

Research Article

Oscillation Results for Second-Order Nonlinear Damped Dynamic Equations on Time Scales

Yang-Cong Qiu¹ and Qi-Ru Wang²

¹ School of Humanities and Social Science, Shunde Polytechnic, Foshan, Guangdong 528333, China

² School of Mathematics and Computational Science, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510275, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Qi-Ru Wang; mcsqwq@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Received 13 January 2014; Accepted 16 February 2014; Published 15 April 2014

Academic Editor: Tongxing Li

Copyright © 2014 Y.-C. Qiu and Q.-R. Wang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This paper is concerned with second-order nonlinear damped dynamic equations on time scales of the following more general form $(p(t)k_1(x(t), x^\Delta(t)))^\Delta + r(t)k_2(x(t), x^\Delta(t))x^\Delta(t) + f(t, x(\sigma(t))) = 0$. New oscillation results are given to handle some cases not covered by known criteria. An illustrative example is also presented.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{R} denote the set of real numbers and \mathbb{T} a time scale, that is, a nonempty closed subset of \mathbb{R} with the topology and ordering inherited from \mathbb{R} . The theory of time scales was introduced by Hilger in his Ph.D. thesis [1] in 1988, and for a comprehensive treatment of the subject, see [2]. Much recent attention has been concerned with the oscillation of dynamic equations on time scales; see, for example, [1–15]. In [9], Došlý and Hilger studied the second-order dynamic equation

$$(p(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta + q(t)x(\sigma(t)) = 0. \quad (1)$$

The authors gave a necessary and sufficient condition for the oscillation of all solutions of (1) on time scales. In [7, 8], Del Medico and Kong used the Riccati transformation as

$$u(t) = \frac{p(t)x^\Delta(t)}{x(t)} \quad (2)$$

and obtained some sufficient conditions for oscillation of (1). In [14], Wang considered the nonlinear second-order damped differential equation

$$(a(t)\psi(x(t))k(x'(t)))' + p(t)k(x'(t)) + q(t)f(x(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (3)$$

and established new oscillation criteria. In [13], Tiryaki and Zafer considered the second-order nonlinear differential equation with nonlinear damping

$$(r(t)k_1(x, x'))' + p(t)k_2(x, x')x' + q(t)f(x) = 0 \quad (4)$$

and gave interval oscillation criteria of (4). In [10], Huang and Wang considered the second-order nonlinear dynamic equation

$$(p(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta + f(t, x(\sigma(t))) = 0. \quad (5)$$

The authors gave some new oscillation criteria of (5) and extended the results in [7, 8]. In [11], Qiu and Wang studied the second-order nonlinear dynamic equation

$$(p(t)\psi(x(t))x^\Delta(t))^\Delta + f(t, x(\sigma(t))) = 0. \quad (6)$$

By employing the Riccati transformation as

$$u(t) = A(t) \frac{p(t)\psi(x(t))x^\Delta(t)}{x(t)} + B(t), \quad (7)$$

where $A \in C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, (0, \infty))$, $B \in C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, the authors established interval oscillation criteria for (6). And in [12], Qiu and Wang obtained some new Kamenev-type oscillation

criteria for dynamic equations of the following more general form:

$$(p(t)\psi(x(t))k \circ x^\Delta(t))^\Delta + f(t, x(\sigma(t))) = 0, \quad (8)$$

by using the transformation

$$u(t) = A(t) \frac{p(t)\psi(x(t))k \circ x^\Delta(t)}{x(t)} + B(t). \quad (9)$$

In this paper, we consider second-order nonlinear damped dynamic equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} &(p(t)k_1(x(t), x^\Delta(t)))^\Delta + r(t)k_2(x(t), x^\Delta(t))x^\Delta(t) \\ &+ f(t, x(\sigma(t))) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

on a time scale \mathbb{T} . We will employ functions of the form $H(t, s)$ and a generalized Riccati transformation as (7) and (9) which was used in [14, 15] and derive oscillation criteria for (10) in Section 2. An example is presented to demonstrate the obtained results in the final section.

