators from a Banach lattice into a Banach space, b -order boundedness of sets in a Riesz space and b -order boundedness of operators between Riesz spaces were introduced in [3].

Let L be a Riesz space. Let L^\sim and $L^{\sim\sim}$ denote order dual of L and second order dual of L , respectively. The canonical embedding $Q_L : L \rightarrow L^{\sim\sim}$ is defined by

$$Q_L(x) = \hat{x} ; \hat{x}(f) = f(x), \quad f \in L^\sim$$

for each $x \in L$, \hat{x} is an order bounded and order continuous linear functional on L^\sim . The canonical embedding is a lattice preserving operator. If L^\sim separates the points of L then Q_L is also one-to-one, and hence L can be considered as a Riesz subspace of $L^{\sim\sim}$. Since all Banach lattices have separating order duals, we will not distinguish between a Banach lattice E and its image in E'' .

Definition 1. Let A be a subset of L . If $Q_L(A)$ is order bounded in $L^{\sim\sim}$, then A is said to b -order bounded in L .

It is clear that every order bounded subset of L is b -order bounded. However, the converse is not true in general. For example, $A = \{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is b -order

Received September 20, 2004, revised June 1, 2005.

Communicated by Bar-Luh Lin.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47B07, 47B65.

Key words and phrases: Banach lattices, b -Weakly compact operators, b -Order bounded operators, Modulus.

bounded in c_0 but A is not order bounded in c_0 , where e_n is sequence of reals with all terms zero except for the n 'th which is 1.

Definition 2. A Riesz space L is said to have property (b) if every b -order bounded subset of L is order bounded in L [3].

Every order dual of Riesz space has property b [3].

Definition 3. An operator T between Riesz spaces L, M is called b -order bounded, if it maps b -order bounded subsets of L into b -order bounded subsets of M .

It is clear that every order bounded operator between Riesz spaces is b -order bounded operator.

Definition 4. Let E be a Banach lattice and X be a Banach space. An operator $T : E \rightarrow X$ is said to be b -weakly compact whenever T carries each b -order bounded subset of E into a relatively weakly compact subset of X . The collection of b -weakly compact operators will be denoted by $W_b(E, X)$.

Let $W(E, X)$ and $W_o(E, X)$ denote the spaces of all weakly compact operators and of all order weakly compact operators from E into X respectively. Clearly we have $W(E, X) \subset W_b(E, X) \subset W_o(E, X)$. On the other hand, it is clear that the equality $W(E, X) = W_b(E, X)$ holds whenever E is an AM-space. Let F be a Banach lattice. $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ and $\mathcal{L}_b(E, F)$ denote the spaces of all bounded and of all order bounded operators from E into F respectively. For brevity, $\mathcal{L}(E, E)$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}(E)$. $W_b^+(E, F)$ denotes the linear span of the positive b -weakly compact operators from E into F .

$I_{x''}$ denotes the principal ideal generated by $x'' \in E''$ and $Y_{x''}$ denotes the Riesz space $I_{x''} \cap E$ for each x'' in E''_+ . It is clear that for each x'' in E''_+ $Y_{x''}$ is an AM-space with the norm,

$$\|u\|_\infty = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : |u| \leq \lambda x''\} \text{ for each } u \in Y_{x''}.$$

Let T be a continuous operator from Banach lattice E into Banach space X and A be a norm bounded subset of E' . We define two Riesz seminorms on E

$$q_T(x) = \sup\{\|T(y)\| : |y| \leq |x|\}, \quad x \in E \text{ and}$$

$$\rho_A(x) = \sup\{|f|(|x|) : f \in A\}, \quad x \in E.$$

For all other undefined terms and notations we will adhere to the conventions in [2] and [6].

2. CHARACTERIZATION OF b -WEAKLY COMPACT OPERATORS

In this section we give some characterizations for a b -weakly compact operator.

Proposition 1. Let E be a Banach lattice, X be Banach space and $T : E \rightarrow X$ be a continuous operator, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is b -weakly compact operator.
- (ii) For each b -order bounded disjoint sequence $\{x_n\}$ of E_+ $\lim q_T(x_n) = 0$.
- (iii) $\{T(x_n)\}$ is norm convergent for every b -order bounded increasing sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq E_+$. (i.e. T is of type B [7].)

