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Let $\Phi(G, \lambda)=\operatorname{det}\left(\lambda I_{n}-L(G)\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} c_{k}(G) \lambda^{n-k}$ be the characteristic polynomial of the Laplacian matrix of a graph $G$ of order $n$. In this paper, we give four transforms on graphs that decrease all Laplacian coefficients $c_{k}(G)$ and investigate a conjecture A. Ilić and M. Ilić (2009) about the Laplacian coefficients of unicyclic graphs with $n$ vertices and $m$ pendent vertices. Finally, we determine the graph with the smallest Laplacian-like energy among all the unicyclic graphs with $n$ vertices and $m$ pendent vertices.

## 1. Introduction

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple undirected graph with $n$ vertices and $|E|$ edges and, let $L(G)=$ $D(G)-A(G)$ be its Laplacian matrix. The Laplacian polynomial of $G$ is the characteristic polynomial of its Laplacian matrix. That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(G, \lambda)=\operatorname{det}\left(\lambda I_{n}-L(G)\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} c_{k}(G) \lambda^{n-k} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Laplacian matrix $L(G)$ has nonnegative eigenvalues $\mu_{1} \geq \mu_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{n-1} \geq \mu_{n}=0$ [1]. From Viette's formulas,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}(G)=\sigma_{k}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{n-1}\right)=\sum_{I \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\},|I|=k} \prod_{i \in I} \mu_{i} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a symmetric polynomial of order $n-1$. In particular, we have $c_{0}(G)=1, c_{1}(G)=$ $2|E(G)|, c_{n}(G)=0$ and $c_{n-1}(G)=n \tau(G)$, where $\tau(G)$ is the number of spanning trees of $G$. If $G$ is a tree, coefficient $c_{n-2}(G)$ is equal to its Wiener index, which is a sum of distance between all pairs of vertices:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n-2}(G)=W(G)=\sum_{u, v \in V} d(u, v) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Wiener index is considered as one of the most used topological indices with high correlation with many physical and chemical properties of molecular compounds.

A unicyclic graph is a connected graph in which the number of vertices equals the number of edges. Recently, the study on the Laplacian coefficients attracts much attention.

Mohar [2] proved that among all trees of order $n$, the $k$ th Laplacian coefficients $c_{k}(G)$ are largest when the tree is a path and are smallest for stars. Stevanović and Ilić [3] showed that among all connected unicyclic graphs of order $n$, the $k$ th Laplacian coefficients $c_{k}(G)$ are largest when the graph is a cycle $C_{n}$ and smallest when the graph is an $S_{n}$ with an additional edge between two of its pendent vertices, where $S_{n}$ is a star of order $n$. He and Shan [4] proved that among all bicyclic graphs of order $n$, the $k$ th Laplacian coefficients $c_{k}(G)$ is smallest when the graph is obtained from $C_{4}$ by adding one edge connecting two non-adjacent vertices and adding $n-4$ pendent vertices attached to the vertex of degree 3 . A. Ilić and M. Ilić [5] verified that among trees on $n$ vertices and $m$ leaves, the balanced starlike tree $S(n, m)$ (see Definition 2.2) has minimal Laplacian coefficients. Some other works on Laplacian coefficients can be found in [6-8].

In this paper, we determine the smallest $k$ th Laplacian coefficients $c_{k}(G)$ among all unicyclic graphs with $n$ vertices and $m$ pendent vertices. Thus we completely solve a conjecture on the minimal Laplacian coefficients of unicyclic graphs with $n$ vertices and $m$ pendent vertices (see [5]).

Motivated by the results in [3, 4, 9-12] concerning the minimal Laplacian coefficients and Laplacian-like energy of some graphs and the minimal molecular graph energy of unicyclic graphs with $n$ vertices and $m$ pendent vertices, this paper will characterize the unicyclic graphs with $n$ vertices and $m$ pendent vertices, which minimize Laplacian-like energy.

## 2. Transformations and Lemmas

In this section, we introduce some graphic transformations and lemmas, which can be used to prove our main results. The Laplacian coefficients $c_{k}(G)$ of a graph $G$ can be expressed in terms of subtree structures of $G$ by the following result of Kelmans and Chelnokov [13]. Let $F$ be a spanning forest of $G$ with components $T_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, k$ having $n_{i}$ vertices each, and let $\gamma(F)=\prod_{i=1}^{k} n_{i}$.