Definition 1. A solution x of (10) is said to have a generalized zero at $t^* \in \mathbb{T}$ if $x(t^*)x(\sigma(t^*)) \leq 0$, and it is said to be nonoscillatory on \mathbb{T} if there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $x(t)x(\sigma(t)) > 0$ for all $t > t_0$. Otherwise, it is oscillatory. Equation (10) is said to be oscillatory if all solutions of (10) are oscillatory.

2. Main Results

In this section, we establish some oscillation criteria for (10). Our work is based on the application of the Riccati transformation. Throughout this paper we will assume that $\sup \mathbb{T} = \infty$ and

- (C1) $p \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, (0, \infty))$;
- (C2) $r \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$;
- (C3) $k_1, k_2 \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, and there exist $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 > 0$ and $\alpha_3 > 0$ such that $0 < \alpha_2 v k_1(u, v) \leq k_1^2(u, v) \leq \alpha_1 v k_1(u, v)$ and $\alpha_3 k_1^2(u, v) \leq u v k_2(u, v)$ for all $(u, v) \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})^2$;
- (C4) for p, r, α_1, α_3 above, we always have $\alpha_1 \alpha_3 r(t) + p(t) > 0$;
- (C5) $f \in C(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.

Preliminaries about time scale calculus can be found in [3–6] and are omitted here. For simplicity, we denote $(a, b) \cap \mathbb{T} = (a, b)_{\mathbb{T}}$ throughout this paper, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}, [a, b)_{\mathbb{T}}, (a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ are denoted similarly.

Now, we give the first theorem.

Theorem 2. Assume that (C1)–(C5) hold and that there exists a function $q \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $uf(t, u) \geq q(t)u^2$. Also, suppose that $x(t)$ is a solution of (10) satisfying $x(t) > 0$ for $t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ with $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$. For $t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, define

$$u(t) = A(t) \frac{p(t)k_1(x(t), x^\Delta(t))}{x(t)} + B(t), \quad (11)$$

where $A \in C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, (0, \infty))$, $B \in C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, and $(\alpha_1 A - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)A^\sigma)p + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r A^\sigma > 0$ for $t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Then, $u(t)$ satisfies

$$\mu(t)u(t) - \mu(t)B(t) + \alpha_1 A(t)p(t) > 0, \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &u^\Delta(t) + \Phi_0(t) \\ &+ \frac{\Phi_1(t)u^2(t) - \Phi_2(t)u(t) + \Phi_3(t)}{\alpha_1 A(t)p(t)(\mu(t)u(t) - \mu(t)B(t) + \alpha_1 A(t)p(t))} \leq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_0(t) &= A^\sigma(t) \left(q(t) - \left(\frac{B(t)}{A(t)} \right)^\Delta \right), \\ \Phi_1(t) &= (\alpha_1 A(t) - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)A^\sigma(t))p(t) \\ &\quad + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r(t)A^\sigma(t), \\ \Phi_2(t) &= ((2\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)A^\sigma(t) + \alpha_1 A(t))p(t)B(t) \\ &\quad + \alpha_1^2 p^2(t)A^\Delta(t)A(t) + 2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r(t)A^\sigma(t)B(t), \\ \Phi_3(t) &= \alpha_2(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 r(t) + p(t))A^\sigma(t)B^2(t), \\ A^\sigma(t) &= A(\sigma(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Proof. By (C3) we see that x^Δ and $k_1(x, x^\Delta)$ are both positive or both negative or both zero. When $x^\Delta > 0$, which implies that $k_1(x, x^\Delta) > 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p &\geq \mu A \frac{p k_1^2(x, x^\Delta)}{x k_1(x, x^\Delta)} + \alpha_2 A p \\ &\geq \alpha_2 \mu A p \frac{x^\Delta k_1(x, x^\Delta)}{x k_1(x, x^\Delta)} + \alpha_2 A p \\ &= \alpha_2 A p \frac{x^\sigma}{x} > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

