

WEIGHTED REPRESENTATION FUNCTIONS ON \mathbb{Z}_m

Quan-Hui Yang and Yong-Gao Chen*

Abstract. Let m , k_1 , and k_2 be three integers with $m \geq 2$. For $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$, let $\hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(A, n)$ denote the number of solutions of the equation $n = k_1 a_1 + k_2 a_2$ with $a_1, a_2 \in A$. In this paper, we characterize all m , k_1 , k_2 , and A for which $\hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(\mathbb{Z}_m \setminus A, n) = \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(A, n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$. As a corollary, we prove that there exists $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$ such that $\hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(\mathbb{Z}_m \setminus A, n) = \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(A, n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ if and only if $2d \mid m$, where $d = (k_1, m)(k_2, m)/(k_1, k_2, m)^2$. We also pose several problems for further research.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of nonnegative integers. For a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, let $R_1(A, n)$, $R_2(A, n)$, $R_3(A, n)$ denote the number of solutions of $a + a' = n$, $a, a' \in A$, $a + a' = n$, $a, a' \in A$, $a < a'$, and $a + a' = n$, $a, a' \in A$, $a \leq a'$ respectively. We usually call them representation functions. Representation functions first appeared in the famous Erdős-Turán conjecture (see [13]) and are named so by Nathanson (see [18]) about forty years later. After that, they are studied by Erdős, Sárközy and Sós in a series of papers [8-12]. Representation functions have recently been extensively studied by many authors (see [1, 7, 14-16, 19-21, 23-25]) and are still a fruitful area of research in additive number theory.

For $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, Sárközy asked whether there are sets A and B with infinite symmetric difference such that $R_i(A, n) = R_i(B, n)$ for all sufficiently large integers n . It is known that the answer is negative for $i = 1$ (see Dombi [6]). Dombi [6] for $i = 2$ and the second author and Wang [4] for $i = 3$ proved that there exists a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $R_i(A, n) = R_i(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Later, Lev [17], Sándor [22] and Tang [24] provided several simple and nice proofs. The second author and Tang [3]

Received September 19, 2012, accepted January 26, 2013.

Communicated by Wen-Ching Li.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11B34, 11L03.

Key words and phrases: Representation function, Partition, Sárközy problem.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No. 11071121 and the Project of Graduate Education Innovation of Jiangsu Province (CXZZ12-0381).

*Corresponding author.

determined those A for which $R_i(A, n) = R_i(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n) \geq 1$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Recently, the second author [2] determined those A for which $R_i(A, n) = R_i(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n) \geq cn$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

Given any two positive integers $k_1 \leq k_2$ and any set A of nonnegative integers, let $r_{k_1, k_2}(A, n)$ denote the number of solutions of the equation $n = k_1 a_1 + k_2 a_2$ with $a_1, a_2 \in A$. Cilleruelo and Rué [5] proved that if $k_2 \geq k_1 \geq 2$, then $r_{k_1, k_2}(A, n)$ cannot be constant from some point on. Recently, the authors [26] proved that there exists a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $r_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = r_{k_1, k_2}(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n)$ for all sufficiently large integers n if and only if $k_1 \mid k_2$ and $k_2 > k_1$. In this paper, we study the modular version of this property.

First we give some notation here. For a positive integer m , let \mathbb{Z}_m be the set of residue classes modulo m . Given any t integers k_1, \dots, k_t , any set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$, and any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$, let $\hat{r}_{k_1, \dots, k_t}(A, n)$ denote the number of solutions of the equation $n = k_1 a_1 + \dots + k_t a_t$ with $a_1, \dots, a_t \in A$. For $d \mid m$, the set A is said *uniformly distributed modulo d* if $|\{x : x \in A, x \equiv i \pmod{d}\}| = |A|/d$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$. In this paper, we characterize all m, k_1, k_2 , and A for which $\hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(\mathbb{Z}_m \setminus A, n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$. The following results are proved.

