TAIWANESE JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Vol. 21, No. 2, pp. 429–440, April 2017 DOI: 10.11650/tjm/7807 This paper is available online at http://journal.tms.org.tw

## Some Remarks on Measure-theoretic Entropy for a Free Semigroup Action

Huihui Hui and Dongkui Ma<sup>\*</sup>

Abstract. In this paper, we study some properties about measure-theoretic entropy for a free semigroup action. We show some properties like conjugacy, power rule and affinity about the measure-theoretic entropy for a free semigroup action.

# 1. Introduction

The notion of entropy plays an important role in dynamic systems. In 1959, Kolmogorov and Sinai introduced the notion of measure-theoretic entropy. In 1965, the notion of topological entropy was introduced by Adler, Konheim and McAndrew [1]. Along with the deepening of the study, some researchers tried to find some suitable generalizations of topological entropy and measure-theoretic entropy for other systems and study these entropies. For example, the entropy of countable amenable group actions was studied by Ornstein and Weiss [15], Rudolph and Weiss [16], Dooley and Zhang [9] et al. The entropy of countable sofic group actions was studied by Bowen [4, 5], Kerr and Li [11], Chung and Zhang [7] et al. Kirillov [12] introduced the notion of entropy for the action of finitely generated groups of measure-preserving transformations. Bis [2] and Bufetov [6] introduced the notion of the topological entropy for a free semigroup action. Bis and Urbański [3], Ma and Wu [14], Wang, Ma and Lin [18, 19] and so on further studied the topological entropy for a free semigroup action. The notion of measure-theoretic entropy for a nonautonomous dynamical system was introduced by Zhu, Liu, Xu and Zhang [20]. Lin, Ma and Wang [13] introduced the notion of measure-theoretic entropy for a free semigroup action.

Since entropy appeared to be an important invariant in ergodic theory and dynamical systems, on the basis of [13], we further study the property of the measure-theoretic entropy for a free semigroup action. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries. In Section 3, we give some properties of the measure-theoretic entropy for a free semigroup action.

\*Corresponding author.

Received July 8, 2016; Accepted October 11, 2016.

Communicated by Yingfei Yi.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 37A05, 37A35, 37B40, 37D35.

Key words and phrases. Measure-theoretic entropy, Free semigroup of actions, Conjugacy, Power rule, Affine.

#### 2. Preliminaries

Before studying the measure-theoretic entropy for a free semigroup action, we introduce some notations. Denote by  $F_m^+$  the set of all finite words of symbols  $0, 1, \ldots, m-1$ . For any  $w \in F_m^+$ , |w| stands for the length of w, that is, the number of symbols in w. Obviously,  $F_m^+$  with respect to this law of composition is a free semigroup with m generators. If  $w, w' \in F_m^+$ , then let ww' be the word obtained by writing w' to the right of w. We write  $w \leq w'$  if there exists a word  $w'' \in F_m^+$  such that w' = w''w.

Denote by  $\Sigma_m$  the set of all two-side infinite sequences of symbols  $0, 1, \ldots, m-1$ , i.e.,

$$\Sigma_m = \{ \omega = (\dots, \omega_{-1}, \omega_0, \omega_1, \dots) \mid \omega_i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1 \text{ for all integers } i \}$$

A metric on  $\Sigma_m$  is introduced by

$$d(\omega, \omega') = \frac{1}{2^k}$$
, where  $k = \inf \{ |n| : \omega_n \neq \omega'_n \}$ .

Obviously,  $\Sigma_m$  is compact with respect to this metric. Recall that the Bernoulli shift  $\sigma_m \colon \Sigma_m \to \Sigma_m$  is a homeomorphism of  $\Sigma_m$  given by the formula:

$$(\sigma_m \omega)_i = \omega_{i+1}.$$

Let  $\omega \in \Sigma_m$ ,  $w \in F_m^+$ , a, b integers, and  $a \leq b$ . We write  $\omega|_{[a,b]} = w$  if  $w = \omega_a \omega_{a+1} \cdots \omega_{b-1} \omega_b$ .

