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An \((a, s)\)-vertex-antimagic edge labeling (or an \((a, s)\)-VAE labeling, for short) of \(G\) is a bijective mapping from the edge set \(E(G)\) of a graph \(G\) to the set of integers \(1, 2, \ldots, |E(G)|\) with the property that the vertex-weights form an arithmetic sequence starting from \(a\) and having common difference \(s\), where \(a\) and \(s\) are two positive integers, and the vertex-weight is the sum of the labels of all edges incident to the vertex. A graph is called \((a, s)\)-antimagic if it admits an \((a, s)\)-VAE labeling. In this paper, we investigate the existence of \((a, 1)\)-VAE labeling for disconnected 3-regular graphs. Also, we define and study a new concept \((a, s)\)-vertex-antimagic edge deficiency, as an extension of \((a, s)\)-VAE labeling, for measuring how close a graph is away from being an \((a, s)\)-antimagic graph. Furthermore, the \((a, 1)\)-VAE deficiency of Hamiltonian regular graphs of even degree is completely determined. More open problems are mentioned in the concluding remarks.

1. Background and Introduction

All graphs in this paper are finite simple, undirected, and possibly disconnected, but without any isolated vertex or any isolated edge. For graph theoretic notations, we follow [1].

Over the past few decades, many kinds of graph labelings have been studied intensively, and an excellent survey of graph labelings for paths, cycles, and complete graphs has been published in [2].

Hartsfield and Ringel in [3] introduced the concept of an antimagic labeling. In their terminology, a \((p, q)\)-graph \(G\) with \(p\) vertices and \(q\) edges is called antimagic if its edges are labeled with labels \(1, 2, \ldots, q\) in such a way that all vertex-weights are pairwise distinct, where a vertex-weight of vertex \(v\) is the sum of labels of all edges incident with \(v\). Hartsfield and Ringel [3] pointed out that antimagic graphs include paths \(P_n, n \geq 3\), cycles, wheels, and complete graphs \(K_n, n \geq 3\). They conjecture that every connected graph, except \(K_2\), is antimagic. Alon et al. [4] used several probabilistic tools and some techniques from analytic number theory to show that this conjecture is true for all graphs having minimum degree \(\Omega(\log |V(G)|)\).

In 1993, Bodendiek and Walther [5] investigated antimagic labelings with certain restriction placed on the vertex-weights. They defined the concept of an \((a, s)\)-vertex-antimagic edge labeling as follows.

Definition 1. An \((a, s)\)-vertex-antimagic edge labeling (or an \((a, s)\)-VAE labeling for short) of a \((p, q)\)-graph \(G\) is a bijective mapping \(f\) from the edge set \(E(G)\) of a graph \(G\) to the set of integers \(1, 2, \ldots, q\) with the property that the vertex-weights form an arithmetic sequence starting from \(a\) and having common difference \(s\), where \(a\) and \(s\) are two positive integers. The vertex-weight \(w_f(u)\) of the vertex \(u\) is the sum of the labels of all edges incident with the vertex \(u\) under the mapping \(f\). A graph is called \((a, s)\)-antimagic if it admits an \((a, s)\)-VAE labeling.

Bodendiek and Walther [6, 7] proved that the Herschel graph is not \((a, s)\)-VAE and obtained both positive and negative results about \((a, s)\)-VAE labelings for various cases of graphs called parachutes \(P_{a,b}\). They investigated \((a, s)\)-VAE labelings for paths, cycles, and complete graphs in [8]. Characterization of all \((a, s)\)-antimagic graphs of the prism \(C_n \square P_2\) when \(n\) is even is given in [9]. In [10, 11] the \((a, s)\)-VAE labelings for antiprisms have been investigated. It is proved in [12]
that the generalized Petersen graph $P(n, m)$ has an $(a, 1)$-VAE labeling if and only if $n$ is even, $n \geq 4$, $1 \leq m \leq n/2 - 1$, and $a = (7n + 4)/2$. Nicholas et al. [13] obtained several results about $(a, d)$-VAE labelings for caterpillars, unicyclic graphs, and complete bipartite graphs.