Lemma 2.1 (see [13]). The Laplacian coefficient $c_{n-k}(G)$ of a graph $G$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n-k}(G)=\sum_{F \in \mathscr{F}_{k}} r(F) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{k}$ is the set of all spanning forests of $G$ with exactly $k$ components.

For a real number $x$, we use $\lfloor x\rfloor$ to represent the largest integer not greater than $x$ and $[x]$ to represent the smallest integer not less than $x$.

Definition 2.2 (see [5]). The balanced starlike tree $S(n, m), 3 \leq m \leq n-1$, is a tree of order $n$ with just one center vertex $v$, and each of the $m$ branches of $T$ at $v$ is a path of length $\lfloor(n-1) / m\rfloor$ or $\lceil(n-1) / m\rceil$.

Let $P_{n}$ be the path with $n$ vertices. A path $P: v v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{k}$ in $G$ is called a pendent path if $d\left(v_{1}\right)=d\left(v_{2}\right)=\cdots=d\left(v_{k-1}\right)=2$ and $d\left(v_{k}\right)=1$. If $k=1$, then we say $v v_{1}$ is a pendent edge of the graph $G$. A leaf or pendent vertex is a vertex of degree one. A branching vertex is a vertex of degree greater than two. The $k$ paths $P_{l_{1}}, P_{l_{2}}, \ldots, P_{l_{k}}$ are said to have almost equal lengths if $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{k}$ satisfy $\left|l_{i}-l_{j}\right| \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$.

Definition 2.3 (see [5]). The dumbbell $D(n, a, b)$ consists of the path $P_{n-a-b}$ together with $a$ independent vertices adjacent to one leaf of $P_{n-a-b}$ and $b$ independent vertices adjacent to the other leaf.

The union $G=G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ of graph $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ with disjoint vertex sets $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ and edge sets $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ is the graph $G=(V, E)$ with $V=V_{1} \cup V_{2}$ and $E=E_{1} \cup E_{2}$. If $G$ is a union of two paths of lengths $a$ and $b$, then $G$ is disconnected and has $a+b$ vertices and $a+b-2$ edges. Let $m_{k}(G)$ be the number of matchings of $G$ containing exactly $k$ independent edges. Especially, let $m_{k}(a, b)$ be the number of $k$ matchings in $G=P_{a} \cup P_{b}$.

Lemma 2.4 (see [5]). Let $v$ be a vertex of nontrivial connected graph $G$, and let $G(p, q)$ denote the graph obtained from $G$ by adding pendent paths $P=v v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{p}$ and $Q=v u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{q}$, at vertex $v$. Assume that both numbers $p$ and $q$ are even. If $p-2 \geq q+2 \geq 4$, then for every $k$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{k}(G(p, q)) \leq m_{k}(G(p-2, q+2)) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.5 (see [12]). Let $m_{k}(a, b)$ be the number of $k$-matchings in $G=P_{a} \cup P_{b}$ and $n=4 s+r$ with $0 \leq r \leq 3$. Then the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{k}(n, 0) \geq m_{k}(n-2,2) \geq m_{k}(n-4,4) \geq \cdots \geq m_{k}(2 s+r, 2 s) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.6 (see [5]). Among trees on $n$ vertices and $2 \leq m \leq n-2$ leaves, the balanced starlike tree $S(n, m)$ has minimal Laplacian coefficient $c_{k}(G)$, for every $k=0,1, \ldots, n$.

Definition 2.7 (see [5]). Let $v$ be a vertex of a tree $T$ of degree $m+1$. Suppose that $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{m}$ are pendent paths incident with $v$, with lengths $n_{i} \geq 1, i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Let $w$ be the neighbor of $v$ distinct from the starting vertices of paths $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}$, respectively. We form a tree $T^{\prime}=\delta(T, v)$ by removing the edges $v v_{1}, v v_{2}, \ldots, v v_{m-1}$ from $T$ and adding $m-1$ new edges $w v_{1}, w v_{2}, \ldots, w v_{m-1}$ incident with $w$. We say that $T^{\prime}$ is a $\delta$-transform of $T$.

Lemma 2.8 (see [5]). Let $T$ be an arbitrary tree, rooted at the center vertex. Let vertex $v$ be on the deepest level of tree $T$ among all branching vertices with degree at least three. Then for the $\delta$ transformation tree $T^{\prime}=\delta(T, v)$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$ holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}(T) \geq c_{k}\left(T^{\prime}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1: Example of $\pi_{1}$-transformation.

