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#### Abstract

A pebbling move on a graph $G$ consists of taking two pebbles off one vertex and placing one pebble on an adjacent vertex. The pebbling number of a connected graph $G$, denoted by $f(G)$, is the least $n$ such that any distribution of $n$ pebbles on $G$ allows one pebble to be moved to any specified but arbitrary vertex by a sequence of pebbling moves. This paper determines the pebbling numbers and the 2-pebbling property of the middle graph of fan graphs.


## 1. Introduction

Pebbling on graphs was first introduced by Chung [1]. Consider a connected graph with a fixed number of pebbles distributed on its vertices. A pebbling move consists of the removal of two pebbles from a vertex and the placement of one of those pebbles on an adjacent vertex. The pebbling number of a vertex $v$ in a graph $G$ is the smallest number $f(G, v)$ with the property that from every placement of $f(G, v)$ pebbles on $G$, it is possible to move a pebble to $v$ by a sequence of pebbling moves. The pebbling number of a graph $G$, denoted by $f(G)$, is the maximum of $f(G, v)$ over all the vertices of $G$.

In a graph $G$, if each vertex (except $v$ ) has at most one pebble, then no pebble can be moved to $v$. Also, if $u$ is of distance $d$ from $v$ and at most $2^{d}-1$ pebbles are placed on $u$ (and none elsewhere), then no pebble can be moved from $u$ to $v$. So it is clear that $f(G) \geq \max \left\{|V(G)|, 2^{D}\right\}$, where $|V(G)|$ is the number of vertices of $G$ and $D$ is the diameter of $G$.

Throughout this paper, let $G$ be a simple connected graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. For a distribution of pebbles on $G$, denote by $p(H)$ and $p(v)$ the number of pebbles on a subgraph $H$ of $G$ and the number of pebbles on a vertex $v$ of $G$, respectively. In addition, denote by $\widetilde{p}(H)$ and $\widetilde{p}(v)$ the number of pebbles on $H$ and the number of pebbles on $v$ after a specified sequence of pebbling moves, respectively. For $u v \in$ $E(G), u \xrightarrow{m} v$ refers to taking $2 m$ pebbles off $u$ and placing $m$ pebbles on $v$. Denote by $\left\langle v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\rangle$ the path with vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$ in order.

We now introduce some definitions and give some lemmas, which will be used in subsequent proofs.

Definition 1. A fan graph, denoted by $F_{n}$, is a path $P_{n-1}$ plus an extra vertex $v_{0}$ connected to all vertices of the path $P_{n-1}$, where $P_{n-1}=\left\langle v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\rangle$.

Definition 2. The middle graph $M(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the graph obtained from $G$ by inserting a new vertex into every edge of $G$ and by joining by edges those pairs of these new vertices which lie on adjacent edges of $G$.

Now one creates the middle graph of $F_{n}$. Edges $v_{1} v_{2}, v_{2} v_{3}, \ldots, v_{(n-2)(n-1)}$ of $F_{n}$ are the inserted new vertices $u_{12}, u_{23}, \ldots, u_{(n-2)(n-1)}$ in the sequence, and edges $v_{0} v_{1}, v_{0} v_{2}, \ldots, v_{0} v_{n-1}$ of $F_{n}$ are the inserted new vertices $u_{01}, u_{02}, \ldots, u_{0(n-1)}$, respectively. By joining by edges those pairs of these inserted vertices which lie on adjacent edges of $F_{n}$, this obtains the middle graph of $F_{n}$ (see Figure 1).

Definition 3. A transmitting subgraph is a path $\left\langle v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\rangle$ such that there are at least two pebbles on $v_{0}$, and after a sequence of pebbling moves, one can transmit a pebble from $v_{0}$ to $v_{k}$.

Lemma 4 (see [2]). Let $P_{k+1}=\left\langle v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\rangle$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(v_{0}\right)+2 p\left(v_{1}\right)+\cdots+2^{i} p\left(v_{i}\right)+\cdots+2^{k-1} p\left(v_{k-1}\right) \geq 2^{k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $P_{k+1}$ is a transmitting subgraph.


Figure 1: $M\left(F_{4}\right)$.

Definition 5. The $t$-pebbling number, $f_{t}(G)$, of a connected graph, $G$, is the smallest positive integer such that from every placement of $f_{t}(G)$ pebbles, $t$ pebbles can be moved to a specified target vertex by a sequence of pebbling moves.

Lemma 6 (see [3]). If $K_{n}$ is the complete graph with $n(n \geq 2$ ) vertices, then $f_{t}\left(K_{n}\right)=2 t+n-2$.

Lemma 7 (see [4]). Consider $f\left(M\left(P_{n}\right)\right)=2^{n}+n-2$.
Chung found the pebbling numbers of the $n$-cube $Q^{n}$, the complete graph $K_{n}$, and the path $P_{n}$ (see [1]). The pebbling number of $C_{n}$ was determined in [5]. In [6, 7], Ye et al. gave the number of squares of cycles. Feng and Kim proved that $f\left(F_{n}\right)=n$ and $f\left(W_{n}\right)=n$ (see [8]). Liu et al. determined the pebbling numbers of middle graphs of $P_{n}, K_{n}$, and $K_{1, n-1}$ (see [4]). In [9], Ye et al. proved that $f\left(M\left(C_{2 n}\right)\right)=2^{n+1}+2 n-$ $2(n \geq 2)$ and $f\left(M\left(C_{2 n+1}\right)\right)=\left\lfloor 2^{n+3} / 3\right\rfloor+2 n$, where $M\left(C_{n}\right)$ denotes the middle graph of $C_{n}$. Motivated by these works, we will determine the value of the pebbling number and the 2-property of middle graphs of $F_{n}$.