Let  $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space. Suppose that a free semigroup with m generators acts on X; denote the maps corresponding to the generators by  $f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{m-1}$ ; we assume that these maps are measure-preserving transformations. Let  $w \in F_m^+$ ,  $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_k$ , where  $w_i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ . Let  $f_w = f_{w_1} f_{w_2} \cdots f_{w_k}$ ,  $f_w^{-1} = f_{w_k}^{-1} f_{w_{k-1}}^{-1} \cdots f_{w_1}^{-1}$ . Obviously,  $f_{ww'} = f_w f_{w'}$ .

Let  $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space. Let  $\xi = \{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}$  be a finite partition of  $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$ . Let  $\eta = \{C_1, \ldots, C_l\}$  be another finite partition of  $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$ . The join of  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  is the partition

$$\xi \lor \eta = \{A_i \cap C_j : 1 \le i \le k, 1 \le j \le l\}.$$

We write  $\xi \leq \eta$  to mean that each element of  $\xi$  is a union of elements of  $\eta$ . Under the convention that  $0 \log 0 = 0$ , the entropy of the partition  $\xi$  is

$$H_{\mu}(\xi) = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu(A_i) \log \mu(A_i).$$

The conditional entropy of  $\xi$  relative to  $\eta$  is given by

$$H_{\mu}(\xi \mid \eta) = -\sum_{\mu(C_j) \neq 0} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu(A_i \cap C_j) \log \frac{\mu(A_i \cap C_j)}{\mu(C_j)}.$$

We denote the set of all finite partitions of X by  $\mathcal{L}$ , then  $\rho(\xi, \eta) := H_{\mu}(\xi | \eta) + H_{\mu}(\eta | \xi)$  is a metric on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Let  $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space and  $f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{m-1}$  measure-preserving transformations on X. If all  $f_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$ , preserve the same probability measure  $\mu$ , then we say that  $f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{m-1}$  preserve  $\mu$ , or  $\mu$  is an  $f_i$ -invariant measure. Denote by  $M(f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1})$  the set of all probability measures which are invariant under all  $f_i$ .

The following example shows that  $M(f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1})$  can be nonempty even if some  $f_i$ and  $f_j$  do not commute with each other.

**Example 2.1.** [13, Example 5.4] Let A and B be the endomorphisms on the twodimensional torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$  introduced by the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. Let H be the semigroup generated by A and B. Obviously, H is a non-Abelian semigroup. Let  $\mu$  be the Haar measure defined on  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . Then we have  $\mu \in M(A, B)$ , i.e.,  $M(A, B) \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $\xi \in \mathcal{L}$ , denote

$$h_{\mu}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1},\xi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{1}{m^n} \sum_{|w|=n} H_{\mu} \left( \bigvee_{w' \le w} f_{w'}^{-1} \xi \right) \right]$$

In the paper [13], the measure-theoretic entropy for a free semigroup action is defined by

$$h_{\mu}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1}) = \sup_{\xi \in \mathcal{L}} h_{\mu}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1},\xi).$$

If we let  $\mathscr{F} := \{f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}\}$ , then we also denote  $h_{\mu}(f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1})$  by  $h_{\mu}(\mathscr{F})$ .

Remark 2.2. If m = 1, then  $h_{\mu}(f_0)$  is the classical measure-theoretic entropy of a single transformation (see e.g., [17]).

Let X be a compact metric space with metric d. Assume that  $f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{m-1}$  are continuous maps on X. To each  $w \in F_m^+$ , a new metric  $d_w$  on X (named Bowen metric) is given by

$$d_w(x_1, x_2) = \max_{w' \le w} d(f_{w'}(x_1), f_{w'}(x_2)).$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a subset E of X is said to be a  $(w, \varepsilon, f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1})$ -spanning subset if, for  $\forall x \in X, \exists y \in E$  with  $d_w(x, y) < \varepsilon$ . The minimal cardinality of a  $(w, \varepsilon, f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1})$ -spanning subset of X is denoted by  $B(w, \varepsilon, f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1})$ . Let

$$B(n,\varepsilon,f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1}) = \frac{1}{m^n} \sum_{|w|=n} B(w,\varepsilon,f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1}).$$

In the paper [6], the topological entropy for a free semigroup action is defined by

$$h(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log B(n,\varepsilon,f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1}).$$

By the Partial Variational Principle from the paper [13], we have

$$\sup_{\mu \in M(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1})} h_{\mu}(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) \le h(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}).$$

Let  $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space. Define an equivalence relation on  $\mathscr{B}$  by saying Aand B are equivalent if and only if  $\mu(A \triangle B) = 0$ . Let  $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$  denote the collection of equivalence classes. Then  $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$  is a Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra under the operation of complementation, union and intersection inherited from  $\mathscr{B}$ . The measure  $\mu$  induces a measure  $\widetilde{\mu}$  on  $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$  by  $\widetilde{\mu}(\widetilde{B}) = \mu(B)$ . (Here  $\widetilde{B}$  is the equivalence class to which B belongs.) The pair  $(\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}, \widetilde{\mu})$  is called a measure algebra.