When $x^\Delta < 0$, which implies that $k_1(x, x^\Delta) < 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p &= \mu A p \frac{k_1^2(x, x^\Delta)}{x k_1(x, x^\Delta)} + \alpha_1 A p \\ &\geq \mu A p \frac{\alpha_1 x^\Delta k_1(x, x^\Delta)}{x k_1(x, x^\Delta)} + \alpha_1 A p \\ &= \alpha_1 A p \frac{x^\sigma}{x} \geq \alpha_2 A p \frac{x^\sigma}{x} > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

When $x^\Delta = 0$, which implies that $k_1(x, x^\Delta) = 0$ and $x = x^\sigma$, it follows that

$$\mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p = \alpha_1 A p \geq \alpha_2 A p \frac{x^\sigma}{x} > 0. \quad (17)$$

Hence, we always have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p &> 0, \\ \frac{x}{x^\sigma} &\geq \frac{\alpha_2 A p}{\mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p}, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

so (12) holds. Then differentiating (11) and using (10), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} u^\Delta &= A^\Delta \left(\frac{pk_1(x, x^\Delta)}{x} \right) + A^\sigma \left(\frac{pk_1(x, x^\Delta)}{x} \right)^\Delta + B^\Delta \\ &= \frac{A^\Delta}{A} (u - B) \\ &\quad + A^\sigma \frac{(pk_1(x, x^\Delta))^\Delta x - pk_1(x, x^\Delta) x^\Delta}{xx^\sigma} + B^\Delta \\ &= \frac{A^\Delta}{A} u + B^\Delta - \frac{A^\Delta}{A} B - A^\sigma \frac{f(t, x^\sigma)}{x^\sigma} \\ &\quad - A^\sigma \frac{rk_2(x, x^\Delta) x^\Delta}{x^\sigma} - A^\sigma \frac{pk_1(x, x^\Delta) x^\Delta}{xx^\sigma} \\ &\leq \frac{A^\Delta}{A} u + A^\sigma \left(\frac{B}{A} \right)^\Delta - A^\sigma q \\ &\quad - A^\sigma r \frac{k_2(x, x^\Delta) xx^\Delta}{xx^\sigma} - A^\sigma p \frac{k_1^2(x, x^\Delta)}{\alpha_1 xx^\sigma} \\ &\leq \frac{A^\Delta}{A} u - \Phi_0 - \alpha_3 A^\sigma r \frac{k_1^2(x, x^\Delta)}{x^2} \frac{x}{x^\sigma} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\alpha_1} A^\sigma p \frac{k_1^2(x, x^\Delta)}{x^2} \frac{x}{x^\sigma} \\ &\leq \frac{A^\Delta}{A} u - \Phi_0 - \left(\alpha_3 r + \frac{p}{\alpha_1} \right) A^\sigma \left(\frac{u - B}{Ap} \right)^2 \\ &\quad \times \frac{\alpha_2 A p}{\mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p} \\ &= \frac{A^\Delta}{A} u - \Phi_0 - \frac{\alpha_2 (\alpha_1 \alpha_3 r + p) A^\sigma}{\alpha_1 p} \frac{(u - B)^2}{A (\mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p)} \\ &= \frac{-\Phi_1 u^2 + \Phi_2 u - \Phi_3}{\alpha_1 A p (\mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p)} - \Phi_0, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

so (13) holds. Theorem 2 is proved. \square

Remark 3. In Theorem 2, the condition $(\alpha_1 A - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) A^\sigma) p + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r A^\sigma > 0$ ensures that the coefficient of u^2 in (13) is always negative. The condition is obvious and easy to be fulfilled. For example, when $A^\Delta(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, we have $A^\sigma = A + \mu A^\Delta \leq A$; by (C4) we see that

$$(\alpha_1 A - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) A^\sigma) p + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r A^\sigma$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq (\alpha_1 A^\sigma - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) A^\sigma) p + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r A^\sigma \\ &= \alpha_2 A^\sigma (\alpha_1 \alpha_3 r + p) > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Let $D_0 = \{s \in \mathbb{T} : s \geq 0\}$ and $D = \{(t, s) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : t \geq s \geq 0\}$. For any function $f(t, s) : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, denote by f_2^Δ the partial derivatives of f with respect to s . For $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, denote by $L(E)$ the space of functions which are integrable on any compact subset of E . Define

