Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 2012, Article ID 235120, 11 pages
doi:10.1155/2012/235120

Review Article

Three-Step Fixed Point Iteration for Generalized Multivalued Mapping in Banach Spaces

Zhanfei Zuo

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Chongqing Three Gorges University, Wanzhou 404000, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Zhanfei Zuo, zuozhanfei@163.com

Received 19 September 2011; Revised 2 December 2011; Accepted 8 December 2011

Academic Editor: Nazim I. Mahmudov

The convergence of three-step fixed point iterative processes for generalized multivalued nonexpansive mapping was considered in this paper. Under some different conditions, the sequences of three-step fixed point iterates strongly or weakly converge to a fixed point of the generalized multivalued nonexpansive mapping. Our results extend and improve some recent results.

1. Introduction

Let X be a Banach space and K a nonempty subset of X. The set K is called proximinal if for each $x \in X$, there exists an element $y \in K$ such that $\|x - y\| = d(x, K)$, where $d(x, K) = \inf\{\|x - z\| : z \in K\}$. Let $CB(K), C(K), P(K), F(T)$ denote the family of nonempty closed bounded subsets, nonempty compact subsets, nonempty proximinal bounded subsets of K, and the set of fixed points, respectively. A multivalued mapping $T : K \to CB(K)$ is said to be nonexpansive (quasi-nonexpansive) if

$$\begin{gathered} H(Tx, Ty) \leq \|x - y\|, \quad x, y \in K, \\ (H(Tx, Tp) \leq \|x - p\|, x \in K,\ p \in F(T)), \end{gathered} \tag{1.1}$$

where $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Hausdorff metric on $CB(X)$ defined by

$$H(A, B) := \max\left\{\sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B} \|x - y\|,\ \sup_{y \in B} \inf_{x \in A} \|x - y\|\right\}, \quad A, B \in CB(X). \tag{1.2}$$

A point x is called a fixed point of T if $x \in Tx$. Since Banach's Contraction Mapping Principle was extended nicely to multivalued mappings by Nadler in 1969 (see [1]), many authors have studied the fixed point theory for multivalued mappings (e.g., see [2]). For single-valued nonexpansive mappings, Mann [3] and Ishikawa [4], respectively, introduced a new iteration procedure for approximating its fixed point in a Banach space as follows:

$$x_{n+1} = (1-\alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T x_n,$$
$$x_{n+1} = (1-\alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n y_n, \qquad y_n = (1-b_n)x_n + b_n T x_n, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$. Obviously, Mann iteration is a special case of Ishikawa iteration. Recently Song and Wang in [5, 6] introduce the following algorithms for multivalued nonexpansive mapping:

$$x_{n+1} = (1-\alpha_{\mathrm{n}})x_n + \alpha_n s_n, \tag{1.4}$$

where $s_n \in Tx_n, \gamma_n \in (0,+\infty)$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\gamma_n = 0$ and $\|s_{n+1} - s_n\| \leq H(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n) + \gamma_n$,

$$x_{n+1} = (1-\alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n r_n, \qquad y_n = (1-b_n)x_n + b_n s_n, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\|s_n - r_n\| \leq H(Tx_n, Ty_n) + \gamma_n$ and $\|s_{n+1} - r_n\| \leq H(Tx_{n+1}, Ty_n) + \gamma_n$ for $s_n \in Tx_n$ and $r_n \in Ty_n$. They show some strong convergence results of the above iterates for multivalued nonexpansive mapping T under some appropriate conditions. However, the iteration scheme constructed by Song and Wang involves the following estimates,

$$\|s_n - r_n\| \leq H(Tx_n, Ty_n) + \gamma_n, \qquad \|s_{n+1} - r_n\| \leq H(Tx_{n+1}, Ty_n) + \gamma_n, \tag{1.6}$$

which are not easy to be computed and the scheme is more time consuming. It is observed that Song and Wang [6] did not use the above estimates in their proofs and the assumption on T, namely, $T(p) = \{p\}$ for any $p \in F(T)$ is quite strong. It is noted that the domain of T is compact, which is a strong condition. The aim of this paper is to construct an three iteration scheme for a generalized multivalued mappings, which removes the restriction of T, namely, $T(p) = \{p\}$ for any $p \in F(T)$ and also relax compactness of the domain of T. The generalized multivalued mappings was introduced in [7], if