On the other hand, in 2001, MacDougall et al. [14] introduced the concept of vertex magic total labeling as follows. If $G$ is a finite simple undirected graph with $p$ vertices and $q$ edges, then a vertex magic total labeling is a bijection $f$ from $V(G) \cup E(G)$ to the integers $1, 2, \ldots, p + q$ with the property that, for every $u \in V(G)$, the sum $f(u) + \sum_{v \in E(G), f(uv)}$ is a constant. Note that, for regular graphs, the vertex magic total labeling is equivalent to the $(a, 1)$-VAE labeling, while the vertices (edges) are assigned the smallest labels. More recently, Wang and Zhang [15] verified the existence of $(a, 1)$-VAE labelings for caterpillars, unicyclic graphs, and complete bipartite graphs.

The following theorem was proved in [16] by Ivančo and Semeničová, which guarantees the existence of the $(a, 1)$-VAE-ness by adding an arbitrary even factor to an arbitrary $(a, 1)$-antimagic graph.

**Theorem 2** (see [16]). Let the graph $G$ admit an $(a, 1)$-VAE labeling, and let $H$ be any 2-factor over $V(G)$. Then, $G \cup H$ still admits an $(b, 1)$-VAE labeling for some $b$.

Therefore in order to study the $(a, 1)$-antimagicness of a general regular graph, based upon Theorem 2 and the fact, pointed out by Petersen [17], that any regular graph of even degree has a 2-factorization, it is natural to explore the $(a, 1)$-antimagicness of 2-regular graphs and 3-regular graphs, respectively. In this paper, we in particular investigate the existence of $(a, 1)$-VAE labeling for disconnected 3-regular graphs and also define a new concept $(a, 1)$-VAE deficiency, as an extension of $(a, 1)$-VAE labeling, for studying those (regular) graphs not admitting an $(a, 1)$-VAE labeling. The $(a, 1)$-VAE deficiency is a parameter to measure how close a graph is from being $(a, 1)$-antimagic. We notice that the method employed here is also valid for those graphs with multiple edges and loops. More examples and open problems will be provided in Section 5.

2. Preliminary Results

Suppose $G$ is a $(p, q)$-graph with $p$ vertices and $q$ edges. The following are necessary conditions for graphs to admit an $(a, s)$-VAE labeling and $(a, 1)$-VAE labeling in particular.

**Lemma 3.** If a $(p, q)$-graph is $(a, s)$-antimagic, then $q(q + 1) = pa + s((p − 1)p/2)$.

**Proof.** Consider that the total sum of all vertex-weights in $(p, q)$-graph is

$$2(1 + 2 + \cdots + q) = a + (a + s) + \cdots + (a + s(p − 1)) \quad (1)$$

by two-way counting, and it implies that $q(q + 1) = pa + s((p − 1)p/2)$.

By Lemma 3 and for $s = 1$, in case $q = p$, then $a = (p + 3)/2$. Note that $a$ is a positive integer, which implies that $p$ is odd. Furthermore, in the case $q = p − 1$, one has $a = (p − 1)/2$, which implies that $p$ is odd. Therefore, we have the following properties for $(a, 1)$-VAE-ness.

**Corollary 4.** Let $G$ be a $(p, p − 1)$-graph. If $G$ is $(a, 1)$-antimagic, then $p$ is odd.

**Corollary 5.** Trees of even order are not $(a, 1)$-antimagic. In particular, a path of even order is not $(a, 1)$-antimagic.

**Corollary 6.** Let $G$ be a $(p, p)$-graph. If $G$ is $(a, 1)$-antimagic, then $p$ is odd.

**Corollary 7.** The even cycle $C_{2n}$ is not $(a, 1)$-antimagic.

**Proposition 8.** Let $G$ be an $r$-regular graph of order $p$ and let $G$ admit an $(a, 1)$-VAE labeling. Then, we have the following:

(i) If $r$ is odd, then $p \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

(ii) If $r$ is even, then $p \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

**Proof.** Let $G$ have $q$ edges. Then, by hand-shaking lemma, we have that $pr = 2q$. Since $G$ admits an $(a, 1)$-VAE labeling, by Lemma 3, we have $q(q + 1) = pa + (p − 1)p/2$. Combining these equations, one has that $a = (1 + rp/2)(r/2) − (p−1)/2 = (2r+r^2p−2p+2)/4$, which must be an integer. Therefore, it follows that if $r$ is odd, then $p \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and if $r$ is even, then $p \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

3. $(a, 1)$-VAE for 3-Regular Graphs

In this section, we study the $(a, 1)$-VAE labeling of disjoint union of 3-regular graphs. The main result is the following.

