

Counting the Number of Solutions to Certain Infinite Diophantine Equations

Nian Hong Zhou* and Yalin Sun

Abstract. Let r, v, n be positive integers. This paper investigate the number of solutions $s_{r,v}(n)$ of the following infinite Diophantine equations

$$n = 1^r \cdot |k_1|^v + 2^r \cdot |k_2|^v + 3^r \cdot |k_3|^v + \dots$$

for $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, k_3, \dots) \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty$. For each $(r, v) \in \mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2\}$, a generating function and some asymptotic formulas of $s_{r,v}(n)$ are established.

1. Introduction and statement of results

Let r, n be positive integers. A partition into r -th powers of an integer n is a sequence of non-increasing r -th powers of positive integers whose sum equals n . Such a partition corresponds to a solution of the following infinite Diophantine equation:

$$(1.1) \quad n = 1^r \cdot k_1 + 2^r \cdot k_2 + 3^r \cdot k_3 + \dots$$

for $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, k_3, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_0^\infty$. Let $p_r(n)$ be the number of partitions of n into r -th powers and let $p_r(0) := 1$, we have the generating function

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} p_r(n)q^n = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - q^{nr}},$$

where $q \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|q| < 1$.

Determining the values of $p_r(n)$ has a long history and can be traced back to the work of Euler. In the famous paper [3], Hardy and Ramanujan proved an asymptotic expansion for $p_1(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. They [3, p. 111] also gave an asymptotic formula for $p_r(n)$, $r \geq 2$, without proof. In [7, Theorem 2], Wright confirmed their asymptotic formula

$$p_r(n) \sim \frac{c_r n^{\frac{1}{r+1} - \frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{1+r}(1 + 1/r)}} e^{(r+1)c_r n^{\frac{1}{r+1}}}$$

as integer $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $c_r = (r^{-1}\zeta(1 + 1/r)\Gamma(1 + 1/r))^{\frac{r}{r+1}}$, $\zeta(\cdot)$ is the Riemann zeta function and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the classical Euler Gamma function.

Received May 15, 2020; Accepted November 29, 2020.

Communicated by Yifan Yang.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11D45, 11P82, 11P99.

Key words and phrases. integer partitions, infinite Diophantine equations, asymptotics.

*Corresponding author.

In this paper we investigate certain infinite Diophantine equation analogous to (1.1). For given positive integers n and r , we use $s_{r,v}(n)$ to denote the number of solutions of the following infinite Diophantine equation

$$(1.2) \quad n = 1^r \cdot |k_1|^v + 2^r \cdot |k_2|^v + 3^r \cdot |k_3|^v + \dots$$

for $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, k_3, \dots) \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty$. The first result of this paper is about the generating function for $s_{r,v}(n)$.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $s_{r,v}(0) := 1$ and $q \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|q| < 1$. We have*

$$G_{r,1}(q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} s_{r,1}(n)q^n = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 + q^{nr}}{1 - q^{nr}}$$

and

$$G_{r,2}(q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} s_{r,2}(n)q^n = \prod_{j \geq 1} \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 - (-1)^n q^{nj^r}}{1 + (-1)^n q^{nj^r}}.$$

Remark 1.2. From the proof of this proposition (see Subsection 2.1), the above infinite product expansion for $G_{r,s}(q)$ ($r \in \mathbb{N}$, $s = 1, 2$) follows the identities

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{|n|} = \frac{1 + q}{1 - q} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{|n|^2} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 - (-q)^n}{1 + (-q)^n}.$$

They actually follow from the geometric sequence sum formula and the Jacobi triple product identity. However, any useful expansion for the sum $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{|n|^v}$ with each integer $v > 2$ is still not found yet. Therefore, whether there are infinite product formulas which is similar to Proposition 1.1 for $s_{r,v}(n)$ ($r \in \mathbb{N}$, $v \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2}$) is still a question to be settled.