## 2. Pebbling Numbers of $M\left(F_{n}\right)$

In this section, we study the pebbling number of $M\left(F_{n}\right)$. Let $S=\left\{v_{0}, u_{01}, u_{02}, \ldots, u_{0(n-1)}\right\}$, and let $A=\left\{v_{1}, u_{12}, v_{2}, u_{23}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}$. Obviously, the subgraph induced by $S$ is a complete graph with $n$ vertices. For $n=3$, $M\left(F_{3}\right) \cong M\left(C_{3}\right)$. Hence we have the following theorem.

Theorem 8 (see [9]). Consider $f\left(M\left(F_{3}\right)\right)=7$.
Lemma 9. Let $f\left(M\left(F_{n-1}\right)\right)=p$. If $p+3$ pebbles are placed on $M\left(F_{n}\right)$, then one pebble can be moved to any specified vertex of $S$ by a sequence of pebbling moves.

Proof. Let $v$ be our target vertex, and let $p(v)=0$, where $v \in S$. We may assume that $v \neq u_{01}$ (after relabeling if necessary). Let $B=\left\{v_{1}, u_{12}, u_{01}\right\}$. If $p(B) \geq 5$, then $\widetilde{p}\left(u_{01}\right) \geq 2$ by Lemma 6 , and we can move one pebble to $v$. If $p(B)=4$, then $B \xrightarrow{1} u_{02}$. We delete $v_{1}, u_{01}$, and $u_{12}$ to obtain the subgraph $M\left(F_{n-1}\right)$ with $p$ pebbles, thus we can move one pebble to $v$. If $p(B) \leq 3$, then we delete $v_{1}, u_{01}$, and $u_{12}$ to obtain the subgraph $M\left(F_{n-1}\right)$ with at least $p$ pebbles and we are done.

Theorem 10. Consider $f\left(M\left(F_{4}\right)\right)=11$.

Proof. We place 7 pebbles on $v_{3}$ and one pebble on each vertex of the set $\left\{v_{0}, u_{02}, v_{2}\right\}$, other vertices have no pebble, then no pebble can be moved to $v_{1}$. So $p\left(M\left(F_{4}\right)\right) \geq 11$. We now place 11 pebbles on $M\left(F_{4}\right)$. We assume that $v$ is our target vertex and $p(v)=0$. Recall $S=\left\{v_{0}, u_{01}, u_{02}, u_{03}\right\}$ and $A=$ $\left\{v_{1}, u_{12}, v_{2}, u_{23}, v_{3}\right\}$.
(1) Consider $v \in S$. By Theorem 8 and Lemma 9, we can move one pebble to $v$.
(2) Consider $v=v_{1}$ (or $v=v_{3}$ ). Let $A_{1}=A-\left\{v_{1}\right\}$, let $A_{2}=\left\{u_{12}, v_{2}\right\}$, and let $A_{3}=A_{1}-A_{2}$. If $p(S)=t$, then $p\left(A_{1}\right)=11-t$. Thus we can move at least $\lfloor(8-t) / 2\rfloor$ pebbles from $A_{1}$ to $S$ so that $\widetilde{p}(S)=\lfloor(8+t) / 2\rfloor \geq 6$ for $t \geq 4$. By Lemma 6, $\widetilde{p}\left(u_{01}\right)=2$ and we can move one pebble to $v_{1}$. If $t \leq 2$, then $p(A) \geq 9$. By Lemma 7 , we can move one pebble to $v_{1}$. If $t=3$, then at least one of $u_{01}$ and $u_{03}$ can obtain one pebble from every placement of 3 pebbles on $S$ by a sequence of pebbling moves. If $p\left(A_{3}\right) \geq 7$, then $A_{3} \xrightarrow{3} u_{03}$. So $\left\langle u_{03}, u_{01}, v_{1}\right\rangle$ is a transmitting subgraph. If $4 \leq p\left(A_{3}\right) \leq 6$, then $2 \leq$ $p\left(A_{2}\right) \leq 4$. By Lemma $6, \widetilde{p}\left(u_{23}\right) \geq 2$ and $\widetilde{p}\left(u_{12}\right) \geq 1$. So $\left\langle u_{23}, u_{12}, v_{1}\right\rangle$ is a transmitting subgraph. If $p\left(A_{3}\right) \leq$ 3 , then $p\left(A_{2}\right) \geq 5$. So $\left\langle v_{2}, u_{12}, v_{1}\right\rangle$ is a transmitting subgraph.
(3) Consider $v=v_{2}$. If $p(S) \geq 4$ or $p(S) \leq 2$, then we are done with (2). If $p(S)=3$, then $p\left(v_{1}\right)+p\left(u_{12}\right) \geq 4$ or $p\left(u_{23}\right)+p\left(v_{3}\right) \geq 4$. So $\left\langle v_{1}, u_{12}, v_{2}\right\rangle$ or $\left\langle v_{3}, u_{23}, v_{2}\right\rangle$ is a transmitting subgraph.
(4) Consider $v=u_{12}$ (or $v=u_{23}$ ). If $p(S) \geq 4$ or $p(S) \leq 2$, then we are done with (2). If $p(S)=3$, then $p\left(v_{1}\right)+p\left(v_{2}\right)+p\left(u_{23}\right)+p\left(v_{3}\right)=8$. Obviously, we are done if $p\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 2$ or $p\left(v_{2}\right) \geq 2$. Next suppose that $p\left(v_{1}\right) \leq 1$ and $p\left(v_{2}\right) \leq 1$. Thus $p\left(u_{23}\right)+p\left(v_{3}\right) \geq 6$. So $\left\langle v_{3}, u_{23}, u_{12}\right\rangle$ is a transmitting subgraph.

