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#### Abstract

In this paper we obtain two types of optimal inequalities consisting of the normalized scalar curvature and the generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvatures for real hypersurfaces of complex two-plane Grassmannians and complex hyperbolic two-plane Grassmannians. We also find the conditions on which the equalities hold.


## 1. Introduction

As we know, S. S. Chern [11] gave an open question in 1968, which deals with the existence of minimal immersions into any Euclidean spaces. To solve such problems, B.-Y. Chen 88 introduced the notion of Chen invariants (or $\delta$-invariants) in 1993 and he obtained some optimal inequalities consisting of intrinsic invariants and extrinsic invariants for Riemannian submanifolds. It is the starting point of the theory of Chen invariants, which are one of the most interesting topics in differential geometry (see [1, 9, 12, 18, 23]).

The Casorati curvature of a submanifold in a Riemannian manifold is the extrinsic invariant, which is the normalized square of the second fundamental form. Some optimal inequalities containing Casorati curvatures were obtained for submanifolds of real space forms, complex space forms, and quaternionic space forms (see [10, 13, 17, 21]). The notion of Casorati curvature is the extended version of the notion of the principal curvatures of a hypersurface of a Riemannian manifold. Hence, it is both important and very interesting to obtain some optimal inequalities for the Casorati curvatures of submanifolds in ambient Riemannian manifolds.

For the real hypersurfaces of both complex space forms and quaternionic space forms, we see that by using the Codazzi equation, there does not exist any real hyersurface with parallel shape operator.

The following are also well-known. A real hypersurface of a complex projective space with a parallel second fundamental form is locally congruent to a tube over some totally geodesic complex submanifold with some radius 16]. There does not exist any real Hopf hypersurface with parallel Ricci tensor of a complex projective space 15].

[^0]A real hypersurface of a quaternionic projective space with the shape operator to be parallel with respect to some almost contact structure vector fields is locally congruent to a tube over some quaternionic projective space with some radius [19]. After these results had been introduced, many geometers studied real hypersurfaces of a complex two-plane Grassmannian $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$. We know that some natural two distributions of a real hypersurface of $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ with $m \geq 3$ are invariant under the shape operator if and only if either it is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic submanifold $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+1}\right)$ of $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ or it is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic submanifold $\mathbb{H} P^{n}$ of $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ [4]. There does not exist any real hypersurface of $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+1}\right)$ with parallel second fundamental form [22].

As we know, both a complex two-plane Grassmannian $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ and a complex hyperbolic two-plane Grassmannian $S U_{2, m} / S\left(U_{2} \cdot U_{m}\right)$ are examples of Hermitian symmetric spaces with rank 2. Studying a real hypersurface of Hermitian symmetric spaces with rank 2 is very important and one of the main topics in submanifold theory. Furthermore, the classification of real hypersurfaces of Hermitian symmetric spaces with rank 2 is one of the important subjects in differential geometry.

Many geometers obtained some results on $S U_{2, m} / S\left(U_{2} \cdot U_{m}\right)$. The maximal complex subbundle and the maximal quaternionic subbundle of a real hypersurface of $S U_{2, m} / S\left(U_{2}\right.$. $U_{m}$ ) are invariant under the shape operator if and only if it is locally congruent to an open part of some particular type of hypersurfaces [5]. There does not exist any real hypersurface in complex hyperbolic two-plane Grassmannian $S U_{2, m} / S\left(U_{2} \cdot U_{m}\right), m \geq 3$, with commuting shape operator [20]. There does not exist any Hopf hypersurface in complex hyperbolic two-plane Grassmannian $S U_{2, m} / S\left(U_{2} \cdot U_{m}\right), m \geq 3$, with commuting shape operator on the complex maximal subbundle 20 .

As the author knows, there are only examples of optimal inequalities for the submanifolds of constant space forms (i.e., real space forms, complex space forms, and quaternionic space forms). Therefore, the optimal inequalities, which are given here, are both meaningful and very important.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we remind some notions, which will be used in the following sections.
Given an almost Hermitian manifold $(N, g, J)$, i.e., $N$ is a $C^{\infty}$-manifold, $g$ is a Riemannian metric on $N$, and $J$ is a compatible almost complex structure on $(N, g)$ (i.e., $J \in \operatorname{End}(T N), J^{2}=-\mathrm{id}, g(J X, J Y)=g(X, Y)$ for any vector fields $\left.X, Y \in \Gamma(T N)\right)$, we call the manifold $(N, g, J)$ Kähler if $\nabla J=0$, where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $g$.

