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We obtain the general solution of Euler-Lagrange-Rassias quartic functional equation of the following $f(a x+b y)+f(b x+a y)+$ $(1 / 2) a b(a-b)^{2} f(x-y)=\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)^{2}[f(x)+f(y)]+(1 / 2) a b(a+b)^{2} f(x+y)$. We also prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability in various quasinormed spaces when $b=1$.

## 1. Introduction

One of the interesting questions concerning the stability problems of functional equations is as follows: when is it true that a mapping satisfying a functional equation approximately must be close to the solution of the given functional equation? Such an idea was suggested in 1940 by Ulam [1] as follows. Let $G_{1}$ be a group and let $G_{2}$ be a metric group with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\varepsilon>0$, does there exist a $\delta>0$ such that if a function $h: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(x y), h(x) h(y))<\delta$ for all $x, y \in G_{1}$ then there is a homomorphism $H: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ with $d(h(x), H(x))<$ $\varepsilon$ for all $x \in G_{1}$ ? In other words, we are looking for situations when the homomorphisms are stable; that is, if a mapping is almost a homomorphism, then there exists a true homomorphism near it. In 1941, Hyers [2] considered the case of approximately additive mappings in Banach spaces and satisfying the well-known weak Hyers inequality controlled by a positive constant. The famous Hyers stability result that appeared in [2] was generalized in the stability involving a sum of powers of norms by Aoki [3]. In 1978, Rassias [4] provided a generalization of Hyers Theorem which allows the Cauchy difference to be unbounded. During the last decades, stability problems of various functional equations have been extensively studied and generalized by a number of authors [5-10]. In particular, Rassias [11] introduced the Euler-Lagrange type quadratic functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r x+s y)+f(s x-r y)=\left(r^{2}+s^{2}\right)[f(x)+f(y)], \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for fixed reals $r, s$ with $r \neq 0, s \neq 0$. Also, Jun and Kim [12] proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a Euler-Lagrange type cubic mapping as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
f(a x+b y)+f(b x+a y)= & (a+b)(a-b)^{2}[f(x)+f(y)] \\
& +a b(a+b) f(x+y) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a \neq 0, b \neq 0, a \pm b \neq 0$, for all $x, y \in X$. Several EulerLagrange type functional equations have been investigated by numerous mathematicians; c.f. for example, [13-15].

And Rassias [16] investigated stability properties of the following quartic functional equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x & +2 y)+f(x-2 y)+6 f(x)  \tag{3}\\
& =4 f(x+y)+4 f(x-y)+24 f(y)
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that $f(x)=x^{4}$ is a solution of (3) by virtue of the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x+2 y)^{4}+(x-2 y)^{4}+x^{4} \\
& \quad=4(x+y)^{4}+4(x-y)^{4}+24 y^{4} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

For this reason, (3) is called a quartic functional equation. Also, Chung and Sahoo [17] determined the general solution of (3) without assuming any regularity conditions on the unknown function. In fact, they proved that the function
$f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a solution of (3) if and only if $f(x)=$ $A(x, x, x, x)$, where the function $A: \mathbb{R}^{4} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is symmetric and additive in each variable. Lee and Chung [18] introduced a quartic functional equation as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
f(a x+ & +y)+f(a x-y) \\
= & a^{2} f(x+y)+a^{2} f(x-y)  \tag{5}\\
& +2 a^{2}\left(a^{2}-1\right) f(x)-2\left(a^{2}-1\right) f(y)
\end{align*}
$$

for fixed integer $a$ with $a \neq 0, \pm 1$.
In this paper, we consider the following a generalized quartic functional equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
f(a x & +b y)+f(b x+a y)+\frac{1}{2} a b(a-b)^{2} f(x-y) \\
= & \left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)^{2}[f(x)+f(y)]  \tag{6}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} a b(a+b)^{2} f(x+y)
\end{align*}
$$

for fixed integers $a$ and $b$ such that $a \neq 0, b \neq 0, a \pm b \neq 0$, for all $x, y \in X$. In fact, the generalized quartic functional equation (6) is following from the spirit of the pioneering Euler-Lagrange quartic functional equation (3) as well as Euler-Lagrange quadratic functional equation (1) introduced by Rassias: see [16] and [11], respectively. For the same reason as (1), (2), and (3), we call (6) a Euler-Lagrange-Rassias quartic functional equation. First of all, we obtain the general solution of Euler-Lagrange-Rassias quartic functional equation. To prove the stability problem for the Euler-LagrangeRassias quartic functional equation on various quasi-normed spaces, we may consider the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
f(a x & +y)+f(x+a y)+\frac{1}{2} a(a-1)^{2} f(x-y) \\
& =\left(a^{2}-1\right)^{2}[f(x)+f(y)]+\frac{1}{2} a(a+1)^{2} f(x+y) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