Theorem 11. Consider $f\left(M\left(F_{n}\right)\right)=3 n-1(n \geq 4)$.
Proof. We place 7 pebbles on $v_{n-1}$ and one pebble on each vertex of $M\left(F_{n}\right)$ except $v_{1}, u_{01}, u_{12}, u_{(n-2)(n-1)}, u_{0(n-1)}$, and $v_{n-1}$. In this configuration of pebbles, we cannot move one pebble to $v_{1}$. So $f\left(M\left(F_{n}\right)\right) \geq 3 n-1$. Next, let us use induction on $n$ to show that $f\left(M\left(F_{n}\right)\right)=3 n-1$. For $n=4$, our theorem is true by Theorem 10. Suppose that $f\left(M\left(F_{k}\right)\right)=3 k-1$ if $k<n$. Now $3 n-1$ pebbles are placed arbitrarily on the vertices of $M\left(F_{n}\right)$. Suppose that $v$ is our target vertex and $p(v)=0$.
(1) Consider $v \in S$. By induction and Theorem 8, we can move one pebble to $v$.
(2) Consider $v=v_{1}\left(\right.$ or $\left.v=v_{n-1}\right)$. Obviously, $p\left(u_{01}\right) \leq 1$. Otherwise, $p\left(u_{01}\right)>1$. $v_{1}$ can obtain one pebble. Let $B_{i}=$ $\left\{u_{i(i+1)}, u_{0(i+1)}, v_{i+1}\right\}(1 \leq i \leq n-2)$.

If $p\left(B_{n-2}\right) \leq 3$, then we delete $B_{n-2}$ to obtain the subgraph $M\left(F_{n-1}\right)$ with at least $3(n-1)-1$ pebbles. By induction, we can move one pebble to $v_{1}$. If $p\left(B_{n-2}\right)=4$, then $B_{n-2} \xrightarrow{1} u_{0(n-2)}$. Thus we delete $B_{n-2}$ to obtain the subgraph $M\left(F_{n-1}\right)$ with $3(n-1)-1$ pebbles. By induction, we are done.

Next, suppose that $p\left(B_{n-2}\right) \geq 5$. By Lemma $6, \widetilde{p}\left(u_{0(n-1)}\right) \geq$ 2. If $p\left(u_{01}\right)=1$, then $\left\langle u_{0(n-1)}, u_{01}, v_{1}\right\rangle$ is a transmitting subgraph. If $p\left(v_{0}\right) \geq 2$, then $v_{0} \xrightarrow{1} u_{01}$, and we are done. If there exists some $B_{i}$ with $p\left(B_{i}\right) \geq 5(i \neq n-2)$, then $B_{i} \xrightarrow{1} u_{01}$, and we are done. Thus we assume that $p\left(u_{01}\right)=0, p\left(v_{0}\right) \leq 1$, and $p\left(B_{i}\right) \leq 4$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-3$.