Let  $(X_1, \mathscr{B}_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(X_2, \mathscr{B}_2, \mu_2)$  be probability spaces with measure algebras  $(\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}_1, \widetilde{\mu_1})$ ,  $(\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}_2, \widetilde{\mu_2})$ . The measure algebras are isomorphic if there is a bijection  $\Phi \colon \widetilde{\mathscr{B}}_2 \to \widetilde{\mathscr{B}}_1$  which preserves complements, countable unions and intersections and satisfies  $\widetilde{\mu_1}(\Phi \widetilde{B}) = \widetilde{\mu_2}(\widetilde{B})$ ,  $\forall \widetilde{B} \in \mathscr{B}_2$ .

Let  $T_i$  be a measure-preserving transformation of the probability space  $(X_i, \mathscr{B}_i, \mu_i)$ , i = 1, 2. We say that  $T_1$  is conjugate to  $T_2$  if there is a measure-algebra isomorphism  $\Phi : (\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}_2, \widetilde{\mu}_2) \to (\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}_1, \widetilde{\mu}_1)$  such that  $\Phi \widetilde{T_2}^{-1} = \widetilde{T_1}^{-1} \Phi$ , where  $\widetilde{T_i}^{-1} : (\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}_i, \widetilde{\mu}_i) \to (\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}_i, \widetilde{\mu}_i)$  defined by  $\widetilde{T_i}^{-1}(\widetilde{B}) = (T_i^{-1}(B))^{\sim}, i = 1, 2$  (see [17]).

#### 3. Main results

In this section, we give some results about the measure-theoretic entropy for a free semigroup action. Let us consider the following situation:  $(X_1, \mathscr{B}_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(X_2, \mathscr{B}_2, \mu_2)$  are probability spaces. Assume that  $f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}$  are measure-preserving transformations on  $(X_1, \mathscr{B}_1, \mu_1)$  and  $g_0, \ldots, g_{m-1}$  are measure-preserving transformations on  $(X_2, \mathscr{B}_2, \mu_2)$ . We say that  $f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}$  is conjugate to  $g_0, \ldots, g_{m-1}$  if there is a measure-algebra isomorphism  $\Phi: (\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}_2, \widetilde{\mu_2}) \to (\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}_1, \widetilde{\mu_1})$  such that for any  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1, \Phi \widetilde{g_i}^{-1} = \widetilde{f_i}^{-1} \Phi$ . Observe that if m = 1, this definition coincides with the classical case [17].

**Theorem 3.1.** The measure-theoretic entropy for a free semigroup action is a conjugacy invariant.

*Proof.* Let  $(X_1, \mathscr{B}_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(X_2, \mathscr{B}_2, \mu_2)$  be two probability spaces. Let  $f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}$  be measure-preserving transformations on  $(X_1, \mathscr{B}_1, \mu_1)$  and  $g_0, \ldots, g_{m-1}$  measure-preserving transformations on  $(X_2, \mathscr{B}_2, \mu_2)$ .

Since  $(X_1, \mathscr{B}_1, \mu_1)$  is conjugate to  $(X_2, \mathscr{B}_2, \mu_2)$ , then there is an isomorphism of measure algebras  $\Phi: (\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}_2, \widetilde{\mu_2}) \to (\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}_1, \widetilde{\mu_1})$  such that  $\Phi \widetilde{g_i}^{-1} = \widetilde{f_i}^{-1} \Phi$  ( $\forall i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$ ). Let  $\xi = \{A_1, \ldots, A_r\}$  be any finite partition of  $X_2$ . Choose  $B_i \in \mathscr{B}_1$ , such that  $\widetilde{B_i} = \Phi(\widetilde{A_i})$  and so that  $\eta = \{B_1, \ldots, B_r\}$  forms a partition of  $(X_1, \mathscr{B}_1, \mu_1)$ .