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) &= \left\{ (A, B) : A(s) \in C_{rd}^1(D_0, (0, \infty)), \right. \\ &\quad B(s) \in C_{rd}^1(D_0, \mathbb{R}), \\ &\quad (\alpha_1 A(s) - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) A^\sigma(s)) p(s) \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r(s) A^\sigma(s) > 0, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \alpha_1 A(s) p(s) \pm \mu(s) B(s) > 0, s \in D_0 \right\}; \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \left\{ H(t, s) \in C^1(D, [0, \infty)) : \right. \\ &\quad H(t, t) = 0, \\ &\quad \left. H(t, s) > 0, H_2^\Delta(t, s) \leq 0, t > s \geq 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

These function classes will be used throughout this paper. Now, we are in a position to give the second theorem.

Theorem 4. Assume that (C1)–(C5) hold and that there exists a function $q \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $uf(t, u) \geq q(t)u^2$. Also, suppose that there exist $(A, B) \in (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $M(t, \cdot) \in L([0, \rho(t)]_{\mathbb{T}})$ and for any $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \left[\int_{t_0}^t H(t, \sigma(s)) \Phi_0(s) \Delta s - \int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} M(t, s) \Delta s \right. \\ \left. + H_2^\Delta(t, \rho(t)) \right. \\ \left. \times (\alpha_1 A(\rho(t)) p(\rho(t)) \right. \\ \left. - \mu(\rho(t)) B(\rho(t))) \right] = \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where Φ_0 is defined as before, and

$$\begin{aligned} M(t, s) &= \frac{\Phi_4^2(t, s)}{4\alpha_1 A(s) p(s) \min\{\Phi_5(t, s), \Phi_6(t, s)\}}, \\ \Phi_4(t, s) &= \alpha_1 p(s) H(t, s) A(s) B(s) \\ &\quad + ((2\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) p(s) + 2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r(s)) \\ &\quad \times H(t, \sigma(s)) A^\sigma(s) B(s) \\ &\quad + \alpha_1^2 p^2(s) A(s) (H(t, s) A(s))^\Delta, \\ \Phi_5(t, s) &= \alpha_2 H(t, \sigma(s)) A^\sigma(s) (\alpha_1 \alpha_3 r(s) + p(s)) \\ &\quad \times (\alpha_1 A(s) p(s) + \mu(s) B(s)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_6(t, s) &= (\alpha_1 p(s) H(t, s) A(s) \\ &\quad - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) p(s) H(t, \sigma(s)) A^\sigma(s) \\ &\quad + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r(s) H(t, \sigma(s)) A^\sigma(s) \\ &\quad \times (\alpha_1 A(s) p(s) - \mu(s) B(s)). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Then, (10) is oscillatory.

Proof. Assume that (10) is not oscillatory. Without loss of generality we may assume that there exists $t_0 \in [0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that $x(t) > 0$ for $t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Let $u(t)$ be defined by (11). Then by Theorem 2, (12) and (13) hold.

For simplicity in the following, we let $H_\sigma = H(t, \sigma(s))$, $H = H(t, s)$, and $H_2^\Delta = H_2^\Delta(t, s)$ and omit the arguments in the integrals. For $s \in \mathbb{T}$, $H_\sigma - H = \mu H_2^\Delta$.

Multiplying (13), where t is replaced by s , by H_σ and integrating it with respect to s from t_0 to t with $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $t \geq \sigma(t_0)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{t_0}^t H_\sigma \Phi_0 \Delta s \\ &\leq - \int_{t_0}^t \left(H_\sigma u^\Delta + H_\sigma \frac{\Phi_1 u^2 - \Phi_2 u + \Phi_3}{\alpha_1 A p (\mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p)} \right) \Delta s, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where Φ_1, Φ_2, Φ_3 are defined as before.