Theorem 1. *Let m, k_1 , and k_2 be three integers with $m \geq 2$, $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$, and $d = (k_1, m)(k_2, m)/(k_1, k_2, m)^2$. Then $\hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(\mathbb{Z}_m \setminus A, n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ if and only if $|A| = m/2$ and A is uniformly distributed modulo d .*

Corollary 1. *Let the notation be as in Theorem 1. Then there exists a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$ such that $\hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(\mathbb{Z}_m \setminus A, n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ if and only if $2d \mid m$.*

For a nonzero integer k , let $v_2(k) = t$ if $2^t \mid k$ and $2^{t+1} \nmid k$.

Corollary 2. *Let the notation be as in Theorem 1. Then there exists a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$ such that $\hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(\mathbb{Z}_m \setminus A, n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ if and only if m is even and one of the following statements is true: (i) $2 \mid k_1 + k_2$; (ii) $2 \nmid k_1 + k_2$ and $v_2(k_1 k_2) < v_2(m)$.*

Motivated by Lev [17] and the authors [26], we now pose the following problems for further research.

Problem 1. Given any integers m, k_1 and k_2 with $m \geq 2$, determine all pairs of subsets $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$ such that $\hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(B, n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$.

Problem 2. For $t \geq 3$, find all $t + 1$ -tuples (m, k_1, \dots, k_t) of integers for which there exists a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$ such that $\hat{r}_{k_1, \dots, k_t}(A, n) = \hat{r}_{k_1, \dots, k_t}(\mathbb{Z}_m \setminus A, n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$.

2. PROOFS

For $T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}_m$, let

$$S_T(x) = \sum_{t \in T} e^{2\pi itx/m}.$$

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$ and $B = \mathbb{Z}_m \setminus A$. Then

$$\hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{x=0}^{m-1} S_A(k_1 x) S_A(k_2 x) e^{-2\pi i n x/m}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$. Let $g_A(x) = S_A(k_1 x) S_A(k_2 x) - S_B(k_1 x) S_B(k_2 x)$. Thus

$$(1) \quad \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) - \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(B, n) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{x=0}^{m-1} g_A(x) e^{-2\pi i n x/m}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$.

In order to prove Theorem 1, we need the following Lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let m, k_1 , and k_2 be three integers with $m \geq 2$. If $\hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(B, n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$, then m is even and $|A| = m/2$.*

Proof. If $\hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(B, n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$, then

$$|A|^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(B, n) = |B|^2.$$

Hence $|A| = |B|$. Therefore, $m = |A| + |B|$ is even and $|A| = m/2$. ■

Lemma 2. *If $m \nmid k_i x$ ($i = 1, 2$), then $g_A(x) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $m \nmid k_i x$ ($i = 1, 2$), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S_A(k_1 x) + S_B(k_1 x) &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{2\pi i k_1 x j/m} = 0, \\ S_A(k_2 x) + S_B(k_2 x) &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{2\pi i k_2 x j/m} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $g_A(x) = S_A(k_1 x) S_A(k_2 x) - S_B(k_1 x) S_B(k_2 x) = 0$. ■

Lemma 3. *If $|A| = m/2$ and $m \mid k_i x$ ($i = 1, 2$), then $g_A(x) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $m \mid k_i x$ ($i = 1, 2$), it follows that

$$S_A(k_1x) = |A| = S_A(k_2x) \quad \text{and} \quad S_B(k_1x) = |B| = S_B(k_2x).$$

Thus $g_A(x) = |A|^2 - |B|^2$. By $|A| = m/2$ we have $|B| = m/2$. Therefore, $g_A(x) = 0$. ■

Lemma 4. *If k and ℓ are two integers, then*

$$\sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ m|kx}}^{m-1} S_T(\ell x) e^{-2\pi i n x/m} = (k, m) \sum_{\substack{t \in T \\ (k,m)|\ell t-n}} 1.$$