Let $N$ be a $4 m$-dimensional $C^{\infty}$-manifold and let $E$ be a rank 3 subbundle of $\operatorname{End}(T N)$ such that for any point $p \in N$ with a neighborhood $U$, there exists a local basis $\left\{J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}\right\}$
of sections of $E$ on $U$ satisfying for all $\alpha \in\{1,2,3\}$

$$
J_{\alpha}^{2}=-\mathrm{id}, \quad J_{\alpha} J_{\alpha+1}=-J_{\alpha+1} J_{\alpha}=J_{\alpha+2}
$$

where the indices are taken from $\{1,2,3\}$ modulo 3 . Then we call $E$ an almost quaternionic structure on $N$ and $(N, E)$ an almost quaternionic manifold [2].

Moreover, let $g$ be a Riemannian metric on $N$ such that for any point $p \in N$ with a neighborhood $U$, there exists a local basis $\left\{J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}\right\}$ of sections of $E$ on $U$ satisfying for all $\alpha \in\{1,2,3\}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
J_{\alpha}^{2}=-\mathrm{id}, \quad J_{\alpha} J_{\alpha+1}=-J_{\alpha+1} J_{\alpha}=J_{\alpha+2}  \tag{2.1}\\
g\left(J_{\alpha} X, J_{\alpha} Y\right)=g(X, Y) \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y \in \Gamma(T N)$, where the indices are taken from $\{1,2,3\}$ modulo 3 . Then we call $(N, E, g)$ an almost quaternionic Hermitian manifold 14.

For convenience, the above basis $\left\{J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}\right\}$ satisfying 2.1) and 2.2 is said to be a quaternionic Hermitian basis.

Let $(N, E, g)$ be an almost quaternionic Hermitian manifold. We call $(N, E, g)$ a quaternionic Kähler manifold if there exist locally defined 1-forms $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}$ such that for $\alpha \in\{1,2,3\}$

$$
\nabla_{X} J_{\alpha}=\omega_{\alpha+2}(X) J_{\alpha+1}-\omega_{\alpha+1}(X) J_{\alpha+2}
$$

for any vector field $X \in \Gamma(T N)$, where the indices are taken from $\{1,2,3\}$ modulo 3 [14].
If there exists a global parallel quaternionic Hermitian basis $\left\{J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}\right\}$ of sections of $E$ on $N$ (i.e., $\nabla J_{\alpha}=0$ for $\alpha \in\{1,2,3\}$, where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric $g$ ), then $(N, E, g)$ is said to be a hyperkähler manifold. Furthermore, we call $\left(J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}, g\right)$ a hyperkähler structure on $N$ and $g$ a hyperkähler metric [6].

Let $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ be the set of all complex 2-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^{m+2}$. Then we know that the complex two-plane Grassmannian $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ has some Riemannian symmetric structure (see [3, 22]). Denote by $g$ the corresponding metric. As we know, it is the unique compact irreducible Riemannian manifold such that it has both a Kähler structure $J$ and a quaternionic Kähler structure $E$ with $J \notin E$. And $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ is the unique compact irreducible Kähler quaternionic Kähler manifold such that it is not a hyperkähler manifold.

Given a local quaternionic Hermitian basis $\left\{J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}\right\}$ of $E$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i} \circ J=J \circ J_{i} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $J_{i} \in\left\{J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}\right\}$ and the Riemannian curvature tensor $\bar{R}$ of $\left(G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right), g\right)$ is locally
given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y) Z= & g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y+g(J Y, Z) J X-g(J X, Z) J Y-2 g(J X, Y) J Z \\
& +\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\left\{g\left(J_{\alpha} Y, Z\right) J_{\alpha} X-g\left(J_{\alpha} X, Z\right) J_{\alpha} Y-2 g\left(J_{\alpha} X, Y\right) J_{\alpha} Z\right\}  \tag{2.4}\\
& +\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\left\{g\left(J_{\alpha} J Y, Z\right) J_{\alpha} J X-g\left(J_{\alpha} J X, Z\right) J_{\alpha} J Y\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma\left(T G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)\right)($ see 3,22$)$.
Similarly, let $S U_{2, m} / S\left(U_{2} \cdot U_{m}\right)$ be the set of all complex two-dimensional linear subspaces in indefinite complex Euclidean space $\mathbb{C}_{2}^{m+2}$. Then the complex hyperbolic twoplane Grassmannian $S U_{2, m} / S\left(U_{2} \cdot U_{m}\right)$ becomes a connected simply connected irreducible Riemannian symmetric space with noncompact type and rank two [5]. Denote by $g$ the corresponding metric. It is the unique noncompact irreducible manifold with negative scalar curvature such that it has a Kähler structure $J$ and a quaternionic Kähler structure $E$ with $J \notin E[5]$.

