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We extend Donsker's theorem and the central limit theorem of classical Galton-Watson process to the Galton-Watson processes in varying environment.

## 1. Introduction

There has been a lot of interesting works on Markov chains in random environments, which is mainly concentrated in branching processes in random environments and random walks in random environments (see [1]).

The study of branching processes in random environments dates back to late 60 s or early 70 s in the last century (see [2-5]). Our paper deals with a Galton-Watson branching process in the varying environment (GWVE) which is a special case of branching processes in random environments. The main concern is the weak convergence for a GWVE, which is an extension of Donsker's theorem (see $[6,7]$ ).

In the following context, $\left\{X_{n i}, n \geq 0, i \geq 1\right\}$ is a double sequence of independent and nonnegative integer valued random variables, where for fixed $n,\left\{X_{n i}, i \geq 1\right\}$ have the same distribution $\left\{p_{n i}, i=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ with mean $\mu_{n}>0$ and variance $\sigma_{n}^{2}>0$.

Definition 1. Assume $Z_{0} \equiv 1$ and for any $n \geq 1$, define

$$
Z_{n+1}= \begin{cases}\sum_{n=1}^{Z_{n}} X_{n j}, & \text { if } Z_{n} \neq 0  \tag{1}\\ 0, & \text { if } Z_{n}=0\end{cases}
$$

then $\left\{Z_{n}, n \geq 0\right\}$ is said to be a GWVE.

Define $m_{n}=E\left(Z_{n}\right)$; it is well known that $m_{n}=\mu_{0}$. $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n-1}$ and there exists a nonnegative random variable $V$ such that $Z_{n} / m_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.e. }} V$, as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ (see [8]).

For any fixed $r$, let $\xi_{n j}:=X_{n, r}^{(j)}$ be the size of the $r$ th generation of GWVE starting with the $j$ th particle at time $n$; then $\left\{\xi_{n j}, j \geq 1\right\}$ are i.i.d. with mean $m_{n, r}$ and variance $\sigma_{n, r}^{2}$ (see (4) and (5)). For each $n$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n}(t, \omega)=\frac{1}{\sigma_{n} \sqrt{Z_{n}}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\left[Z_{n} t\right]} \xi_{n j}-m_{n, r}\left[Z_{n} t\right]\right), \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[x]$ is the largest integer that is less than $x$. Our main result is a weak limit theorem for GWVE, which is an extension of Donsker's theorem.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $m_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $P(V=0)=0$; then $Y_{n} \xrightarrow{d} B$, where $B$ is the standard Brown motion on $[0,1]$.

Let $D$ be the space of functions defined on $[0,1]$ and having discontinuities of at most the first kind. For any $\alpha \in$ $R$, define $A_{\alpha}=\{x \in D: x(1) \leq \alpha\}$; it turns out that $W\left(\partial A_{\alpha}\right)=0$, where $W$ is the Wiener measure on $D$. Note that $Z_{n+r}=\sum_{j=1}^{Z_{n}} \xi_{n j}$; by Theorem 2 one has the following.

Corollary 3 (CLT). Suppose that $m_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $P(V=0)=$ 0 ; then for any fixed $r$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_{n} \sigma_{n, r}^{2}}}\left(Z_{n+r}-m_{n, r} Z_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N(0,1)$ is the standard normal random variable.
So, Theorem 2 is an extension of the central limit theorem for classical Galton-Watson process (see [9, 10]).

## 2. Auxiliary Results

Let us begin with a result of $\xi_{n j}$.
Proposition 4. $\left\{\xi_{n j}, j \geq 1\right\}$ are independent and identically distributed with

$$
\begin{gather*}
m_{n, r}=E\left(\xi_{n j}\right)=\mu_{n} \mu_{n+1} \cdots \mu_{n+r-1}  \tag{4}\\
\sigma_{n, r}^{2}=\operatorname{Var}\left(\xi_{n j}\right)=\left(m_{n, r}\right)^{2} \sum_{j=n}^{n+r-1} \frac{\sigma_{j}^{2}}{\mu_{j}^{2} m_{n, j-n}} \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. According to the definition of definition of GWVE, $\left\{\xi_{n j}, j \geq 1\right\}$ are independent and identically distributed.