**Theorem 9.** Let $G$ be an $(a, 1)$-antimagic 3-regular graph having a perfect matching. Then, the disjoint union of arbitrary number of copies of $G$, that is, $mG$, $m \geq 1$, is also a $(b, 1)$-antimagic graph.

**Proof.** Let $G$ be a 3-regular graph with $p$ vertices having a perfect matching.

Suppose that $G$ admits an $(a, 1)$-VAE labeling $f$ such that

$$f : E(G) \rightarrow \left\{1, 2, \ldots, \frac{3p}{2}\right\},$$

$$\{\text{wt}_f(v) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} f(uv) : v \in V(G)\} = \{a, a + 1, \ldots, a + p − 1\}. \quad (2)$$

As $G$ contains a perfect matching, we can divide the edges of $G$ into two subsets $E_1(G)$ and $E_2(G)$, such that

$$E_1(G) \cup E_2(G) = E(G),$$

$$E_1(G) \cap E_2(G) = \emptyset, \quad (3)$$

where the subset $E_1(G)$ consists of all edges belonging to the perfect matching.
For every vertex \( v \) in \( G \), we denote by symbol \( v_j \) the corresponding vertex in the \( j \)th copy of \( G \) in \( mG \). Analogously, let \( e_j = uv \) denote the corresponding edge in the \( j \)th copy of \( G \) in \( mG \).

Define the labeling \( f \) for the edges of \( mG \) in the following way:

\[
g(e) = \begin{cases} 
    m(f(e) - 1) + i & \text{if } e \in E_2(G), \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \\
    mf(e) + 1 - i & \text{if } e \in E_1(G), \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, m.
\end{cases}
\]

Let \( t \in [1, 2, \ldots, 3p/2] \). We consider two cases.

Case 1. If the number \( t \) is assigned by the labeling \( f \) to an edge from \( E_2(G) \), then the corresponding edges in the copies in \( mG \) will receive labels under the labeling \( g \):

\[
m(t-1)+1, \ m(t-1)+2, \ldots, m(t-1)+i, \ldots, mt,
\]

in \( G_1 \) in \( G_2 \) \cdots in \( G_i \) \cdots in \( G_m \).

Case 2. If the number \( t \) is assigned by the labeling \( f \) to an edge from \( E_1(G) \), then the corresponding edges in the copies in \( mG \) will have the following labels under the labeling \( g \):

\[
mt, \ mt-1, \ldots, mt+i, \ldots, m(t-1)+1.
\]

in \( G_1 \) in \( G_2 \) \cdots in \( G_i \) \cdots in \( G_m \).

It is easy to see that the edge labels in \( mG \) are not overlapping; thus, the labeling \( g \) is a bijective function which assigns the integers \( \{1, 2, \ldots, 3mp/2\} \) to the edges of \( mG \); thus, \( g \) is an edge labeling.

As \( G \) is a 3-regular graph with a perfect matching, then every vertex in \( G \) is incident to exactly one edge from \( E_1(G) \) and exactly two edges from \( E_2(G) \). For a given vertex \( v \), let \( e^{v_1}_i, e^{v_2}_i, e^{v_3}_i \) be the three edges incident to the vertex \( v \), where \( e^j \) means that the edge belongs to the subset \( E_j(G) \), \( j = 1, 2 \).

For the vertex-weight of \( v_j \in V(G_i) \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
wt_g(v_j) &= g(e^{v_1}_i) + g(e^{v_2}_i) + g(e^{v_3}_i) \\
&= (mf(e^{v_1}_i) + 1 - i) + (mf(e^{v_2}_i) - 1) + i \\
&= mf(e^{v_1}_i) + 2i + (mf(e^{v_2}_i) - 1) - 2i \\
&= mf(e^{v_1}_i) + mf(e^{v_3}_i) - 1 + i \\
&= mf((e^{v_1}_i) + f(e^{v_2}_i) + f(e^{v_3}_i)) - 1 + 2i \\
&= m(f(e^{v_1}_i) + f(e^{v_2}_i) + f(e^{v_3}_i)) - 1 + 2i \\
&= m(f(e^{v_1}_i) + f(e^{v_2}_i) + f(e^{v_3}_i)) - 1 + 2i.
\end{align*}
\]