We also know that given a local quaternionic Hermitian basis $\left\{J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}\right\}$ of $E$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i} \circ J=J \circ J_{i} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $J_{i} \in\left\{J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}\right\}$ and the Riemannian curvature tensor $\bar{R}$ of $\left(S U_{2, m} / S\left(U_{2} \cdot U_{m}\right), g\right)$ is locally given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{R}(X, Y) Z \\
=-\frac{1}{2} & {[g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y+g(J Y, Z) J X-g(J X, Z) J Y-2 g(J X, Y) J Z} \\
& +\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\left\{g\left(J_{\alpha} Y, Z\right) J_{\alpha} X-g\left(J_{\alpha} X, Z\right) J_{\alpha} Y-2 g\left(J_{\alpha} X, Y\right) J_{\alpha} Z\right\}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \left.+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\left\{g\left(J_{\alpha} J Y, Z\right) J_{\alpha} J X-g\left(J_{\alpha} J X, Z\right) J_{\alpha} J Y\right\}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma\left(T S U_{2, m} / S\left(U_{2} \cdot U_{m}\right)\right)$. 5 .
Furthermore, we remind some notions, which will be used later. Let $\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ be a Riemannian manifold and $M$ a submanifold of $\left(N, g_{N}\right)$ with the induced metric $g_{M}$. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formula are given by

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+h(X, Y)
$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$,

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{X} N=-A_{N} X+\nabla_{X}^{\perp} N
$$

for $X \in \Gamma(T M)$ and $N \in \Gamma\left(T M^{\perp}\right)$, where $\bar{\nabla}$ and $\nabla$ are the Levi-Civita connections of the metrics $g_{N}$ and $g_{M}$, respectively, $h$ is the second fundamental form of $M$ in $N, A$ is the shape operator of $M$ in $N$, and $\nabla^{\perp}$ is the normal connection of $M$ in $N$.

We denote by $\bar{R}$ and $R$ the Riemannian curvature tensors of $g_{N}$ and $g_{M}$, respectively. Then the Gauss equation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(X, Y, Z, W)=\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W)+g_{N}(h(X, W), h(Y, Z))-g_{N}(h(X, Z), h(Y, W)) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(T M)$, where $\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W):=g_{N}(\bar{R}(X, Y) Z, W)$ and $R(X, Y, Z, W):=g_{M}(R(X, Y) Z, W)$.

Consider a local orthonormal tangent frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$ of the tangent bundle $T M$ of $M$ and a local orthonormal normal frame $\left\{e_{m+1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of the normal bundle $T M^{\perp}$ of $M$ in $N$. The scalar curvature $\tau$ of $M$ is defined by

$$
\tau=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq m} K\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right),
$$

where $K\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right):=R\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{j}, e_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq m$. The normalized scalar curvature $\rho$ of $M$ is given by

$$
\rho=\frac{2 \tau}{m(m-1)} .
$$

We denote by $H$ the mean curvature vector field of $M$ in $N$, i.e., $H=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} h\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)$. Conveniently, let $h_{i j}^{\alpha}:=g_{N}\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), e_{\alpha}\right)$ for $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $\alpha \in\{m+1, \ldots, n\}$. Then we have the squared mean curvature $\|H\|^{2}$ of $M$ in $N$ and the squared norm $\|h\|^{2}$ of $h$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|H\|^{2} & =\frac{1}{m^{2}} \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{i i}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} \\
\|h\|^{2} & =\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{n} \sum_{i, j=1}^{m}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The Casorati curvature $C$ of $M$ in $N$ is defined by

$$
C:=\frac{1}{m}\|h\|^{2} .
$$

The submanifold $M$ is said to be invariantly quasi-umbilical if there exists a local orthonormal normal frame $\left\{e_{m+1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of $M$ in $N$ such that the shape operators $A_{e_{\alpha}}$ have an eigenvalue of multiplicity $m-1$ for all $\alpha \in\{m+1, \ldots, n\}$ and the distinguished eigendirection of $A_{e_{\alpha}}$ is the same for each $\alpha \in\{m+1, \ldots, n\}$ (7].