Thanks to the infinite product expansion in Proposition 1.1, we can determine the asymptotic behavior of $G_{r,v}(q)$ when $|q| \rightarrow 1^-$. From which we can further determine the asymptotics of $s_{r,v}(n)$ ($(r, v) \in \mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2\}$) as $n \rightarrow \infty$. More precisely, we prove

Theorem 1.3. *For any given positive integers r and p , we have*

$$s_{r,1}(n) = \frac{\kappa_r^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2^{r+1}\pi^r}} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{\frac{1+1/2}{1+1/r}} W_{\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{2}}(\kappa_r n^{\frac{1}{1+r}}) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n^p}\right)\right)$$

and

$$s_{r,2}(n) = \frac{\kappa_r^{5/4}}{\sqrt[4]{2^r \pi^{r+1}}} \left(\frac{\eta(1/r)}{n}\right)^{\frac{1+1/4}{1+1/r}} W_{\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{4}}\left(\kappa_r \eta(1/r) \left(\frac{n}{\eta(1/r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+r}}\right) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n^p}\right)\right)$$

as integer $n \rightarrow \infty$. Here $\kappa_r > 0$ is given by

$$\kappa_r^{1+1/r} = 2r^{-1}(1 - 2^{-1-1/r})\zeta(1 + 1/r)\Gamma(1 + 1/r),$$

$\eta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n-1} n^{-s}$ is the Dirichlet eta function, and

$$W_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^1 (1 + iu)^\beta \exp(\lambda(\alpha^{-1}(1 + iu)^{-\alpha} + (1 + iu))) du$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, \lambda > 0$.

Using the standard saddle-point method, such as referring to [5, p. 127, Theorem 7.1], we can derive an asymptotic expansion for $W_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence it is possible to derive full asymptotic expansions for $s_{r, v}(n)$ ($(r, v) \in \mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2\}$). In particular, we have the following leading asymptotics.

Corollary 1.4. *For any given positive integer r , we have*

$$s_{r, 1}(n) \sim 2^{-(r+2)/2} \pi^{-(r+1)/2} (1 + 1/r)^{-1/2} \kappa_r n^{-\frac{3r+1}{2+2r}} e^{(1+r)\kappa_r n^{\frac{1}{1+r}}}$$

and

$$s_{r, 2}(n) \sim 2^{-(r+2)/4} \pi^{-(r+3)/4} (1 + 1/r)^{-1/2} \eta(1/r)^{\frac{3r}{4r+4}} \kappa_r^{3/4} n^{-\frac{5r+2}{4+4r}} e^{(1+r)\kappa_r \eta(1/r)^{\frac{r}{1+r}} n^{\frac{1}{1+r}}}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2. Some results of the generating function

2.1. Proof of Proposition 1.1

We shall proceed in a formal manner to prove Proposition 1.1. Formally, using (1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} s_{r, v}(n) q^n &= \sum_{n \geq 0} q^n \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty \\ \sum_{j \geq 1} j^r |k_j|^s = n}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty} q^{\sum_{j \geq 1} j^r |k_j|^s} = \prod_{j \geq 1} \left(\sum_{k_j \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{j^r |k_j|^s} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $q \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|q| < 1$, by noting that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{|n|} = 1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} q^n = \frac{1 + q}{1 - q}$$

and an identity of Gauss (see Andrews [1, Corollary 2.10])

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 - (-q)^n}{1 + (-q)^n},$$

we have

$$G_{r,1}(q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} s_{r,1}(n)q^n = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 + q^{nr}}{1 - q^{nr}}$$

and

$$G_{r,2}(q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} s_{r,2}(n)q^n = \prod_{j \geq 1} \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 - (-1)^n q^{nj^r}}{1 + (-1)^n q^{nj^r}}.$$

Clearly, the product for $G_{r,1}(q)$ is absolute convergence for all $q \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|q| < 1$. For the product for $G_{r,2}(q)$, since

$$\left| \prod_{j \geq 1} \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 - (-1)^n q^{nj^r}}{1 + (-1)^n q^{nj^r}} \right| \leq \prod_{j \geq 1} \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 + |q|^{nj^r}}{1 - |q|^{nj^r}} = \prod_{\ell \geq 1} \left(\frac{1 + |q|^\ell}{1 - |q|^\ell} \right)^{\sigma_{1,r}(\ell)},$$

where

$$\sigma_{1,r}(\ell) = \#\{(n, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : nj^r = \ell\} \leq \ell;$$

and hence the product is absolute convergence for all $q \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|q| < 1$. This completes the proof of Proposition 1.1.