Now, we consider $B_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n-3)$. Clearly, if $p\left(B_{1}\right)=$ 4 , then we are done. Suppose that there exists some $B_{j}$ with $p\left(B_{j}\right)=4(j \neq 1)$. It is clear that if one of the three cases ((i) $p\left(u_{0 j}\right) \geq 1\left(u_{0 j} \in B_{j-1}\right)$, (ii) $p\left(B_{j-1}\right) \geq 3$, and (iii) $p\left(v_{j}\right) \geq 2$ $\left(v_{j} \in B_{j-1}\right)$ ) happens, then we can move one pebble to $v$. Thus we assume that $p\left(B_{i}\right)=4(2 \leq i \leq n-3), p\left(B_{i-1}\right) \leq 2, p\left(u_{0 i}\right)=$ 0 , and $p\left(v_{i}\right) \leq 1$. If there are $r$ sets $B_{i_{1}}, B_{i_{2}}, \ldots, B_{i_{r}}$ such that $p\left(B_{i_{k}}\right)=4$ for $1 \leq k \leq r$, then $p\left(B_{i_{k}-1}\right) \leq 2$ for $1 \leq k \leq r$. Let $N_{1}=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{r}\right\}$, let $N_{2}=\left\{i_{1}^{k}-1, i_{2}-1, \ldots, i_{r}-1\right\}$, and let $N_{3}=\{1,2, \ldots, n-3\}-N_{1}-N_{2}$. If $p\left(B_{j}\right)=2$ for all $j \in N_{2}$ and $p\left(B_{k}\right)=3$ for all $k \in N_{3}$, then $\tilde{p}\left(u_{j(j+1)}\right)=1$ and $\widetilde{p}\left(u_{k(k+1)}\right)=1$. Recall that $p\left(B_{i}\right)=4$ for all $i \in N_{1}$ and $p\left(B_{n-2}\right) \geq 5$. Then $\widetilde{p}\left(u_{i(i+1)}\right)=1$ and $\widetilde{p}\left(u_{(n-2)(n-1)}\right)=2$. Thus $\left\langle u_{(n-2)(n-1)}, u_{(n-3)(n-2)}, \ldots, u_{12}, v_{1}\right\rangle$ is a transmitting subgraph. So there is at least some $j$ in $N_{2}$ such that $p\left(B_{j}\right) \leq 1$ or at least some $k$ in $N_{3}$ such that $p\left(B_{k}\right) \leq 2$. If there are two $j^{\prime}$ and $j^{\prime \prime}$ in $N_{2}$ such that $p\left(B_{j^{\prime}}\right) \leq 1$ and $p\left(B_{j^{\prime \prime}}\right) \leq 1$ or two $k^{\prime}$ and $k^{\prime \prime}$ in $N_{3}$ such that $p\left(B_{k^{\prime}}\right) \leq 2$ and $p\left(B_{k^{\prime \prime}}\right) \leq 2$ or some $j$ in $N_{2}$ such that $p\left(B_{j}\right) \leq 1$ and some $k$ in $N_{3}$ such that $p\left(B_{k}\right) \leq 2$, then $p\left(B_{n-2}\right) \geq 9$. By Lemma 6, $\widetilde{p}\left(u_{0(n-1)}\right)=4$. Hence $\left\langle u_{0(n-1)}, u_{01}, v_{1}\right\rangle$ is a transmitting subgraph.

Finally, there are two remaining cases, (i) there is only some $j$ in $N_{2}$ such that $p\left(B_{j}\right) \leq 1$, and (ii) there is only some $k$ in $N_{3}$ such that $p\left(B_{k}\right) \leq 2$. So $p\left(B_{n-2}\right) \geq 8$. If $p\left(u_{(n-2)(n-1)}\right)=0$, then $\left\langle v_{n-1}, u_{0(n-1)}, u_{01}, v_{1}\right\rangle$ is a transmitting subgraph. If $p\left(u_{(n-2)(n-1)}\right) \neq 0$, then, in $B_{n-2}, \widetilde{p}\left(u_{(n-2)(n-1)}\right) \geq$ 2 and $\tilde{p}\left(u_{0(n-1)}\right) \geq 2$. For (i), we have $\tilde{p}\left(u_{i(i+1)}\right) \geq 1$ for $j+2 \leq i \leq n-3$. By the transmitting subgraph $\left\langle u_{(n-2)(n-1)}, u_{(n-3)(n-2)}, \ldots, u_{(j+1)(j+2)}\right\rangle, \tilde{p}\left(B_{j+1}\right)=5$ and we are done. Suppose that (ii) holds. If $p\left(B_{k}\right)=2$, then we can move one pebble from $u_{0(n-1)}$ to $u_{0(k+1)}$ so that $p\left(B_{k}\right)=3$, and we are done. If $p\left(B_{k}\right) \leq 1$, then $p\left(B_{n-2}\right) \geq 9$ and we are done.
(3) Consider $v=u_{12}$ (or $v=u_{(n-2)(n-1)}$ ). Obviously, $p\left(u_{01}\right) \leq 1$ and $p\left(v_{i}\right) \leq 1(i=1,2)$. Otherwise, one pebble can be moved to $u_{12}$. The proof is similar to (2).
(4) Consider $v=v_{i}(2 \leq i \leq n-2)\left(\right.$ or $v=u_{j(j+1)}(2 \leq$ $j \leq n-3)$ ) and $p\left(v_{i}\right)=0$. Let $B=\left\{v_{1}, u_{12}, u_{01}\right\}$, and let $B^{\prime}=\left\{v_{n-1}, u_{(n-2)(n-1)}, u_{0(n-1)}\right\}$. If $p(B) \leq 3$, then delete $B$ to obtain the subgraph $M\left(F_{n-1}\right)$ with at least $3(n-1)-1$ pebbles. By induction, we can move one pebble to $v$. If $p(B)=4$, then we can move one pebble from $B$ to $u_{02}$, after deleting $B$ to obtain the subgraph $M\left(F_{n-1}\right)$ with $3(n-1)-1$ pebbles. Hence we assume that $p(B) \geq 5$. According to symmetry, $p\left(B^{\prime}\right) \geq 5$. Therefore we are done.