Denote the generating functions of $X_{n 1}$ and $\xi_{n, 1}$ by $\phi_{n}(s)$ and $g_{n, r}(s)$, respectively; then it can be proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n, r}(s)=\phi_{n}\left(\phi_{n+1}\left(\cdots \phi_{n+r-1}(s) \cdots\right)\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{n, r}=E\left(\xi_{n 1}\right)=g_{n, r}^{\prime}(1)=\prod_{j=n}^{n+r-1} \phi_{j}^{\prime}(1)=\prod_{j=n}^{n+r-1} \mu_{j} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So (4) is proved. In addition, the first and second derivatives of $g_{n, r}(s)$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{n, r}^{\prime}(s)= & g_{n, r-1}^{\prime}\left(\phi_{n+r-1}(s)\right) \phi_{n+r-1}^{\prime}(s) \\
g_{n, r}^{\prime \prime}(s)= & g_{n, r-1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\phi_{n+r-1}(s)\right)\left(\phi_{n+r-1}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}  \tag{8}\\
& +g_{n, r-1}^{\prime}\left(\phi_{n+r-1}(s)\right) \phi_{n+r-1}^{\prime \prime}(s)
\end{align*}
$$

By (8) one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Var} & \left(X_{n, r}^{(1)}\right) \\
= & \left(\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{n, r-1}^{(1)}\right)-m_{n, r-1}+m_{n, r-1}^{2}\right) \mu_{n+r-1}^{2}  \tag{9}\\
& +m_{n, r-1}\left(\sigma_{n+r-1}^{2}-\mu_{n+r-1}+\mu_{n+r-1}^{2}\right)+m_{n, r}-m_{n, r}^{2} \\
= & \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{n, r-1}^{(1)}\right) \mu_{n+r-1}^{2}+\sigma_{n+r-1}^{2} m_{n, r-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{n, r}^{(1)}\right)}{m_{n, r}^{2}}=\frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{n, r-1}^{(1)}\right)}{m_{n, r-1}^{2}}+\frac{\sigma_{n+r-1}^{2}}{\mu_{n+r-1}^{2} m_{n, r-1}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $m_{n, 1}=\mu_{n}, \sigma_{n, 1}^{2}=\sigma_{n}^{2}, \xi_{n 1}=X_{n, r}^{(1)}$, we complete the proof of (5) by (10).

For any $n$, define

$$
\begin{gather*}
\eta_{n j}=\frac{\xi_{n j}-m_{n, r}}{\sigma_{n, r}}, \\
X_{n}(t, \omega)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{n}}} \sum_{j=1}^{\left[m_{n} t\right]} \eta_{n j}, \quad t \in[0,1] . \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 2 depends on the following proposition.

Proposition 5. $X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} B$, where $B$ is standard Brown motion on $[0,1]$.

Proof. It lose no generality if we assume that $\left\{m_{n}\right\}$ are integers. The proof is divided into two steps. We first show that the finite-dimensional distributions of the $X_{n}$ are convergent to those of $B$. Consider first a single time point $s$. We must prove that $X_{n}(s, \cdot) \xrightarrow{d} W_{s}$.

Since $\left\{\eta_{n j}, j \geq 1\right\}$ have the same distribution, we can set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}(t)=E\left(\exp \left(i t \eta_{n j}\right)\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note $E\left(\eta_{n j}\right) \equiv 0$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(\eta_{n j}\right) \equiv 1$, according to (3.8) of [11] P101; one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{n}(s) & =\varphi_{n}(0)+\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(0) s+\frac{\varphi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2!} s^{2}+o\left(s^{2}\right) \\
& =1-\frac{s^{2}}{2}+o\left(\frac{s^{2}}{2}\right), \quad(s \longrightarrow 0) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

For any fixed $t$ and $k$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{k}}\right)=1-\frac{t^{2}}{2 k}+o\left(\frac{1}{2 k}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $m_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, for $n$ large enough, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E \exp \left(i t X_{n}(s)\right) & =E\left(\exp \left(i t \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{n}}} \sum_{j=1}^{\left[m_{n} s\right]} \eta_{n j}\right)\right) \\
& =\left[1-\frac{t^{2}}{2 m_{n}}+o\left(\frac{1}{2 m_{n}}\right)\right]^{\left[m_{n} s\right]}  \tag{15}\\
& \longrightarrow \exp \left\{-\frac{s t^{2}}{2}\right\} \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty
\end{align*}
$$

This means that the characteristic function of $X_{n}(s)$ is convergent to that of $B_{s}$; by Lévy continuous theorem we complete the proof of single point case.

Consider now two time points $s$ and $t$ with $s<t$; we are to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(X_{n}(s), X_{n}(t)\right) \xrightarrow{d}\left(B_{s}, B_{t}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\left(X_{n}(s), X_{n}(t)\right)=\left(X_{n}(s), X_{n}(t)-X_{n}(s)\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1  \tag{17}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

By Corollary 1 to Theorem 5.1 in [12], it is only needed to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(X_{n}(s), X_{n}(t)-X_{n}(s)\right) \xrightarrow{d}\left(B_{s}, B_{t}-B_{s}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the components on the left are independent by the independence of the $\left\{\xi_{n i}, i \geq 1\right\}$. Equation (16) follows from the case of one time point and Theorem 3.2 of [12].