Lemma 2.9 (see [14]). For every acyclic graph $T$ with $n$ vertices,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}(T)=m_{k}(S(T)), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S(T)$ means the subdivision graph of $T$.

## 3. Main Results

In this section, we present four new graphic transformations that decrease the Laplacian coefficients.

Definition 3.1. Let $u$ be a vertex in the cycle $C$ of a unicyclic graph $G$, such that $u$ has degree $p+2$ and $p$ pendent paths named $P_{l_{1}}, P_{l_{2}}, \ldots, P_{l_{p}}$, where $P_{l_{i}}: u_{i, 1}, u_{i, 2}, \ldots, u_{i, l_{i}}, 1 \leq i \leq p$. If $l_{i} \geq$ $l_{j}+2$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}=G-u_{i, l_{i}-1} u_{i, l_{i}}+u_{j, l_{j}} u_{i, l_{i}} \triangleq \pi_{1}(G) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $G_{1}$ is a $\pi_{1}$-transformation of $G$.
It is easy to see that $\pi_{1}$-transformation preserves the size of a cycle of $G$ and the number of pendent vertices.

Theorem 3.2. Let $G$ be a connected unicyclic graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ pendent vertices, $G_{1}=$ $\pi_{1}(G)$. Then for every $k=0,1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}(G) \geq c_{k}\left(G_{1}\right), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $k \in\{0,1, n-1, n\}$.
Proof. It is easy to see that $c_{0}\left(G_{1}\right)=c_{0}(G)=1, c_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)=2\left|E\left(G_{1}\right)\right|=2|E(G)|=c_{1}(G), c_{n}\left(G_{1}\right)=$ $c_{n}(G)=0, c_{n-1}\left(G_{1}\right)=n \tau\left(G_{1}\right)=n|E(C)|=n \tau(G)=c_{n-1}(G)$.

Now, consider the coefficients $c_{n-k}(k \neq 0,1, n-1, n)$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{k}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{k_{1}}$ be the sets of spanning forests of $G$ and $G_{1}$ with exactly $k$ components, respectively.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $l_{1} \geq l_{2}+2$. Let $G_{1}=\pi_{1}(G)=G-u_{1, l_{1}-1} u_{1, l_{1}}+$ $u_{2, l_{2}} u_{1, l 1}$ (see Figure 1).


Figure 2: Example of $\pi_{2}$-transformation.

Obviously, by the definition of the spanning forest, the cycle $C$ in the unicyclic graph satisfies that $C \notin F \in \mathcal{F}_{k}$ and $C \notin F_{1} \in \mathcal{F}_{k_{1}}$, where $F$ and $F_{1}$ are the arbitrary forests in $\mathcal{F}_{k}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{k_{1}}$, respectively. Without loss of generality, we remove one of the edges in the cycle $C$, say $u v$, so we get $T$ and $T^{\prime}$, respectively. By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.9 , we have that for every $k=0,1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}(T) \geq c_{k}\left(T^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $k \in\{0,1, n-1, n\}$. If we remove the other edge, say $x y$, we get $S$ and $S^{\prime}$, respectively. By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.9, we have that for every $k=0,1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}(S) \geq c_{k}\left(S^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $k \in\{0,1, n-1, n\}$.
It is easy to see that $T-x y=S-u v$ and $T^{\prime}-x y=S^{\prime}-u v$. We know that the numbers of the same tree of spanning forests of $T-x y$ and $T^{\prime}-x y$ with exactly $k$ components are equal to the numbers of the same tree of spanning forests of $S-u v$ and $S^{\prime}-u v$ with exactly $k$ components, respectively.

Applying to Definition 3.1 and Lemma 2.1, we can show that for every $k=0,1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}(G) \geq c_{k}\left(G_{1}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $k \in\{0,1, n-1, n\}$.

Definition 3.3. Let $v$ be a vertex in a cycle $C$ of a connected unicyclic graph $G$, where $d(v) \geq 3$. Suppose that $u$ is one of two neighbors adjacent to $v$ in $C$, such that $u$ has degree $p+2$ and $p$ pendent paths incident with $u$ and $v$ has degree $q+2$ and $q$ pendent paths incident with $v$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{2}=G-v v_{q+1}+u v_{q+1} \triangleq \pi_{2}(G), \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{q+1}$ is one of the other neighbors adjacent to $v$ in $C$. We say that $G_{2}$ is a $\pi_{2}{ }^{-}$ transformation of $G$ (see Figure 2).