## 3. The 2-Pebbling Property of $M\left(F_{n}\right)$

For a distribution of pebbles on $G$, let $q$ be the number of vertices with at least one pebble. We say a graph $G$ satisfies the 2-pebbling property if two pebbles can be moved to any specified vertex when the total starting number of pebbles
is $2 f(G)-q+1$. Next we will discuss the 2-pebbling property of $M\left(F_{n}\right)$. For the convenience of statement, let $S=$ $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$, and let $A=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{2 n-3}\right\}$, where $x_{1}=v_{0}$, $x_{2}=u_{01}, \ldots, x_{n}=u_{0(n-1)}, y_{1}=v_{1}$, and $y_{2}=u_{12}, \ldots, y_{2 n-3}=$ $v_{n-1}$. In this section let $q=q_{s}+q_{a}$, where $q_{s}$ and $q_{a}$ are the number of vertices with at least one pebble in $S$ and $A$, respectively.

Lemma 12. Suppose that $p\left(M\left(F_{n}\right)\right) \geq 2(3 n-1)-q$ and $q_{a}=$ $2 n-4$. If $p(S)=q_{s}+t(t=0,1,2)$ and $p\left(y_{r}\right)=0(1 \leq r \leq$ $2 n-3)$, then one can move 2 pebbles to $y_{r}$.

Proof. Let $r=2 k-1$ (or $r=2 k$ ). Since $q_{a}=2 n-4$ and $p(S)=q_{s}+t$, so $p(A) \geq 4 n+2-2 q_{s}-t$. Without loss of generality, there exists a positive integer $j(j>r)$ such that the corresponding vertex $y_{j}$ with $p\left(y_{j}\right) \geq 2$ and $p\left(y_{i}\right)=1$ for $r+1 \leq i \leq j-1$. Thus $y_{j} \xrightarrow{1} y_{j-1} \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} y_{r}$. Using the remaining $4 n+2-t-2 q_{s}-(j-r+1)$ pebbles on vertices $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{r-1}, y_{j}, y_{j+1}, \ldots, y_{2 n-3}$, we can move at least $n+$ $\lfloor(5-t) / 2\rfloor-q_{s}$ pebbles to $S$ so that $\widetilde{p}(S) \geq n+\lfloor(5+t) / 2\rfloor$. By Lemma $6, \widetilde{p}\left(x_{k+1}\right)=2$. So we can move one additional pebble from $x_{k+1}$ to $y_{r}$ so that $\tilde{p}\left(y_{r}\right)=2$.

Lemma 13. Suppose that $p\left(M\left(F_{n}\right)\right)=2(3 n-1)-q+1$ and $q_{a}=2 n-5$. If $p(S)=q_{s}+t(t=0,1)$ and $p\left(y_{r}\right)=0(1 \leq r \leq$ $2 n-3)$, then one can move 2 pebbles to $y_{r}$.

Proof. Let $r=2 k-1$ (or $r=2 k$ ). Since $q_{a}=2 n-5$, we see that there is only some vertex $y_{i_{0}}\left(i_{0} \neq r\right)$ with $p\left(y_{i_{0}}\right)=0$. Without loss of generality, there exists a positive integer $j(j>r)$ such that the corresponding vertex $y_{j}$ with $p\left(y_{j}\right) \geq 2$ and $p\left(y_{i}\right) \leq 1$ for $r<i<j$. If $i_{0}=2 k_{0}-1\left(k_{0} \neq k\right)$ or $i_{0} \notin\{r+1, r+2, \ldots, j-1\}$, then we can move one pebble to $y_{r}$ by the transmitting subgraph $\left\langle y_{j}, y_{j-2}, \ldots, y_{r+1}, y_{r}\right\rangle$ or $\left\langle y_{j}, y_{j-1}, y_{j-3}, \ldots, y_{r+1}, y_{r}\right\rangle$. Now using the remaining at least $4 n+4-t-2 q_{s}-(j-r+1)$ pebbles on the set $A_{1}=$ $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{r-1}, y_{j}, y_{j}, \ldots, y_{2 n-3}\right\}$, we can move $n+\lfloor(7-$ $t) / 2\rfloor-q_{s}$ pebbles from the $A_{1}$ to $S$ so that $\widetilde{p}(S)=n+\lfloor(7+t) / 2\rfloor$. By Lemma 6, $\widetilde{p}\left(x_{k+1}\right)=2$ and we can move one additional pebble from $x_{k+1}$ to $y_{r}$ so that $\widetilde{p}\left(y_{r}\right)=2$.