Let $L$ be a $k$-dimensional subspace of $T_{p} M, k \geq 2$, for $p \in M$ such that $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L$. Then the scalar curvature $\tau(L)$ of the $k$-plane $L$ is given by

$$
\tau(L):=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq k} K\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right)
$$

and the Casorati curvature $C(L)$ of the subspace $L$ is defined by

$$
C(L):=\frac{1}{k} \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{n} \sum_{i, j=1}^{k}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} .
$$

The normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvatures $\delta_{c}(m-1)$ and $\widehat{\delta}_{c}(m-1)$ of $M$ in $N$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\delta_{c}(m-1)\right](p):=\frac{1}{2} C(p)+\frac{m+1}{2 m} \inf \left\{C(L) \mid L \text { is a hyperplane of } T_{p} M\right\}} \\
& {\left[\widehat{\delta}_{c}(m-1)\right](p):=2 C(p)-\frac{2 m-1}{2 m} \sup \left\{C(L) \mid L \text { is a hyperplane of } T_{p} M\right\}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We define the generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvatures $\delta_{c}(r, m-1)$ and $\widehat{\delta}_{c}(r, m-1)$ of $M$ in $N$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\delta_{c}(r, m-1)\right](p) } \\
:= & r C(p)+\frac{(m-1)(m+r)\left(m^{2}-m-r\right)}{r m} \inf \left\{C(L) \mid L \text { is a hyperplane of } T_{p} M\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0<r<m^{2}-m$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\widehat{\delta}_{c}(r, m-1)\right](p) } \\
:= & r C(p)-\frac{(m-1)(m+r)\left(r-m^{2}+m\right)}{r m} \sup \left\{C(L) \mid L \text { is a hyperplane of } T_{p} M\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $r>m^{2}-m$.
Notice that $\left[\delta_{c}\left(\frac{m(m-1)}{2}, m-1\right)\right](p)=m(m-1)\left[\delta_{c}(m-1)\right](p)$ and $\left[\widehat{\delta}_{c}(2 m(m-1), m-\right.$ 1)] $(p)=m(m-1)\left[\widehat{\delta}_{c}(m-1)\right](p)$ for $p \in M$ so that the generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvatures $\delta_{c}(r, m-1)$ and $\widehat{\delta}_{c}(r, m-1)$ are the generalized versions of the normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvatures $\delta_{c}(m-1)$ and $\widehat{\delta}_{c}(m-1)$, respectively.

Throughout this paper, we will use the above notations.

## 3. Some optimal inequalities

In this section we will obtain some optimal inequalities consisting of the normalized scalar curvature and the generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvatures for real hypersurfaces of complex two-plane Grassmannians and complex hyperbolic two-plane Grassmannians.

Theorem 3.1. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex two-plane Grassmannians $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ with $n=4 m-1$. Then we have
(a) The generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\delta_{c}(r, n-1)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \leq \frac{\delta_{c}(r, n-1)}{n(n-1)}+\frac{n+9}{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0<r<n(n-1)$.
(b) The generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\widehat{\delta}_{c}(r, n-1)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \leq \frac{\widehat{\delta}_{c}(r, n-1)}{n(n-1)}+\frac{n+9}{n} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $r>n(n-1)$.

Moreover, the equalities hold in the relations (3.1) and (3.2) if and only if $M$ is an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold with flat normal connection in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ such that with some orthonormal tangent frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of $T M$ and orthonormal normal frame $\left\{e_{n+1}=e\right\}$ of $T M^{\perp}$, the shape operator $A_{e}$ takes the following form