Obviously, $\pi_{2}$-transformation decreases the size of a cycle of $G$ and preserves the number of pendent vertices.

Theorem 3.4. Let $G$ be a connected unicyclic graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ pendent vertices, $G_{2}=$ $\pi_{2}(G)$. Then for every $k=0,1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}(G) \geq c_{k}\left(G_{2}\right), \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $k \in\{0,1, n\}$.
Proof. Obviously, $c_{0}\left(G_{2}\right)=c_{0}(G)=1, c_{1}\left(G_{2}\right)=2\left|E\left(G_{2}\right)\right|=2|E(G)|=c_{1}(G), c_{n}\left(G_{2}\right)=c_{n}(G)=0$. For $k=n-1$, the length of a cycle in $G$ is greater than the length of a cycle in $G_{2}$. Therefore, $c_{n-1}(G)>c_{n-1}\left(G_{2}\right)$.

Now, consider the coefficients $c_{n-k}(k \neq 0,1, n-1, n)$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{k}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{k_{2}}$ be the sets of spanning forests of $G$ and $G_{2}$ with exactly $k$ components, respectively. Let $F_{2} \in \mathcal{F}_{k_{2}}$ and $T^{\prime}$ be the component of $F_{2}$ and $u \in V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. If $v_{q+1} \in V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, we define $F$ with $V(F)=V(G)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(F)=E\left(F_{2}\right)-u v_{q+1}+v v_{q+1} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we distinguish $F_{2}$ as the following two cases.
Case $1\left(v \in V\left(T^{\prime}\right)\right)$. We have trees of equal sizes in both spanning forests thus $\gamma(F)=\gamma\left(F_{2}\right)$.
Case $2\left(v \notin V\left(T^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Let vertex $v$ be in the tree $S^{\prime}$, that is, $v \in V\left(S^{\prime}\right)$.
Note the fact that $u v$ is a cut edge of $G_{2}$. It is easy to see that $F$ is a spanning forest of $G$, and the number of components of $F$ is $k-1$ or $k$. We claim that $F \in \mathcal{F}_{k}$. Otherwise, $u, v$ belong to one tree of $F$; then there exists a path $P$ joining $v_{q+1}$ to $u$ in $F$; then $u P v_{q+1} u$ is a cycle of $F_{2}$, which contradicts the fact that $F_{2}$ is a forest.

Suppose that $T^{\prime}-v_{q+1}$ contains $a \geq 1$ vertices in the cycle $C$ (including $u$ ) and $b \geq 0$ vertices in the paths $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{p}$, and $T^{\prime}-u$ contains $c \geq 1$ vertices in the cycle $C$. Let $S^{\prime}$ contain $d \geq 1$ in the paths $P_{p+1}, \ldots, P_{p+q}$. Assume the orders of the components of $F_{2}$ different from $T^{\prime}$ and $S^{\prime}$ are $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots n_{k-2}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma(F)-\gamma\left(F_{2}\right) & =[(a+b)(c+d)-(a+b+c) d] \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} n_{i} \\
& =c(a+b-d) \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} n_{i}=c(a+b-d) N, \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N=\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} n_{i}$.
If we sum all differences for such forest, having fixed values $a, c$ and $b+d=M$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^{*}} \gamma(F)-\gamma\left(F_{2}\right) & =\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^{*}} c(a+b-d) N \\
& =c N \sum_{b=0}^{M-1}(a+2 b-M)=(a-1) c N M . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 3: Example of $\pi_{3}$-transformation.

It is easy to see that $a \geq 1$ and $c \geq 1$, so $(a-1) c N M \geq 0$. Since at least one vertex in in $C-u-v_{q+1}$, there exists one forest $F_{2}$ such that $a>1$ and $c \geq 1$, and then $(a-1) c N M>0$.

If $v_{q+1} \notin V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, thus $\gamma(F)=\gamma\left(F_{2}\right)$.
Therefore, by using Lemma 2.1, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}(G)=\sum_{F \in \mathscr{F}_{k}} r(F)>\sum_{F_{2} \in \mathscr{F}_{k_{2}}} r\left(F_{2}\right)=c_{k}\left(G_{2}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.4.