for fixed integer $a$ with $a \neq 0, a \neq \pm 1$, for all $x, y \in X$.
We will use the following definitions to prove Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for the Euler-Lagrange-Rassias quartic functional equation in the quasi- $\beta$-normed and quasi fuzzy $\beta$-normed spaces. Let $\beta$ be a real number with $0<\beta \leq 1$ and let $\mathbb{K}$ be either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 1. Let $X$ be a linear space over a field $\mathbb{K}$. A quasi $\beta$-norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a real-valued function on $X$ satisfying the following statements:
(1) $\|x\| \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$ and $\|x\|=0$ if and only if $x=0$,
(2) $\|\lambda x\|=|\lambda|^{\beta} \cdot\|x\|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ and all $x \in X$,
(3) there is a constant $K \geq 1$ such that $\|x+y\| \leq K(\|x\|+$ $\|y\|)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

The pair $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ is called a quasi- $\beta$-normed space if $\|\cdot\|$ is a quasi $-\beta$-norm on $X$. The smallest possible $K$ is called
the modulus of concavity of $\|\cdot\|$. A quasi- $\beta$-Banach space is a complete quasi- $\beta$-normed space.

A quasi $\beta$-norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a $(\beta, p)$-norm $(0<p \leq 1)$ if (3) takes the form $\|x+y\|^{p} \leq\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}$ for all $x, y \in X$. In this case, a quasi $\beta$-Banach space is called a $(\beta, p)$-Banach space; see [19, 20].

In 1984, Katsaras [21] and Wu and Fang [22] independently introduced a notion of a fuzzy norm and they gave the generalization of the Kolmogoroff normalized theorem for a fuzzy topological linear space. Since then, some mathematicians have defined fuzzy metrics and norms on a linear space from various points of view; see [23-27]. In 2003, Bag and Samanta [23] modified the definition of Cheng and Mordeson [28]. Bag and Samanta [23] introduced the following definition of fuzzy normed spaces. The notion of fuzzy stability of functional equations was given in the paper [29].

Definition 2. Let $X$ be a real vector space. A function $N: X \times$ $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is called a fuzzy norm on $X$ if for all $x, y \in X$ and all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$
$\left(N_{1}\right) N(x, t)=0$ for $t \leq 0 ;$
$\left(N_{2}\right) x=0$ if and only if $N(x, t)=1$ for all $t>0$;
$\left(N_{3}\right) N(c x, t)=N(x, t /|c|)$ if $c \neq 0$;
$\left(N_{4}\right) N(x+y, s+t) \geq \min \{N(x, s), N(y, t)\} ;$
$\left(N_{5}\right) N(x, \cdot)$ is a nondecreasing function of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, t)=1 ;$
$\left(N_{6}\right)$ for $x \neq 0, N(x, \cdot)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$.
The pair $(X, N)$ is called a fuzzy normed vector space.
Mirmostafaee [30] introduced a notion for a quasi fuzzy $p$-normed space as follows.

Definition 3. By a quasi fuzzy norm, one means a real vector space $X$, with a fuzzy subset $N$ of $X \times \mathbb{R}$ and some $K \geq 1$ such that all axioms of fuzzy normed space in Definition 2 except $\left(N_{4}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(N_{4}^{\prime}\right) \\
\begin{aligned}
N(x+y, K(s+t)) \geq \min & \{N(x, s), N(y, t)\} \\
& (x, y \in X, s, t>0)
\end{aligned} \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$

hold.
A quasi fuzzy normed space $(X, N)$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(N_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
\begin{array}{r}
N(x+y, \sqrt[p]{s+t}) \geq \min \{N(x, \sqrt[p]{s}), N(y, \sqrt[p]{t})\} \\
(x, y \in X, s, t>0)
\end{array} \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

for some $0<p \leq 1$, is called a quasi fuzzy p-norm.
Definition 4. Let $X$ be a real vector space. A quasi fuzzy $p$ norm $N: X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is called a quasi fuzzy $(\beta, p)$-norm on $X$ if $\left(N_{3}\right)$ in Definition 2 takes the form
$\left(N_{3}^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(c x, t)=N\left(x, \frac{t}{|c|^{\beta}}\right) \quad(c \neq 0,0<\beta \leq 1) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 5. Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a real normed space. Define

$$
N(x, t)= \begin{cases}\frac{t}{t+\|x\|} & \text { when } t>0, t \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{11}\\ 0 & \text { when } t \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

where $x \in X$. Then $(X, N)$ is a quasi fuzzy $(\beta, p)$-normed space.