A set of three or more time points can be treated in the same way, and hence the finite-dimensional distributions converge properly.

In the next step, we will show that $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is tight. According to Theorem 15.6 of [12], it is enough to establish the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{n} & :=E\left\{\left|X_{n}(t)-X_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|^{2}\left|X_{n}\left(t_{2}\right)-X_{n}(t)\right|^{2}\right\}  \tag{19}\\
& \leq 4\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)^{2}, \quad \forall 0 \leq t_{1} \leq t \leq t_{2} \leq 1
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\{\eta_{n j}, j \geq 1\right\}$ are i.i.d. with $E\left(\eta_{n j}\right) \equiv 0$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(\eta_{n j}\right) \equiv 1$; by the definition of $X_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{n} & =E\left\{\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{n}}} \sum_{j=\left[m_{n} t_{1}+1\right]}^{\left[m_{n} t\right]} \eta_{n j}\right|^{2} \cdot\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{n}}} \sum_{j=\left[m_{n} t+1\right]}^{\left[m_{n} t_{2}\right]} \eta_{n j}\right|^{2}\right\} \\
& =\frac{\left(\left[m_{n} t\right]-\left[m_{n} t_{1}\right]\right) \cdot\left(\left[m_{n} t_{2}\right]-\left[m_{n} t\right]\right)}{m_{n}^{2}}  \tag{20}\\
& \leq \frac{\left(\left[m_{n} t_{2}\right]-\left[m_{n} t_{1}\right]\right)^{2}}{m_{n}^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

If $t_{2}-t_{1} \geq 1 / m_{n}$, then there exist $k_{1}<k_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1} \in\left[\frac{k_{1}}{m_{n}}, \frac{k_{1}+1}{m_{n}}\right), \quad t_{2} \in\left[\frac{k_{2}}{m_{n}}, \frac{k_{2}+1}{m_{n}}\right) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\left[m_{n} t_{2}\right]-\left[m_{n} t_{1}\right]}{m_{n}} & =\frac{k_{2}-k_{1}}{m_{n}}=\frac{k_{2}-\left(k_{1}+1\right)}{m_{n}}+\frac{1}{m_{n}}  \tag{22}\\
& \leq\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)+\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

So (19) is true when $t_{2}-t_{1} \geq 1 / m_{n}$. Next, if $t_{2}-t_{1}<1 / m_{n}$, then either $t_{1}$ and $t$ lie in the same subinterval $\left[k / m_{n},(k+1) / m_{n}\right)$ or else $t$ and $t_{2}$ do. In either of these cases $\Delta_{n}=0$ by (20). This establishes (19) in general and proves the proposition.

## 3. The Proof of Theorem 2

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2.
Proof. Note that for each $n$,

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{n}(t, \omega) & =\frac{1}{\sigma_{n, r} \sqrt{Z_{n}}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\left[Z_{n} t\right]} \xi_{n j}-\mu_{n}\left[Z_{n} t\right]\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_{n}}} \sum_{j=1}^{\left[Z_{n} t\right]} \eta_{n j}, \quad t \in[0,1] . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

We assume at first that $V$ is bounded, so that there exists a constant $k$ such that $0<V \leq k$ with probability 1 . We may adjust the $m_{n}$ so that they are integer and so that $k<1$.

If we define

$$
\left|\Phi_{n}(t)-t V\right|= \begin{cases}t \frac{Z_{n}}{m_{n}}, & \text { if } \frac{Z_{n}}{m_{n}} \leq 1  \tag{24}\\ t V & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Phi_{n}(t)-t V\right| \leq\left|\frac{Z_{n}}{m_{n}}-V\right| \xrightarrow{\text { a.e. }} 0, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Phi_{n}$ converges in probability in the sense of the Skorohod topology to the elements $\Phi(t)=V t$ of $D_{0}$, where $D_{0}$ consists of those elements $\varphi$ of $D$ that are nondecreasing and satisfy $0 \leq \varphi(t) \leq 1$ for all $t$. Define