Definition 3.5. Let $v$ (not in the cycle $C$ ) be a vertex of degree $q+1$ in a connected unicyclic graph G. Suppose that $P_{p+1}, \ldots, P_{p+q}$ are pendent paths incident with $v$. Let $u$ be the neighbor of $v$ distinct from the starting vertices of paths $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{q}$, respectively. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{3}=\pi_{3}(G)=G-v v_{2}-v v_{3}-\cdots-v v_{q}+u v_{2}+u v_{3}+\cdots+u v_{q} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $G_{3}$ is a $\pi_{3}$-transformation of $G$ (see Figure 3 ).
It is not difficult to see that $\pi_{3}$-transformation preserves the size of a cycle of $G$ and the number of pendent vertices.

Theorem 3.6. Let $G$ be a connected unicyclic graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ pendent vertices, $G_{3}=$ $\pi_{3}(G)$. Then for every $k=0,1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}(G) \geq c_{k}\left(G_{3}\right), \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $k \in\{0,1, n-1, n\}$.
Proof. Obviously, $c_{0}\left(G_{3}\right)=c_{0}(G)=1, c_{1}\left(G_{3}\right)=2\left|E\left(G_{3}\right)\right|=2|E(G)|=c_{1}(G), c_{n}\left(G_{3}\right)=c_{n}(G)=0$, $c_{n-1}\left(G_{3}\right)=n \tau\left(G_{3}\right)=n|E(C)|=n \tau(G)=c_{n-1}(G)$.

Now, consider the coefficients $c_{n-k}(k \neq 0,1, n-1, n)$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{k}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{k_{3}}$ be the sets of spanning forests of $G$ and $G_{3}$ with exactly $k$ components, respectively. Obviously, by the definition of the spanning forest, the cycle $C$ in the unicyclic graph satisfies that $C \notin F \in \mathscr{F}_{k}$ and $C \notin F_{3} \in \mathcal{F}_{k_{3}}$, where $F$ and $F_{3}$ are the arbitrary forests in $\mathcal{F}_{k}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{k_{3}}$, respectively. Without loss of generality, we remove one of the edges on the cycle, say $w u$, so we get two trees $T$ and


Figure 4: Example of $\pi_{4}$-transformation.
$T^{\prime}$, respectively. Applying to Definition 2.7, we know that $T^{\prime}=\delta(T)$. Then using Lemma 2.8, we can get that for every $k=0,1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}(T) \geq c_{k}\left(T^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $k \in\{0,1, n-1, n\}$. If we remove another edge, say $x y$, we get $S$ and $S^{\prime}$, respectively. By Definition 2.7, we know that $S^{\prime}=\delta(S)$. Then applying to Lemma 2.8, we get that for every $k=0,1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}(S) \geq c_{k}\left(S^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $k \in\{0,1, n-1, n\}$.
It is easy to see that $T-x y=S-u v$ and $T^{\prime}-x y=S^{\prime}-u v$. We know that the numbers of the same tree of spanning forests of $T-x y$ and $T^{\prime}-x y$ with exactly $k$ components are equal to the numbers of the same tree of spanning forests of $S-u v$ and $S^{\prime}-u v$ with exactly $k$ components, respectively.

By Definition 3.5 and Lemma 2.1, we have that for every $k=0,1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}(G) \geq c_{k}\left(G_{3}\right), \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $k \in\{0,1, n-1, n\}$.
Definition 3.7. Let $u, v$, and $w$ be three vertices on the triangle in a unicyclic graph $G$. Suppose that $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{p}$ are pendent paths incident with $u, P_{p+1}, \ldots, P_{p+q}$ are pendent paths incident with $v$, and $P_{p+q+1}, \ldots, P_{p+q+l}$ are pendent paths incident with $w(p+q+l=m)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{4}=G-v v_{1}-\cdots-v v_{q}+u v_{1}+\cdots+u v_{q} \triangleq \pi_{4}(G) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $G_{4}$ is a $\pi_{4}$-transformation of $G$ (see Figure 4 ).
Theorem 3.8. Let $u, v$, and $w$ be three vertices on the triangle in a unicyclic graph $G, G 4=\pi_{4}(G)$. Then for every $k=0,1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}(G) \geq c_{k}\left(G_{4}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $k \in\{0,1, n-1, n\}$.


Figure 5: Obtained trees from Figure 4.