$$\frac{1}{2}d(x, Tx) \leq \|x - y\| \text{ implies } H(Tx, Ty) \leq \|x - y\| \quad \forall x, y \in K, \tag{1.7}$$

where d is induced by the norm. Obviously, the condition is weaker than nonexpansiveness and stronger than quasinonexpansiveness, furthermore, there are some examples of a generalized nonexpansive multivalued mapping which is not a nonexpansive multivalued mapping (see [7, 8]).

Let $T : K \to P(K)$ be a generalized nonexpansive multivalued mapping and $P_T(x) = \{y \in T(x) : \|x - y\| = d(x, T(x))\}$. The three-step mean multivalued iterative scheme is defined by $x_0 \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} z_n &= (1 - a_n)x_n + a_n s_n, \\ y_n &= (1 - b_n - c_n)x_n + b_n t_n + c_n s_n, \\ x_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n - \gamma_n)x_n + \alpha_n r_n + \beta_n t_n + \gamma_n s_n, \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

where $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{c_n\}, \{b_n + c_n\}, \{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$, and $\{\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n\}$ are appropriate sequence in $[0, 1]$, furthermore $s_n \in P_T(x_n), t_n \in P_T(z_n), r_n \in P_T(y_n)$. If $a_n = c_n = \beta_n = \gamma_n \equiv 0$ or $a_n = b_n = c_n = \beta_n = \gamma_n \equiv 0$, then iterative scheme (1.8) reduces to the Ishikawa and Mann multivalued iterative scheme. In fact let $\gamma_n \equiv 0$ or $c_n = \beta_n = \gamma_n \equiv 0$ or $b_n = c_n = \alpha_n = \gamma_n \equiv 0$, we also have the other three algorithms.

The mapping $T : K \to CB(K)$ is called hemicompact if, for any sequence x_n in K such that $d(x_n, T(x_n)) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, there exists a subsequence x_{n_k} of x_n such that $x_{n_k} \to p \in K$. We note that if K is compact, then every multivalued mapping $T : K \to CB(K)$ is hemicompact. The following definition was introduced in [9].

Definition 1.1. A multivalued mapping $T : K \to CB(K)$ is said to satisfy Condition (A) if there is a nondecreasing function $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ with $f(0) = 0, f(x) > 0$ for $x \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$d(x, Tx) \geq f(d(x, F(T))) \quad \forall x \in K. \tag{1.9}$$

where $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ is the fixed point set of the multivalued mapping T. From now on, $F(T)$ stands for the fixed point set of the multivalued mapping T.

2. Preliminaries

A Banach space X is said to be satisfy Opial's condition [10] if, for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X, $x_n \rightharpoonup x(n \to \infty)$ implies the following inequality:

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x\| < \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - y\|, \tag{2.1}$$

for all $y \in X$ with $y \neq x$. It is known that Hilbert spaces and $l_p (1 < p < \infty)$ have the Opial's condition.

Lemma 2.1 (see [7, 11]). *Let $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$, and $\{z_n\}$ be sequence in uniformly convex Banach space X. Suppose that $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$, and $\{\gamma_n\}$ are sequence in $[0, 1]$ with $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1$, $\limsup_n \|x_n\| \leq d$, $\limsup_n \|y_n\| \leq d$, $\limsup_n \|z_n\| \leq d$, and $\lim_n \|\alpha_n x_n + \beta_n y_n + \gamma_n z_n\| = d$. If $\liminf_n \alpha_n > 0$ and $\liminf_n \beta_n > 0$, then $\lim_n \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$.*

Lemma 2.2 (see [7, 11]). *Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space and $B_r := \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq r\}, r > 0$. Then there exists a continuous strictly increasing convex function $g : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ with $g(0) = 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\lambda x + \mu y + \xi z + \vartheta\omega\|^2 \\ &\quad \leq \lambda\|x\|^2 + \mu\|y\|^2 + \xi\|z\|^2 + \vartheta\|\omega\|^2 - \frac{1}{3}\vartheta(\lambda g(\|x-\omega\|) + \mu g(\|y-\omega\|) + \xi g(\|z-\omega\|)), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

for all $x, y, z, \omega \in B_r$ and $\lambda, \mu, \xi, \vartheta \in [0,1]$ with $\lambda + \mu + \xi + \vartheta = 1$.