Suppose that $i_{0}=2 k_{0}\left(k_{0} \geq k\right)$ and $i_{0} \in\{r+1, r+2, \ldots, j-$ 1\}. If $j=i_{0}+1$, then $y_{j} \xrightarrow{1} y_{i_{0}}$. Thus there must exist one vertex $y_{j^{\prime}}\left(j^{\prime} \geq j\right)$ with $p\left(y_{j^{\prime}}\right) \geq 2$ and $p\left(y_{i}\right) \leq 1$ for $r<i<$ $j^{\prime}$. Using the transmitting subgraph $\left\langle y_{j^{\prime}}, y_{j^{\prime}-2}, \ldots, y_{r+1}, y_{r}\right\rangle$ or $\left\langle y_{j^{\prime}}, y_{j^{\prime}-1}, y_{j^{\prime}-3}, \ldots, y_{r+1}, y_{r}\right\rangle$, we can move one pebble to $y_{r}$. Now, using the remaining $4 n+4-t-2 q_{s}-\left(j^{\prime}-r+\right.$ 2) pebbles on the set $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{r-1}, y_{j^{\prime}}, y_{j^{\prime}+1}, \ldots, y_{2 n-3}\right\}$, we can move $n+\lfloor(6-t) / 2\rfloor-q_{s}$ pebbles from the set $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{r-1}, y_{j^{\prime}}, y_{j^{\prime}+1}, \ldots, y_{2 n-3}\right\}$ to $S$ so that $\widetilde{p}(S) \geq n+$ $\lfloor(6+t) / 2\rfloor$. By Lemma $6, \tilde{p}\left(x_{k+1}\right)=2$ and we are done. Next, suppose that $j \geq i_{0}+2$.
(1) Consider $p\left(y_{2 k}\right)=1$. We divide into three subcases.
(1.1) Consider $p\left(x_{k+2}\right)=0$. We delete vertices $y_{r}, y_{r+1}, \ldots, y_{2 k_{0}}, x_{k+2}$ to obtain the subgraph with two sets $A_{2}=A-\left\{y_{r}, y_{r+1}, \ldots, y_{2 k_{0}}\right\}$ and $S_{1}=S-\left\{x_{k+2}\right\}$, and $p\left(A_{2}\right)=4 n+4-2 q_{s}-t-\left(2 k_{0}-r-1\right)$ and $p\left(S_{1}\right)=q_{s}+t$. Thus we can move $n+\lfloor(10-t) / 2\rfloor-q_{s}$ pebbles from $A_{2}$ to
$S_{1}$ so that $\tilde{p}\left(S_{1}\right)=n+\lfloor(10+t) / 2\rfloor$. By Lemma $6, \widetilde{p}\left(x_{k+1}\right)=4$ and we can move two pebbles from $x_{k+1}$ to $y_{r}$.
(1.2) Consider $p\left(x_{k+2}\right)=1$. Suppose that $j=2 k^{\prime}$ or $j=$ $2 k^{\prime}+1\left(k^{\prime}>k\right)$. Let $A_{3}=\left\{y_{2 k^{\prime}}, y_{2 k^{\prime}+1}\right\}$. Obviously, $p\left(A_{3}\right) \geq 3$. If $p\left(A_{3}\right) \geq 5$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{3} \xrightarrow{2} x_{k^{\prime}+2} \xrightarrow{1} x_{k+2} \xrightarrow{1} y_{r+1} \xrightarrow{1} y_{r} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We delete $y_{r}, y_{r+1}, \ldots, y_{2 k_{0}}, x_{k+2}$ to obtain the subgraph with two sets $A_{2}$ and $S_{1}$. So $p\left(A_{2}\right)=4 n-2 q_{s}-t-\left(2 k_{0}-r-1\right)$ and $\tilde{p}\left(S_{1}\right)=q_{s}-1+t$. We can move $n+\lfloor(6-t) / 2\rfloor-q_{s}$ pebbles from $A_{2}$ to $S_{1}$ so that $\widetilde{p}\left(S_{1}\right)=n+\lfloor(4+t) / 2\rfloor$. By Lemma $6, \tilde{p}\left(x_{k+1}\right)=2$ and we are done. If $p\left(A_{3}\right)=3,4$ and $p\left(x_{k^{\prime}+2}\right) \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{3} \xrightarrow{1} x_{k^{\prime}+2} \xrightarrow{1} x_{k+2} \xrightarrow{1} y_{r+1} \xrightarrow{1} y_{r} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We delete $y_{r}, y_{r+1}, \ldots, y_{2 k_{0}}, x_{k+2}$ to obtain the subgraph with two sets $A_{2}$ and $S_{1}$. So $p\left(A_{2}\right)=4 n+2-2 q_{s}-t-\left(2 k_{0}-r-1\right)$ and $\tilde{p}\left(S_{1}\right)=q_{s}-2+t$. We can move $n+\lfloor(8-t) / 2\rfloor-q_{s}$ pebbles from $A_{2}$ to $S_{1}$ so that $\widetilde{p}\left(S_{1}\right)=n+\lfloor(4+t) / 2\rfloor$. By Lemma $6, \widetilde{p}\left(x_{k+1}\right)=$ 2 and we are done. If $p\left(A_{3}\right)=3,4$ and $p\left(x_{k^{\prime}+2}\right)=0$, then $A_{3} \xrightarrow{1} x_{k^{\prime}+1}$. We delete $y_{r}, y_{r+1}, \ldots, y_{2 k_{0}}, y_{2 k^{\prime}}, y_{2 k^{\prime}+1}, x_{k^{\prime}+2}$ to obtain the subgraph with two sets $A_{4}=A_{2}-A_{3}$ and $S_{2}=$ $S-\left\{x_{2 k^{\prime}+2}\right\}$. So $p\left(A_{4}\right) \geq 4 n-2 q_{s}-t-\left(2 k_{0}-r-1\right)$ and $\widetilde{p}\left(S_{2}\right)=q_{s}+1+t$. We can move $n+\lfloor(8-t) / 2\rfloor-q_{s}$ pebbles from $A_{4}$ to $S_{2}$ so that $\tilde{p}\left(S_{2}\right)=n+\lfloor(10+t) / 2\rfloor$. By Lemma 6 , $\widetilde{p}\left(x_{k+1}\right)=4$.
(1.3) Consider $p\left(x_{k+2}\right)=2$ for $t=1$. Thus $x_{k+2} \xrightarrow{1} y_{2 k} \xrightarrow{1}$ $y_{r}$. We delete $y_{r}, y_{r+1}, \ldots, y_{2 k_{0}}, x_{k+2}$ to obtain the subgraph with two sets $A_{2}$ and $S_{1}$. So $p\left(A_{2}\right)=4 n+3-2 q_{s}-\left(2 k_{0}-r-1\right)$ and $\tilde{p}\left(S_{1}\right)=q_{s}-1 . n+4-q_{s}$ pebbles can be moved from $A_{2}$ to $S_{1}$ so that $\widetilde{p}\left(S_{1}\right)=n+3$. By Lemma $6, \widetilde{p}\left(x_{k+1}\right)=3$. So we can move one additional pebble from $x_{k+1}$ to $y_{r}$.
(2) Consider $p\left(y_{2 k}\right)=0$; that is, $k=k_{0}$. We divide into three subcases.
(2.1) Consider $p\left(x_{2 k+2}\right)=0$. We delete $y_{r}, y_{r+1}, y_{r+2}, x_{2 k+2}$ to obtain the subgraph with two sets $A_{5}=A-\left\{y_{r}, y_{r+1}, y_{r+2}\right\}$ and $S_{1}$. One has $p\left(A_{5}\right)=4 n+3-2 q_{s}-t$ and $p\left(S_{1}\right)=q_{s}+t$. We can move $n+\lfloor(10-t) / 2\rfloor-q_{s}$ pebbles from $A_{5}$ to $S_{1}$ so that $\widetilde{p}\left(S_{1}\right)=n+\lfloor(10+t) / 2\rfloor$. By Lemma 6, $\widetilde{p}\left(x_{k+1}\right)=4$ and we can move two pebbles from $x_{k+1}$ to $y_{r}$.
(2.2) Consider $p\left(x_{k+2}\right)=1$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{j} \xrightarrow{1} y_{j-1} \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} y_{r+2} \xrightarrow{1} x_{k+2} \xrightarrow{1} x_{k+1} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We delete vertices $y_{r}, y_{r+1}, \ldots, y_{j-1}, x_{k+2}$ to obtain the subgraph with two sets $A_{1}$ and $S_{1}$. So $p\left(A_{1}\right)=4 n+4-2 q_{s}-t-$ $(j-r)$ and $\widetilde{p}\left(S_{1}\right)=q_{s}+t-1$ (except one moved pebble on $\left.x_{k+1}\right)$. We can move $n+\lfloor(8-t) / 2\rfloor-q_{s}$ pebbles from $A_{5}$ to $S_{1}$ so that $\tilde{p}\left(S_{1}\right)=n+\lfloor(6+t) / 2\rfloor$ (except one moved pebble on $\left.x_{k+1}\right)$. By Lemma 6, we can move 3 additional pebbles to $x_{k+1}$ so that $\widetilde{p}\left(x_{k+1}\right)=4$.
(2.3) $p\left(x_{k+2}\right)=2$ for $t=1$. Thus $x_{k+2} \xrightarrow{1} x_{k+1}$. Deleting $y_{r}, y_{r+1}, y_{r+2}, x_{k+2}$ to obtain the subgraph with two sets $A_{5}$ and $S_{1}$. One has $p\left(A_{5}\right)=4 n+2-2 q_{s}$ and $\widetilde{p}\left(S_{1}\right)=q_{s}-1$ (except one moved pebble on $x_{k+1}$ ). We can move $n+4-q_{s}$ pebbles from $A_{4}$ to $S_{1}$ so that $\tilde{p}\left(S_{1}\right)=n+3$ (except one moved pebble
on $x_{k+1}$ ). By Lemma 6, we can move 3 additional pebbles to $x_{k+1}$ so that $\tilde{p}\left(x_{k+1}\right)=4$.