For any  $w \in F_m^+$ , |w| = n,  $\bigcap_{w' \leq w} f_{w'}^{-1} B_{w'}$  has the same measure as  $\bigcap_{w' \leq w} g_{w'}^{-1} A_{w'}$ , where  $B_{w'} \in \eta$ ,  $A_{w'} \in \xi$ , since

$$\Phi\left(\bigcap_{w'\leq w} (g_{w'}^{-1}A_{w'})^{\sim}\right) = \Phi\left(\bigcap_{w'\leq w} \widetilde{g_{w'}}^{-1}\widetilde{A_{w'}}\right) = \bigcap_{w'\leq w} \Phi\widetilde{g_{w'}}^{-1}(\widetilde{A_{w'}})$$
$$= \bigcap_{w'\leq w} \widetilde{f_{w'}}^{-1}\Phi(\widetilde{A_{w'}}) = \bigcap_{w'\leq w} \widetilde{f_{w'}}^{-1}\widetilde{B_{w'}} = \bigcap_{w'\leq w} (f_{w'}^{-1}B_{w'})^{\sim}$$

Thus,  $H_{\mu_1}\left(\bigvee_{w'\leq w} f_{w'}^{-1}\eta\right) = H_{\mu_2}\left(\bigvee_{w'\leq w} g_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right)$  which implies that  $h_{\mu_1}(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}, \eta) = h_{\mu_2}(g_0, \dots, g_{m-1}, \xi).$ 

And then

$$\sup_{\xi} h_{\mu_2}(g_0, \dots, g_{m-1}, \xi) \le \sup_{\eta} h_{\mu_1}(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}, \eta).$$

That is

$$h_{\mu_1}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1}) \ge h_{\mu_2}(g_0,\ldots,g_{m-1}).$$

By symmetry we then get that

$$h_{\mu_1}(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) = h_{\mu_2}(g_0, \dots, g_{m-1}).$$

Remark 3.2. If m = 1, the above result coincides with the result that the classical measuretheoretic entropy (see, [17, Theorem 4.11]).

It is well known that there is a power rule for the measure-theoretic entropy of the classical measure-preserving system, that is, for any transformation f which preserves  $\mu$  we have  $h_{\mu}(f^k) = kh_{\mu}(f)$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  [17]. For the measure-theoretic entropy for a free semigroup action, we can get the following result.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space and  $f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}$  preserve  $\mu$ . Let  $\mathscr{F} := \{f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}\}$  and  $\mathscr{F}^k := \{g_0, \ldots, g_{m^k-1}\}$   $(k \in \mathbb{N})$ , where  $g_i \in \{f_w \mid f_w = f_{w_0} \circ f_{w_1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{w_{k-1}}, w \in F_m^+, |w| = k, w_j = 0, \ldots, m-1, \forall j = 0, \ldots, k-1\}$ , then  $h_{\mu}(\mathscr{F}^k) \leq kh_{\mu}(\mathscr{F})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\xi$  be any finite partition of X. For any  $w \in F_m^+$ , |w| = nk,  $w = w_0 w_1 \cdots w_{k-1} w_k$  $\cdots w_{nk-1}$ , denote  $w^0 = w_0^0 w_1^0 \cdots w_{n-1}^0$ , where  $w_i^0 = w_{ik} w_{ik+1} \cdots w_{ik+k-1}$ , then  $g_{w^0} = w_{ik} w_{ik+1} \cdots w_{ik+k-1}$ .  $g_{w_0^0} \circ g_{w_1^0} \circ \cdots \circ g_{w_{n-1}^0} = f_w$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mu}(\mathscr{F}^{k},\xi) &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{1}{(m^{k})^{n}} \sum_{|w^{0}|=n} H_{\mu} \left( \bigvee_{w' \leq w^{0}} g_{w'}^{-1} \xi \right) \right] \\ &= k \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{nk} \left[ \frac{1}{m^{nk}} \sum_{|w^{0}|=n} H_{\mu} \left( \bigvee_{w' \leq w^{0}} g_{w'}^{-1} \xi \right) \right] \\ &\leq k \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{nk} \left[ \frac{1}{m^{nk}} \sum_{|w|=nk} H_{\mu} \left( \bigvee_{w' \leq w} f_{w'}^{-1} \xi \right) \right] \\ &= kh_{\mu}(\mathscr{F},\xi) \\ &\leq kh_{\mu}(\mathscr{F}). \end{aligned}$$