$$
A_{e}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0  \tag{3.3}\\
0 & a & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & a & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{n(n-1)}{r} a
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proof. Since $M$ is a real hypersurface of $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ with a unit normal vector field $e$, we may choose a local orthonormal tangent frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of $T M$ and an orthonormal normal frame $\left\{e_{n+1}=e\right\}$ of $T M^{\perp}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
e_{m+i}=J_{1} e_{i}, \quad e_{2 m+i}=J_{2} e_{i}, \quad e_{3 m+i}=J_{3} e_{i} \\
e_{4 m-3}=\xi_{1}=-J_{1} e, \quad e_{4 m-2}=\xi_{2}=-J_{2} e, \quad e_{4 m-1}=e_{n}=\xi_{3}=-J_{3} e
\end{gathered}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, where $\left\{J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}\right\}$ is a local quaternionic Hermitian basis of $E$.
Let $\xi:=-J e$.
Using (2.4) and (2.7), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \tau(p)= & n(n-1)+3 \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right)^{2} \\
& +\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\{3 g\left(e_{i}, J_{\alpha} e_{j}\right)^{2}+g\left(e_{i}, J_{\alpha} J e_{i}\right) \cdot g\left(e_{j}, J_{\alpha} J e_{j}\right)-g\left(e_{i}, J_{\alpha} J e_{j}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& +n^{2}\|H\|^{2}-\|h\|^{2} \quad \text { for } p \in M
\end{aligned}
$$

With some computations, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\{3 g\left(e_{i}, J_{\alpha} e_{j}\right)^{2}+g\left(e_{i}, J_{\alpha} J e_{i}\right) \cdot g\left(e_{j}, J_{\alpha} J e_{j}\right)-g\left(e_{i}, J_{\alpha} J e_{j}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
= & 3 \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\left\{3(n-1)+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(e_{i}, J_{\alpha} J e_{i}\right)\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right)^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} g\left(\xi_{\alpha}, J e_{j}\right)^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} g\left(e, J e_{j}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
= & 9(n-1)-3 \sum_{j=1}^{n} g\left(e, J e_{j}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\left\{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(e_{i}, J_{\alpha} J e_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} g\left(\xi_{\alpha}, J e_{j}\right)^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} g\left(e, J e_{j}\right)^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} g\left(\xi, e_{j}\right)^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} g\left(\xi, e_{j}\right)^{2}=\|\xi\|^{2}=g(e, e)=1, \\
& \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(e_{i}, J_{\alpha} J e_{i}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(J_{\alpha} e_{i}, J e_{i}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\left\{g\left(J_{\alpha} e_{i}, J e_{i}\right)+g\left(J_{\alpha} J_{1} e_{i}, J J_{1} e_{i}\right)+g\left(J_{\alpha} J_{2} e_{i}, J J_{2} e_{i}\right)+g\left(J_{\alpha} J_{3} e_{i}, J J_{3} e_{i}\right)\right\} \\
& \\
& =\left(g\left(J_{\alpha} \xi_{1}, J \xi_{1}\right)+g\left(J_{\alpha} \xi_{2}, J \xi_{2}\right)+g\left(J_{\alpha} \xi_{3}, J \xi_{3}\right)\right) \\
& =0-\left(g\left(J_{\alpha} J_{1} e, J J_{1} e\right)+g\left(J_{\alpha} J_{2} e, J J_{2} e\right)+g\left(J_{\alpha} J_{3} e, J J_{3} e\right)\right) \quad(\text { by } \mid(2.3)) \\
& = \\
& g\left(J_{\alpha} e, J e\right)=g\left(\xi_{\alpha}, \xi\right) \quad(\text { by }(2.3), \\
& \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} g\left(\xi_{\alpha}, J e_{j}\right)^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} g\left(J \xi_{\alpha}, e_{j}\right)^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} g\left(J \xi_{\alpha}, e_{j}\right)^{2}-g\left(J \xi_{\alpha}, e\right)^{2} \\
& \quad=\left\|J \xi_{\alpha}\right\|^{2}-g\left(\xi_{\alpha}, \xi\right)^{2}=1-g\left(\xi_{\alpha}, \xi\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 9(n-1)-3 \sum_{j=1}^{n} g\left(e, J e_{j}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\left\{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(e_{i}, J \alpha J e_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} g\left(\xi_{\alpha}, J e_{j}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
= & 9(n-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \tau(p)=(n+9)(n-1)+n^{2}\|H\|^{2}-n C . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conveniently, let $h_{i j}:=h_{i j}^{n+1}=g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), e_{n+1}\right)$ for $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.
Consider the quadratic polynomial in the components of the second fundamental form

$$
\mathcal{P}:=r C+\frac{(n-1)(n+r)\left(n^{2}-n-r\right)}{r n} C(L)-2 \tau(p)+(n+9)(n-1),
$$

where $L$ is a hyperplane of $T_{p} M$.
Now, we deal with some linear algebraic properties of the quadratic polynomial $\mathcal{P}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $L$ is spanned by $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-1}$.