Noting that $H(t, t) = 0$, by the integration by parts formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{t_0}^t H_\sigma \Phi_0 \Delta s \\ &\leq H(t, t_0) u(t_0) \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t \left(H_2^\Delta u - H_\sigma \frac{\Phi_1 u^2 - \Phi_2 u + \Phi_3}{\alpha_1 A p (\mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p)} \right) \Delta s \\ &\leq H(t, t_0) u(t_0) \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t \left(H_2^\Delta u - H_\sigma \frac{\Phi_1 u^2 - \Phi_2 u}{\alpha_1 A p (\mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p)} \right) \Delta s \\ &= H(t, t_0) u(t_0) + \int_{\rho(t)}^t H_2^\Delta u \Delta s \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} \left(H_2^\Delta u - H_\sigma \frac{\Phi_1 u^2 - \Phi_2 u}{\alpha_1 A p (\mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p)} \right) \Delta s. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Since $H_2^\Delta \leq 0$ on D , from (12) we see that, for $t \geq \sigma(t_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\rho(t)}^t H_2^\Delta u \Delta s = H_2^\Delta(t, \rho(t)) u(\rho(t)) \mu(\rho(t)) \\ &\leq -H_2^\Delta(t, \rho(t)) (\alpha_1 A(\rho(t)) p(\rho(t)) \\ &\quad - \mu(\rho(t)) B(\rho(t))). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Since $H_2^\Delta \leq 0$ on D , we see that $H_\sigma \leq H$. For $t \geq \sigma(t_0)$, $s \in [t_0, \rho(t))_{\mathbb{T}}$, from $(\alpha_1 A - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) A^\sigma) p + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r A^\sigma > 0$ and (C4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_1 p H A - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) p H_\sigma A^\sigma + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r H_\sigma A^\sigma \\ &\geq \alpha_1 p H_\sigma A - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) p H_\sigma A^\sigma + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r H_\sigma A^\sigma \\ &= ((\alpha_1 A - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) A^\sigma) p + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r A^\sigma) H_\sigma > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

For $t \geq \sigma(t_0)$, $s \in [t_0, \rho(t))_{\mathbb{T}}$, and $u(s) \leq 0$, from (27) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &H_2^\Delta u - H_\sigma \frac{\Phi_1 u^2 - \Phi_2 u}{\alpha_1 A p (\mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p)} \\ &= \frac{-[\alpha_1 p H A - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) p H_\sigma A^\sigma + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r H_\sigma A^\sigma] u^2 + \Phi_4 u}{\alpha_1 A p (\mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p)} \\ &= -\frac{\alpha_1 p H A - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) p H_\sigma A^\sigma + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r H_\sigma A^\sigma}{\alpha_1 A p (\mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p)} u^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\Phi_4}{\alpha_1 A p (\alpha_1 A p - \mu B)} u \\ &\quad - \frac{\Phi_4}{\alpha_1 A p (\alpha_1 A p - \mu B)} \frac{\mu u^2}{\mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p} \\ &= -\frac{\Phi_5}{\alpha_1 A p (\alpha_1 A p - \mu B) (\mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p)} u^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\Phi_4}{\alpha_1 A p (\alpha_1 A p - \mu B)} u \\ &\leq -\frac{\Phi_5}{\alpha_1 A p (\alpha_1 A p - \mu B)^2} u^2 + \frac{\Phi_4}{\alpha_1 A p (\alpha_1 A p - \mu B)} u \\ &= -\frac{\Phi_5}{\alpha_1 A p (\alpha_1 A p - \mu B)^2} \left(u - \frac{(\alpha_1 A p - \mu B) \Phi_4}{2 \Phi_5} \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\Phi_4^2}{4 \alpha_1 A p \Phi_5} \\ &\leq \frac{\Phi_4^2}{4 \alpha_1 A p \min \{ \Phi_5, \Phi_6 \}} = M. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