As

\[
\begin{align*}
\{wt_g(v) : v \in V(mG)\} &= \{m(a - 2) + 2, \ m(a - 1) + 2, \ldots, m(a + p - 3) + 2, \\
&\quad m(a - 2) + 3, \ m(a - 1) + 3, \ldots, m(a + p - 3) + 3, \\
&\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\
&\quad m(a - 2) + i, \ m(a - 1) + i, \ldots, m(a + p - 3) + i, \\
&\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\
&\quad m(a - 1) + 1, \ ma + 1, \ldots, m(a + p - 2) + 1\}.
\end{align*}
\]

We will follow the notation used in the proof of Theorem 9.

**Theorem 10.** Let \( G_i \) be an \((a, 1)\)-antimagic 3-regular graph of order \( p \) having a perfect matching, \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \). Let the set of all edge labels belonging to the perfect matching under the \((a, 1)\)-VAE labeling \( f_i \) of a graph \( G_i \) be the same for every \( i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \).

Then, the disjoint union \( \bigcup_{i=1}^m G_i \) is also an \((b, 1)\)-antimagic graph.

**Proof.** Let \( G_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \), be a 3-regular graph of order \( p \) having a perfect matching, \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \), and note that \( G_i \) is not necessarily isomorphic to \( G_j \) for \( i \neq j \).
For each $G_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, there exists an $(a,1)$-VAE labeling $f_i$ such that the set of all edge labels belonging to the perfect matching under the $(a,1)$-VAE labeling $f_i$ of a graph $G_i$ is the same for every graph $G_i$. This means that

$$f_i : E_1(G_i) \rightarrow \{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_{p/2}\}$$

$$E_2(G_i) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, 3p/2\}$$

$$\begin{cases}
\text{wt}_{f_i}(v) = \sum_{uv \in E(G_i)} f(uv) : v \in V(G_i) \\
= \{a, a + 1, \ldots, a + p - 1\}.
\end{cases}$$

(11)

We define the labeling $f$ for the edges of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} G_i$ in the following way:

$$f(e) = \begin{cases}
m(f_1(e) - 1) + i, & \text{if } e \in E_2(G_i) , \\
mf_1(e) + 1 - i, & \text{if } e \in E_1(G_i).
\end{cases}$$

(12)

Let $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 3p/2\}$. We consider two cases.

**Case 1.** If the number $t$ is assigned by the labeling $f_1$ to an edge from $E_2(G_i)$, then the corresponding edge in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} G_i$ will receive the following label under the labeling $f$:

$$m(t - 1) + 1, \ m(t - 1) + 2, \ \ldots, \ m(t - 1) + i, \ \ldots, \ mt.$$  

in $G_1$ in $G_2$ \ldots in $G_i$ \ldots in $G_m$.  

(13)

**Case 2.** If the number $t$ is assigned by the labeling $f_1$ to an edge from $E_1(G_i)$, then the corresponding edge in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} G_i$ will receive the following label under the labeling $f$:

$$mt, \ mt - 1, \ \ldots, \ mt + 1 - i, \ \ldots \ m(t - 1) + 1.$$ 

in $G_1$ in $G_2$ \ldots in $G_i$ \ldots in $G_m$.  

(14)

It is easy to see that the edge labels in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} G_i$ are not overlapping; thus, the labeling $f$ is a bijective function which assigns the integer $\{1, 2, \ldots, 3mp/2\}$ to the edges of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} G_i$; thus, $f$ is an edge labeling.

Moreover, analogously as in the proof of Theorem 9, we get that the vertex-weights form an arithmetic sequence with a difference 1. This produces the desired result.

### 4. $(a,1)$-VAE Deficiency of Even Regular Graphs

We start this section by defining a new concept $(a,s)$-vertex-antimagic edge deficiency for a $(p,q)$-graph $G$ as follows. It is a parameter to study furthermore those graphs which do not admit any $(a,s)$-VAE labeling and to measure how close they are away from being an $(a,s)$-antimagic graph.

**Definition 11.** The $(a,s)$-vertex-antimagic edge deficiency (or $(a,s)$-VAE deficiency for short) is defined as the minimum $k$ such that the edge labeling $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, q + k\}$ is $(a,s)$-VAE. The $(a,s)$-VAE deficiency of the graph $G$ is denoted by $d_1(G)$. Note that $d_1(G) = 0$ if $G$ is $(a,s)$-antimagic and $d_1(G) = +\infty$ if no such $k$ exists for the graph $G$ to be $(a,s)$-antimagic.