2.2. Asymptotics of the generating function

To give a proof of Theorem 1.3, we need to determine asymptotics of the generating function in Proposition 1.1 at $q = 1$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let r be a given positive integer, $z = x + iy$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|\arg(z)| \leq \pi/4$. As $z \rightarrow 0$,*

$$G_{r,1}(e^{-z}) = \frac{z^{1/2} \exp(r\kappa_r^{1+1/r} z^{-1/r})}{\sqrt{2^{r+1}\pi^r}} (1 + O(|z|^p))$$

and

$$G_{r,2}(e^{-z}) = \frac{z^{1/4} \exp(r\eta(1/r)\kappa_r^{1+1/r} z^{-1/r})}{\sqrt[4]{2^r \pi^{r+1}}} (1 + O(|z|^p))$$

holds for any given $p > 0$. Here $\kappa_r > 0$ such that

$$\kappa_r^{1+1/r} = 2r^{-2}(1 - 2^{-1-1/r})\zeta(1 + 1/r)\Gamma(1/r).$$

Proof. The proof of the result for $G_{r,1}(e^{-z})$ is similar to $G_{r,2}(e^{-z})$, hence we only prove the later one. We shall follow the proof of [1, p. 89, Lemma 6.1]. The series for the Riemann zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-s}$$

and the Dirichlet eta function

$$\eta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n-1} n^{-s}$$

converge absolutely and uniformly for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ when $\Re(s) \geq c > 1$. Therefore, by using Mellin's transform,

$$\begin{aligned} \log G_{r,2}(e^{-z}) &= 2 \sum_{\substack{\ell \geq 1 \\ \ell \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n-1} e^{-n\ell j^r z} \\ &= 2 \sum_{\substack{\ell \geq 1 \\ \ell \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} (n\ell j^r z)^{-s} \Gamma(s) ds \\ &= \frac{2}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \left(\sum_{\substack{\ell \geq 1 \\ \ell \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{\ell^{s+1}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^s} \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{j^{rs}} \right) \Gamma(s) z^{-s} ds, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$(2.1) \quad \log G_{r,2}(e^{-z}) = \frac{2}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} (1 - 2^{-1-s}) \zeta(s+1) \eta(s) \zeta(rs) \Gamma(s) z^{-s} ds$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(z) > 0$. Since the only poles of gamma function $\Gamma(s)$ are at $s = -k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$), and all are simple; $\eta(s)$ is an entire function on \mathbb{C} ; all $s = -2k$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) are zeros of zeta function $\zeta(s)$, and $s = 1$ is the only pole of $\zeta(s)$ and is simple. Thus, it is easy to check that the only possible poles of the integrand

$$g_r(s) z^{-s} := (1 - 2^{-1-s}) \zeta(s+1) \eta(s) \zeta(rs) \Gamma(s) z^{-s}$$

are at $s = 0$ and $1/r$. For all $\sigma \in [a, b]$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and real number t , $|t| \geq 1$, we have the well-known classical facts (see [6, p. 38, p. 92]) that

$$\Gamma(\sigma + it) \ll_{a,b} |t|^{\sigma-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}|t|\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(\sigma + it) \ll_{a,b} |t|^{|\sigma|+1/2}.$$

Hence we have $g_r(s) \ll_{a,b} |t|^{O(1)} \exp(-\frac{\pi}{2}|t|)$. Thus, using the residue theorem, moving the line of integration (2.1) to the $\Re(s) = -p$ with any given $p > 0$, and taking into account the possible pole at $s = 0$ and $s = 1/r$ of $g_r(s)$, we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \log G_{r,2}(e^{-z}) = 2 \sum_{s \in \{0, 1/r\}} \text{Res}(g_r(s) z^{-s}) + O(|z|^p)$$

as $z \rightarrow 0$ with $|\arg(z)| < \pi/4$. By Laurent expansion of $\zeta(s+1)$ and $\Gamma(s)$ at $s = 0$, we have

$$\zeta(s+1) = 1/s + \gamma + O(|s|) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(s) = 1/s - \gamma + O(|s|)$$

as $s \rightarrow 0$. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s=1/r} (g_r(s)z^{-s}) = \frac{(1 - 2^{-1-1/r})\zeta(1/r + 1)\eta(1/r)\Gamma(1/r)}{rz^{1/r}}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s=0} (g_r(s)z^{-s}) = \frac{1}{8} \log \left(\frac{z}{2^r \pi^{r+1}} \right).$$