For $t \geq \sigma(t_0)$, $s \in [t_0, \rho(t))_{\mathbb{T}}$, and $u(s) > 0$, from (27) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &H_2^\Delta u - H_\sigma \frac{\Phi_1 u^2 - \Phi_2 u}{\alpha_1 A p (\mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p)} \\ &= \frac{-[\alpha_1 p H A - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) p H_\sigma A^\sigma + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r H_\sigma A^\sigma] u^2 + \Phi_4 u}{\alpha_1 A p (\mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p)} \\ &= -\frac{\alpha_1 p H A - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) p H_\sigma A^\sigma + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r H_\sigma A^\sigma}{\alpha_1 A p (\mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p)} \\ &\quad \times \left(u - \frac{\Phi_4}{2[\alpha_1 p H A - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) p H_\sigma A^\sigma + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r H_\sigma A^\sigma]} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{\Phi_4^2}{4\alpha_1 A p \Phi_6} \\
 & \leq \frac{\Phi_4^2}{4\alpha_1 A p \min \{\Phi_5, \Phi_6\}} = M.
 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Therefore, for all $t \geq \sigma(t_0)$, $s \in [t_0, \rho(t)]_{\mathbb{T}}$, we have

$$H_2^\Delta u - H_\sigma \frac{\Phi_1 u^2 - \Phi_2 u}{\alpha_1 A p (\mu u - \mu B + \alpha_1 A p)} \leq M. \tag{30}$$

Then, from (25), (26), and (30) we obtain that, for $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $t > \sigma(t_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{t_0}^t H_\sigma \Phi_0 \Delta s \\
 & \leq H(t, t_0) u(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} M \Delta s \\
 & \quad - H_2^\Delta(t, \rho(t)) (\alpha_1 A(\rho(t)) p(\rho(t)) \\
 & \quad \quad - \mu(\rho(t)) B(\rho(t))).
 \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \left[\int_{t_0}^t H(t, \sigma(s)) \Phi_0(s) \Delta s - \int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} M(t, s) \Delta s \right. \\
 & \quad + H_2^\Delta(t, \rho(t)) \\
 & \quad \left. \times (\alpha_1 A(\rho(t)) p(\rho(t)) - \mu(\rho(t)) B(\rho(t))) \right] \\
 & \leq u(t_0) < \infty,
 \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

which contradicts (22) and completes the proof. \square

Remark 5. If we change the condition $(\alpha_1 A - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) A^\sigma) p + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r A^\sigma > 0$ in the definition of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ to a stronger one $A^\Delta(t) \leq 0$, (27) in the proof of Theorem 4 will be changed to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \alpha_1 p H A - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) p H_\sigma A^\sigma + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r H_\sigma A^\sigma \\
 & \geq \alpha_1 p H_\sigma A^\sigma - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) p H_\sigma A^\sigma + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 r H_\sigma A^\sigma \tag{33} \\
 & = \alpha_2 H_\sigma A^\sigma (\alpha_1 \alpha_3 r + p) > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then the definition of M can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(t, s) & = (\Phi_4^2(t, s)) \\
 & \quad \times (4\alpha_1 \alpha_2 p(s) H(t, \sigma(s)) A^\sigma(s) A(s) \\
 & \quad \times (\alpha_1 \alpha_3 r(s) + p(s)) \min \{\Phi_7(s), \Phi_8(s)\})^{-1},
 \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_7(s) & = \alpha_1 A(s) p(s) - \mu(s) B(s), \\
 \Phi_8(s) & = \alpha_1 A(s) p(s) + \mu(s) B(s).
 \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

When $(A, B) = (1, 0)$, Theorem 4 can be simplified as Corollary 6.

Corollary 6. Assume that (C1)–(C5) hold and that there exists a function $q \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $uf(t, u) \geq q(t)u^2$. Also, suppose that there exists $H \in \mathcal{H}$ such that, for any $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \\
 & \quad \times \left[\int_{t_0}^t H(t, \sigma(s)) q(s) \Delta s \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{\alpha_1^2}{4\alpha_2} \int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} \frac{(p(s) H_2^\Delta(t, s))^2}{(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 r(s) + p(s)) H(t, \sigma(s))} \Delta s \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \alpha_1 H_2^\Delta(t, \rho(t)) p(\rho(t)) \right] = \infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Then, (10) is oscillatory.