In the following, we determine completely the $(a,1)$-VAE deficiency of paths and cycles. Also, as a corollary, the $(a,1)$-VAE deficiency of Hamiltonian regular graphs of even degree is obtained. First, we have the following two lemmas giving $(a,1)$-VAE labelings for odd cycles and paths; see also [8].

**Lemma 12.** The cycle $C_{2n+1}$ is $(a,1)$-antimagic for $n \geq 1$.

**Proof.** Given a notation for $C_{2n+1}$ with $V(C_{2n+1}) = \{v_i : i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n + 1\}$ and $E(C_{2n+1}) = \{v_i v_{i+1} : i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n\} \cup \{v_1 v_{2n+1}\}$, we give an edge labeling $f$ for $E(C_{2n+1})$ with

$$f(v_i v_{i+1}) = n + 1,$$

and it implies the vertex-weight at $v_i$ as follows:

$$\text{wt}_f(v_i) = n + 1 + i,$$  

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n + 1$.  

(15)

Hence, $C_{2n+1}$ is $(n+2,1)$-antimagic.

**Lemma 13.** The path $P_{2n+1}$ is $(a,1)$-antimagic for $n \geq 1$.

**Proof.** Given a notation for $P_{2n+1}$ with $V(P_{2n+1}) = \{v_i : i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n + 1\}$ and $E(P_{2n+1}) = \{v_i v_{i+1} : i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n\}$, we give an edge labeling $f$ for $E(P_{2n+1})$ such that

$$f(v_i v_{i+1}) = \begin{cases}
i + 1, & \text{if } i = 1, 3, \ldots, 2n - 1, \\
n + i + 1, & \text{if } i = 2, 4, \ldots, 2n
\end{cases}$$

and it implies the vertex-weight at $v_i$ as follows:

$$\text{wt}_f(v_i) = \begin{cases}
n + i, & \text{if } i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n, \\
n, & \text{if } i = 2n + 1.
\end{cases}$$

(16)

Hence, $P_{2n+1}$ is $(n,1)$-antimagic.

Here, we have a general observation that every graph $G$ of order $p$, where $p \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, can not be made $(a,1)$-antimagic.

**Lemma 14.** Let $G$ be a graph of order $p$, where $p \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then, $d_1(G) = +\infty$.

**Proof.** Let $G$ have $q$ edges and $p = 4k + 2$ vertices. Assign labels $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_q$ to edges of graph $G$ and suppose the existence of an $(a,1)$-VAE labeling with the associated vertex-weights $a, a+1, \ldots, a+(4k+1)$. Consider the sum of all vertex-weights:

$$a + (a + 1) + \cdots + a + (4k + 1) = (4k + 2)a + (4k + 1)(2k + 1)$$

(19)
which is also equal to \(2(e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_q)\). Note that \(2(e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_q)\) and \((4k + 2)a\) are both even, but \((4k + 1)(2k + 1)\) is odd, a contradiction.

In the following lemmas, we are dealing with the \((a, 1)\)-VAE deficiency of cycles and paths.

**Lemma 15.** Consider \(d_1(C_{4n}) = 1\) for \(n \geq 1\).

**Proof.** First, we find the missing value \(x\) in the set of edge labels \([1, 2, \ldots, 4n]\). Note that
\[
2(1 + 2 + \cdots + (4n + 1) - x) = a + (a + 1) + \cdots + (a + 4n - 1)
\]
and it implies \(a = (4n^2 + 7n + 1 - x)/2n = 2n + 3 + (n + 1 - x)/2n \in \mathbb{Z}\) and thus \((n + 1 - x)/2n \in \mathbb{Z}\). Suppose that \((n + 1 - x)/2n = t\), and then one has \(-n \leq -2nt \leq 3n - 1\) since \(1 \leq x \leq 4n\). Therefore, \(t\) must be 0 or \(-1\), and it implies that the missing value \(x\) must be \(n + 1\) or \(3n + 1\). We show that for both missing values there exist \((a, 1)\)-VAE labelings.

