

Research Article

On the Drazin Inverse of the Sum of Two Matrices

Xiaoji Liu,^{1,2} Shuxia Wu,¹ and Yaoming Yu³

¹ College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Guangxi University for Nationalities,
Nanning 530006, China

² Guangxi Key Laboratory of Hybrid Computational and IC Design Analysis, Nanning 530006, China

³ School of Mathematical Sciences, Monash University, Caulfield East, VIC 3800, Australia

Correspondence should be addressed to Xiaoji Liu, liuxiaoji.2003@yahoo.com.cn

Received 31 March 2011; Revised 25 August 2011; Accepted 21 October 2011

Academic Editor: Michela Redivo-Zaglia

Copyright © 2011 Xiaoji Liu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We deduce the explicit expressions for $(P + Q)^D$ and $(PQ)^D$ of two matrices P and Q under the conditions $P^2Q = PQP$ and $Q^2P = QPQ$. Also, we give the upper bound of $\|(P + Q)^D - P^D\|_2$.

1. Introduction

The symbol $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ stands for the set of $m \times n$ complex matrices, and I_n (for short I) stands for the $n \times n$ identity matrix. For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, its Drazin inverse, denoted by A^D , is defined as the unique matrix satisfying

$$A^{k+1}A^D = A^k, \quad A^DAA^D = A^D, \quad AA^D = A^DA, \quad (1.1)$$

where $k = \text{Ind}(A)$ is the index of A . In particular, if $k = 0$, A is invertible and $A^D = A^{-1}$ (see, e.g., [1–3] for details). Recall that for $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{Ind}(A) = k$, there exists an $n \times n$ nonsingular matrix X such that

$$A = X \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix} X^{-1}, \quad (1.2)$$

where C is a nonsingular matrix and N is nilpotent of index k , and

$$A^D = X \begin{pmatrix} C^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} X^{-1} \quad (1.3)$$

(see [1, 3]). It is well known that if A is nilpotent, then $A^D = 0$. We always write $A^\pi := I - AA^D$.

Drazin [2] proved that in associative ring $(A + B)^D = A^D + B^D$ when A, B are Drazin invertible and $AB = BA = 0$. In [4], Hartwig et al. relaxed the condition to $AB = 0$ and put forward the expression for $(A + B)^D$ where $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. In recent years, the Drazin inverse of the sum of two matrices or operators has been extensively investigated under different conditions (see, [5–15]). For example, in [7], the conditions are $PQ = \lambda QP$ and $PQ = PQP$, in [9] they are $P^3Q = QP$ and $Q^3P = PQ$, and in [15], they are $PQP = 0$ and $PQ^2 = 0$. These results motivate us to investigate how to explicitly express the Drazin inverse of the sum $P + Q$ under the conditions $P^2Q = PQP$ and $Q^2P = QPQ$, which are implied by the condition $PQ = QP$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will deduce some lemmas. In Section 3, we will present the explicit expressions for $(P + Q)^D$ and $(PQ)^D$ of two matrices P and Q under the conditions $P^2Q = PQP$ and $Q^2P = QPQ$. We also give the upper bound of $\|(P + Q)^D - P^D\|_2$.

2. Some Lemmas

In this section, we will make preparations for discussing the Drazin inverse of the sum of two matrices in next section. To this end, we will introduce some lemmas.

The first lemma is a trivial consequence of [16, Theorem 3.2].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, and $C \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $BC = 0$, and define*

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ C & B \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then,

$$M^D = \begin{pmatrix} A^D & 0 \\ C(A^D)^2 & B^D \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. If $P^2Q = PQP$, then, for any positive integers i, j ,*

- (i) $P^{i+1}Q = P^iQP = PQP^i$, $P^{2i}Q = P^iQP^i$,
- (ii) $P^iQ^i = (PQ)^i$.

Moreover, if $Q^2P = QPQ$, then

$$PQ^jP^i = P^{i+1}Q^j. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. (i) By induction, we can easily get the results.

(ii) For $i = 1$, it is evident. Assume that, for $i = k$, the equation holds, that is, $P^k Q^k = (PQ)^k$. When $i = k + 1$, by (i), we have

$$P^{i+1} Q^{i+1} = PQP^i Q^i = PQ(PQ)^i = (PQ)^{i+1}. \quad (2.4)$$

Hence, by induction, we have $P^i Q^i = (PQ)^i$ for any i .