When $r(t) \equiv 0$, (10) will be simplified as

$$(p(t) k_1(x(t), x^\Delta(t)))^\Delta + f(t, x(\sigma(t))) = 0. \tag{37}$$

Then Theorem 4 can be simplified as Corollary 7.

Corollary 7. Assume that (C1)–(C5) hold and that there exists a function $q \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $uf(t, u) \geq q(t)u^2$. Also, suppose that there exist $(A, B) \in (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}$ such that, for any $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \left[\int_{t_0}^t H(t, \sigma(s)) \Phi_0(s) \Delta s - \int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} M_1(t, s) \Delta s \right. \\
 & \quad + H_2^\Delta(t, \rho(t)) \\
 & \quad \times (\alpha_1 A(\rho(t)) p(\rho(t)) \\
 & \quad \quad \left. - \mu(\rho(t)) B(\rho(t))) \right] = \infty,
 \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_1(t, s) & = \frac{\Phi_9^2(t, s)}{4\alpha_1 A(s) \min \{\Phi_{10}(t, s), \Phi_{11}(t, s)\}}, \\
 \Phi_9(t, s) & = \alpha_1 H(t, s) A(s) B(s) \\
 & \quad + (2\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) H(t, \sigma(s)) A^\sigma(s) B(s) \\
 & \quad + \alpha_1^2 p(s) A(s) (H(t, s) A(s))^\Delta, \\
 \Phi_{10}(t, s) & = \alpha_2 H(t, \sigma(s)) A^\sigma(s) (\alpha_1 A(s) p(s) + \mu(s) B(s)), \\
 \Phi_{11}(t, s) & = (\alpha_1 H(t, s) A(s) - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) H(t, \sigma(s)) A^\sigma(s)) \\
 & \quad \times (\alpha_1 A(s) p(s) - \mu(s) B(s)).
 \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Then, (37) is oscillatory.

Remark 8. When $r(t) \equiv 0$, $k_1(u, v) = \Psi(u)k(v)$, and (C3) is replaced by

- (C6) $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}, (0, \eta])$, where η is a fixed positive constant;
- (C7) $k \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, and there exists $\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2 > 0$ such that $0 < \gamma_2 y k(y) \leq k^2(y) \leq \gamma_1 y k(y)$ for all $y \neq 0$.

Theorem 4 is reduced to [12, Theorem 4].

3. Example

In this section, we will give an example to demonstrate Corollary 7.

Example 1. Consider the equations

$$\left[\frac{1}{t^2} \frac{2 + x^2(t)}{1 + x^2(t)} x^\Delta(t) \right]^\Delta + t^2 (2 + \sin t) x(\sigma(t)) = 0, \quad (40)$$

$$\left[\frac{1}{t^2} \frac{1 + 2x^2(t)}{1 + x^2(t)} \frac{(x^\Delta(t))^2}{(x^\Delta(t))^2} x^\Delta(t) \right]^\Delta + t^2 (2 + \sin t) x(\sigma(t)) = 0, \quad (41)$$

where $p(t) = 1/t^2$, $r(t) \equiv 0$, $q(t) = t^2$, $k_1(u, v) = ((2 + u^2)/(1 + u^2))v$ in (40), and $k_1(u, v) = ((1 + 2u^2v^2)/(1 + u^2v^2))v$ in (41), so we have both $\alpha_1 = 2$, $\alpha_2 = 1$. Letting $H(t, s) = (t - s)^2$, we have

$$(1) \mathbb{T} = [1, \infty), (A, B) = (s^2, 1/s^2),$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \left[\int_{t_0}^t H(t, \sigma(s)) \Phi_0(s) \Delta s \right. \\ & \quad - \int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} M_1(t, s) \Delta s \\ & \quad + H_2^\Delta(t, \rho(t)) \\ & \quad \times (\alpha_1 A(\rho(t)) p(\rho(t)) \\ & \quad \quad \left. - \mu(\rho(t)) B(\rho(t))) \right] \\ & = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(t-1)^2} \left[\int_1^t (t-s)^2 \left(s^4 + \frac{4}{s^3} \right) ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_1^t \frac{(t-s+4ts-8s^2)^2}{4s^4} ds \right] \\ & = \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