It is natural to ask if we can get the opposite inequality, i.e.,  $h_{\mu}(\mathscr{F}^k) \geq kh_{\mu}(\mathscr{F})$ ? And then  $h_{\mu}(\mathscr{F}^k) = kh_{\mu}(\mathscr{F})$  holds. But up to now we haven't solved it.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space and  $f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}$  transformations preserve  $\mu$ . If  $\xi$  is a finite partition of X, for any  $w \in F_m^+$ , |w| = n - 1,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$H_{\mu}\left(\bigvee_{w' \le w} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) = H_{\mu}(\xi) + \sum_{|w^*|=1}^{n-1} H_{\mu}\left(\xi \mid \bigvee_{\substack{w' \le w^* \\ |w'| \ge 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right),$$

where  $w^*$  satisfies that there is a  $w^{**}$  such that  $w = w^* w^{**}$ .

*Proof.* We show by induction that the formula holds for all n.

For n = 1 it is clear, and if we assume it true for n = p then it also holds for n = p + 1because for any  $w, w^0 \in F_m^+$ ,  $w = i_{p-1} \cdots i_1 i_0$ ,  $w^0 = i_{p-1} \cdots i_1$ , we have

$$\begin{split} H_{\mu}\left(\bigvee_{w'\leq w} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) &= H_{\mu}\left(\left(f_{i_{0}}^{-1}\bigvee_{w'\leq w^{0}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right)\bigvee \xi\right) \\ &= H_{\mu}\left(f_{i_{0}}^{-1}\bigvee_{w'\leq w^{0}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) + H_{\mu}\left(\xi \Big|\bigvee_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) \\ &= H_{\mu}\left(\bigvee_{w'\leq w^{0}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) + H_{\mu}\left(\xi \Big|\bigvee_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) \\ &= H_{\mu}(\xi) + \sum_{\substack{|w^{*}|=1}}^{p-1} H_{\mu}\left(\xi \Big|\bigvee_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) + H_{\mu}\left(\xi \Big|\bigvee_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) \\ &= H_{\mu}(\xi) + \sum_{\substack{|w^{*}|=1}}^{p-1} H_{\mu}\left(\xi \Big|\bigvee_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) + H_{\mu}\left(\xi \Big|\bigvee_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) \\ &= H_{\mu}(\xi) + \sum_{\substack{|w^{*}|=1}}^{p-1} H_{\mu}\left(\xi \Big|\bigvee_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) + H_{\mu}\left(\xi \Big|\bigvee_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) \\ &= H_{\mu}(\xi) + \sum_{\substack{|w^{*}|=1}}^{p-1} H_{\mu}\left(\xi \Big|\bigvee_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) + H_{\mu}\left(\xi \Big|\bigvee_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) \\ &= H_{\mu}(\xi) + \sum_{\substack{|w^{*}|=1}}^{p-1} H_{\mu}\left(\xi \Big|\bigvee_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) + H_{\mu}\left(\xi \Big|\bigvee_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) \\ &= H_{\mu}(\xi) + \sum_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} H_{\mu}\left(\xi \Big|\bigvee_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) + H_{\mu}\left(\xi \Big|\bigvee_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) \\ &= H_{\mu}(\xi) + \sum_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} H_{\mu}\left(\xi \Big|\bigvee_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) + H_{\mu}\left(\xi \Big|\bigvee_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) \\ &= H_{\mu}(\xi) + \sum_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} H_{\mu}\left(\xi \Big|\bigvee_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) + H_{\mu}\left(\xi \Big|\bigvee_{\substack{w'\leq w\\|w'|\geq 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}$$

$$= H_{\mu}(\xi) + \sum_{|w^*|=1}^{p} H_{\mu} \left( \xi \left| \bigvee_{\substack{w' \leq w^* \\ |w'| \geq 1}} f_{w'}^{-1} \xi \right| \right),$$

where  $w^*$  satisfies that there is a  $w^{**}$  such that  $w = w^* w^{**}$ . That is, it also holds for n = p + 1, thus the formula holds for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space and  $f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}$  transformations preserve  $\mu$ . If  $\xi$  is a finite partition of X, we have

