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Four fixed point theorems for nonlinear set-valued contractive mappings in complete metric spaces are proved. The results presented in this paper are extensions of a few well-known fixed point theorems. Two examples are also provided to illustrate our results.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The existence of fixed points for various set-valued contractive mappings had been researched by many authors under different conditions, see, for example, [1-9] and the references cited therein. In 1969, Nadler [7] proved a well-known fixed point theorem for the set-valued contraction mapping (1.1) below.

Theorem 1.1 (see [7]). Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow C B(X)$ be a set-valued mapping such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(T x, T y) \leq r d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r \in(0,1)$ is a constant. Then $T$ has a fixed point.
In 1972, Reich [8] extended Nadler's result and established an interesting fixed point theorem for the set-valued contraction mapping (1.2) below.

Theorem 1.2 (see [8]). Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow C(X)$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(T x, T y) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)) d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi:(0,+\infty) \longrightarrow[0,1) \text { with } \limsup _{r \rightarrow+^{+}} \varphi(r)<1, \quad \forall t \in(0,+\infty) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $T$ has a fixed point.
In [8] Reich posed the question whether Theorem 1.2 is also true for the set-valued contractive mapping $T: X \rightarrow C B(X)$ with (1.2). The affirmative answer under the hypothesis of $\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow t^{+}} \varphi(r)<1$, for all $t \in[0,+\infty)$ was given by Mizoguchi and Takahashi in [6]. They deduced the following fixed point theorem which is a generalization of the Nadler fixed point theorem.

Theorem 1.3 (see [6]). Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow C B(X)$ satisfy (1.2), where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi:(0,+\infty) \longrightarrow[0,1) \text { with } \limsup _{r \rightarrow+} \varphi(r)<1, \quad \forall t \in[0,+\infty) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $T$ has a fixed point.
Remark 1.4. It is clear that the mappings $T$ in Theorems 1.1-1.3 are continuous on $X$.
Remark 1.5. Each of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 ensures that $T$ has a fixed point $a \in T a \subseteq X$, which together with (1.2) implies that $\varphi(0)=\varphi(d(a, a))$, that is, $\varphi$ is defined at 0 . Thus the domain of $\varphi$ in each of (1.3) and (1.4) should be [ $0,+\infty$ ) but not $(0,+\infty)$.

The aim of this paper is to present four fixed point theorems for some nonlinear setvalued contractive mappings. Our results extend, improve, and unify the corresponding results in [6-8]. Two nontrivial examples are given to show that our results are genuine generalizations or different from these results in [6-8].

Throughout this paper, we assume that $\mathbb{R}=(-\infty,+\infty), \mathbb{R}^{+}=[0,+\infty), \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{0}$ denote the sets of all positive integers and nonnegative integers, respectively, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta=\left\{\theta: \theta: \mathbb{R}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+} \text {satisfies (a)-(d) }\right\}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
(a) $\theta$ is nondecreasing on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$;
(b) $\theta(t)>0$, for all $t \in(0,+\infty)$;
(c) $\theta$ is subadditive in $(0,+\infty)$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right) \leq \theta\left(t_{1}\right)+\theta\left(t_{2}\right), \quad \forall t_{1}, t_{2} \in(0,+\infty) ; \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) $\theta\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)=\mathbb{R}^{+}$.

Clearly (a)-(d) imply that
(e) $\theta$ is strictly inverse on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$, that is, if there exist $t, s \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$satisfying $\theta(t)<\theta(s)$, then $t<s$.

Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space, $C L(X), C B(X)$, and $C(X)$ denote the families of all nonempty closed, all nonempty bounded closed, and all nonempty compact subsets of $X$. For $x \in X$ and $A, B \in C L(X)$, put $d(x, A)=\inf \{d(x, y): y \in A\}$ and

$$
H(A, B)= \begin{cases}\max \left\{\sup _{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup _{y \in B} d(y, A)\right\}, & \text { if the maximum exists }  \tag{1.7}\\ +\infty, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Such a mapping $H$ is called a generalized Hausdorff metric induced by $d$ in $C L(X)$. It is well known that $H$ is a metric on $C B(X)$. Let $T: X \rightarrow C L(X)$ be a set-valued mapping, $x_{0} \in X$ and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=d(x, T x), \quad \forall x \in X . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ is said to be an orbit of $T$ if it satisfies that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \subset X$ and $x_{n} \in T x_{n-1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. The function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is said to be T-orbitally lower semicontinuous at $z \in X$ if for each orbit $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \subset X$ of $T$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=z$, we have that $f(z) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{n}\right)$.