Combining (2.2) with above results, we obtain the proof of this proposition. □

We also need the following upper bound results.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(r, v) \in \mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2\}$ be given, $z = x + iy$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $y \in (-\pi, \pi] \setminus (-x, x)$. As $x \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\Re \left(\log \frac{G_{r,v}(e^{-x})}{G_{r,v}(e^{-z})} \right) \gg x^{-1/r}.$$

Proof. By using Proposition 1.1 with $q \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|q| < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log G_{r,1}(q) &= \sum_{j \geq 1} \log \left(\frac{1 + q^{j^r}}{1 - q^{j^r}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j \geq 1} \left(\sum_{\ell \geq 1} (-1)^{\ell-1} \frac{q^{\ell j^r}}{\ell} + \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{q^{\ell j^r}}{\ell} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{j \geq 1} ((-1)^{\ell-1} + 1) q^{\ell j^r} = 2 \sum_{\substack{\ell \geq 1 \\ \ell \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{j \geq 1} q^{j^r \ell} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \log G_{r,2}(q) &= \sum_{n,j \geq 1} \log \left(\frac{1 - (-1)^n q^{n j^r}}{1 + (-1)^n q^{n j^r}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n,j \geq 1} \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{1}{\ell} (- (-1)^{n\ell} q^{n j^r \ell} + (-1)^\ell (-1)^{n\ell} q^{n j^r \ell}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell,j \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^\ell - 1}{\ell} \frac{(-q^{j^r})^\ell}{1 - (-q^{j^r})^\ell} = 2 \sum_{\substack{\ell \geq 1 \\ \ell \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{q^{j^r \ell}}{1 + q^{j^r \ell}}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\Re \left(\log \frac{G_{r,1}(e^{-x})}{G_{r,1}(e^{-z})} \right) = 2 \sum_{\substack{\ell \geq 1 \\ \ell \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{j \geq 1} e^{-j^r \ell x} \Re \left(1 - \exp \left(2\pi i \ell j^r \frac{y}{2\pi} \right) \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \left(\log \frac{G_{r,2}(e^{-x})}{G_{r,2}(e^{-z})} \right) &= 2 \sum_{\substack{\ell \geq 1 \\ \ell \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{j \geq 1} \Re \left(\frac{e^{-j^r \ell x}}{1 + e^{-j^r \ell x}} - \frac{e^{-j^r \ell z}}{1 + e^{-j^r \ell z}} \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{\substack{\ell \geq 1 \\ \ell \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{\tanh(j^r \ell \frac{x}{2})}{\cosh(j^r \ell x) + \cos(j^r \ell y)} \sin^2 \left(j^r \ell \frac{y}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By noting that all summand in above sums are nonnegative we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \left(\log \frac{G_{r,1}(e^{-x})}{G_{r,1}(e^{-z})} \right) &\geq 2 \sum_{j \geq 1} e^{-j^r x} \Re \left(1 - \exp \left(2\pi i j^r \frac{y}{2\pi} \right) \right) \\ &\gg \sum_{(2\pi/x)^{1/r} < j \leq 2(2\pi/x)^{1/r}} \Re \left(1 - \exp \left(2\pi i j^r \frac{y}{2\pi} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \left(\log \frac{G_{r,2}(e^{-x})}{G_{r,2}(e^{-z})} \right) &\geq 2 \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{\tanh(j^r \frac{x}{2})}{\cosh(j^r x) + \cos(j^r y)} \sin^2 \left(j^r \frac{y}{2} \right) \\ &\gg \sum_{(2\pi/x)^{1/r} < j \leq 2(2\pi/x)^{1/r}} \Re \left(1 - \exp \left(2\pi i j^r \frac{y}{2\pi} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus by using Lemma 2.3 with $L = (2\pi/x)^{1/r}$, we find that