Theorem 14. $M\left(F_{n}\right)$ has the 2-pebbling property.
Proof. Suppose that $v$ is our target vertex. If $p(v)=1$, then the number of pebbles on $M\left(F_{n}\right)$ except one pebble on $v$ is $2(3 n-1)+1-q-1(>3 n-1)$. By Theorem 11, we can move one additional pebble to $v$ so that $\widetilde{p}(v)=2$. Next we assume that $p(v)=0$.
(1) Consider $v=x_{r}(1 \leq r \leq n)$. If there exists some vertex $x_{i}$ with $p\left(x_{i}\right) \geq 2(i \neq r)$, then $x_{i} \xrightarrow{1} x_{r}$. Using the remaining $2(3 n-1)+1-q-2>3 n-1$ pebbles, we can move one additional pebble to $x_{r}$ so that $\tilde{p}\left(x_{r}\right)=2$. If $p\left(x_{i}\right) \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $p(A)=2(3 n-1)-q+1-q_{s}=6 n-1-q_{a}-2 q_{s} \geq 4 n+2-2 q_{s}$. Thus we can move at least $n+2-q_{s}$ pebbles from $A$ to $S$ so that $\widetilde{p}(S)=n+2$. By Lemma 6 , we can move two pebbles to $x_{r}$.
(2) Consider $v=y_{r}(1 \leq r \leq 2 n-3)$. Let $r=2 k-1$ (or $r=2 k$ ). If $p\left(x_{k+1}\right) \geq 2$, then we can put one pebble on $y_{r}$. After that, the remaining $2(3 n-1)-q+1-2(>3 n-1)$ pebbles on $M\left(F_{n}\right)$ suffice to put one additional pebble on $y_{r}$ by Theorem 11. Next we assume $p\left(x_{k+1}\right) \leq 1$.
(2.1) Suppose that $p\left(x_{k+1}\right)=1$. If there is some vertex $x_{i}$ with $p\left(x_{i}\right) \geq 2(i \neq k+1)$, then $x_{i} \xrightarrow{1} x_{k+1} \xrightarrow{1} y_{r}$. The remaining $2(3 n-1)-q+1-3(>3 n-1)$ pebbles on $M\left(F_{n}\right)$ will suffice to put one additional pebble on $y_{r}$ so that $\tilde{p}\left(y_{r}\right)=2$. Next we assume that $p\left(x_{i}\right) \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Obviously, $p(S)=q_{s}$ and $p(A)=2(3 n-1)-q+1-q_{s}=6 n-1-q_{a}-2 q_{s}$. If $q_{a} \leq 2 n-5$, then $p(A) \geq 4 n+4-2 q_{s}$. Thus we can move at least $n+5-q_{s}$ pebbles from $A$ to $S$ so that $\widetilde{p}(S)=n+5$. By Lemma 6 , we can move 3 additional pebbles to $x_{k+1}$ so that $\widetilde{p}\left(x_{k+1}\right)=4$ and we are done. If $q_{a}=2 n-4$, then, by Lemma 12 , we are done.
(2.2) Suppose that $p\left(x_{k+1}\right)=0$. If we can find some vertex $x_{i}$ with $p\left(x_{i}\right) \geq 4$ or find two vertices $x_{j}$ with $p\left(v_{j}\right) \geq 2$ and $x_{j^{\prime}}$ with $p\left(x_{j^{\prime}}\right) \geq 2$, then using 4 pebbles on $x_{i}$ or two pebbles on $x_{j}$ and two pebbles on $x_{j^{\prime}}$ we can move one pebble to $y_{r}$. Then the remaining $2(3 n-1)-q+1-4(>3 n-1)$ pebbles on $M\left(F_{n}\right)$ will suffice to put one additional pebble to $y_{r}$ so that $\tilde{p}\left(y_{r}\right)=2$.