$$h_{\mu}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1},\xi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{1}{m^n} \sum_{|w|=n} \sum_{|w^*|=1}^n H_{\mu} \left( \xi \left| \bigvee_{\substack{w' \le w^* \\ |w'| \ge 1}} f_{w'}^{-1} \xi \right) \right],$$

where  $w \in F_m^+$ ,  $w = i_{n-1} \cdots i_0$  and  $w^*$  satisfies that there is a  $w^{**}$  such that  $w = w^* w^{**}$ . *Proof.* By Lemma 3.4, for any  $w \in F_m^+$ , |w| = n,  $w^*$  satisfies that there is a  $w^{**} \in F_m^+$ such that  $w = w^* w^{**}$ , we have

$$H_{\mu}\left(\bigvee_{w' \le w} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right) = H_{\mu}(\xi) + \sum_{|w^*|=1}^n H_{\mu}\left(\xi \mid \bigvee_{\substack{w' \le w^* \\ |w'| \ge 1}} f_{w'}^{-1}\xi\right).$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{1}{m^n} \sum_{|w|=n} H_\mu \left( \bigvee_{w' \le w} f_{w'}^{-1} \xi \right) \right] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{1}{m^n} \sum_{|w|=n} \sum_{|w^*|=1}^n H_\mu \left( \xi \left| \bigvee_{\substack{w' \le w^* \\ |w'| \ge 1}} f_{w'}^{-1} \xi \right) \right] \right].$$

That is,

$$h_{\mu}(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}, \xi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{1}{m^n} \sum_{|w|=n} \sum_{|w^*|=1}^n H_{\mu} \left( \xi \left| \bigvee_{\substack{w' \le w^* \\ |w'| \ge 1}} f_{w'}^{-1} \xi \right) \right],$$

where  $w \in F_m^+$ ,  $w = i_{n-1} \cdots i_0$  and  $w^*$  satisfies that there is a  $w^{**}$  such that  $w = w^* w^{**}$ .  $\Box$ 

Similar to the classical measure-preserving systems, we can show that the measuretheoretic entropy map for a free semigroup action is affine.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $(X, \mathscr{B})$  be a measurable space and  $f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}$  measurable transformations of X. Then for any  $f_i$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  and  $p \in [0, 1]$ , where  $i = 0, \ldots, m-1$ , we have

$$h_{p\mu_1+(1-p)\mu_2}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1}) = ph_{\mu_1}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1}) + (1-p)h_{\mu_2}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1}).$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, assume  $0 . As in the proof of Theorem 8.1 of [17], for any finite partition <math>\xi$  of X we have

$$0 \le H_{p\mu_1 + (1-p)\mu_2}(\xi) - pH_{\mu_1}(\xi) - (1-p)H_{\mu_2}(\xi) \le \log 2.$$

If  $\eta$  is any finite partition of X, then for any  $w \in F_m^+$ , |w| = n, by putting  $\xi = \bigvee_{w' \leq w} f_{w'}^{-1} \eta$  in the above formula, we have

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{m^{n}} \sum_{|w|=n} H_{p\mu_{1}+(1-p)\mu_{2}} \left( \bigvee_{w' \leq w} f_{w'}^{-1} \eta \right) - p \left[ \frac{1}{m^{n}} \sum_{|w|=n} H_{\mu_{1}} \left( \bigvee_{w' \leq w} f_{w'}^{-1} \eta \right) \right] - (1-p) \left[ \frac{1}{m^{n}} \sum_{|w|=n} H_{\mu_{2}} \left( \bigvee_{w' \leq w} f_{w'}^{-1} \eta \right) \right] \\ \leq \frac{1}{m^{n}} \sum_{|w|=n} \log 2 \\ = \log 2.$$

Thus

$$0 \le h_{p\mu_1 + (1-p)\mu_2}(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}, \eta) - ph_{\mu_1}(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}, \eta) - (1-p)h_{\mu_2}(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}, \eta)$$
  
$$\le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log 2 = 0.$$

That is,

(3.1)  $h_{p\mu_1+(1-p)\mu_2}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1},\eta) = ph_{\mu_1}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1},\eta) + (1-p)h_{\mu_2}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1},\eta).$ Clearly,