3. Main Results

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a real Banach space and K be a nonempty convex subset of $X, T : K \to P(K)$ be a generalized multivalued nonexpansive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ such that P_T is nonexpansive. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in K defined by* (1.8)*, then one has the following conclusion:*

$$\lim_n \|x_n - p\| \text{ exists for any } p \in F(T). \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Let $p \in F(T)$, then $p \in P_T(p) = \{p\}$. Since T is quasi-nonexpansive, thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - p\| &\leq (1-a_n)\|x_n - p\| + a_n\|s_n - p\| \\ &\leq (1-a_n)\|x_n - p\| + a_n d(s_n, P_T(p)) \\ &\leq (1-a_n)\|x_n - p\| + a_n H(P_T(x_n), P_T(p)) \\ &\leq (1-a_n)\|x_n - p\| + a_n\|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

similarly $\|y_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\|$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &\leq (1-\alpha_n-\beta_n-\gamma_n)\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\|r_n - p\| \\ &\quad + \beta_n\|t_n - p\| + \gamma_n\|s_n - p\| \\ &\leq (1-\alpha_n-\beta_n-\gamma_n)\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n H(P_T(y_n), P_T(p)) \\ &\quad + \beta_n H(P_T(z_n), P_T(p)) + \gamma_n H(P_T(x_n), P_T(p)) \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Then $\{\|x_n - p\|\}$ is a decreasing sequence and hence $\lim_n\|x_n - p\|$ exists for any $p \in F(T)$. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space and K be a nonempty convex subset of $X, T : K \to P(K)$ be a generalized multivalued nonexpansive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ such that P_T is nonexpansive. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in K defined by* (1.8)*, if the coefficient satisfy one of the following control conditions:*

(i) $\liminf_n \alpha_n > 0$ *and one of the following holds:*

(a) $\limsup_n(\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n) < 1$ *and* $\limsup_n(b_n + c_n) < 1$,

(b) $0 < \liminf_n \beta_n \leq \limsup_n(\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n) < 1$ *and* $\limsup_n c_n < 1$,

(c) $0 < \liminf_n b_n \leq \limsup_n(b_n + c_n) < 1$ *and* $\limsup_n a_n < 1$,

(d) $0 < \liminf_n c_n \leq \limsup_n(b_n + c_n) < 1$;

(ii) $0 < \liminf_n \beta_n \leq \limsup_n(\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n) < 1$ *and* $\limsup_n a_n < 1$;

(iii) $0 < \liminf_n \gamma_n \leq \limsup_n(\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n) < 1$;

(iv) $0 < \liminf_n(\alpha_n b_n + \beta_n)$ *and* $0 < \liminf_n a_n \leq \limsup_n a_n < 1$;

then we have $\lim_n d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we know that $\lim_n\|x_n - p\|$ exists for any $p \in F(T)$, then it follows that $\{s_n - p\}, \{t_n - p\}$, and $\{r_n - p\}$ are all bounded. We may assume that these sequences belong to B_r where $r > 0$. Note that $p \in P_T(p) = \{p\}$ for any fixed point $p \in F(T)$ and T is quasi-nonexpansive. By Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z_n - p\|^2 &\leq (1 - a_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + a_n\|s_n - p\|^2 \\
&\leq (1 - a_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + a_n H(P_T(x_n), P_T(p))^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|^2, \\
\|y_n - p\|^2 &\leq (1 - b_n - c_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + b_n\|t_n - p\|^2 + c_n\|s_n - p\|^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{3}(1 - b_n - c_n)\left(b_n g(\|t_n - x_n\|) + c_n g(\|s_n - x_n\|)\right) \\
&\leq (1 - b_n - c_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + b_n H(P_T(z_n), P_T(p))^2 + c_n H(P_T(x_n), P_T(p))^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{3}(1 - b_n - c_n) b_n g(\|t_n - x_n\|) \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \frac{1}{3}(1 - b_n - c_n) b_n g(\|t_n - x_n\|),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

and therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n - \gamma_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n\|r_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n\|t_n - p\|^2 + \gamma_n\|s_n - p\|^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{3}(1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n - \gamma_n)\left[\alpha_n g(\|x_n - r_n\|) + \beta_n g(\|x_n - t_n\|) + \gamma_n g(\|x_n - s_n\|)\right] \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n - \gamma_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n H(P_T(y_n), P_T(p))^2 + \beta_n H(P_T(z_n), P_T(p))^2 \\
&\quad + \gamma_n H(P_T(x_n), P_T(p))^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{3}(1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n - \gamma_n)\left[\alpha_n g(\|x_n - r_n\|) + \beta_n g(\|x_n - t_n\|) + \gamma_n g(\|x_n - s_n\|)\right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \frac{\alpha_n}{3}(1 - b_n - c_n)b_n g(\|t_\mathrm{n} - x_n\|) - \frac{1}{3}(1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n - \gamma_n) \times \left[\alpha_n g(\|x_n - r_n\|) + \beta_n g(\|x_n - t_n\|) + \gamma_n g(\|x_n - s_n\|)\right]. \tag{3.5}$$

Then

$$(1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n - \gamma_n)\alpha_n g(\|x_n - r_n\|) \leq 3\left(\|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2\right), \tag{3.6}$$

$$(1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n - \gamma_n)\beta_n g(\|x_n - t_n\|) \leq 3\left(\|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2\right), \tag{3.7}$$

$$(1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n - \gamma_n)\gamma_n g(\|x_n - s_n\|) \leq 3\left(\|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2\right), \tag{3.8}$$

$$\alpha_n(1 - b_n - c_n)b_n g(\|t_n - x_n\|) \leq 3\left(\|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2\right). \tag{3.9}$$

Since $\lim_n\|x_n - p\|$ exists for any $p \in F(T)$, it follows from (3.6) that $\lim_n(1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n - \gamma_n)\alpha_n g(\|x_n - r_n\|) = 0$. From g is continuous strictly increasing with $g(0) = 0$ and $0 < \liminf_n\alpha_n \leq \limsup_n(\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n) < 1$, then

$$\lim_n\|x_n - r_n\| = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

Using a similarly method together with inequalities (3.7) and $0 < \liminf_n\beta_n \leq \limsup_n(\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n) < 1$, then

$$\lim_n\|x_n - t_n\| = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Similarly, from (3.8) and $0 < \liminf_n\gamma_n \leq \limsup_n(\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n) < 1$, we have $\lim_n\|x_n - s_n\| = 0$, since $s_n \in Tx_n$, then $0 \leq \lim_n d(x_n, Tx_n) \leq \lim_n\|x_n - s_n\| = 0$, thus we get (iii). In the sequence we prove (i) (a). From iterative scheme (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|s_n - x_n\| &\leq \|s_n - r_n\| + \|r_n - x_n\| \leq H(P_T(x_n), P_T(y_n)) + \|r_n - x_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - y_n\| + \|r_n - x_n\| \\ &\leq b_n\|x_n - t_n\| + c_n\|x_n - s_n\| + \|r_n - x_n\|.\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

To show that $\lim_n\|x_n - s_n\| = 0$, it suffices to show that there exist a subsequence $\{n_j\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that $\lim_{n_j}\|x_{n_j} - s_{n_j}\| = 0$. If $\liminf_j b_{n_j} > 0$, it follows from (3.9) that

$$\alpha_{n_j}\left(1 - b_{n_j} - c_{n_j}\right)b_{n_j} g\left(\left\|t_{n_j} - x_{n_j}\right\|\right) \leq 3\left(\left\|x_{n_j} - p\right\|^2 - \left\|x_{n_j+1} - p\right\|^2\right). \tag{3.13}$$

Since $\lim_n\|x_n - p\|$ exists for any $p \in F(T)$, we have

$$\lim_{n_j}\alpha_{n_j}\left(1 - b_{n_j} - c_{n_j}\right)b_{n_j} g\left(\left\|t_{n_j} - x_{n_j}\right\|\right) = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