Note that when $p=1$, we call the quasi fuzzy $(\beta, p)$-norm a quasi fuzzy $\beta$-norm.

Definition 6. Let $(X, N)$ be a quasi fuzzy $\beta$-normed vector space. A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is said to be convergent or converge if there exists an $x \in X$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N\left(x_{n}-\right.$ $x, t)=1$ for all $t>0$. In this case, $x$ is called the limit of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and one denotes it by $N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x$.

Definition 7. Let $(X, N)$ be a quasi fuzzy $\beta$-normed vector space. A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is called Cauchy if for each $\varepsilon>0$ and each $t>0$ there exists an $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n \geq n_{0}$ and all integer $d>0$, one has $N\left(x_{n+d}-x_{n}, t\right)>1-\varepsilon$.

It is well known that every convergent sequence in a quasi fuzzy $\beta$-normed vector space is Cauchy. If each Cauchy sequence is convergent, then the quasi fuzzy $\beta$-normed space is said to be quasi fuzzy complete and the quasi fuzzy $\beta$ normed vector space is called a quasi fuzzy Banach space.

## 2. Euler-Lagrange-Rassias Quartic Functional Equations

Let $X, Y$ be real vector spaces. In this section, we will investigate that the functional equation (3) is equivalent to the presented functional equation (6).

Lemma 8. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (3) if and only if $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
f(2 x+y)+f(x+2 y)= & 9 f(x)+9 f(y) \\
& +9 f(x+y)-f(x-y) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$.
Proof. It follows from [31, 32].
Theorem 9. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (3) if and only if $f$ satisfies the functional equation (7).

Proof. It is easy to verify that $f(0)=0$ by letting $x=y=0$ in (3). We will show this induction on $a$. Lemma 8 implies that
it is true when $a=2$, and we may assume it holds for all $a$. Now, letting $x=(a-1) x+y$ and $y=x$ in (3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& f((a+1) x+y)+f((a-3) x+y) \\
&= 4 f(a x+y)+4 f((a-2) x+y)  \tag{13}\\
&+24 f(x)-6 f((a-1) x+y)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. After the switching $x$ and $y$ in the previous equation (13),

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x+ & (a+1) y)+f(x+(a-3) y) \\
= & 4 f(x+a y)+4 f(x+(a-2) y)  \tag{14}\\
& +24 f(y)-6 f(x+(a-1) y),
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Adding two equations (13) and (14), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x+ & (a+1) y)+f(x+(a+1) y) \\
= & -[f((a-3) x+y)+f(x+(a-3) y)] \\
& +4[f(a x+y)+f(x+a y)] \\
& +4[f((a-2) x+y)+f(x+(a-2) y)] \\
& -6[f((a-1) x+y)+f(x+(a-1) y)] \\
& +24[f(x)+f(y)],
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. The induction steps imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x+ & (a+1) y)+f(x+(a+1) y) \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} a^{3} f(x-y)-\frac{1}{2} a^{2} f(x-y) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} a^{3} f(x+y)+\frac{5}{2} a^{2} f(x+y) \\
& +4 a f(x+y)+2 f(x+y) \\
& +\left(a^{4}+4 a^{3}+4 a^{2}\right)[f(x)+f(y)]  \tag{16}\\
= & -\frac{1}{2}(a+1)((a+1)-1)^{2} f(x-y) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}(a+1)((a+1)+1)^{2} f(x+y) \\
& +\left((a+1)^{2}-1\right)^{2}[f(x)+f(y)]
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& f((a+1) x+y)+f(x+(a+1) y) \\
&+\frac{1}{2}(a+1)((a+1)-1)^{2} f(x-y) \\
&=\left((a+1)^{2}-1\right)^{2}[f(x)+f(y)]  \tag{17}\\
&+\frac{1}{2}(a+1)((a+1)+1)^{2} f(x+y)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, as desired.

Note that $f(a x)=a^{4} f(x)$ by letting $y=0$ in (7).
Lemma 10. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (7) if and only if $f$ satisfies the functional equation (6).