Assume $Q^2 P = QPQ$. By induction on j for (2.3). Obviously, when $j = 1$, it holds by statement (i). Assume that it holds for $j = k$, that is, $PQ^k P^i = P^{i+1} Q^k$. When $j = k + 1$,

$$PQ^{k+1} P^i = PQ^{k-1} Q^2 P P^{i-1} = PQ^k (PQ P^{i-1}) = PQ^k P^i Q = P^{i+1} Q^k Q = P^{i+1} Q^{k+1}. \quad (2.5)$$

Hence (2.3) holds for any j . □

Lemma 2.3. Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Suppose that $P^2 Q = PQP$ and $Q^2 P = QPQ$. Then, for any positive integer m ,

$$(P + Q)^m = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} C_{m-1}^i (P^{m-i} Q^i + Q^{m-i} P^i), \quad (2.6)$$

where the binomial coefficient $C_j^i = j!/i!(j-i)!$, $j \geq i$.

Moreover, if P, Q are nilpotent with $P^s = 0$ and $Q^t = 0$, then $P + Q$ is nilpotent and its index is less than $s + t$.

Proof. We will show by induction that (2.6) holds. Trivially, (2.6) holds for $m = 1$. Assume that (2.6) holds for $m = k$, that is,

$$(P + Q)^k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} C_{k-1}^i (P^{k-i} Q^i + Q^{k-i} P^i). \quad (2.7)$$

Then, for $m = k + 1$, we have, by Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} (P + Q)^{k+1} &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} C_{k-1}^i (P^{k-i} Q^i + Q^{k-i} P^i) (P + Q) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} C_{k-1}^i (P^{k+1-i} Q^i + P^{k-i} Q^{i+1} + Q^{k-i} P^{i+1} + Q^{k+1-i} P^i) \\ &= P^{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (C_{k-1}^i + C_{k-1}^{i-1}) P^{k+1-i} Q^i + PQ^k \\ &\quad + Q^{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (C_{k-1}^i + C_{k-1}^{i-1}) Q^{k+1-i} P^i + QP^k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= P^{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} C_k^i P^{k+1-i} Q^i + PQ^k + Q^{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} C_k^i Q^{k+1-i} P^i + QP^k \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^k C_k^i P^{k+1-i} Q^i + \sum_{i=0}^k C_k^i Q^{k+1-i} P^i.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Hence (2.6) holds for any $m \geq 1$.

If P, Q are nilpotent with $P^s = 0$ and $Q^t = 0$, then taking $m = s + t - 1$ in (2.6) yields $(P + Q)^{s+t-1} = 0$, that is, $P + Q$ is nilpotent of index less than $s + t$. \square

Lemma 2.4 (see [1, Theorem 7.8.4]). *Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. If $PQ = QP$, then $(PQ)^D = Q^D P^D = P^D Q^D$ and $P^D Q = Q P^D$.*

Lemma 2.5. *Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and P be invertible. If $PQ = QP$, then*

$$(P + Q)^D = (I + P^{-1}Q)^D P^{-1} = P^{-1}(I + P^{-1}Q)^D. \tag{2.9}$$

Moreover, if Q is nilpotent of index t , then $P + Q$ is invertible and

$$(P + Q)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (-Q)^i P^{-i-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} P^{-i-1} (-Q)^i. \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. Since $P + Q = P(I + P^{-1}Q) = (I + P^{-1}Q)P$, by Lemma 2.4,

$$(P + Q)^D = (I + P^{-1}Q)^D P^{-1} = P^{-1}(I + P^{-1}Q)^D. \tag{2.11}$$

Note that the nilpotency of Q with commuting with P implies that $P^{-1}Q$ is nilpotent of index t . Thus, $I + P^{-1}Q$ is invertible and so is $P + Q$, and

$$(P + Q)^{-1} = (I + P^{-1}Q)^{-1} P^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (-Q)^i P^{-i-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} P^{-i-1} (-Q)^i. \tag{2.12}$$

\square

Lemma 2.6. *Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $Q = Q_1 \oplus Q_2$, where Q_1 is invertible and Q_2 is nilpotent of index t , and let*

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 & P_3 \\ P_4 & P_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.13}$$

be partitioned conformably with Q . Suppose that $Q^2P = QPQ$ and $P^2Q = PQP$. Then, $P_3 = 0$ and

$$Q_1P_1 = P_1Q_1, \quad (2.14)$$

$$Q_2P_4 = P_2P_4 = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

$$Q_2^2P_2 = Q_2P_2Q_2, \quad (2.16)$$

$$P_i^2Q_i = P_iQ_iP_i, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2.17)$$

Moreover, if P is nilpotent of index s , then $P_4P_1^{s-1} = 0$.