## 2. Main Results

The following lemmas play important roles in this paper.
Lemma 2.1. Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and $B \in C L(X)$. Then for each $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $b \in B$ satisfying $d(x, b) \leq d(x, B)+\varepsilon$.

Proof. Suppose that there exist $x_{0} \in X$ and $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x_{0}, b\right)>d\left(x_{0}, B\right)+\varepsilon_{0}, \quad \forall b \in B, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x_{0}, B\right)=\inf _{b \in B} d\left(x_{0}, b\right) \geq d\left(x_{0}, B\right)+\varepsilon_{0}>d\left(x_{0}, B\right), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.
Lemma 2.2. Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space, $B \in C L(X)$ and $\theta \in \Theta$. Then for each $x \in X$ and $q>1$ there exists $b \in B$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(d(x, b)) \leq q \theta(d(x, B)) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and $q>1$. Now we consider two possible cases as follows.
Case 1. Suppose that $\theta(d(x, B))=0$. It follows from (b) and (d) that $d(x, B)=0$. Since $B$ is a closed subset of $X$, it follows that $x \in B$. Put $b=x$. Clearly (2.3) holds.

Case 2. Suppose that $\theta(d(x, B))>0$. Note that (b) and (d) mean that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q-1) \theta(d(x, B)) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \backslash\{0\}=\theta\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \backslash\{0\}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $p \in \theta^{-1}((q-1) \theta(d(x, B)))$ and $\varepsilon=p / 2>0$. Lemma 2.1 ensures that there exists $b \in B$ satisfying $d(x, b) \leq d(x, B)+\varepsilon$, which together with (a) and (c) gives that

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta(d(x, b)) & \leq \theta(d(x, B)+\varepsilon) \leq \theta(d(x, B))+\theta(\varepsilon) \\
& \leq \theta(d(x, B))+\theta\left(\theta^{-1}((q-1) \theta(d(x, B)))\right)=q \theta(d(x, B)) \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

That is, (2.3) holds. This completes the proof.
Now we prove four fixed point theorems for the nonlinear set-valued contractive mappings (2.6), (2.25), (2.26), and (2.36) below in complete metric spaces.

Theorem 2.3. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow C L(X)$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(d(y, T y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)) \theta(d(x, y)), \quad \forall(x, y) \in X \times T x \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta \in \Theta$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{+} \longrightarrow[0,1) \text { with } \limsup _{r \rightarrow t^{+}} \varphi(r)<1, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for each $x_{0} \in X$, there exists an orbit $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ of $T$ and $z \in X$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=z$. Furthermore, $z \in X$ is fixed point of $T$ if and only if the function $f$ defined by (1.8) is $T$ orbitally lower semicontinuous at $z$.

Proof. Let $x_{0} \in X$ be any initial point and choose $x_{1} \in T x_{0}$. It follows from (2.6), (2.7) and Lemma 2.2 that for $q_{1}=1 / \max \left\{\sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right)}, 1 / 2\right\}>1$ there exists $x_{2} \in T x_{1}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta\left(d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right) & \leq \frac{\theta\left(d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)\right)}{\max \left\{\sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right)}, 1 / 2\right\}} \leq \frac{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right) \theta\left(d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right)}{\max \left\{\sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right)}, 1 / 2\right\}}  \tag{2.8}\\
& \leq \sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right)} \theta\left(d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and for $q_{2}=1 / \max \left\{\sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)}, 1 / 3\right\}>1$ there exists $x_{3} \in T x_{2}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta\left(d\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right) & \leq \frac{\theta\left(d\left(x_{2}, T x_{2}\right)\right)}{\max \left\{\sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)}, 1 / 3\right\}} \leq \frac{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right) \theta\left(d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)}{\max \left\{\sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)}, 1 / 3\right\}}  \tag{2.9}\\
& \leq \sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)} \theta\left(d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Repeating the above argument we obtain a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \subset X$ such that $x_{k} \in T x_{k-1}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and for $q_{n}=1 / \max \left\{\sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)}, 1 /(n+1)\right\}>1$, there exists $x_{n+1} \in T x_{n}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right) & \leq \frac{\theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right)}{\max \left\{\sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)}, 1 /(n+1)\right\}} \\
& \leq \frac{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right) \theta\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)}{\max \left\{\sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)}, 1 /(n+1)\right\}}  \tag{2.10}\\
& \leq \sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)} \theta\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right), \quad \forall n \geq 1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that there exists some $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ satisfying $x_{n_{0}}=x_{n_{0}+1} \in T x_{n_{0}}$. It follows from (a), (b), and (2.10) that $x_{n}=x_{n_{0}}$ for all $n \geq n_{0}+1$. It is clear the conclusion of Theorem 2.3 holds.