Proof. Let $d = (k, m)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ m|kx}}^{m-1} S_T(\ell x) e^{-2\pi i n x/m} &= \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ m|kx}}^{m-1} \sum_{t \in T} e^{2\pi i (\ell t - n) x/m} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{d-1} \sum_{t \in T} e^{2\pi i (\ell t - n) s/d} = \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{s=0}^{d-1} e^{2\pi i (\ell t - n) s/d} = d \sum_{\substack{t \in T \\ d|\ell t-n}} 1. \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $d_1 = (k_1, m)$, $d_2 = (k_2, m)$, and $d_3 = (d_1, d_2)$. Then $d = d_1 d_2 / d_3^2$. By Lemma 1 we may assume that m is even and $|A| = |B| = m/2$. From equality (1), by Lemmas 2-4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) - \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(B, n) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ m|k_1x, m|k_2x}}^{m-1} g_A(x) e^{-2\pi i n x/m} + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ m|k_1x}}^{m-1} g_A(x) e^{-2\pi i n x/m} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ m|k_2x}}^{m-1} g_A(x) e^{-2\pi i n x/m} - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ m|k_1x, m|k_2x}}^{m-1} g_A(x) e^{-2\pi i n x/m} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ m|k_1x}}^{m-1} g_A(x) e^{-2\pi i n x/m} + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ m|k_2x}}^{m-1} g_A(x) e^{-2\pi i n x/m} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ m|k_1x}}^{m-1} (S_A(k_2x) - S_B(k_2x)) e^{-2\pi i n x/m} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ m|k_2x}}^{m-1} (S_A(k_1x) - S_B(k_1x)) e^{-2\pi inx/m} \\ & = \frac{1}{2} d_1 \left(\sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ d_1|k_2a-n}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{b \in B \\ d_1|k_2b-n}} 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} d_2 \left(\sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ d_2|k_1a-n}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{b \in B \\ d_2|k_1b-n}} 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(2) \quad \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(B, n)$$

is equivalent to

$$(3) \quad d_1 \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ d_1|k_2a-n}} 1 + d_2 \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ d_2|k_1a-n}} 1 = d_1 \sum_{\substack{b \in B \\ d_1|k_2b-n}} 1 + d_2 \sum_{\substack{b \in B \\ d_2|k_1b-n}} 1.$$

First, we prove the necessity in Theorem 1. Suppose that (2) holds for all integers n . Then (3) holds for all integers n . Thus, replacing n by d_1n in (3), we have

$$(4) \quad d_1 \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ d_1|k_2a-d_1n}} 1 + d_2 \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ d_2|k_1a-d_1n}} 1 = d_1 \sum_{\substack{b \in B \\ d_1|k_2b-d_1n}} 1 + d_2 \sum_{\substack{b \in B \\ d_2|k_1b-d_1n}} 1$$

for all integers n . Let $d_i = d_3d'_i$ and $k_i = d_3k'_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). Since $d_3 = (d_1, d_2)$ and $d_i = (k_i, m)$, we see that d'_i and k'_i are integers ($i = 1, 2$). By (4), we have

$$(5) \quad d_1 \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ d'_1|k'_2a}} 1 + d_2 \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ d'_2|k'_1a-d'_1n}} 1 = d_1 \sum_{\substack{b \in B \\ d'_1|k'_2b}} 1 + d_2 \sum_{\substack{b \in B \\ d'_2|k'_1b-d'_1n}} 1.$$

Since $(d_1, k_2) = (k_1, m, k_2) = d_3$, it follows that $(d'_1, k'_2) = 1$. Similarly, we have that $(d'_2, k'_1) = 1$. Thus the sum of the two sides of (5) is

$$(6) \quad d_1 \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_m, d'_1|k'_2t} 1 + d_2 \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_m, d'_2|k'_1t-d'_1n} 1 = d_1 \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_m, d'_1|t} 1 + d_2 \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_m, d'_2|t} 1 = 2d_3m.$$

By (5) and (6), we have

$$(7) \quad d_1 \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ d'_1|k'_2a}} 1 + d_2 \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ d'_2|k'_1a-d'_1n}} 1 = d_3m \quad \text{for all integers } n.$$

Since $(d_1, d_2) = d_3$, we see that $(d'_1, d'_2) = 1$. Hence there exists an integer t_1 such that $d'_1 t_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{d'_2}$. Thus $k'_1 a - d'_1 t_1 k'_1 n \equiv k'_1(a - n) \pmod{d'_2}$. By (7), replacing n by $t_1 k'_1 n$, and $(d'_2, k'_1) = 1$, we have

$$d_2 \sum_{a \in A, d'_2 | a - n} 1 = d_3 m - d_1 \sum_{a \in A, d'_1 | k'_2 a} 1,$$

so that

$$(8) \quad \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ d'_2 | a - n_1}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ d'_2 | a - n_2}} 1 \quad \text{for all integers } n_1, n_2.$$

Hence A is uniformly distributed modulo d'_2 . Similarly, A is uniformly distributed modulo d'_1 . Since $(d'_1, d'_2) = 1$, the set A is uniformly distributed modulo $d'_1 d'_2 = d_1 d_2 / d_3^2 = d$.