From g is continuous strictly increasing with $g(0) = 0, \liminf_j \alpha_{n_j} > 0$ and $0 < \liminf_{n_j} b_{n_j} \le \limsup_{n_j}(b_{n_j} + c_{n_j}) < 1$, we have

$$\lim_{n_j} \left\| t_{n_j} - x_{n_j} \right\| = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

This together with (3.10), (3.12), (3.15) gives

$$\lim_{j} \left(1 - c_{n_j}\right) \left\| s_{n_j} - x_{n_j} \right\| = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Since $\liminf_{n_j}(1 - c_{n_j}) = 1 - \limsup_{n_j} c_{n_j} > 0$, we have $\lim_j \| s_{n_j} - x_{n_j} \| = 0$. On the other hand, if $\liminf_j b_{n_j} = 0$, then we may extract a subsequence $\{b_{n_k}\}$ of $\{b_{n_j}\}$ so that $\lim_k b_{n_k} = 0$. This together with (i) (a) and (3.10), (3.12) gives

$$\lim_{k}(1 - c_{n_k}) \| s_{n_k} - x_{n_k} \| = 0, \text{ and so } \lim_{k} \| s_{n_k} - x_{n_k} \| = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

By Double Extract Subsequence Principle, we obtain the result.

If $0 < \liminf_n \beta_n \le \limsup_n(\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n) < 1$ and $\limsup_n a_n < 1$, we will prove (ii),

$$\begin{aligned} \|s_n - x_n\| &\le \|s_n - t_n\| + \|t_n - x_n\| \le H(P_T(x_n), P_T(z_n)) + \|t_n - x_n\| \\ &\le \|x_n - z_n\| + \|t_n - x_n\| \\ &\le a_n \|x_n - s_n\| + \|t_n - x_n\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Since $\limsup_n a_n < 1$, then

$$\liminf_{n}(1 - a_n) = 1 - \limsup_{n} a_n > 0. \tag{3.19}$$

This together with (3.11), (3.18), we obtain the result.

We will prove (i) (b), let $p \in F(T)$. By Lemma 3.1, we let $\lim_n \|x_n - p\| = d$ for some $d \ge 0$. From iterative scheme (1.8), we know

$$d = \lim_{n} \left\| x_{n+1} - p \right\| = \lim_{n} \left\| (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n - \gamma_n)(x_n - p) + \alpha_n (r_n - p) + \beta_n (t_n - p) + \gamma_n (s_n - p) \right\|. \tag{3.20}$$

From Lemma 3.1, we have known that $\|z_n - p\| \le \|x_n - p\|$ and $\|y_n - p\| \le \|x_n - p\|$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n} \left\| r_n - p \right\| &\le \limsup_{n} H(P_T(y_n), P_T(p)) \le \limsup_{n} \left\| y_n - p \right\| \le d, \\ \limsup_{n} \left\| t_n - p \right\| &\le \limsup_{n} H(P_T(z_n), P_T(p)) \le \limsup_{n} \left\| z_n - p \right\| \le d, \\ \limsup_{n} \left\| s_n - p \right\| &\le \limsup_{n} H(P_T(x_n), P_T(p)) \le \limsup_{n} \left\| x_n - p \right\| \le d. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

From (3.20) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\lim_{n} \|x_n - t_n\| = \lim_{n} \|r_n - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.22}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_n - s_n\| &\le \|x_n - r_n\| + \|r_n - s_n\| \le \|x_n - r_n\| + H(P_T(y_n), P_T(x_n)) \\ &\le \|x_n - y_n\| + \|x_n - r_n\| \\ &\le b_n\|x_n - t_n\| + c_n\|x_n - s_n\| + \|x_n - r_n\|.\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Since $\limsup_n c_n < 1$, we have $\lim_n\|s_n - x_n\| = 0$, therefore $0 \le \lim_n d(x_n, Tx_n) \le \lim_n\|x_n - s_n\| = 0$.