Suppose that $x_{n+1} \in T x_{n} \backslash\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. It follows that $d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)>0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Note that (b), (2.7), and (2.10) give that $\left\{\theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a positive and decreasing sequence. It follows from (e) that $\left\{d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing. Therefore, there exist constants $p$ and $q$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right)=p \geq 0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)=q \geq 0 . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that (2.7) implies that there exists a constant $r$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(d\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right)\right) \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow q^{+}} \varphi(t)=r \in[0,1) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking upper limits in (2.10) and by (2.11) and (2.12) we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \leq \sqrt{\limsup } \varphi\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right) \limsup \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right) \leq \sqrt{r} p, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $p=0$.
Next we assert that $q=0$. Since $\left\{d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ is a decreasing sequence, it follows from (a) and (2.11) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \theta(q)<\theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right) \longrightarrow p=0 \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty, \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $\theta(q)=0$, which together with (b) and (d) yields that $q=0$.
Put $c=(1+r) / 2$. It follows from (2.12) that $c \in(r, 1) \subset[0,1)$, which gives that $c^{2} \in$ $(r, 1)$. Notice that (2.11), (2.12), and $q=0$ ensure that there exist $\mathcal{\delta}>0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t)<c^{2}, \quad \forall t \in(0, \delta), \quad d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)<\delta, \quad \forall n \geq N, \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right)<c^{2}, \quad \forall n \geq N \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (2.10) and (2.16) mean that

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right) & \leq \prod_{k=N}^{n-1} \sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{k}, x_{k+1}\right)\right)} \theta\left(d\left(x_{N}, x_{N+1}\right)\right)  \tag{2.17}\\
& \leq c^{n-N} \theta\left(d\left(x_{N}, x_{N+1}\right)\right), \quad \forall n \geq N
\end{align*}
$$

Given $\varepsilon>0$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c^{n-N} \theta\left(d\left(x_{N}, x_{N+1}\right)\right)=0$, it follows from (b) that there exists $N_{1}>$ $N$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c^{n-N}}{1-c} \theta\left(d\left(x_{N}, x_{N+1}\right)\right)<\theta(\varepsilon), \quad \forall n \geq N_{1} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with (2.17), (a), and (c) gives that

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right)\right) & \leq \theta\left(\sum_{k=n}^{m-1} d\left(x_{k}, x_{k+1}\right)\right) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \theta\left(d\left(x_{k}, x_{k+1}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} c^{k-N} \theta\left(d\left(x_{N}, x_{N+1}\right)\right)  \tag{2.19}\\
& \leq \frac{c^{n-N}}{1-c} \theta\left(d\left(x_{N}, x_{N+1}\right)\right)<\theta(\varepsilon), \quad \forall m>n \geq N_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (e) and (2.19), we deduce that $d\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right)<\varepsilon$, for all $m>n \geq N_{1}$, which means that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Hence there exists $z \in X$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=z$ by completeness of $X$.

Suppose that $f$ is $T$ orbitally lower semicontinuous at $z$. Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an orbit of $T$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=z$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{n}\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.6) and (2.7), we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right) \leq \varphi\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right) \theta\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)<\theta\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with (e), (2.11), and $q=0$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)<d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty, \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)=0$, which together with (2.20) yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq d(z, T z)=f(z) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives that $d(z, T z)=0$, that is, $z \in T z$.
Conversely, suppose that $z \in X$ is a fixed point of $T$. Let $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \subset X$ be an arbitrarily orbit of $T$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=z$. It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=d(z, T z)=0 \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(y_{n}\right), \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $f$ is $T$ orbitally lower semicontinuous at $z$. This completes the proof.
Notice that $d(y, T y) \leq H(T x, T y)$ for each $y \in T x$. In light of Theorem 2.3, we have
Theorem 2.4. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow C L(X)$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(H(T x, T y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)) \theta(d(x, y)), \quad \forall(x, y) \in X \times T x \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta \in \Theta$ and $\varphi$ satisfies (2.7). Then for each $x_{0} \in X$, there exists an orbit $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ of $T$ and $z \in X$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=z$. Furthermore, $z \in X$ is fixed point of $T$ if and only if the function $f$ defined by (1.8) is $T$ orbitally lower semicontinuous at $z$.