Proof. It is easy to show that $f(0)=0$ and $f(a x)=a^{4} f(x)$ by putting $x=y=0$ and $y=0$ in (7), respectively. By letting $y=b y$ in (7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f(a x & +b y)+f(x+a b y)+\frac{1}{2} a(a-1)^{2} f(x-b y) \\
& =\left(a^{2}-1\right)^{2}[f(x)+f(b y)]+\frac{1}{2} a(a+1)^{2} f(x+b y) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, switching $x$ and $y$ in the above equation and then adding two equations, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
f(a x+ & b y)+f(b x+a y) \\
= & -[f(a b x+y)+f(x+a b y)]+\left(a^{2}-1\right)^{2} \\
& \times\left[f(x)+f(y)+b^{4} f(x)+b^{4} f(y)\right]  \tag{19}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} a(a+1)^{2}[f(b x+y)+f(x+b y)] \\
& -\frac{1}{2} a(a-1)^{2}[f(b x-y)+f(x-b y)] .
\end{align*}
$$

Then (7) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
f(a x & +b y)+f(b x+a y)+\frac{1}{2} a b(a-b)^{2} f(x-y) \\
& =\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)^{2}[f(x)+f(y)]+\frac{1}{2} a b(a+b)^{2} f(x+y) \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Corollary 11. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (3) if and only if $f$ satisfies the functional equation (6).

## 3. Stability in Quasi- $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Normed Spaces

Throughout this section, let $X$ be a quasi- $\beta$-normed space and let $Y$ be a quasi $\beta$-Banach space with a quasi $\beta$-norm $\|\cdot\|_{Y}$. Let $K$ be the modulus of concavity of $\|\cdot\|_{Y}$. We will investigate the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem for the functional equation (7). For a given mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and all fixed integers $a$ with $a \neq 0, a \neq \pm 1$, let

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{a} f(x, y):= & f(a x+y)+f(x+a y) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} a(a-1)^{2} f(x-y) \\
& -\left(a^{2}-1\right)^{2}[f(x)+f(y)]  \tag{21}\\
& -\frac{1}{2} a(a+1)^{2} f(x+y),
\end{align*}
$$

for $x$ and $y$ in $X$.

Theorem 12. Suppose that there exists a mapping $\phi: X^{2} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{+}:=[0, \infty)$ for which a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0)=$ 0 and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{a} f(x, y)\right\|_{Y} \leq \phi(x, y) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(K /|a|^{4 \beta}\right)^{j} \phi\left(a^{j} x, a^{j} y\right)$ converges for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique Euler-Lagrange-Rassias quartic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ which satisfies (7) and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-Q(x)\|_{Y} \leq \frac{K}{|a|^{4 \beta}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{K}{|a|^{4 \beta}}\right)^{j} \phi\left(a^{j} x, 0\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. By letting $y=0$ in (22) and $f(0)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \| f(a x)+f(x)+\frac{1}{2} a(a-1)^{2} f(x)-\frac{1}{2} a(a+1)^{2} \\
& -\left(a^{2}-1\right)^{2} f(x) \|_{Y}  \tag{24}\\
& =\left\|f(a x)-a^{4} f(x)\right\|_{Y} \\
& =|a|^{4 \beta}\left\|f(x)-\frac{1}{a^{4}} f(a x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \phi(x, 0),
\end{align*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(x)-\frac{1}{a^{4}} f(a x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{1}{|a|^{4 \beta}} \phi(x, 0) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. For any positive integer $m$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{m} f\left(a^{m} x\right)-\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{m+1} f\left(a^{m+1} x\right)\right\|_{Y} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|a|^{4 \beta}}\left(\frac{1}{|a|^{4 \beta}}\right)^{m} \phi\left(a^{m} x, 0\right), \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. For any positive integers $n$ and $m$ with $m<n$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{m} f\left(a^{m} x\right)-\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{n} f\left(a^{n} x\right)\right\|_{Y} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{K^{m-1}} \frac{1}{|a|^{4 \beta}} \sum_{j=m}^{n-1}\left(\frac{K}{|a|^{4 \beta}}\right)^{j} \phi\left(a^{j} x, 0\right) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. By letting $m=0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\| f(x) & -\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{n} f\left(a^{n} x\right) \|_{Y} \\
& \leq \frac{K}{|a|^{4 \beta}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{K}{|a|^{4 \beta}}\right)^{j} \phi\left(a^{j} x, 0\right), \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the right-hand side of the previous inequality tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty,\left\{\left(1 / a^{4}\right)^{n} f\left(a^{n} x\right)\right\}$ is
a Cauchy sequence in the quasi $\beta$-Banach space $Y$. Thus we may define