Proof. Since $Q^2P = QPQ$, $Q^{2t}P = Q^tPQ^t$ by Lemma 2.2, that is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_1^{2t} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_1 & P_3 \\ P_4 & P_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1^t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_1 & P_3 \\ P_4 & P_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Q_1^t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.18)$$

namely,

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_1^{2t}P_1 & Q_1^{2t}P_3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1^tP_1Q_1^t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.19)$$

Thus, $P_3 = 0$ because the invertibility of Q_1 . So from $Q^2P = QPQ$ and $P^2Q = PQP$, it follows, respectively, that

$$P_1Q_1 = Q_1P_1, \quad Q_2^2P_4 = Q_2P_4Q_1, \quad Q_2^2P_2 = Q_2P_2Q_2, \quad (2.20)$$

and that

$$P_2P_4Q_1 = P_2Q_2P_4, \quad P_i^2Q_i = P_iQ_iP_i, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2.21)$$

Since $Q_2^t = 0$,

$$Q_2P_4 = Q_2^2P_4Q_1^{-1} = Q_2^tP_4Q_1^{-t+1} = 0, \quad (2.22)$$

and then $P_2P_4 = P_2Q_2P_4Q_1^{-1} = 0$. From this, we can easily verify

$$P^s = \begin{pmatrix} P_1^s & 0 \\ P_4P_1^{s-1} & P_2^s \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.23)$$

Therefore, if $P^s = 0$, then $P_4P_1^{s-1} = 0$. □

3. Main Results

In this section, we will give the explicit expressions for $(P + Q)^D$ and $(PQ)^D$, under the conditions $P^2Q = PQP$ and $Q^2P = QPQ$. Now, we begin with the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{Ind}(Q) = t$ and $P \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be nilpotent with $P^s = 0$. If $P^2Q = PQP$ and $Q^2P = QPQ$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} (P + Q)^D &= \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (Q^D)^{i+1} (-P)^i + Q^\pi P \sum_{i=0}^{s-2} (-1)^i (i+1) (Q^D)^{i+2} P^i \\ &= QQ^D \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (-P)^i (Q^D)^{i+1} + Q^\pi PQQ^D \sum_{i=0}^{s-2} (-1)^i (i+1) P^i (Q^D)^{i+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. If $t = 0$, then Q is invertible, and therefore $QP = PQ$. So, by Lemma 2.5, (3.1) holds.

Now assume that $t > 0$ and, without loss of generality, Q can be written as $Q = Q_1 \oplus Q_2$, where Q_1 is invertible and Q_2 is nilpotent of index t . So $Q^D = Q_1^{-1} \oplus 0$. Since $P^2Q = PQP$ and $Q^2P = QPQ$, we can write P , partitioned conformably with Q , by Lemma 2.6, as follows:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ P_4 & P_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.2)$$

where P_1, P_2 are nilpotent since P is nilpotent. We also write $I = I_1 \oplus I_2$, partitioned conformably with Q .

Since P_1 is nilpotent and Q_1 is invertible, by Lemma 2.5,

$$(P_1 + Q_1)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} Q_1^{-i-1} (-P_1)^i = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (-P_1)^i Q_1^{-i-1}. \quad (3.3)$$

Also, the nilpotency of P_2, Q_2 implies $(P_2 + Q_2)^D = 0$ by Lemma 2.3.