If $\varphi(d(x, y))$ in (2.6) is replaced by $\varphi(d(x, T x))$, one has
Theorem 2.5. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow C L(X)$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(d(y, T y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, T x)) \theta(d(x, y)), \quad \forall(x, y) \in X \times T x \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta \in \Theta$ and $\varphi$ satisfies (2.7). Then for each $x_{0} \in X$, there exists an orbit $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ of $T$ and $z \in X$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=z$. Furthermore, $z \in X$ is fixed point of $T$ if and only if the function $f$ defined by (1.8) is T orbitally lower semicontinuous at $z$.

Proof. Let $x_{0} \in X$ be any initial point and choose $x_{1} \in T x_{0}$. It follows from (2.7), (2.26), and Lemma 2.2 that for $q=1 / \max \left\{\sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{0}, T x_{0}\right)\right)}, \sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)\right)}, 1 / 2\right\}>1$ there exists $x_{2} \in T x_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta\left(d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right) & \leq \frac{\theta\left(d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)\right)}{\max \left\{\sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{0}, T x_{0}\right)\right)}, \sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)\right)}, 1 / 2\right\}} \\
& \leq \frac{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{0}, T x_{0}\right)\right) \theta\left(d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right)}{\max \left\{\sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{0}, T x_{0}\right)\right)}, \sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)\right)}, 1 / 2\right\}} \\
& \leq \sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{0}, T x_{0}\right)\right)} \theta\left(d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right),  \tag{2.27}\\
\theta\left(d\left(x_{2}, T x_{2}\right)\right) & \leq \varphi\left(d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)\right) \theta\left(d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)\right) \theta\left(d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)\right)}{\max \left\{\sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{0}, T x_{0}\right)\right)}, \sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)\right)}, 1 / 2\right\}} \\
& \leq \sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)\right)} \theta\left(d\left(x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Repeating the above argument we obtain a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \subset X$ satisfying $x_{n+1} \in T x_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right) & \leq \frac{\theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right)}{\left.\max \left\{\sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, T x_{n-1}\right)\right.}\right), \sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right)}, 1 /(n+1)\right\}} \\
& \leq \frac{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, T x_{n-1}\right)\right) \theta\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right)}{\max \left\{\sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, T x_{n-1}\right)\right)}, \sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right)}, 1 /(n+1)\right\}}  \tag{2.28}\\
& \leq \sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, T x_{n-1}\right)\right)} \theta\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \\
\theta\left(d\left(x_{n+1}, T x_{n+1}\right)\right) & \leq \varphi\left(d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right) \theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right) \theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right)}{\max \left\{\sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, T x_{n-1}\right)\right)}, \sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right)}, 1 /(n+1)\right\}}  \tag{2.29}\\
& \leq \sqrt{\varphi\left(d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right) \theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} .}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that $x_{n_{0}} \in T x_{n_{0}}$ for some $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. It is easy to verify that $x_{n}=x_{n_{0}}$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$ and the conclusion of Theorem 2.5 holds.

Suppose that $x_{n} \notin T x_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. It follows that $\left\{d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ and $\left\{d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ are positive sequences. Combining (2.7), (2.28), (2.29), (b) and (e), we infer that $\left\{\theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ and $\left\{\theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ are both positive and decreasing, so do $\left\{d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ and $\left\{d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$. It follows that there exist constants $\alpha, \beta, s$ and $t$ satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right)=\alpha \geq 0, & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)=\beta \geq 0,  \tag{2.30}\\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right)=s \geq 0, & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)=t \geq 0 .
\end{array}
$$

Notice that (2.7) implies that there exists a constant $r$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right) \leq \limsup _{l \rightarrow t^{+}} \varphi(l)=r \in[0,1) \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking upper limits in (2.29) and by (2.30) and (2.31) we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \leq \sqrt{\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right)} \limsup \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right) \leq \sqrt{r} s \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $s=0$, which together with (2.30) and (a) ensures that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \theta(t)<\theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad n \longrightarrow \infty \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $\theta(t)=0$, which gives that $t=0$ by (b) and (d). It follows from (2.28), (2.30), and (2.31) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \leq \sqrt{\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)\right)} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta\left(d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right) \leq \sqrt{r} \alpha \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields that $\alpha=0$. Notice that (2.30) and (a) guarantee that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \theta(\beta)<\theta\left(d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad n \longrightarrow \infty \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with (b) and (d) yields that $\beta=0$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.3 and is omitted. This completes the proof.