(3.2) 
$$h_{p\mu_1+(1-p)\mu_2}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1}) \le ph_{\mu_1}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1}) + (1-p)h_{\mu_2}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1}).$$

We now show the opposite inequality. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $\eta_1, \eta_2 > 0$  such that

$$h_{\mu_1}(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}, \eta_1) > \begin{cases} h_{\mu_1}(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) - \varepsilon & h_{\mu_1}(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) < \infty, \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} & h_{\mu_1}(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) = \infty, \end{cases}$$
$$h_{\mu_2}(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}, \eta_2) > \begin{cases} h_{\mu_2}(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) - \varepsilon & h_{\mu_2}(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) < \infty, \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} & h_{\mu_2}(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Putting  $\eta = \eta_1 \vee \eta_2$  in (3.1) gives

$$h_{p\mu_1+(1-p)\mu_2}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1},\eta) = ph_{\mu_1}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1},\eta) + (1-p)h_{\mu_2}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1},\eta)$$
  
$$\geq ph_{\mu_1}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1},\eta_1) + (1-p)h_{\mu_2}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1},\eta_2).$$

If  $h_{\mu_1}(f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}), h_{\mu_2}(f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}) < \infty$ , then

$$h_{p\mu_1+(1-p)\mu_2}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1},\eta) > ph_{\mu_1}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1}) + (1-p)h_{\mu_2}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1}) - \varepsilon.$$

If  $h_{\mu_1}(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) = \infty$  or  $h_{\mu_2}(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) = \infty$ , then

$$h_{p\mu_1+(1-p)\mu_2}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1},\eta) > \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cdot \min\{p,1-p\}.$$

Therefore

$$(3.3) h_{p\mu_1+(1-p)\mu_2}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1}) \ge ph_{\mu_1}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1}) + (1-p)h_{\mu_2}(f_0,\ldots,f_{m-1}).$$

From (3.2) and (3.3), the desired equality holds.

**Example 3.7.** Let K be a unit circle,  $f_1: x \mapsto x + a_1 \pmod{1}$ ,  $f_2: x \mapsto x + a_2 \pmod{1}$ , where  $a_1, a_2 \in K$ . Let G be a free semigroup generated by  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ . Then G is equicontinuous and preserves Haar measure  $\mu$ . We can get  $h(f_1, f_2) = 0$ , and then by the Partial Variational Principle we have  $h_{\mu}(f_1, f_2) = 0$ .

*Proof.* By the definition of equicontinuity,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$ ,  $\forall x, y \in K$ , if  $d(x, y) < \delta$ , then  $d(f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon$ . For any  $f \in G$ , since K is a compact space,  $\exists M > 0$  such that for any  $w \in F_2^+$ ,  $B(w, \varepsilon, f_1, f_2) \leq M$ , we have  $B(n, \varepsilon, f_1, f_2) \leq M$ . And then  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log B(n, \varepsilon, f_1, f_2) = 0$ , therefore  $h(f_1, f_2) = 0$ .

By the Partial Variational Principle of [13], we have  $\sup_{\mu \in M(f_1, f_2)} h_{\mu}(f_1, f_2) \leq h(f_1, f_2)$ . Thus  $h_{\mu}(f_1, f_2) = 0$ .

For convenience, we give the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.8.** [19, Theorem 5.9] Let  $A_0, \ldots, A_{m-1}$  be surjective endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{T}^p$ . If for each  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$  all eigenvalues of the matrix  $[A_i]$  which represents  $A_i$  are of modulus greater than or equal to 1, then

$$\log \frac{1}{m} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \prod_{j=1}^{p} \left| \lambda_j^{(i)} \right| \right) \le h(A_0, \dots, A_{m-1}) \le \log \frac{1}{m} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \Lambda_i^p \right)$$

where  $\lambda_1^{(i)}, \lambda_2^{(i)}, \ldots, \lambda_p^{(i)}$  are the eigenvalues of  $[A_i], 0 \leq i \leq m-1$ , counted with their multiplicities, and  $\Lambda_i$  is the biggest eigenvalues of  $\sqrt{[A_i][A_i]^T}, 0 \leq i \leq m-1$ . In particular for the case p = 1, we have

$$h(A_0, \dots, A_{m-1}) = \log \frac{1}{m} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |\lambda_1^{(i)}| \right),$$

where  $\lambda_1^{(i)}$  is the degree of the endomorphism  $A_i$  of  $S^1$ , for every  $0 \le i \le m-1$ , where  $S^1$  denotes the unite circle.