Proof. (i) \implies (iii) Let $T : E \rightarrow X$ be b -weakly compact. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a b -order bounded increasing sequence of E_+ . We choose $x'' \in E''_+$ with $0 \leq x_n \uparrow x''$ in E'' . Let $T_{x''}$ be the restriction of the operator T to $Y_{x''}$. It is clear that $T_{x''}$ is weakly compact. Accordingly, $T'_{x''} : X' \rightarrow Y'_{x''}$ is also weakly compact. Thus if W is the closed unit ball of X' , $B = T'_{x''}(W)$ is relatively weakly compact. Theorem 2.5.5 in [6] implies that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $Y_{x''}$ is ρ_B -Cauchy. Hence, $\{T(x_n)\}$ is norm convergent in X .

(iii) \implies (i) is obvious.

(i) \iff (ii) It follows from Theorem 2.5.5. in [6]. ■

Since the dual of Banach lattice has property (b), 3.5 Proposition in [7] is given as a result of preceding proposition.

The preceding proposition coupled with Theorem 3.4.11 and Theorem 3.5.8 in [6] yields the following characterization.

Proposition 2. *Let $T : E \rightarrow X$ be a continuous operator from a Banach lattice with order continuous norm into a Banach space, then T is b -weakly compact if and only if T admits a factorization through a KB -space F*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E & \xrightarrow{T} & X \\
 \searrow Q & & \nearrow S \\
 & F &
 \end{array}$$

where Q is an interval preserving lattice homomorphism.

Corollary 1. *Let E be a Banach lattice with order continuous norm, X be a Banach space and $T : E \rightarrow X$ be a continuous operator, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) T is a b -weakly compact.
- (ii) $\lim \|\| T(x_n) \|\| = 0$ for every b -order bounded sequence $\{x_n\} \subset E_+$ satisfying $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$.

Proposition 3. *Let E be a Banach lattice with order continuous norm and weakly sequentially continuous lattice operations. Let X be a Banach space and $T : E \rightarrow X$ be a continuous operator, then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) T is b -weakly compact

(ii) If $\{x_n\}$ is a b -order bounded $\sigma(E, E')$ -Cauchy sequence, then $\{T(x_n)\}$ is $\|\cdot\|$ -convergent.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii) Let $\{x_n\}$ be a b -order bounded $\sigma(E, E')$ -Cauchy sequence of E . If $\{T(x_n)\}$ is not norm Cauchy sequence of X , then there exist some $\epsilon > 0$ and a subsequence $\{y_n\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ satisfying $\|T(y_{n+1} - y_n)\| > \epsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\{y_{n+1} - y_n\}$ converges weakly to zero and lattice operations in E are weakly sequentially continuous, we see that $(y_{n+1} - y_n)^+ \rightarrow 0$ and $(y_{n+1} - y_n)^- \rightarrow 0$ weakly. Therefore, $\lim \|T(y_{n+1} - y_n)\| = 0$, which is impossible. Thus, $\{T(x_n)\}$ is a norm Cauchy sequence, and hence is norm convergent in X .

(ii) \implies (i) This assertion follows from proposition 2.8 in [3]. \blacksquare

Proposition 4. Let E be a Banach lattice with order continuous norm, X be a Banach space and $T : E \rightarrow X$ be a continuous operator, then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) T is b -weakly compact operator.

(ii) For each $x'' \in E''_+$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $0 \leq y''' \in (Y_{x''})'''$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $|y| \leq x''$ and $y'''(|y|) < \delta$ imply $\|T(y)\| < \epsilon$.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii) Let $T : E \rightarrow X$ be b -weakly compact operator. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $0 < x'' \in E''$. We can assume that $Y_{x''} \neq \{0\}$. Denote by $T_{x''}$, the restriction of the operator T to $Y_{x''}$. $T_{x''} : Y_{x''} \rightarrow X$ is weakly compact operator. Accordingly, $T_{x''}''' : X''' \rightarrow (Y_{x''})'''$ is also weakly compact. Thus, if W is the closed unit ball of X''' then $T_{x''}'''(W)$ is relatively weakly compact in $(Y_{x''})'''$. Then by Theorem 13.10 in [2], there exists some $0 \leq y''' \in (Y_{x''})'''$ such that $\|(|T_{x''}'''(f) - y''|)^+ \| < \epsilon/2 \|x''\|$ holds for all $f \in W$.