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{n} f\left(a^{n} x\right), \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence we have the inequality (23). Since $K \geq 1$, replacing $x$ and $y$ by $a^{n} x$ and $a^{n} y$, respectively, and dividing by $|a|^{4 \beta n}$ in (22), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{|a|^{4 \beta}}\right)^{n}\left\|D_{a} f\left(a^{n} x, a^{n} y\right)\right\|_{Y} \leq\left(\frac{K}{|a|^{4 \beta}}\right)^{n} \phi\left(a^{n} x, a^{n} y\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, the definition of $Q$ implies that $Q$ satisfies (7) for all $x, y \in X$; that is, $Q$ is the Euler-Lagrange-Rassias quartic mapping. It is left to show that the quadratic mapping $Q$ is unique. Assume that there exists $T$ : $X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (7) and (23). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\|T(x)-Q(x)\|_{Y}= & \left(\frac{1}{|a|^{4 \beta}}\right)^{n}\left\|T\left(a^{n} x\right)-Q\left(a^{n} x\right)\right\|_{Y} \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{|a|^{4 \beta}}\right)^{n} K\left(\left\|T\left(a^{n} x\right)-f\left(a^{n} x\right)\right\|_{Y}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left\|f\left(a^{n} x\right)-Q\left(a^{n} x\right)\right\|_{Y}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{2}{|a|^{4 \beta n}} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty}\left(\frac{K}{|a|^{4 \beta}}\right)^{j} \phi\left(a^{j} x, 0\right), \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we immediately have the uniqueness of $Q$.

Theorem 13. Suppose that there exists a mapping $\phi: X^{2} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{+}:=[0, \infty)$ for which a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0)=$ 0 and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{a} f(x, y)\right\|_{Y} \leq \phi(x, y) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(|a|^{4 \beta} K\right)^{j} \phi\left(a^{-j} x, a^{-j} y\right)$ converges for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique Euler-Lagrange-Rassias quartic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ which satisfies (7) and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-Q(x)\|_{Y} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(|a|^{4 \beta} K\right)^{j} \phi\left(a^{-j} x, 0\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. If $x$ is replaced by (1/a)x in inequality (25), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(x)-a^{4} f\left(\frac{1}{a} x\right)\right\|_{Y} \leq \phi(x, 0) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. The remains of the proof follow from the proof of Theorem 12.

## 4. Stability in Quasi Fuzzy $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Normed Spaces

Let us fix some notations which will be used throughout this section. We assume $X$ is a vector space and $(Y, N)$ is a quasi fuzzy $\beta$-Banach space. We will prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the functional equation satisfying equation (7) in quasi fuzzy $\beta$-Banach space.

Theorem 14. Let $\phi: X^{2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function such that for some $0<|\alpha|<|a|^{4}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& N^{\prime}(\phi(a x, 0), t) \geq N^{\prime}(\alpha \phi(x, 0), t), \\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(a^{n} x, a^{n} y\right),|a|^{4 n \beta} t\right)=1, \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(D_{a} f(x, y), t\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\phi(x, y), t) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$.
Then $Q(x):=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1 / a^{4 n}\right) f\left(a^{n} x\right)$ exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique Euler-Lagrange-Rassias quartic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-Q(x), t) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\phi(x, 0), \frac{|a|^{4 \beta}-|\alpha|^{\beta}}{2} t\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$.
Proof. Let $y=0$ in inequality (36). Since $f(0)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(D_{a} f(x, 0), t\right) \\
& \quad=N\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}} f(a x)-f(x), \frac{t}{|a|^{4 \beta}}\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\phi(x, 0), t), \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Replacing $x$ by $a^{n} x$ in inequality (38),

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}} f\left(a^{n+1} x\right)-f\left(a^{n} x\right), \frac{t}{|a|^{4 \beta}}\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(a^{n} x, 0\right), t\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{n+1} f\left(a^{n+1} x\right)-\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{n} f\left(a^{n} x\right), \frac{t}{|a|^{4 \beta}} \frac{1}{|a|^{4 n \beta}}\right) \\
& \quad \geq N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(a^{n} x, 0\right), t\right) \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X, t>0$ and $n \geq 0$. Since $N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(a^{n} x, 0\right), t\right) \geq$ $N^{\prime}\left(\phi(x, 0),\left(t /|\alpha|^{n \beta}\right)\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{n+1} f\left(a^{n+1} x\right)-\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{n} f\left(a^{n} x\right), \frac{t}{|a|^{4 \beta}} \frac{1}{|a|^{4 n \beta}}\right)  \tag{41}\\
& \quad \geq N^{\prime}\left(\phi(x, 0), \frac{t}{|\alpha|^{n \beta}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By letting $t=|\alpha|^{n \beta} t$ in the previous inequality,