Let the vertex set and the edge set of \(C_{4n}\) be \(V(C_{4n}) = \{v_i : i = 1, 2, \ldots, 4n\}\) and \(E(C_{4n}) = \{v_i v_{i+1} : i = 1, 2, \ldots, 4n - 1\} \cup \{v_1 v_4\}\).

**Case 1.** If the missing value is \(n + 1\), then the \((a, 1)\)-VAE labeling can be defined as follows:
\[
f_1(v_i v_{i+1}) = 4n + 1,
\]
\[
f_1(v_1 v_4) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{i + 1}{2}, & \text{if } i = 1, 3, \ldots, 2n - 1, \\
\frac{i + 3}{2}, & \text{if } i = 2n + 1, 2n + 3, \ldots, 4n - 1, \\
2n + 1 + \frac{i}{2}, & \text{if } i = 2, 4, \ldots, 4n - 2.
\end{cases}
\]

**Case 2.** If the missing value is \(3n + 1\), then, for example, consider the following edge labeling:
\[
f_2(v_i v_{i+1}) = 4n + 1,
\]
\[
f_2(v_1 v_4) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{i + 1}{2}, & \text{if } i = 1, 3, \ldots, 4n - 1, \\
2n + \frac{i}{2}, & \text{if } i = 2, 4, \ldots, 2n, \\
2n + 1 + \frac{i}{2}, & \text{if } i = 2n + 2, 2n + 4, \ldots, 4n - 2.
\end{cases}
\]

Then, we find that the vertex-weights form the set \([2n + 3, 2n + 4, \ldots, 6n + 2]\) for Case 1 and the set \([2n + 2, 2n + 3, \ldots, 6n + 1]\) for Case 2. Hence, \(d_1(C_{4n}) = 1\) as required.

**Lemma 16.** Consider \(d_1(P_{4n}) = 1\) for \(n \geq 1\).

**Proof.** First, we suppose that \(d_1(P_{4n}) \leq 1\) and we want to find the missing value \(x\) in the set of edge labels \([1, 2, \ldots, 4n - 1]\). Then,
\[
2(1 + 2 + \cdots + 4n - x) = a + (a + 1) + \cdots + (a + 4n - 1)
\]
and it implies that \(a = (4n^2 + 3n - x)/2n = 2n + 1 + (n - x)/2n\) and thus \((n - x)/2n \in \mathbb{Z}\). Suppose that \((n - x)/2n = t\), and then \(1 - n \leq -2nt \leq 3n - 1\), since \(1 \leq x \leq 4n - 1\). Therefore, \(t\) must be 0 or \(-1\) and it implies that the missing value is \(x = n\) or \(3n\). As in the proof of the previous lemma we show that for both cases it is possible to find required \((a, 1)\)-VAE labelings.

We denote the vertices and the edges of \(P_{4n}\) such that \(V(P_{4n}) = \{v_i : i = 1, 2, \ldots, 4n\}\) and \(E(P_{4n}) = \{v_i v_{i+1} : i = 1, 2, \ldots, 4n - 1\} \cup \{v_1 v_4\}\).

**Case 1.** If the missing value is \(n\), then we define the edge labeling \(f_3\) in the following way:
\[
f_3(v_i v_{i+1}) = \begin{cases} 
2n + \frac{i + 1}{2}, & \text{if } i = 1, 3, \ldots, 4n - 1, \\
\frac{i}{2}, & \text{if } i = 2, 4, \ldots, 2n - 2, \\
1 + \frac{i}{2}, & \text{if } i = 2n, 2n + 2, \ldots, 4n - 2.
\end{cases}
\]

**Case 2.** If the missing value is \(3n\), then we define the labeling \(f_4\) such that
\[
f_4(v_i v_{i+1}) = \begin{cases} 
2n + \frac{i - 1}{2}, & \text{if } i = 1, 3, \ldots, 2n - 1, \\
2n + \frac{i + 1}{2}, & \text{if } i = 2n + 1, 2n + 3, \ldots, 4n - 1, \\
\frac{i}{2}, & \text{if } i = 2, 4, \ldots, 4n - 2.
\end{cases}
\]

Then, we obtain that the vertex-weights attain the values from the sets \([2n + 1, 2n + 2, \ldots, 6n]\) for Case 1 and \([2n + 2, 2n + 3, \ldots, 6n + 1]\) for Case 2. Thus, \(d_1(P_{4n}) = 1\).