Consider only some vertex $x_{i}$ with $2 \leq p\left(x_{i}\right) \leq 3$. If $p\left(x_{i}\right)=3$, then $x_{i} \xrightarrow{1} x_{k+1}, \widetilde{p}(S)=q_{s}$, and $p(A)=2(3 n-1)-$ $q_{s}-q_{a}+1-\left(q_{s}+2\right)=6 n-3-2 q_{s}-q_{a}$. When $q_{a} \leq 2 n-5$ and $p(A) \geq 4 n+2-2 q_{s}$, we can move at least $n+4-q_{s}$ pebbles from $A$ to $S$ so that $\tilde{p}(S) \geq n+4$ except for one pebble on $x_{k+1}$. By Lemma 6, we can put 3 additional pebbles on $x_{k+1}$ so that $\tilde{p}\left(x_{k+1}\right)=4$. When $q_{a}=2 n-4$, we are done with Lemma 12. If $p\left(x_{i}\right)=2$, then $x_{i} \xrightarrow{1} x_{k+1}, \tilde{p}(S)=q_{s}-1$, and $p(A)=2(3 n-1)-q_{s}-q_{a}+1-\left(q_{s}+1\right)=6 n-2-2 q_{s}-q_{a}$. When $q_{a} \leq 2 n-6$ and $p(A) \geq 4 n+4-2 q_{s}$, we can move at least $n+5-q_{s}$ pebbles from $A$ to $S$ so that $\widetilde{p}(S) \geq n+4$ except for one pebble on $x_{k+1}$. By Lemma 6, we can put 3 additional pebbles on $x_{k+1}$ so that $\widetilde{p}\left(x_{k+1}\right)=4$. When $q_{a}=2 n-4$ and $q_{a}=2 n-5$, we are done with Lemmas 12 and 13 .

Consider $p\left(x_{i}\right) \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Obviously, $p(S)=$ $q_{s}$ and $p(A)=6 n-1-q_{a}-2 q_{s}$. When $q_{a} \leq 2 n-6$
and $p(A) \geq 4 n+5-2 q_{s}$, we can move at least $n+6-q_{s}$ pebbles from $A$ to $S$ so that $\widetilde{p}(S) \geq n+6$. By Lemma $6, \widetilde{p}\left(x_{k+1}\right)=4$ and we are done. When $q_{a}=2 n-4$ and $q_{a}=2 n-5$, we are done with Lemmas 12 and 13 .
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