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{n+1} f\left(a^{n+1} x\right)-\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{n} f\left(a^{n} x\right), \frac{t}{|a|^{4 \beta}} \cdot\left(\frac{|\alpha|}{|a|^{4}}\right)^{n \beta}\right) \\
& \quad \geq N^{\prime}(\phi(x, 0), t) \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Hence we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{n} f\left(a^{n} x\right)-f(x), \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{t}{|a|^{4 \beta}} \cdot\left(\frac{|\alpha|}{|a|^{4}}\right)^{j \beta}\right) \\
& \geq \min \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1}\left[N \left(\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{j+1} f\left(a^{j+1} x\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad-\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{j} f\left(a^{j} x\right), \frac{t}{|a|^{4 \beta}} \cdot\left(\frac{|\alpha|}{|a|^{4}}\right)^{j \beta}\right)\right] \\
& \geq N^{\prime}(\phi(x, 0), t), \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Letting $x=a^{m} x$ in the previous inequality, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
N\left(\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{n} f\left(a^{n+m} x\right)-f\left(a^{m} x\right), \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{t}{|a|^{4 \beta}} \cdot\left(\frac{|\alpha|}{|a|^{4}}\right)^{j \beta}\right) \\
\quad \geq N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(a^{m} x, 0\right), t\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\phi(x, 0), \frac{t}{|\alpha|^{m \beta}}\right) \tag{44}
\end{gather*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{n+m} f\left(a^{n+m} x\right)-\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{m} f\left(a^{m} x\right)\right. \\
& \left.\frac{t}{|a|^{4 m \beta}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{|a|^{4 \beta}} \cdot\left(\frac{|\alpha|}{|a|^{4}}\right)^{j \beta}\right)  \tag{45}\\
& \geq N^{\prime}\left(\phi(x, 0), \frac{t}{|\alpha|^{m \beta}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Letting $t=|\alpha|^{m \beta} t$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N\left(\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{n+m} f\left(a^{n+m} x\right)-\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{m} f\left(a^{m} x\right)\right. \\
& \left.\frac{t}{|a|^{4 \beta}} \sum_{j=m}^{n+m-1}\left(\frac{|\alpha|}{|a|^{4}}\right)^{j \beta}\right) \\
& \quad \geq N^{\prime}(\phi(x, 0), t)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in X, t>0$ and $n, m \geq 0$. Hence $\left\{\left(1 / a^{4 n}\right) f\left(a^{n} x\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the quasi fuzzy $\beta$-Banach space $(Y, N)$. Thus, we may define

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x)=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a^{4 n}} f\left(a^{n} x\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence inequality (43) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& N(Q(x)-f(x), t) \\
& \quad \geq \min \left\{N\left(Q(x)-\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{n} f\left(a^{n} x\right), \frac{t}{2}\right),\right. \\
& \left.N\left(\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{n} f\left(a^{n} x\right)-f(x), \frac{t}{2}\right)\right\}  \tag{48}\\
& \geq N^{\prime}\left(\phi(x, 0), \frac{t}{\left(2 /|a|^{4 \beta}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(|\alpha| /|a|^{4}\right)^{j \beta}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

for $n$ large enough and all $x \in X$. Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using $\left(N_{6}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(Q(x)-f(x), t) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\phi(x, 0), \frac{|a|^{4 \beta}-|\alpha|^{\beta}}{2} t\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence it satisfies inequality (37). Now letting $x=a^{n} x$ and $y=a^{n} y$ in (36),