To summarize, we have the following \((a, 1)\)-VAE deficiency for paths \(P_m\) and cycles \(C_m\).

**Theorem 17.** Let \(m \geq 2\). Then,
\[
d_1(P_m) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } m \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}, \\
1, & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\
+\infty, & \text{if } m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}.
\end{cases}
\]

**Theorem 18.** Let \(m \geq 3\). Then,
\[
d_1(C_m) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } m \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}, \\
1, & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\
+\infty, & \text{if } m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}.
\end{cases}
\]
Therefore, as a corollary of Theorem 18, we immediately have the following result.

**Theorem 19.** Let $G$ be a $2r$-regular, $r \geq 2$, Hamiltonian graph of order $p$. Then,

$$d_1(G) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}, \\ 1, & \text{if } p \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 18, Lemma 12, Theorem 2, and also Petersen's 2-factorization of regular graphs of even degree, we get that a Hamiltonian even regular graph of odd order is $(a,1)$-antimagic. On the other hand, by Lemma 15 and Theorem 2, we get that the Hamiltonian even regular graphs of order $4n$ have the $(a,1)$-VAE deficiency equal to 1. Finally, by Lemma 14, we complete the proof. \qed

As a corollary, we have the following example of $(a,1)$-VAE deficiency for the Cartesian product of two cycles $C_m \square C_n, m, n \geq 3$. Note that $C_m \square C_n$ always contains a Hamiltonian cycle.

**Corollary 20.** Let $m, n \geq 3$. Then,

$$d_1(C_m \square C_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } mn \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}, \\ 1, & \text{if } mn \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } mn \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

Note that similarly one may have the formula for $(a,1)$-VAE deficiency of the higher dimensional toroidal grids, that is, the Cartesian product of $t$ cycles $C_{m_1} \square C_{m_2} \square \cdots \square C_{m_t}, m_i \geq 3$, for each $i$.

**5. Concluding Remarks and Further Studies**

Notice that in 2009 Holden et al. [18] raised a conjecture for the existence of $(a,1)$-VAE labeling of 2-regular graphs as follows: a 2-regular graph of odd order possesses an $(a,1)$-VAE labeling if and only if it is not one of $C_4 \cup C_3, C_4 \cup 3C_3$, or $C_5 \cup 2C_3$. Note that the terminology of the labeling they made is the strong vertex magic total labeling, which is exactly equivalent to the $(a,1)$-VAE labeling. Therefore, it is natural to ask for the following.

**Problem 1.** Determine the $(a,1)$-VAE deficiency for $C_4 \cup C_3$, $C_4 \cup 3C_3$, and $C_5 \cup 2C_3$.

Moreover, as a generalization of the above result, we obtain in the last section the $(a,1)$-VAE deficiency of a Hamiltonian regular graph of even degree, and we are concerned with the following situation. Note that Swaminathan and Jeyanthi [19] pointed out the following: $mC_n$ is $(a,1)$-antimagic if and only if $m, n$ are odd. Therefore, it is natural to ask for the following.

**Problem 2.** Determine the $(a,1)$-VAE deficiency for the 2-regular graph $mC_n$.

If Problem 2 is answered, then the $(a,1)$-VAE deficiency for an arbitrary regular graph of even degree containing a 2-factor $mC_n$ is answered. More generally, we have the following.

**Problem 3.** Determine the $(a,1)$-VAE deficiency for a general 2-regular graph.

If Problem 3 is answered, then the $(a,1)$-VAE deficiency for an arbitrary regular graph of even degree is answered. As for 3-regular graphs and general odd regular graphs, we ask for the following.

**Problem 4.** Determine the $(a,1)$-VAE deficiency for 3-regular Generalized Petersen Graphs $P(n,k)$ and Möbius Ladder Graphs $M_n$.

**Problem 5.** Determine the $(a,1)$-VAE deficiency for a general 3-regular graph.

**Problem 6.** Determine the $(a,1)$-VAE deficiency for a general odd regular graph.

It is not hard to check that $K_4$ does not admit any $(a,1)$-VAE labeling. However, with the aid of computer programs, we have found that $tK_4$ admits an $(a,1)$-VAE labeling for $2 \leq t \leq 9$. This leads to the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 21.** $tK_4$, the disjoint union of $t$ copies of $K_4$, admits the $(a,1)$-VAE labeling for $t \geq 2$.
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