Now we prove the sufficiency in Theorem 1. Suppose that A is uniformly distributed modulo $d'_1 d'_2 = d$. Then A is uniformly distributed modulo d'_1 . So $|\{a \in A : d'_1 | a - n\}| = |A|/d'_1$ for all integers n . Since $(k'_2, d'_1) = 1$, it follows that $|\{a \in A : d'_1 | k'_2 a - n\}| = |A|/d'_1$ for all integers n . That is, $|\{a \in A : d_1 | k_2 a - d_3 n\}| = d_3 |A|/d_1$ for all integers n . Similarly, $|\{a \in A : d_2 | k_1 a - d_3 n\}| = d_3 |A|/d_2$ for all integers n . Hence

$$(9) \quad d_1 \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ d_1 | k_2 a - d_3 n}} 1 + d_2 \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ d_2 | k_1 a - d_3 n}} 1 = 2d_3 |A| \quad \text{for all integers } n.$$

Since A is uniformly distributed modulo d , the set $B = \mathbb{Z}_m \setminus A$ is also uniformly distributed modulo d . Similarly, we have that

$$(10) \quad d_1 \sum_{\substack{b \in B \\ d_1 | k_2 b - d_3 n}} 1 + d_2 \sum_{\substack{b \in B \\ d_2 | k_1 b - d_3 n}} 1 = 2d_3 |B| \quad \text{for all integers } n.$$

Noting that $|A| = |B|$, by (9) and (10), the equality (3) holds for all integers n with $d_3 | n$. If $d_3 \nmid n$, by $d_3 | d_1$, $d_3 | d_2$, and $d_2 | k_2$, we have $d_1 \nmid k_2 a - n$. Similarly, if $d_3 \nmid n$, then $d_2 \nmid k_1 a - n$, $d_2 \nmid k_1 b - n$, and $d_1 \nmid k_2 b - n$. So (3) holds trivially for all integers n with $d_3 \nmid n$. Thus (3) holds for all integers n . Therefore, (2) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$. ■

Proof of Corollary 1. Suppose that there exists a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$ such that $\hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(\mathbb{Z}_m \setminus A, n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$. By Theorem 1, $|A| = m/2$ and A is uniformly distributed modulo d . So m is even and $d | m/2$. Thus $2d | m$. Conversely, suppose that $2d | m$. Let

$$A = \bigcup_{i=0}^{d-1} \left\{ i + d\ell : \ell = 1, \dots, \frac{m}{2d} \right\}.$$

Then $|A| = m/2$ and A is uniformly distributed modulo d . By Theorem 1, $\hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(\mathbb{Z}_m \setminus A, n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$. ■

Proof of Corollary 2. By Corollary 1, there exists a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$ such that $\hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(\mathbb{Z}_m \setminus A, n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ if and only if $2d \mid m$.

Since $d_1 \mid m$ and $d_2 \mid m$, by $(d_1/d_3, d_2/d_3) = 1$ and $d = d_1 d_2 / d_3^2$ we have $d \mid m$. So $2d \mid m$ is equivalent to $v_2(2d) \leq v_2(m)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $v_2(k_1) \leq v_2(k_2)$. Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} v_2(2d) &= 1 + v_2(d_1) + v_2(d_2) - 2v_2(d_3) \\ &= 1 + \min\{v_2(k_2), v_2(m)\} - \min\{v_2(k_1), v_2(m)\}, \end{aligned}$$

the inequality $v_2(2d) \leq v_2(m)$ is equivalent to that m is even and one of (i) and (ii) is true. Therefore, there exists a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$ such that $\hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = \hat{r}_{k_1, k_2}(\mathbb{Z}_m \setminus A, n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ if and only if m is even and one of (i) and (ii) is true. ■

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the referee for his/her detailed comments.