That is, (38) holds. By Corollary 7 we see that (40) and (41) are oscillatory. Consider

$$(2) \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{N}, (A, B) = (1, 0),$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \left[\int_{t_0}^t H(t, \sigma(s)) \Phi_0(s) \Delta s \right. \\ & \quad - \int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} M_1(t, s) \Delta s \\ & \quad + H_2^\Delta(t, \rho(t)) (\alpha_1 A(\rho(t)) p(\rho(t)) \\ & \quad \quad \left. - \mu(\rho(t)) B(\rho(t))) \right] \\ & = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(t-1)^2} \left[\int_1^t (t-s-1)^2 s^2 \Delta s \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_1^{t-1} \frac{(2t-2s-1)^2}{s^2(t-s-1)^2} \Delta s - \frac{2}{(t-1)^2} \right] \\ & = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)^2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k-1)^2 k^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \frac{(2n-2k-1)^2}{k^2(n-k-1)^2} - \frac{2}{(n-1)^2} \right] \\ & = \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

That is, (38) holds. By Corollary 7 we see that (40) and (41) are oscillatory.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgment

This project was supported by the NNSF of China (no. 11271379).

References

- [1] S. Hilger, *Ein Maßkettenkalkül mit Anwendung auf Zentrums-mannigfaltigkeiten [Ph.D. thesis]*, Universität Würzburg, 1988.
- [2] S. Hilger, "Analysis on measure chains—a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus," *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 18, no. 1-2, pp. 18–56, 1990.
- [3] R. P. Agarwal and M. Bohner, "Basic calculus on time scales and some of its applications," *Results in Mathematics. Resultate der Mathematik*, vol. 35, no. 1-2, pp. 3–22, 1999.
- [4] R. Agarwal, M. Bohner, D. O'Regan, and A. Peterson, "Dynamic equations on time scales: a survey," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 141, no. 1-2, pp. 1–26, 2002.
- [5] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2001.
- [6] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, Eds., *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2003.

- [7] A. Del Medico and Q. Kong, "Kamenev-type and interval oscillation criteria for second-order linear differential equations on a measure chain," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 294, no. 2, pp. 621–643, 2004.
- [8] A. Del Medico and Q. Kong, "New Kamenev-type oscillation criteria for second-order differential equations on a measure chain," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 50, no. 8-9, pp. 1211–1230, 2005.
- [9] O. Došlý and S. Hilger, "A necessary and sufficient condition for oscillation of the Sturm-Liouville dynamic equation on time scales," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 141, no. 1-2, pp. 147–158, 2002, Dynamic equations on time scales.
- [10] H. Huang and Q.-R. Wang, "Oscillation of second-order nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales," *Dynamic Systems and Applications*, vol. 17, no. 3-4, pp. 551–570, 2008.
- [11] Y.-C. Qiu and Q.-R. Wang, "Interval oscillation criteria of second-order nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2012, Article ID 952932, 16 pages, 2012.
- [12] Y.-C. Qiu and Q.-R. Wang, "Kamenev-type oscillation criteria of second-order nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2013, Article ID 315158, 12 pages, 2013.
- [13] A. Tiryaki and A. Zafer, "Interval oscillation of a general class of second-order nonlinear differential equations with nonlinear damping," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 49–63, 2005.
- [14] Q.-R. Wang, "Oscillation criteria for nonlinear second order damped differential equations," *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, vol. 102, no. 1-2, pp. 117–139, 2004.
- [15] Q.-R. Wang, "Interval criteria for oscillation of certain second order nonlinear differential equations," *Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete & Impulsive Systems*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 769–781, 2005.