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(D_{a} f\left(a^{n} x, a^{n} y\right), t\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(a^{n} n, a^{n} y\right), t\right) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\left(\frac{1}{a^{4}}\right)^{n} D_{a} f\left(a^{n} x, a^{n} y\right), t\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(a^{n} n, a^{n} y\right),|a|^{4 \beta} t\right) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Since $N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(a^{n} n, a^{n} y\right),|a|^{4 \beta} t\right)=1$, we may conclude that the mapping $Q$ satisfies (7); that is, $Q$ is the Euler-Lagrange-Rassias quartic mapping. It is left to show that the quartic mapping $Q$ is unique. Assume there is another $T: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (7) and inequality (37). For each $x \in X$, clearly $Q\left(a^{n} x\right)=a^{4 n} Q(x)$ and $T\left(a^{n} x\right)=a^{4 n} T(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(T(x)-Q(x), t) & =N\left(\frac{1}{a^{4 n}} T\left(a^{n} x\right)-\frac{1}{a^{4 n}} Q\left(a^{n} x\right), t\right) \\
& =N\left(T\left(a^{n} x\right)-Q\left(a^{n} x\right),|a|^{4 n \beta} t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \geq \min \left\{N\left(T\left(a^{n} x\right)-f\left(a^{n} x\right), \frac{|a|^{4 n \beta} t}{2}\right),\right. \\
& N\left(f\left(a^{n} x\right)-Q\left(a^{n} x\right),\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad \frac{|a|^{4 n \beta} t}{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \geq N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(a^{n} x, 0\right), \frac{|a|^{4 \beta}-|\alpha|^{\beta}}{2} \cdot \frac{|a|^{4 n \beta} t}{2}\right) \\
& \geq N^{\prime}(\phi(x, 0), \\
& \left.\quad\left(\frac{|a|^{4}}{|\alpha|}\right)^{n \beta} \cdot \frac{|a|^{4 \beta}-|\alpha|^{\beta}}{4} t\right) \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$. Since $0<|\alpha|<|a|^{4}$, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(|a|^{4} /|\alpha|\right)^{n \beta}=\infty$. Hence $N(T(x)-Q(x), t)=1$; that is, the mapping $Q$ is unique, as desired.

Theorem 15. Let $\phi: X^{2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function such that for some $|\alpha|>|a|^{4}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(\frac{1}{a} x, 0\right), t\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\alpha \phi(x, 0), t) \\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(a^{-n} x, a^{-n} y\right), \frac{1}{|a|^{4 n \beta}} t\right)=1 \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(D_{a} f(x, y), t\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\phi(x, y), t) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$.
Then $Q(x):=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a^{4 n} f\left(\left(1 / a^{n}\right) x\right)$ exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique Euler-Lagrange-Rassias quartic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-Q(x), t) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\phi(x, 0), \frac{|\alpha|^{\beta}-|a|^{4 \beta}}{2|\alpha|^{2 \beta}} t\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$.
Proof. The techniques are completely similar to the proof of Theorem 14. Hence we present some key idea of this proof. Let $y=0$ in inequality (54). Since $f(0)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(D_{a} f(x, 0), t\right) \\
& \quad=N\left(f(a x)-a^{4} f(x), t\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\phi(x, 0), t), \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Replacing $x$ by ( $1 / a) x$ in inequality (56), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(f(x)-a^{4} f\left(\frac{1}{a} x\right), t\right) \\
& \quad \geq N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(\frac{1}{a} x, 0\right), t\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\phi(x, 0), \frac{1}{|\alpha|^{\beta}} t\right) \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(f(x)-a^{4} f\left(\frac{1}{a} x\right),|\alpha|^{\beta} t\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\phi(x, 0), t) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. For positive integers $n$ and $m$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(a^{4(n+m)} f\left(\frac{1}{a^{n+m}} x\right)-a^{4 m} f\left(\frac{1}{a^{m}} x\right)\right.  \tag{59}\\
& \left.\quad|\alpha|^{\beta} \sum_{j=m}^{n+m-1}\left(\frac{|a|^{4}}{|\alpha|}\right)^{j \beta} t\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\phi(x, 0), t)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$. Hence we may conclude that $\left\{a^{4 n} f\left(\left(1 / a^{n}\right) x\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the quasi fuzzy $\beta$ Banach space $(Y, N)$. Thus we may define

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x)=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a^{4 n} f\left(\frac{1}{a^{n}} x\right) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Also, for any positive integer $n$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(a^{4 n} f\left(\frac{1}{a^{n}} x\right)-f(x), t\right) \\
& \quad \geq N^{\prime}\left(\phi(x, 0), \frac{t}{|\alpha|^{\beta} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(|a|^{4} /|\alpha|\right)^{j \beta}}\right) \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. This implies inequality (55).

## Acknowledgment

The present research was conducted by the research fund of Dankook University in 2013.