REFERENCES

1. R. Balasubramanian and G. Prakash, On an additive representation function, *J. Number Theory*, **104** (2004), 327-334.
2. Y.-G. Chen, On the values of representation functions, *Sci. China Math.*, **54** (2011), 1317-1331.
3. Y.-G. Chen and M. Tang, Partitions of natural numbers with the same representation functions, *J. Number Theory*, **129** (2009), 2689-2695.
4. Y.-G. Chen and B. Wang, On additive properties of two special sequences, *Acta Arith.*, **110** (2003), 299-303.
5. J. Cilleruelo and J. Rué, On a question of Sárközy and Sós for bilinear forms, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **41** (2009), 274-280.
6. G. Dombi, Additive properties of certain sets, *Acta Arith.*, **103** (2002), 137-146.
7. A. Dubickas, A basis of finite and infinite sets with small representation function, *Electron. J. Combin.*, **19** (2012), R6.
8. P. Erdős and A. Sárközy, Problems and results on additive properties of general sequences, I, *Pacific J. Math.*, **118** (1985), 347-357.
9. P. Erdős and A. Sárközy, Problems and results on additive properties of general sequences, II, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **48** (1986), 201-211.

10. P. Erdős, A. Sárközy and V. T. Sós, Problems and results on additive properties of general sequences, III, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, **22** (1987), 53-63.
11. P. Erdős, A. Sárközy and V. T. Sós, Problems and results on additive properties of general sequences, IV, in: *Number Theory*, Proceedings, Ootacamund, India, 1984, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1122, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985, pp. 85-104.
12. P. Erdős, A. Sárközy and V. T. Sós, Problems and results on additive properties of general sequences, V, *Monatsh. Math.*, **102** (1986), 183-197.
13. P. Erdős and P. Turán, On a problem of Sidon in additive number theory, and on some related problems, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **16** (1941), 212-215.
14. G. Grekos, L. Haddad, C. Helou and J. Pihko, Representation functions, Sidon sets and bases, *Acta Arith.*, **130** (2007), 149-156.
15. G. Horváth, On additive representation function of general sequences, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **115** (2007), 169-175.
16. S. Z. Kiss, On the k -th difference of an additive representation function, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, **48** (2011), 93-103.
17. V. F. Lev, Reconstructing integer sets from their representation functions, *Electron. J. Combin.*, **11** (2004), R78.
18. M. B. Nathanson, Representation functions of sequences in additive number theory, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **72** (1978), 16-20.
19. M. B. Nathanson, Representation functions of additive bases for abelian semigroups, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **2004(30)** (2004), 1589-1597.
20. M. B. Nathanson, *Inverse problems for representation functions in additive number theory*, Surveys in number theory, 89-117, Dev. Math., 17, Springer, New York, 2008.
21. J. L. Nicolas, I. Z. Ruzsa and A. Sárközy, On the parity of additive representation functions, *J. Number Theory*, **73** (1998), 292-317.
22. C. Sándor, Partitions of natural numbers and their representation functions, *Integers*, **4** (2004), A18.
23. A. Sárközy and V. T. Sós, On additive representation functions, in: *The Mathematics of Paul Erdős*, (R. L. Graham and J. Nešetřil, eds.), Springer-Verlag, 1997, pp. 129-150.
24. M. Tang, Partitions of the set of natural numbers and their representation functions, *Discrete Math.*, **308** (2008), 2614-2616.
25. Q.-H. Yang and F.-J. Chen, Partitions of \mathbb{Z}_m with the same representation functions, *Australas. J. Combin.*, **53** (2012), 257-262.
26. Q.-H. Yang and Y.-G. Chen, Partitions of natural numbers with the same weighted representation functions, *J. Number Theory*, **132** (2012), 3047-3055.

Quan-Hui Yang and Yong-Gao Chen
School of Mathematical Sciences and Institute of Mathematics
Nanjing Normal University
Nanjing 210023
P. R. China
E-mail: yangquanhui01@163.com
ygchen@njnu.edu.cn