By (2.15), $(P_2 + Q_2)P_4 = 0$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, the argument above, and (2.14), we have

$$(P + Q)^D = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 + Q_1 & 0 \\ P_4 & P_2 + Q_2 \end{pmatrix}^D = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1^{-1}(I_1 + Q_1^{-1}P_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ P_4 Q_1^{-2}(I_1 + Q_1^{-1}P_1)^{-2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.4)$$

By (3.3), it is easy to verify that

$$(I_1 + Q_1^{-1}P_1)^{-2} = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (-1)^i (i+1) Q_1^{-i} P_1^i = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (-1)^i (i+1) P_1^i Q_1^{-i}. \quad (3.5)$$

Since $P^s = 0$, we have $P_4 P_1^{s-1} = 0$ by Lemma 2.6, and, therefore, by (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} Q^x P \sum_{i=0}^{s-2} (-1)^i (i+1) (Q^D)^{i+2} P^i &= \sum_{i=0}^{s-2} (-1)^i (i+1) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ P_4 & P_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Q_1^{-(i+2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_1^i & 0 \\ * & P_2^i \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{s-2} (-1)^i (i+1) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ P_4 Q_1^{-(i+2)} P_1^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ P_4 Q_1^{-2} (I + Q_1^{-1} P_1)^{-2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Analogous to the argument above, we can see, by Lemma 2.5,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (Q^D)^{i+1} (-P)^i = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1^{-1} (I_1 + Q_1^{-1} P_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.7)$$

Thus, putting (3.6) and (3.7) into (3.4) yields the first equation of (3.1).
Similar to the discussion of (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q Q^D \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (-P)^i (Q^D)^{i+1} &= \begin{pmatrix} Q_1^{-1} (I + Q_1^{-1} P_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q^x P Q Q^D \sum_{i=0}^{s-2} (-1)^i (i+1) P^i (Q^D)^{i+2} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ P_4 Q_1^{-2} (I + Q_1^{-1} P_1)^{-2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

and then putting them into (3.4) yields the second equation of (3.1). \square

The following theorem is our main result, and Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.5 can be regarded as its special cases.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{Ind}(P) = s \geq 1$ and $\text{Ind}(Q) = t$. If $P^2 Q = P Q P$ and $Q^2 P = Q P Q$. Then,*

(i)

$$(PQ)^D = P^D Q^D = P P^D Q^D P^D = P Q^D (P^D)^2, \quad (3.9)$$

$$Q^2 P^D = Q P^D Q, \quad (3.10)$$

$$(P^D)^2 Q = P^D Q P^D. \quad (3.11)$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
(P+Q)^D &= P^D(I+P^DQ)^D + P^\pi Q \left[P^D(I+P^DQ)^D \right]^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (Q^D)^{i+1} (-P)^i P^\pi \\
&\quad + Q^\pi P \sum_{i=0}^{s-2} (-1)^i (i+1) (Q^D)^{i+2} P^i P^\pi.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. If $s = 0$, then P is invertible and $PQ = QP$. So, by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, (3.9) and (3.12) hold, respectively. Therefore, assume that $s > 0$, and, without loss of generality, let $P = P_1 \oplus P_2$, where P_1 is invertible and P_2 is nilpotent of index s . From hypotheses, by Lemma 2.6, we can write

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & 0 \\ Q_4 & Q_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.13}$$

partitioned conformably with P , and those equations in Lemma 2.6 hold. By Lemma 2.1, therefore, we have

$$Q^D = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1^D & 0 \\ Q_4(Q_1^D)^2 & Q_2^D \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q^2 = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1^2 & 0 \\ Q_4Q_1 & Q_2^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.14}$$

(i) By (2.14) and (2.15),

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^2 P^D &= \begin{pmatrix} Q_1^2 P_1^{-1} & 0 \\ Q_4 Q_1 P_1^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 P_1^{-1} Q_1 & 0 \\ Q_4 P_1^{-1} Q_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = Q P^D Q, \\
(P^D)^2 Q &= \begin{pmatrix} P_1^{-2} Q_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_1^{-1} Q_1 P_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = P^D Q P^D, \\
P Q^D (P^D)^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} P_1 Q_1^D P_1^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_1^{-1} Q_1^D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = P^D Q^D \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} Q_1^D P_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = P P^D Q^D P^D,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

$$PQ = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 Q_1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 Q_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 Q_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.16}$$

By (2.17) and Lemma 2.2, $(P_2Q_2)^s = P_2^sQ_2^s = 0$. By Lemma 2.4 and (3.16), we have

$$(PQ)^D = \begin{pmatrix} (Q_1P_1)^D & 0 \\ 0 & (P_2Q_2)^D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_1^{-1}Q_1^D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = P^DQ^D. \quad (3.17)$$

As a result, (3.9) holds.