We will prove (i) (c). From iterative scheme (1.8) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{n+1} - p\| &\le (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n - \gamma_n)\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\|y_n - p\| + \beta_n\|z_n - p\| + \gamma_n\|x_n - p\| \\ &\le (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\|y_n - p\|.\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

which implies

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\| - \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\|x_n - p\| \le \alpha_n\|y_n - p\|. \tag{3.25}$$

Notice that $\liminf_n \alpha_n > 0$ and $\lim_n\|x_n - p\|$ exists. Hence from (3.25) we have

$$d = \lim_n\|x_n - p\| \le \liminf_n\|y_n - p\| \le \limsup\|y_n - p\| \le d. \tag{3.26}$$

Therefore, from iterative scheme (1.8) we have

$$d = \lim_n\|y_n - p\| = \lim_n\|(1 - b_n - c_n)(x_n - p) + b_n(t_n - p) + c_n(s_n - p)\|. \tag{3.27}$$

From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\lim_n\|x_n - t_n\| = 0. \tag{3.28}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\|s_n - x_n\| &\le \|s_n - t_n\| + \|t_n - x_n\| \le H(P_T(x_n), P_T(z_n)) + \|t_n - x_n\| \\ &\le \|x_n - z_n\| + \|t_n - x_n\| \\ &\le a_n\|x_n - s_n\| + \|t_n - x_n\|.\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Since $\limsup_n a_n < 1$, then $0 \le \lim_n d(x_n, Tx_n) \le \lim_n\|x_n - s_n\| = 0$.

By (3.27) and Lemma 2.1, we can similarly prove (i) (d).

Finally, we will prove (iv). From iterative scheme (1.8) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{n+1}-p\| &\leq (1-\alpha_n-\beta_n-\gamma_n)\|x_n-p\|+\alpha_n\|r_n-p\|+\beta_n\|t_n-p\|+\gamma_n\|s_n-p\| \\ &\leq (1-\alpha_n-\beta_n-\gamma_n)\|x_n-p\|+\alpha_n\|y_n-p\|+\beta_n\|z_n-p\|+\gamma_n\|x_n-p\| \\ &\leq (1-\alpha_n-\beta_n)\|x_n-p\|+\alpha_n[(1-b_n)\|x_n-p\|+b_n\|z_n-p\|]+\beta_n\|z_n-p\|,\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

which implies

$$\|x_{n+1}-p\|-\|x_n-p\|+(\alpha_n b_n+\beta_n)\|x_n-p\| \leq (\alpha_n b_n+\beta_n)\|z_n-p\|. \tag{3.31}$$

Notice that

$$0<\liminf_n(\alpha_n b_n+\beta_n), \qquad \lim_n\|x_n-p\| \text{ exists.} \tag{3.32}$$

Hence we have

$$d=\lim_n\|x_n-p\| \leq \liminf_n\|z_n-p\| \leq \limsup\|z_n-p\| \leq d. \tag{3.33}$$

Thus, we have

$$d=\lim_n\|z_n-p\|=\lim_n(1-a_n)\|x_n-p\|+a_n\|s_n-p\|. \tag{3.34}$$

By Lemma 2.1 and $0<\liminf_n a_n \leq \limsup_n a_n<1$, we have $0 \leq \lim_n d(x_n,Tx_n) \leq \lim_n\|x_n-s_n\|=0$. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space and K be a nonempty convex subset of X, $T:K\to P(K)$ be a generalized multivalued nonexpansive mapping with $F(T)\neq\emptyset$ such that P_T is nonexpansive. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in K defined by* (1.8)*, the coefficient satisfy the control conditions in Lemma 3.2 and T satisfies Condition (A) with respect to the sequence $\{x_n\}$, then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of T.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, we have $\lim_n d(x_n,Tx_n)=0$. Since T satisfies Condition (A) with respect to $\{x_n\}$. Then

$$f(d(x_n,F(T))) \leq d(x_n,Tx_n) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.35}$$