The result below follows from Theorem 2.5.
Theorem 2.6. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow C L(X)$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(H(T x, T y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, T x)) \theta(d(x, y)), \quad \forall(x, y) \in X \times T x \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta \in \Theta$ and $\varphi$ satisfies (2.7). Then for each $x_{0} \in X$, there exists an orbit $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ of $T$ and $z \in X$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=z$. Furthermore, $z \in X$ is fixed point of $T$ if and only if the function $f$ defined by (1.8) is T orbitally lower semicontinuous at $z$.

## 3. Comparisons and Examples

Now we construct two examples to compare the results in Section 2 with the corresponding results in [6-8].

Remark 3.1. Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 extend Theorems 1.1-1.3, and Theorems 2.5 and 2.6 are different from Theorems 1.1-1.3, respectively, in the following ways:
(1) the ranges $C L(X)$ of the nonlinear set-valued contractive mappings $T$ in Theorems 2.3-2.6 are more general than the ranges $C(X)$ and $C B(X)$ of the set-valued contraction mappings $T$ in Theorems 1.1-1.3, respectively;
(2) the $T$ orbit lower semicontinuity at some $z \in X$ of the functions $f(x)=d(x, T x)$ in Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 is weaker than the continuity of the set-valued contraction mappings $T$ in $X$ in Theorems 1.1-1.3, respectively;
(3) the set-valued contraction mappings (1.1) and (1.2) are special cases of the nonlinear set-valued contractive mapping (2.6) with $\theta \equiv 1$ because

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(y, T y) \leq H(T x, T y), \quad \forall(x, y) \in X \times T x . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 3.2 below shows that Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 extend substantively Theorems 1.1-1.3, respectively.

Example 3.2. Let $X=(-\infty, 3 / 10]$ and $d$ be the standard metric in $X$. Let $\theta: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$, $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow[0,1)$ and $T: X \rightarrow C L(X)$ be defined by

$$
\theta(t)=t^{1 / 2}, \quad \varphi(t)=\frac{2 \sqrt{6}}{5}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, \quad T x= \begin{cases}\left(-\infty, \frac{1}{4} x\right], & \forall x \in(-\infty, 0)  \tag{3.2}\\ {\left[0,2 x^{2}\right],} & \forall x \in\left[0, \frac{3}{10}\right]\end{cases}
$$

respectively. It is clear that $\theta \in \Theta, \varphi$ satisfies (2.7) and

$$
f(x)=d(x, T x)= \begin{cases}0, & \forall x \in(-\infty, 0)  \tag{3.3}\\ x-2 x^{2}, & \forall x \in\left[0, \frac{3}{10}\right]\end{cases}
$$

is $T$ orbitally lower semicontinuous in $X$. In order to prove (2.6) holds, we consider two possible cases.

Case 1. Let $x \in(-\infty, 0)$ and $y \in T x=(-\infty,(1 / 4) x]$. It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(d(y, T y)) \leq \theta(H(T x, T y))=\frac{1}{2} \theta(d(x, y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)) \theta(d(x, y)) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2. Let $x \in[0,3 / 10]$ and $y \in T x=\left[0,2 x^{2}\right]$. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta(d(y, T y)) & \leq \theta(H(T x, T y))=\sqrt{2}|x+y|^{1 / 2} \theta(d(x, y)) \\
& \leq \sqrt{2}\left(\frac{3}{10}+\frac{9}{50}\right)^{1 / 2} \theta(d(x, y))=\varphi(d(x, y)) \theta(d(x, y)), \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