Proof. It is obvious to see that $c_{0}\left(G_{4}\right)=c_{0}(G)=1, c_{1}\left(G_{4}\right)=2\left|E\left(G_{4}\right)\right|=2|E(G)|=$ $c_{1}(G), c_{n}\left(G_{4}\right)=c_{n}(G)=0$. For $k=n-1$, the length of a cycle in $G_{4}$ is equal to the length of a cycle in $G$. Therefore, $c_{n-1}(G)=c_{n-1}\left(G_{4}\right)$.

Now, consider the coefficient $c_{n-k}(k \neq 0,1, n-1, n)$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{k}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{k_{4}}$ be the sets of spanning forests of $G$ and $G_{4}$ with exactly $k$ components, respectively.

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 3.2, we can get 6 trees as shown in Figure 5. Obviously, by Definition 2.7, we know that $T_{i}^{\prime}=\delta\left(T_{i}\right)(i=1,2,3)$. And according to Lemma 2.8 , we can verify that

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{k}\left(T_{1}\right) \geq c_{k}\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right), \\
& c_{k}\left(T_{2}\right) \geq c_{k}\left(T_{2}^{\prime}\right),  \tag{3.19}\\
& c_{k}\left(T_{3}\right) \geq c_{k}\left(T_{3}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.19), Definition 3.7, and Lemma 2.1, it is easy to see that for every $k=0,1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}(G) \geq c_{k}\left(G_{4}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $k \in\{0,1, n-1, n\}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.8.
Theorem 3.9. Let $G$ be a connected unicyclic graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ pendent vertices. Then for $0 \leq k \leq n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}(G) \geq c_{k}\left(S^{\prime}(n, m)\right), \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 6: $S^{\prime}(n, m)$.
with equality if and only if $k \in\{0,1, n\}$, where $S^{\prime}(n, m)$ is as shown in Figure 6, and each of the $m$ branches at $v$ is a path of length $\lfloor(n-3) / m\rfloor$ or $\lceil(n-3) / m\rceil$.

Proof. Let $C=w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{t} w_{1}$ be a cycle of connected unicyclic graph $G$, and let $T_{i}$ be a tree attached at $w_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, t$. We can apply $\pi_{3}$-transformation to $T_{i}$, such that the tree contains one branch vertex $w_{i}$ with pendent path attached to it. Next, we can apply $\pi_{2}$-transformation to decrease the size of the cycle $C$ as long as the length of $C$ is not 3 . Then we can apply $\pi_{1}$-transformation at the longest and the shortest path repeatedly, the Laplacian coefficients do not increase while the attached paths become more balanced. Finally, we can apply $\pi_{4^{-}}$ transformation as long as it is not $S^{\prime}(n, m)$.

According to Theorems 3.2, 3.4, 3.6, and 3.8, we know that $\pi_{i}$-transformation $(i=$ $1,2,3,4$ ) cannot increase the Laplacian coefficients. So, for an arbitrary unicyclic graph $G$ with $n$ vertices and $m$ pendent vertices, we verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}(G) \geq c_{k}\left(S^{\prime}(n, m)\right), \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq k \leq n$ and with equality if and only if $k=0,1, n$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.9.

## 4. Laplacian-Like Energy of Unicyclic Graphs with $\boldsymbol{m}$ Pendent Vertices

Let G be a graph. The Laplacian-like energy of graph G, LEL for short, is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{LEL}(G)=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sqrt{\mu_{k}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{1} \geq \mu_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{n}=0$ are the Laplacian eigenvalues of $G$. This concept was introduced by J. Liu and B. Liu [9], where it was demonstrated it has similar feature as molecular graph energy (for more details see [15]). Stevanović in [10] presented a connection between LEL and Laplacian coefficients.

Theorem 4.1. Let $G$ and $H$ be two graphs with $n$ vertices. If $c_{k}(G) \leq c_{k}(H)$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, then LEL $(G) \leq$ LEL $(H)$. Furthermore, if a strict inequality $c_{k}(G)<c_{k}(H)$ holds for some $1 \leq$ $k \leq n-1$, then $\operatorname{LEL}(G)<\operatorname{LEL}(H)$.

Using this result, we can conclude the following.
Corollary 4.2. Let $G$ be a connected unicyclic graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ pendent vertices. Then if $G \nsubseteq S^{\prime}(n, m)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{LEL}\left(S^{\prime}(n, m)\right)<\operatorname{LEL}(G) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S^{\prime}(n, m)$ is shown in Figure 6, and each of the $m$ branches at $v$ is a path of length $\lfloor(n-3) / m\rfloor$ or $\lceil(n-3) / m\rceil$.
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