Thus, we get $\lim_n d(x_n,F(T))=0$. The remainder of the proof is the same as in [6, Theorem 2.4], we omit it. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space and K be a nonempty convex subset of X, $T:K\to P(K)$ be a generalized multivalued nonexpansive mapping with $F(T)\neq\emptyset$ such that P_T is nonexpansive. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in K defined by* (1.8)*, the coefficient satisfy the control conditions in Lemma 3.2 and T is hemicompact, then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of T.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, we have $\lim_n d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$. Since T is hemicompact, then there exist a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty}\|x_{n_k} - q\| = 0$ for some $q \in K$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} d(q, Tq) &\le \|q - x_{n_k}\| + d(x_{n_k}, Tx_{n_k}) + H(Tx_{n_k}, Tq) \\ &\le 2\|q - x_{n_k}\| + d(x_{n_k}, Tx_{n_k}) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

Hence, q is a fixed point of T. Now on take on q in place of p, we get that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_n - q\|$ exists. It follows that $x_n \to q$ as $n \to \infty$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let X, T and $\{x_n\}$ be the same as in Lemma 3.2. If K be a nonempty weakly compact convex subset of a Banach space X and X satisfies Opial's condition, then $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a fixed point of T.*

Proof. The proof of the Theorem is the same as in [6, Theorem 2.5], we omit it. □

Remark 3.6. From the definition of iterative scheme (1.8), Theorems 3.3, 3.4, and 3.5 extend some results in [6, 12], and also give some new results are different from the [5]. In fact, we can present an example of a multivalued map T for which P_T is nonexpansive. A multivalued map $T : D \to CB(X)$ is $*$-nonexpansive [13] if for all $x, y \in D$ and $u_x \in T(x)$ with $d(x, u_x) = \inf\{d(x, z) : z \in T(x)\}$, there exists $u_y \in T(y)$ with $d(y, u_y) = \inf\{d(y, w) : \omega \in T(y)\}$ such that

$$d(u_x, u_y) \le d(x, y). \tag{3.37}$$

It is clear that if T is $*$-nonexpansive, then P_T is nonexpansive. It is known that $*$-nonexpansiveness is different from nonexpansiveness for multivalued maps. Let $D = [0, \infty)$ and T be defined by $Tx = [x, 2x]$ for $x \in D$ [14]. Then $P_T(x) = x$ for $x \in D$ and thus it is nonexpansive. Note that T is $*$-nonexpansive but not nonexpansive (see [14]).

References

[1] S. B. Nadler Jr., "Multi-valued contraction mappings," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 30, pp. 475–488, 1969.

[2] N. A. Assad and W. A. Kirk, "Fixed point theorems for set-valued mappings of contractive type," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 43, pp. 553–562, 1972.

[3] W. R. Mann, "Mean value methods in iteration," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 4, pp. 506–510, 1953.

[4] S. Ishikawa, "Fixed points by a new iteration method," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 44, pp. 147–150, 1974.

[5] Y. Song and H. Wang, "Erratum to: "Mann and Ishikawa iterative processes for multivalued mappings in Banach spaces" [*Comput. Math. Appl.* vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 872–877, 2007]," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 55, no. 12, pp. 2999–3002, 2008.

[6] Y. Song and H. Wang, "Convergence of iterative algorithms for multivalued mappings in Banach spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 70, no. 4, pp. 1547–1556, 2009.

[7] S. Temir, "Convergence of three-step iterations scheme for nonself asymptotically nonexpansive mappings," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 783178, 15 pages, 2010.

[8] Z. F. Zuo, "Iterative approximations for generalized multivalued mappings in Banach spaces," *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 9, pp. 309–318, 2011.

[9] H. F. Senter and W. G. Dotson Jr., "Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 44, pp. 375–380, 1974.

[10] Z. Opial, "Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 73, pp. 591–597, 1967.

[11] W. Nilsrakoo and S. Saejung, "A reconsideration on convergence of three-step iterations for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 190, no. 2, pp. 1472–1478, 2007.

[12] Z. Zuo and Y. Feng, "Three-step fixed point iteration for multivalued mapping in Banach spaces," *International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 5, no. 45–48, pp. 2391–2400, 2010.

[13] T. Husain and A. Latif, "Fixed points of multivalued nonexpansive maps," *Mathematica Japonica*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 385–391, 1988.

[14] H. K. Xu, "On weakly nonexpansive and $*$-nonexpansive multivalued mappings," *Mathematica Japonica*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 441–445, 1991.