$$\Re \left(\log \frac{G_{r,v}(e^{-x})}{G_{r,v}(e^{-z})} \right) \gg \delta_r (2\pi/x)^{1/r} \gg x^{-1/r}$$

holds for all sufficiently small $x > 0$ and $v \in \{1, 2\}$. This finishes the proof. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $L \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $L^{-r} < |y| \leq 1/2$. Then there exists a constant $\delta_r \in (0, 1)$ depending only on r such that*

$$\left| \sum_{L < n \leq 2L} e^{2\pi i n^r y} \right| \leq (1 - \delta_r)L$$

holds for all positive sufficiently large L .

Proof. The lemma for $r = 1$ is easy and we shall focus on the cases of $r \geq 2$. By the well-known Dirichlet’s approximation theorem, for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $L > 0$ being sufficiently large, then there exist integers d and h with $0 < h \leq L^{r-1}$ and $\gcd(h, d) = 1$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad \left| y - \frac{d}{h} \right| < \frac{1}{hL^{r-1}}.$$

The use of [4, Equation 20.32] implies that

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{L < n \leq 2L} e^{2\pi i n^r y} = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq h} e^{2\pi i j^r \frac{d}{h}} \int_L^{2L} e^{2\pi i u^r (y - \frac{d}{h})} du + O(h).$$

If the real number y satisfies $L^{-r} < |y| \leq L^{1-r}$, then y satisfies the approximation (2.3) with $(h, d) = (1, 0)$. This means that

$$(2.5) \quad \left| \sum_{L < n \leq 2L} e^{2\pi i n^r y} \right| = \left| \int_L^{2L} e^{2\pi i u^r y} du + O(1) \right| \leq 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi r |y| L^{r-1}} (1 + 2^{1-r}) + O(1) \leq \frac{1 + 2^{1-r}}{\pi r} L + O(1).$$

If the real number y satisfies $1/2 \geq |y| \geq L^{1-r}$, then y satisfies the approximation (2.3) with $h \geq 2$. Further, by using [2, Lemma 2.1] in (2.4), we find that there exists a positive constant $\delta_{r,1}$ depending only on r such that

$$(2.6) \quad \left| \sum_{L < n \leq 2L} e^{2\pi i n^r y} \right| \leq (1 - \delta_{r,1})L + O(h).$$

On the other hand, the use of Weyl’s inequality (see [4, Lemma 20.3]) implies that

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{L < j \leq 2L} e^{2\pi i j^r y} \ll_{\varepsilon} L^{1+\varepsilon} (h^{-1} + L^{-1} + hL^{-r})^{2^{1-r}} \ll L^{1-2^{-r-1/2}}$$

holds for all integers $h \in (L^{1/2}, L^{r-1}]$. By using (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7), it is not difficult to obtain the proof of the lemma. □

3. Proof of the main theorem

From Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we can check that the sequences $\{s_{r,1}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{s_{r,2}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfy the conditions of Proposition 3.1 below. Therefore, applying the following proposition, Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 follow.

Proposition 3.1. *For a sequence $\{c_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of real numbers, we let $G(q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n q^n$. Suppose that for $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $y \in (-\pi, \pi]$,*

$$G(e^{-x-iy}) - \gamma(x + iy)^\beta e^{\kappa \alpha^{-1}(x+iy)^{-\alpha}} \ll x^p G(e^{-x}), \quad x \rightarrow 0$$

holds for any given $p > 0$, where $\kappa, \gamma, \beta, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then, for any given $p > 0$ we have

$$c_n = \gamma \left(\frac{\kappa}{n} \right)^{\frac{1+\beta}{1+\alpha}} W_{\alpha, \beta} \left(\kappa^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} n^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \right) (1 + O(n^{-p}))$$

as integer $n \rightarrow \infty$. In particular,

$$c_n \sim 2^{-1/2} \pi^{-1/2} (1 + \alpha)^{-1/2} \gamma \kappa^{\frac{\beta+1/2}{1+\alpha}} n^{-\frac{1+\beta+\alpha/2}{1+\alpha}} e^{(1+\alpha^{-1}) \kappa^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} n^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. For any given positive integer n sufficiently large, by using the orthogonality we have