With a simple calculation, by (3.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}= & \frac{r}{n} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} h_{i j}^{2}+\frac{(n+r)\left(n^{2}-n-r\right)}{r n} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n-1} h_{i j}^{2}-2 \tau(p)+(n+9)(n-1) \\
= & \frac{n+r}{n} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} h_{i j}^{2}+\frac{(n+r)\left(n^{2}-n-r\right)}{r n} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n-1} h_{i j}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i i}\right)^{2}  \tag{3.5}\\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left[\frac{n^{2}+n(r-1)-2 r}{r} h_{i i}^{2}+\frac{n+r}{n}\left(h_{i n}^{2}+h_{n i}^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{(n+r)(n-1)}{r} \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n-1} h_{i j}^{2}-\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} h_{i i} h_{j j}+\frac{r}{n} h_{n n}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.5), the critical points $h^{c}=\left(h_{11}, h_{12}, \ldots, h_{n n}\right)$ of $\mathcal{P}$ are the solutions of the system of linear homogeneous equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial h_{i i}}=\frac{2(n+r)(n-1)}{r} h_{i i}-2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} h_{k k}=0  \tag{3.6}\\
\frac{\partial P}{\partial h_{n n}}=\frac{2 r}{n} h_{n n}-2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} h_{k k}=0, \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial h_{i j}}=\frac{2(n+r)(n-1)}{r} h_{i j}=0 \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial h_{i n}}=\frac{2(n+r)}{n} h_{i n}=0 \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial h_{n i}}=\frac{2(n+r)}{n} h_{n i}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$ with $i \neq j$.
From (3.6), any solutions $h^{c}$ satisfy $h_{i j}=0$ for $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$.
Moreover, we get the Hessian matrix $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P})$ of $\mathcal{P}$ as follows:

$$
\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P})=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
H_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & H_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & H_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
H_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\frac{2(n+r)(n-1)}{r}-2 & -2 & \cdots & -2 & -2 \\
-2 & \frac{2(n+r)(n-1)}{r}-2 & \cdots & -2 & -2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
-2 & -2 & \cdots & \frac{2(n+r)(n-1)}{r}-2 & -2 \\
-2 & -2 & \cdots & -2 & \frac{2 r}{n}
\end{array}\right),
$$

0 denotes the zero matrices with the corresponding sizes, and the diagonal matrices $H_{2}$, $H_{3}$ are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{2(n+r)(n-1)}{r}, \frac{2(n+r)(n-1)}{r}, \ldots, \frac{2(n+r)(n-1)}{r}\right), \\
H_{3}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{2(n+r)}{n}, \frac{2(n+r)}{n}, \ldots, \frac{2(n+r)}{n}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Then we can find that the Hessian matrix $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P})$ has the following eigenvalues

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{11}=0, \quad \lambda_{22}=\frac{2\left(n^{3}-n^{2}+r^{2}\right)}{r n}, \quad \lambda_{33}=\cdots=\lambda_{n n}=\frac{2(n+r)(n-1)}{r}, \\
\lambda_{i j}=\frac{2(n+r)(n-1)}{r}, \quad \lambda_{i n}=\lambda_{n i}=\frac{2(n+r)}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$ with $i \neq j$.
Thus, we know that $\mathcal{P}$ is parabolic and has a minimum $\mathcal{P}\left(h^{c}\right)$ at any solution $h^{c}$ of the system (3.6). Applying (3.6 to 3.5, we obtain $\mathcal{P}\left(h^{c}\right)=0$. So, $\mathcal{P} \geq 0$ and this implies

$$
2 \tau(p) \leq r C+\frac{(n-1)(n+r)\left(n^{2}-n-r\right)}{r n} C(L)+(n+9)(n-1) .
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \leq \frac{r}{n(n-1)} C+\frac{(n+r)\left(n^{2}-n-r\right)}{r n^{2}} C(L)+\frac{n+9}{n} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any hyperplane $L$ of $T_{p} M$ so that both inequalities (3.1) and (3.2) easily follow from (3.7).

Furthermore, we see that the equalities hold at the relations (3.1) and (3.2) if and only if

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{i j}=0 \quad \text { for } i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \text { with } i \neq j, \\
h_{n n}=\frac{n(n-1)}{r} h_{11}=\frac{n(n-1)}{r} h_{22}=\cdots=\frac{n(n-1)}{r} h_{n-1, n-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, we get that the equalities hold at (3.1) and (3.2) if and only if the submanifold $M$ is invariantly quasi-umbilical with flat normal connection in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ such that the shape operator takes the form (3.3) with respect to some orthonormal tangent and normal frames.