Now put $\delta = \epsilon/2$ and let $|y| \leq x''$ satisfy $y'''(|y|) < \delta$. Then for each $f \in W$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(T_{x''}''(y))| &= |T_{x''}'''f(y)| \\ &\leq |T_{x''}'''f|(|y|) \\ &= (|T_{x''}'''f| - y''|)^+ (|y|) + (y''' \wedge |T_{x''}'''f|)(|y|) \\ &\leq \|(|T_{x''}'''f| - y''|)^+ \| \cdot \|y\| + y'''(|y|) \\ &< \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\|T(y)\| < \epsilon$ holds, as desired.

(ii) \implies (i) Let B denote the band generated by E in E'' and $x'' \in B_+$. We choose a net $\{x_\alpha\}$ in E with $0 \leq x_\alpha \uparrow x''$. Taking into account that $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*} x''$ in E''

and $T'' : E'' \rightarrow X''$ is w^* -continuous, we see that $Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*} T''x''$ also holds. Since $\|x_\alpha\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\{x_\alpha\}$ is increasing net in $Y_{x''}$, there exists a positive element y'''' in $Y_{x''}''''$ with $0 \leq x_\alpha \uparrow y''''$ in $Y_{x''}''''$. Therefore, $f(x_\alpha) \rightarrow y''''(f)$ for all $f \in (Y_{x''}''')_+$. Now let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $\delta > 0$ and $0 \leq y''' \in Y_{x''}'''$ such that $|y| \leq x''$ and $y'''(|y|) < \delta$ imply $\|T(y)\| < \epsilon$. Next pick some α_0 so that $y'''(|x_\alpha - x_\beta|) < 2\delta$ holds for all $\alpha, \beta \geq \alpha_0$. Fix some $\beta \geq \alpha_0$ and note that if $g \in X'$ with $\|g\| \leq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T''x'' - Tx_\beta)(g)\| &= \lim_{\alpha \geq \alpha_0} |g(T(x_\alpha - x_\beta))| \\ &\leq \lim_{\alpha} \sup \|T(x_\alpha - x_\beta)\| \\ &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

holds, the latter implies that $\|T''(x'') - T(x_\beta)\| < \epsilon$. This shows that $T''x''$ lies in the norm closure of X in X'' . Since X is a Banach space, we see that $T''(x'') \in X$ hence, $T''(B) \subseteq X$ holds. By Proposition 2.11 in [3], T is b -weakly compact. ■

Neither the adjoint of b -weakly compact operator nor a continuous operator with a b -weakly compact adjoint have to be b -weakly compact in general. One can just put the identity operators on l_1 and c_0 respectively.

Recall that a continuous operator $T : X \rightarrow E$ from a Banach space into a Banach lattice is semicompact whenever for $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some $u \in E_+$ satisfying

$$\|(|T(x)| - u)^+\| < \epsilon$$

for all $x \in X$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$.

A continuous operator, whose adjoint is semicompact, from a Banach lattice with order continuous norm into a Banach lattice is b -weakly compact.

Corollary 2. *Let $T : E \rightarrow F$ be a continuous operator from a Banach lattice with order continuous norm into a Banach lattice. If the adjoint of T is semicompact, then T is b -weakly compact.*

However, as the next example shows, the converse of this result is not true in general.

Example 1. The identity operator $I : l_2 \rightarrow l_2$ is a b -weakly compact but its adjoint $I : l_2 \rightarrow l_2$ is not a semicompact.

Recall that an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ between two Banach spaces is a Dunford-Pettis operator whenever $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in X implies $\lim \|T(x_n)\| = 0$.

Every Dunford-Pettis operator maps b -order bounded sets onto relatively weakly compact sets.

Proposition 5. *Every Dunford-Pettis operator $T : E \rightarrow X$ from a Banach lattice E into a Banach space X is b -weakly compact.*

A b -weakly compact operator need not be a Dunford-Pettis operator. For instance, the identity operator $I : L_1[0, 1] \rightarrow L_1[0, 1]$ is b -weakly compact but it is not Dunford Pettis operator.

3. ORDER STRUCTURE OF b -WEAKLY COMPACT OPERATORS

In [1], the idea of a generalized sublattice was introduced. There it is said that (\mathcal{J}, \leq) is a partially ordered vector spaces and \mathcal{Z} a subspace of \mathcal{J} , then \mathcal{Z} is a generalized sublattice of \mathcal{J} if (\mathcal{Z}, \leq) is a lattice and for each $x, y \in \mathcal{Z}$ the supremum of x and y calculated in \mathcal{Z} is also their supremum in \mathcal{J} . For example the compact operators from $C([0, 1])$ into c_0 (which form a lattice) as a subset of $\mathcal{L}(C([0, 1]), c_0)$ (which is not a lattice).