**Example 3.9.** Let  $S^1$  be the unit circle,  $f_i: x \mapsto \lambda_i x \pmod{1}$ ,  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$ . By Lemma 3.8, we have  $h(f_0, \ldots, f_{m-1}) = \log \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \lambda_i$ . And then by the Partial Variational Principle of [13], we have

$$h_{\mu}(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) \le h(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) = \log \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \lambda_i,$$

where  $\mu$  is the Haar measure.

### Acknowledgments

The authors really appreciate the referees' valuable remarks and suggestions that helped a lot. The work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 11671149).

#### References

- R. L. Adler, A. G. Konheim and M. H. McAndrew, *Topological entropy*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1965), no. 2, 309–319. https://doi.org/10.2307/1994177
- [2] A. Biś, Entropies of a semigroup of maps, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Systs. 11 (2004), no. 2-3, 639-648. https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2004.11.639
- [3] A. Biś and M. Urbański, Some remarks on topological entropy of a semigroup of continuous maps, Cubo 8 (2006), no. 2, 63–71.
- [4] L. Bowen, Measure conjugacy invariants for actions of countable sofic groups, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (2010), no. 1, 217-245. https://doi.org/10.1090/s0894-0347-09-00637-7
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, A measure-conjugacy invariant for free group actions, Ann. of Math. (2) 171 (2010), no. 2, 1387–1400. https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2010.171.1387
- [6] A. Bufetov, Topological entropy of free semigroup actions and skew-product transformations, J. Dynam. Control Systems 5 (1999), no. 1, 137-143. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021796818247
- [7] N.-P. Chung and G. Zhang, Weak expansiveness for actions of sofic groups, J. Funct. Anal. 268 (2015), no. 11, 3534–3565. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2014.12.013
- [8] J. P. Conze, Entropie d'un groupe abélien de transformations, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete. 25 (1972), no. 1, 11–30. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00533332

- [9] A. H. Dooley and G. Zhang, Local entropy theory of a random dynamical system, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 233 (2015), no. 1099, vi+106 pp. https://doi.org/10.1090/memo/1099
- Y. Katznelson and B. Weiss, Commuting measure-preserving transformations, Israel J. Math. 12 (1972), no. 2, 161–173. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02764660
- [11] D. Kerr and H. Li, Entropy and the variational principle for actions of sofic groups, Invent. Math. 186 (2011), no. 3, 501–558. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-011-0324-9
- [12] A. A. Kirillov, Dynamical systems, factors and group representations, Uspehi Mat. Nauk. 22 (1967), no. 5, 67–80.
- [13] X. Lin, D. Ma and Y. Wang, On the measure-theoretic entropy and topological pressure of free semigroup actions, to appear in Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems. https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2016.41
- [14] D. Ma and M. Wu, Topological pressure and topological entropy of a semigroup of maps, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Systs. **31** (2011), no. 2, 545-557.
  https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2011.31.545
- [15] D. S. Ornstein and B. Weiss, Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups, J. Analyse Math. 48 (1987), no. 1, 1-141. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02790325
- [16] D. J. Rudolph and B. Weiss, Entropy and mixing for amenable group actions, Ann. of Math. (2) 151 (2000), no. 3, 1119–1150. https://doi.org/10.2307/121130
- P. Walters, An Introduction to Ergodic Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 79, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-5775-2
- [18] Y. Wang and D. Ma, On the topological entropy of a semigroup of continuous maps, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 427 (2015), no. 2, 1084–1100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.02.082
- Y. Wang, D. Ma and X. Lin, On the topological entropy of free semigroup actions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 435 (2016), no. 2, 1573–1590. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.11.038
- Y. Zhu, Z. Liu, X. Xu and W. Zhang, Entropy of nonautonomous dynamical systems, J. Korean Math. Soc. 49 (2012), no. 1, 165–185. https://doi.org/10.4134/jkms.2012.49.1.165

Huihui Hui and Dongkui Ma

School of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510641,

P. R. China

*E-mail address*: Hh1453823833@126.com, dkma@scut.edu.cn