In the same way, by using (2.5) and 2.6, we obtain
Theorem 3.2. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex hyperbolic two-plane Grassmannian $S U_{2, m} / S\left(U_{2} \cdot U_{m}\right)$ with $n=4 m-1$. Then we have
(a) The generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\delta_{c}(r, n-1)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \leq \frac{\delta_{c}(r, n-1)}{n(n-1)}-\frac{n+9}{2 n} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0<r<n(n-1)$.
(b) The generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\widehat{\delta}_{c}(r, n-1)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \leq \frac{\widehat{\delta}_{c}(r, n-1)}{n(n-1)}-\frac{n+9}{2 n} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $r>n(n-1)$.
Moreover, the equalities hold in the relations (3.8) and (3.9) if and only if $M$ is an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold with flat normal connection in $S U_{2, m} / S\left(U_{2} \cdot U_{m}\right)$ such that with some orthonormal tangent frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of TM and orthonormal normal frame $\left\{e_{n+1}=e\right\}$ of $T M^{\perp}$, the shape operator $A_{e}$ takes the following form

$$
A_{e}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & a & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{n(n-1)}{r} a
\end{array}\right)
$$

Using the relations $\left[\delta_{c}\left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}, n-1\right)\right](p)=n(n-1)\left[\delta_{c}(n-1)\right](p)$ and $\left[\widehat{\delta}_{c}(2 n(n-1), n-\right.$ 1)] $(p)=n(n-1)\left[\widehat{\delta}_{c}(n-1)\right](p)$ for $p \in M$, we easily have

Corollary 3.3. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex two-plane Grassmannians $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ with $n=4 m-1$. Then we get
(a) The normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\delta_{c}(n-1)$ satisfies

$$
\rho \leq \delta_{c}(n-1)+\frac{n+9}{n}
$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $M$ is an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold with flat normal connection in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ such that with some orthonormal
tangent frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of $T M$ and orthonormal normal frame $\left\{e_{n+1}=e\right\}$ of $T M^{\perp}$, the shape operator $A_{e}$ takes the following form

$$
A_{e}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & a & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2 a
\end{array}\right)
$$

(b) The normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\widehat{\delta}_{c}(n-1)$ satisfies

$$
\rho \leq \widehat{\delta}_{c}(n-1)+\frac{n+9}{n} .
$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $M$ is an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold with flat normal connection in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ such that with some orthonormal tangent frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of TM and orthonormal normal frame $\left\{e_{n+1}=e\right\}$ of $T M^{\perp}$, the shape operator $A_{e}$ takes the following form

$$
A_{e}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 a & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 a & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 a & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a
\end{array}\right)
$$

Corollary 3.4. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex hyperbolic two-plane Grassmannian $S U_{2, m} / S\left(U_{2} \cdot U_{m}\right)$ with $n=4 m-1$. Then we obtain
(a) The normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\delta_{c}(n-1)$ satisfies

$$
\rho \leq \delta_{c}(n-1)-\frac{n+9}{2 n}
$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $M$ is an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold with flat normal connection in $S U_{2, m} / S\left(U_{2} \cdot U_{m}\right)$ such that with some orthonormal tangent frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of TM and orthonormal normal frame $\left\{e_{n+1}=\right.$ e\} of $T M^{\perp}$, the shape operator $A_{e}$ takes the following form

$$
A_{e}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & a & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2 a
\end{array}\right)
$$

(b) The normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\widehat{\delta}_{c}(n-1)$ satisfies

$$
\rho \leq \widehat{\delta}_{c}(n-1)-\frac{n+9}{2 n} .
$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $M$ is an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold with flat normal connection in $S U_{2, m} / S\left(U_{2} \cdot U_{m}\right)$ such that with some orthonormal tangent frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of $T M$ and orthonormal normal frame $\left\{e_{n+1}=\right.$ e\} of $T M^{\perp}$, the shape operator $A_{e}$ takes the following form

$$
A_{e}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 a & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 a & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 a & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Acknowledgments

This work was initiated during the conference "The 20th International Workshop on Hermitian Symmetric Spaces and Submanifolds", 2016. So I am very grateful to the organizers.