$$
X_{n}^{\prime}(t, \omega)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{n}}} \sum_{l_{n} \leq i \leq m_{n} t} \eta_{n i}(\omega), & \text { if } t \geq \frac{l_{n}}{m_{n}}  \tag{26}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $\left\{l_{n}, n \geq 0\right\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative integers going to infinity slowly enough that $l_{n} / \sqrt{m_{n}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Define $\delta_{n}=\sup _{t}\left|X_{n}(t)-X_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|$; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n} \leq \frac{1}{\delta \sqrt{m_{n}}} \sum_{i=1}^{l_{n}}\left|\eta_{n i}\right| \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Minkowski's inequality and the fact that $l_{n} / \sqrt{m_{n}} \rightarrow 0$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{1 / 2}\left\{\delta_{n}^{2}\right\} \leq \frac{1}{\delta \sqrt{m_{n}}} \sum_{i=1}^{l_{n}} E^{1 / 2}\left\{\eta_{n i}^{2}\right\}=\frac{l_{n}}{\delta \sqrt{m_{n}}} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that by Chebyshev's inequality $\delta_{n} \xrightarrow{P} 0$. By Proposition 4 , $X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} B$. Since $d\left(X_{n}, X_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leq \delta_{n}$, where $d$ is the metric in $D$ which generates the Skorohod topology, it follows by Theorem 4.1 of [12] that $X_{n}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{d} B$. So, if $A$ is a $W$-continuity set in $D$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left\{X_{n}^{\prime} \in A\right\} \longrightarrow W(A) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathscr{B}_{0}$ be the field of cylinders sets; that is, $\mathscr{B}_{0}$ consists of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\omega ;\left(\xi_{i j}(w), 0 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq k\right) \in H\right\} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $H \in \mathscr{B}\left(R^{m k}\right)$, the Borel $\sigma$-field of $R^{m k}$.
If $E \in \mathscr{B}_{0}$, since $l_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left\{X_{n i}, n \geq 0, i \geq 1\right\}$ are independent, then for large $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\left\{X_{n}^{\prime} \in A\right\} \cap E\right)=P\left\{X_{n}^{\prime} \in A\right\} P(E) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows by (29) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\left\{X_{n}^{\prime} \in A\right\} \cap E\right) \longrightarrow W(A) P(E) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(\Phi_{n}, Z_{n} / m_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{P}(\Phi, V)$ in the sense of the product topology on $D_{0} \times R$ and every $X_{n}^{\prime}$ is $\sigma\left(\mathscr{B}_{0}\right)$ measurable, it follows by Theorem 4.5 of [12] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(X_{n}^{\prime}, \Phi_{n}, \frac{Z_{n}}{m_{n}}\right) \xrightarrow{d}\left(B, \Phi_{0}, V_{0}\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

is relative to the product topology in $D \times D_{0} \times R^{1}$, where $V_{0}$ is independent of $B$ and has the same distribution as $V, \Phi_{0}(t)=$ $V_{0} t$. By the fact that $\delta_{n} \xrightarrow{P} 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(X_{n}, \Phi_{n}, \frac{Z_{n}}{m_{n}}\right) \xrightarrow{d}\left(B, \Phi_{0}, V_{0}\right) . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the mapping that carries the point $(x, \phi, \alpha)$ to $\alpha^{-1 / 2}(x \circ \phi)$ is continuous at that point $x \in C, \phi \in C \cap D_{0}$ and $\alpha>0$. By Corollary 1 to Theorem 5.1 of [12],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{Z_{n}}{m_{n}}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(X_{n} \circ \Phi_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{d}\left(V_{0}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(B \circ \Phi_{0}\right) . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $V_{0}$ and $B$ are independent, $\left(V_{0}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(B \circ \Phi_{0}\right)$ has the same distribution as $B$. Moreover $\left(Z_{n} / m_{n}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(X_{n} \circ \Phi_{n}\right)$ coincides with $Y_{n}$ if $Z_{n} / m_{n}<1$, the probability of which goes to 1 since $k<1$. Thus $Y_{n} \xrightarrow{d} B$ if $V$ is bounded.

Suppose $V$ is not bounded. For $u>0$, define

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{u} & = \begin{cases}V, & \text { if } V \leq u ; \\
u, & \text { if } V>u,\end{cases} \\
Z_{u n} & = \begin{cases}Z_{n}, & \text { if } V \leq u ; \\
m_{n} u, & \text { if } V>u .\end{cases} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

Then for each $u, Z_{u n} / m_{n} \xrightarrow{P} V_{u}$ and by the case already treated if

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{u n}(t, \omega)=\frac{1}{\sigma_{n, r} \sqrt{Z_{u n}}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\left[Z_{u n} t\right]} \xi_{n j}-\mu_{n}\left[Z_{u n} t\right]\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $Y_{u n} \xrightarrow{d} B$. Since $P\left(Y_{u n} \neq Y_{n}\right) \leq P(V>u), Y_{n} \xrightarrow{d} B$ follows Theorem 4.2 of [12].
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