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} G(e^{-x-iy})e^{nx+niy} dy.$$

We split the above integral as

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad c_n &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-x}^x \gamma(x+iy)^\beta e^{\kappa\alpha^{-1}(x+iy)^{-\alpha}+n(x+iy)} dy \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (G(e^{-x-iy}) - \gamma(x+iy)^\beta e^{\kappa\alpha^{-1}(x+iy)^{-\alpha}}) e^{n(x+iy)} dy \\ &=: I(n) + E(n). \end{aligned}$$

Let $x = \left(\frac{\kappa}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}$. For $E(n)$, we estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad E(n) &\ll \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x^{(1+\alpha)p} G(e^{-x})e^{nx} dy \\ &\ll \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x^p e^{\kappa\alpha^{-1}x^{-\alpha}+nx} dy \ll n^{-p} e^{(\alpha^{-1}+1)\kappa^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} n^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}}} \end{aligned}$$

holds for any given $p > 0$. For $I(n)$, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} I(n) &= \frac{\gamma}{2\pi i} \int_{x-ix}^{x+ix} z^\beta e^{\kappa\alpha^{-1}z^{-\alpha}+nz} dz \\ &= \frac{\gamma x^{1+\beta}}{2\pi i} \int_{1-i}^{1+i} u^\beta e^{\kappa\alpha^{-1}x^{-\alpha}u^{-\alpha}+nxu} du \\ &= \gamma \left(\frac{\kappa}{n}\right)^{\frac{1+\beta}{1+\alpha}} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1-i}^{1+i} u^\beta e^{\kappa^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} n^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} (\alpha^{-1}u^{-\alpha}+u)} du, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$(3.3) \quad I(n) = \gamma \left(\frac{\kappa}{n}\right)^{\frac{1+\beta}{1+\alpha}} W_{\alpha,\beta} \left(\kappa^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} n^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}}\right).$$

By using the standard Laplace saddle-point method (see, for example, [5, p. 127, Theorem 7.1]), since the integral

$$W_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^1 (1+iu)^\beta \exp(\lambda(\alpha^{-1}(1+iu)^{-\alpha} + (1+iu))) du$$

has a simple saddle point $u = 0$, it is not difficult to prove that

$$(3.4) \quad W_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(1+\alpha)}} \frac{e^{(1+\alpha^{-1})\lambda}}{\lambda^{1/2}}$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$. The proof of Proposition 3.1 follows from (3.1)–(3.3) and (3.4). This completes the proof. □

Acknowledgments

This research was partly supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11971173). The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her very helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] G. E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications **2**, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass.-London-Amsterdam, 1976.
- [2] A. Gafni, *Power partitions*, J. Number Theory **163** (2016), 19–42.
- [3] G. H. Hardy and S. Ramanujan, *Asymptotic formulae in combinatory analysis*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **17** (1918), 75–115.
- [4] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications **53**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [5] F. W. J. Olver, *Asymptotics and Special Functions*, Computer Science and Applied Mathematics, Academic Press, New York-London, 1974.
- [6] H. Rademacher, *Topics in Analytic Number Theory*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band **169**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.
- [7] E. M. Wright, *Asymptotic partition formulae III: Partitions into k -th powers*, Acta Math. **63** (1934), no. 1, 143–191.

Nian Hong Zhou

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Guangxi Normal University, No. 1 Yanzhong Road, Yanshan District, 541006 Guilin, Guangxi, China
and

School of Mathematical Sciences, East China Normal University, 500 Dongchuan Road, Minhang District, 200241 Shanghai, China

E-mail addresses: nianhongzhou@outlook.com, nianhongzhou@gxnu.edu.cn

Yalin Sun

School of Mathematical Sciences, East China Normal University, 500 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai 200241, China

E-mail address: yalinsun@outlook.com