that is, (2.6) holds. Therefore all assumptions of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 are satisfied. It follows from each of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 that $T$ has a fixed point in $X$. However, we cannot invoke any one of Theorems 1.1-1.3 to show the existence of fixed points for the mapping $T$ in $X$. Indeed, taking $x_{0}=3 / 10$ and $y_{0}=1 / 5$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(T x_{0}, T y_{0}\right)=d\left(2\left(\frac{3}{10}\right)^{2}, 2\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{10} \neq \frac{r}{10}=r d\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right), \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $r \in(0,1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(T x_{0}, T y_{0}\right)=d\left(2\left(\frac{3}{10}\right)^{2}, 2\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{10} \neq \frac{1}{10} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{10}\right)=\varphi\left(d\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right) d\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right), \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any mapping $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow[0,1)$ with each of (1.3) and (1.4).
Next we construct an example to explain Theorems 2.5 and 2.6.
Example 3.3. Let $X=[-3 / 10,+\infty)$ and $d$ be the standard metric in $X$. Define $\theta: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$, $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow[0,1)$ and $T: X \rightarrow C L(X)$ by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta(t)=t^{1 / 2}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, \quad \varphi(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
2 \sqrt{2} t^{1 / 2}, & \forall t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{8}\right), \\
\frac{2 \sqrt{6}}{5}, & \forall t \in\{0\} \cup\left[\frac{1}{8},+\infty\right), \\
T x= \begin{cases}{\left[\frac{x}{4(1+x)},+\infty\right),} & \forall x \in(0,+\infty), \\
{\left[-2 x^{2}, 0\right],} & \forall x \in\left[-\frac{3}{10}, 0\right],\end{cases}
\end{array} . ; 口 \begin{array}{l}
\end{array},\right. \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

respectively. It is easy to see that (2.7) holds and

$$
f(x)=d(x, T x)= \begin{cases}0, & \forall x \in(0,+\infty),  \tag{3.9}\\ -2 x^{2}-x, & \forall x \in\left[-\frac{3}{10}, 0\right]\end{cases}
$$

is $T$ orbitally lower semicontinuous in $X$. In order to check (2.26), we have to consider two cases as follows.

Case 1. Let $x \in(0,+\infty)$ and $y \in T x=[x / 4(1+x),+\infty)$. It is clear that

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta(d(y, T y)) & =0 \leq \theta(H(T x, T y))=\left|\frac{x}{4(1+x)}-\frac{y}{4(1+y)}\right|^{1 / 2} \\
& =\frac{\theta(d(x, y))}{2(1+x)^{1 / 2}(1+y)^{1 / 2}} \leq \frac{\theta(d(x, y))}{2(1+x)^{1 / 2}(1+x / 4(1+x))^{1 / 2}}  \tag{3.10}\\
& =\frac{\theta(d(x, y))}{(5 x+4)^{1 / 2}} \leq \frac{\theta(d(x, y))}{2} \leq \frac{2 \sqrt{6}}{5} \theta(d(x, y)) \\
& =\varphi(0) \theta(d(x, y))=\varphi(d(x, T x)) \theta(d(x, y)) .
\end{align*}
$$

Case 2. Let $x \in[-3 / 10,0]$ and $y \in T x=\left[-2 x^{2}, 0\right]$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(d(y, T y)) \leq \theta(H(T x, T y))=\sqrt{2}|x+y|^{1 / 2} \theta(d(x, y)) \leq \sqrt{2}\left|x-2 x^{2}\right|^{1 / 2} \theta(d(x, y)) . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{2}\left|x-2 x^{2}\right|^{1 / 2} \theta(d(x, y))=0 \leq \varphi(d(x, T x)) \theta(d(x, y)) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x \in[-3 / 10,-1 / 4) \cup(-1 / 4,0)$, we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{2}\left|x-2 x^{2}\right|^{1 / 2} \theta(d(x, y)) \leq 2 \sqrt{2}\left(-2 x^{2}-x\right)^{1 / 2} \theta(d(x, y))=\varphi(d(x, T x)) \theta(d(x, y)) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x=-1 / 4$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{2}\left|x-2 x^{2}\right|^{1 / 2} \theta(d(x, y))=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \theta(d(x, y)) \leq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{8}\right) \theta(d(x, y))=\varphi(d(x, T x)) \theta(d(x, y)) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence (2.26) holds. Thus all assumptions of Theorems 2.5 and 2.6 are satisfied. It follows from each of Theorems 2.5 and 2.6 that $T$ has a fixed point in $X$.

Taking $x_{0}=1$ and $y_{0}=-3 / 10$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(T x_{0}, T y_{0}\right)=H\left(\left[\frac{1}{8},+\infty\right),\left[-\frac{9}{50}, 0\right]\right)=+\infty \nless \frac{13 r}{10}=r d\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right), \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $r \in(0,1)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(T x_{0}, T y_{0}\right)=+\infty \not 又 \frac{2 \sqrt{6}}{5} \cdot \frac{13}{10}=\varphi\left(d\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right) d\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right), \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any mapping $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow[0,1)$ with each of (1.3) and (1.4). That is, Theorems 1.1-1.3 are inapplicable in proving the existence of fixed points for the nonlinear set-valued contractive mapping $T$.
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