## References

[1] S. M. Ulam, A Collection of Mathematical Problems, Interscience Publishers, New York, NY, USA, 1960.
[2] D. H. Hyers, "On the stability of the linear functional equation," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 27, pp. 222-224, 1941.
[3] T. Aoki, "On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces," Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan, vol. 2, pp. 64-66, 1950.
[4] T. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces," Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 297-300, 1978.
[5] Z. Gajda, "On stability of additive mappings," International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 431-434, 1991.
[6] S. Czerwik, "On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces," Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg, vol. 62, pp. 59-64, 1992.
[7] T. M. Rassias, "On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 251, no. 1, pp. 264-284, 2000.
[8] T. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, "On the Hyers-Ulam stability of linear mappings," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 173, no. 2, pp. 325-338, 1993.
[9] T. M. Rassias and K. Shibata, "Variational problem of some quadratic functionals in complex analysis," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 228, no. 1, pp. 234-253, 1998.
[10] J.-H. Bae and W.-G. Park, "On the generalized Hyers-UlamRassias stability in Banach modules over a C $C^{x}$-algebra," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 294, no. 1, pp. 196-205, 2004.
[11] J. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the Euler-Lagrange functional equation," Chinese Journal of Mathematics, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 185-190, 1992.
[12] K.-W. Jun and H.-M. Kim, "On the stability of Euler-Lagrange type cubic mappings in quasi-Banach spaces," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 332, no. 2, pp. 1335-1350, 2007.
[13] H.-M. Kim and M.-Y. Kim, "Generalized stability of EulerLagrange quadratic functional equation," Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2012, Article ID 219435, 16 pages, 2012.
[14] A. Zivari-Kazempour and M. E. Gordji, "Generalized HyersUlam stabilities of an Euler-Lagrange-Rassias quadratic functional equation," Asian-European Journal of Mathematics, vol. 5, no. 1, Article ID 1250014, 7 pages, 2012.
[15] T. Z. Xu and J. M. Rassias, "Stability of general multi-EulerLagrange quadratic functional equations in non-archimedean fuzzy normed spaces," Advances in Difference Equations, vol. 2012, no. 1, 2012.
[16] J. M. Rassias, "Solution of the Ulam stability problem for quartic mappings," Glasnik Matematički, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 243-252, 1999.
[17] J. K. Chung and P. K. Sahoo, "On the general solution of a quartic functional equation," Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 565-576, 2003.
[18] Y.-S. Lee and S.-Y. Chung, "Stability of quartic functional equations in the spaces of generalized functions," Advances in Difference Equations, vol. 2009, Article ID 838347, 16 pages, 2009.
[19] Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss, Geometric Nonlinear Functional Analysis. Vol. 1, vol. 48, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 2000.
[20] S. Rolewicz, Metric Linear Spaces, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, Poland, 2nd edition, 1984.
[21] A. K. Katsaras, "Fuzzy topological vector spaces. II," Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 143-154, 1984.
[22] C. Wu and J. Fang, "Fuzzy generalization of Klomogoros theorem," Journal of Harbin Institute of Technology, vol. 1, pp. 1-7, 1984.
[23] T. Bag and S. K. Samanta, "Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces," Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 687-705, 2003.
[24] C. Felbin, "Finite-dimensional fuzzy normed linear space," Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 239-248, 1992.
[25] S. V. Krishna and K. K. M. Sarma, "Separation of fuzzy normed linear spaces," Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 207-217, 1994.
[26] J.-Z. Xiao and X.-H. Zhu, "Fuzzy normed space of operators and its completeness," Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 133, no. 3, pp. 389-399, 2003.
[27] A. K. Mirmostafaee, M. Mirzavaziri, and M. S. Moslehian, "Fuzzy stability of the Jensen functional equation," Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 159, no. 6, pp. 730-738, 2008.
[28] S. C. Cheng and J. N. Mordeson, "Fuzzy linear operators and fuzzy normed linear spaces," Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society, vol. 86, no. 5, pp. 429-436, 1994.
[29] A. K. Mirmostafaee and M. S. Moslehian, "Fuzzy versions of Hyers-Ulam-Rassias theorem," Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 159, no. 6, pp. 720-729, 2008.
[30] A. K. Mirmostafaee, "A fixed point approach to almost quartic mappings in quasi fuzzy normed spaces," Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 160, no. 11, pp. 1653-1662, 2009.
[31] H.-M. Kim, "On the stability problem for a mixed type of quartic and quadratic functional equation," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 324, no. 1, pp. 358-372, 2006.
[32] K. Jun and H. Kim, "Solution of Ulam stability problem for approximately biquadratic mappings and functional inequalities," Journal of Inequalities and Applications. In press.