## References

[1] P. Alegre, B.-Y. Chen and M. I. Munteanu, Riemannian submersions, $\delta$-invariants, and optimal inequality, Ann. Global. Anal. Geom. 42 (2012), no. 3, 317-331.
[2] D. V. Alekseevsky and S. Marchiafava, Almost complex submanifolds of quaternionic manifolds in Steps in Differential Geometry, (Debrecen, 2000), 23-38, Inst. Math. Inform., Debrecen, 2001.
[3] J. Berndt, Riemannian geometry of complex two-plane Grassmannians, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino 55 (1997), no. 1, 19-83.
[4] J. Berndt and Y. J. Suh, Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians, Monatsh. Math. 127 (1999), no. 1, 1-14.
[5] , Hypersurfaces in noncompact complex Grassmannians of rank two, Internat. J. Math. 23 (2012), no. 10, 1250103, 35 pp.
[6] A. L. Besse, Einstein Manifolds, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) 10, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
[7] D. E. Blair and A. J. Ledger, Quasi-umbilical, minimal submanifolds of Euclidean space, Simon Stevin 51 (1977), no. 1, 3-22.
[8] B.-Y. Chen, Some pinching and classification theorems for minimal submanifolds, Arch. Math. (Basel) 60 (1993), no. 6, 568-578.
[9] , An optimal inequality for CR-warped products in complex space forms involving $C R \delta$-invariants, Internat. J. Math. 23 (2012), no. 3, 1250045, 17 pp.
[10] B.-Y. Chen, F. Dillen, J. Van der Veken and L. Vrancken, Curvature inequalities for Lagrangian submanifolds: The final solution, Differential Geom. Appl. 31 (2013), no. 6, 808-819.
[11] S. S. Chern, Minimal Submanifolds in a Riemannian Manifold, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kan. 1968.
[12] S. Decu, S. Haesen and L. Verstraelen, Optimal inequalities involving Casorati curvatures, Bull. Transilv. Univ. Braşov Ser. B (N.S.) 14 (2007), no. 49, suppl., 85-93.
[13] V. Ghişoiu, Inequalities for the Casorati curvatures of slant submanifolds in complex space forms in Riemannian Geometry and Applications, Proceedings RIGA 2011, 145-150, Ed. Univ. Bucureşti, Bucharest, 2011.
[14] S. Ianuş, R. Mazzocco and G. E. Vîlcu, Riemannian submersions from quaternionic manifolds, Acta. Appl. Math. 104 (2008), no. 1, 83-89.
[15] M. Kimura, Real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 33 (1986), no. 3, 383-387.
[16] M. Kimura and S. Maeda, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space II, Tsukuba J. Math. 15 (1991), no. 2, 547-561.
[17] J. Lee and G.-E. Vîlcu, Inequalities for generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvatures of slant submanifolds in quaternionic space forms, Taiwanese J. Math. 19 (2015), no. 3, 691-702.
[18] C. Özgür and A. Mihai, Chen inequalities for submanifolds of real space forms with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection, Canad. Math. Bull. 55 (2012), no. 3, 611622.
[19] J. D. Pérez, Real hypersurfaces of quaternionic projective space satisfying $\nabla_{U_{i}} A=0$, J. Geom. 49 (1994), no. 1-2, 166-177.
[20] J. D. Pérez, Y. J. Suh and C. Woo, Real hypersurfaces in complex hyperbolic two-plane Grassmannians with commuting shape operator, Open Math. 13 (2015), 493-501.
[21] V. Slesar, B. Şahin and G.-E. Vîlcu, Inequalities for the Casorati curvatures of slant submanifolds in quaternionic space forms, J. Inequal. Appl. 2014, 2014:123, 10 pp.
[22] Y. J. Suh, Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians with parallel shape operator, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 67 (2003), no. 3, 493-502.
[23] G. E. Vîlcu, Slant submanifolds of quaternionic space forms, Publ. Math. Debrecen 81 (2012), no. 3-4, 397-413.

Kwang-Soon Park
Division of General Mathematics, Room 4-107, Changgong Hall, University of Seoul, Seoul 02504, Republic of Korea
E-mail address: parkksn@gmail.com


[^0]:    Received March 7, 2017; Accepted May 23, 2017.
    Communicated by Sai-Kee Yeung.
    2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C40, 53C15, 53C26, 53C42.
    Key words and phrases. real hypersurfaces, Grassmannians, scalar curvature, mean curvature, $\delta$-Casorati curvature.