The following example shows that on Dedekind complete Banach lattices, b -weakly compact operators do not form a lattice.

Example 2. The well known operator $T : L_1[0, 1] \rightarrow c_0$ defined by

$$T(f) = \left(\int_0^1 f(x) \sin x dx, \int_0^1 f(x) \sin 2x dx, \dots \right)$$

is a b -weakly compact operator but it is not order bounded. Therefore, $W_b(L_1[0, 1], c_0)$ is not a lattice.

The next example due to Z.L. Chen and A.W. Wickstead in [4] shows that the order bounded, b -weakly compact operators from a Banach lattice into a Dedekind complete Banach lattice do not form a lattice.

Example 3. Let $E = C([0, 1])$, $F = l_\infty(F_n)$ where $F_n = (l_\infty, \|\cdot\|)$ and $\|(\lambda_k)\| = \max\{\|(\lambda_k)\|_\infty, n \limsup(|\lambda_k|)\}$ for all $(\lambda_k) \in l_\infty$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, F_n is a Dedekind complete AM -space, hence so is F . Define $T_n : E \rightarrow F_n$ by $T_n(f) = (2^n \cdot \int_{I_n} f \cdot r_k dt)_{k=1}^\infty \in F_n$ for all $f \in E$, where r_n is the n 'th Radamacher function on $[0, 1]$ and $I_n = (2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1})$.

Now define $T : E \rightarrow F$ by $T(f) = (\frac{1}{n} T_n(f))_{n=1}^\infty$. Then T is a weakly compact operator, so T is a b -weakly compact operator and its modulus $|T|$ exists and $|T|$

is not order weakly compact hence not b -weakly compact so $W_b(E, F)$ is not a lattice.

By Corollary 2.9 in [3] we see that the linear span of the positive b -weakly compact operators from a Banach lattice into a Dedekind complete Banach lattice is a generalized sublattice of the space of all b -weakly compact operators.

Proposition 6. *Let E and F be two Banach lattices with F Dedekind complete. Then $W_b^r(E, F)$ is a generalized sublattice of $W_b(E, F)$.*

We note that $W_b^r(E, F)$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{L}_b(E, F)$, but $W_b^r(E, F)$ is not a band in $\mathcal{L}_b(E, F)$ in general.

The following proposition gives us some sufficient conditions for the composition of two operators to be a b -weakly compact. The proof of the following proposition is routine.

Proposition 7. *Let E, F, G be Banach lattices and $E \xrightarrow{T} F \xrightarrow{S} G$ be operators, then we have that*

- (1) *If T is a b -order bounded operator and S is a b -weakly compact operator then ST is a b -weakly compact operator.*
- (2) *If S is continuous and T is a b -weakly compact operator then ST is a b -weakly compact operator.*
- (3) *If F has a continuous norm and T is a continuous operator with $T''(B_E) \subset B_F$ and S is a b -weakly compact operator then ST is a b -weakly compact operator, where $B_E(B_F)$ is the band generated by $E(F)$ in $E''(F'')$.*

The above proposition also informs us that the b -weakly compact operators on a Banach lattice E form a left-sided ring ideal of $\mathcal{L}(E)$.

REFERENCES

1. Y. A. Abramovich and A. W. Wickstead, Recent results on the order structure of compact operators, *Irish Math. Soc. Bulletin*, **32**, (1994), 34-45.
2. C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Positive Operators*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1985.
3. Ş. Alpay, B. Altn and C. Tonyal, On property (b) of vector lattices, *Positivity*, **7** (2003), 135-139.
4. Z. L. Chen and A. W. Wickstead, Vector lattices of weakly compact operators on Banach lattices, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **352(1)**, (1999), 397-412.

5. P. G. Dodds, O-weakly compact mappings of Riesz spaces, *Trans. Amer. Soc.*, **214** (1975), 389-402.
6. P. Meyer-Nieberg, *Banach lattices*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1991.
7. C. Niculescu, Order σ -Continuous Operators On Banach Lattices, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer-Verlag, **991** (1983), 188-201.

Birol altin
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science and Arts,
Gazi University,
06500, Teknikokullar,
Ankara, Turkey
E-mail: birola@gazi.edu.tr