(ii) By Lemma 2.6, $(P_2 + Q_2)Q_4 = 0$ and then, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(P + Q)^D = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 + Q_1 & 0 \\ Q_4 & P_2 + Q_2 \end{pmatrix}^D = \begin{pmatrix} (P_1 + Q_1)^D & 0 \\ Q_4[(P_1 + Q_1)^D]^2 & (P_2 + Q_2)^D \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.18)$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P^D(I + P^DQ)^D &= \begin{pmatrix} P_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_1 + P_1^{-1}Q_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}^D \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} P_1^{-1}(I_1 + P_1^{-1}Q_1)^D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (P_1 + Q_1)^D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

and, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ Q_4[(P_1 + Q_1)^D]^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & 0 \\ Q_4 & Q_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} [(P_1 + Q_1)^D]^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= P^\pi Q \left[P^D(I + P^DQ)^D \right]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

By (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (P_2 + Q_2)^D \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (Q_2^D)^{i+1} (-P_2)^i + Q_2^\pi P_2 \sum_{i=0}^{s-2} (-1)^i (i+1) (Q_2^D)^{i+2} P_2^i \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (Q^D)^{i+1} (-P)^i P^\pi + Q^\pi P \sum_{i=0}^{s-2} (-1)^i (i+1) (Q^D)^{i+2} P^i P^\pi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Thus, substituting (3.19), (3.21), and (3.20) in (3.18) yields (3.12). \square

Note that $PQ = QP$ implies $P^2Q = PQP$ and $Q^2P = QPQ$.

Corollary 3.3 (see [14, Theorem 2]). *If $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $PQ = QP$ and $\text{Ind}(P) = s$, then*

$$(P + Q)^D = \left(I + P^D Q\right)^D P^D + P^\pi \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \left(Q^D\right)^{i+1} (-P)^i. \quad (3.22)$$

Proof. From (3.19) and Lemma 2.5, we can obtain

$$\left(I + P^D Q\right)^D P^D = P^D \left(I + P^D Q\right)^D. \quad (3.23)$$

□

Since $P^k Q = 0$ for some k , $P^D Q = 0$. Thus, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{Ind}(P) = s \geq 1$ and $\text{Ind}(Q) = t$. Suppose $P^2 Q = P Q P$ and $Q^2 P = Q P Q$. If there exist two positive integers k and h such that $P^k Q = 0$ and $Q^h P = 0$, then*

$$(P + Q)^D = P^D + Q^D + Q \left(P^D\right)^2. \quad (3.24)$$

If Q is a perturbation of P , then, we have the following result in which $\|(P + Q)^D - P^D\|_2$ has an upper bound. Before the theorem, let us recall that if $\|A\|_2 < 1$, then $I + A$ is invertible and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I + A)^{-1}\|_2 &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \|A\|_2}, \\ \|I - (I + A)^{-1}\|_2 &\leq \frac{\|A\|_2}{1 - \|A\|_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Theorem 3.5. *Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{Ind}(P) = s \geq 1$ and $\text{Ind}(Q) = t$. Suppose $P^2 Q = P Q P$ and $Q^2 P = Q P Q$. If $\|P^D Q\|_2 < 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|(P + Q)^D - P^D\|_2 &\leq \frac{\|P^D\|_2 \|P^D Q\|_2}{1 - \|P^D Q\|_2} + \frac{\|P^\pi\|_2 \|Q\|_2 \|P^D\|_2^2}{(1 - \|P^D Q\|_2)^2} \\ &+ \frac{\|Q^D\|_2 \|P^\pi\|_2 \left(1 - \|Q^D\|_2^s \|P\|_2^s\right)}{1 - \|Q^D\|_2 \|P\|_2} + \frac{\|Q^D\|_2^2 \|Q^\pi\|_2 \|P^\pi\|_2 \|P\|_2}{(1 - \|Q^D\|_2 \|P\|_2)^2} \\ &\times \left[1 - s \|Q^D\|_2^{s-1} \|P\|_2^{s-1} + (s-1) \|Q^D\|_2^s \|P\|_2^s\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Proof. Since $\|P^D Q\|_2 < 1$, $I + P^D Q$ is invertible. Then by (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (P + Q)^D - P^D &= P^D \left[\left(I + P^D Q\right)^{-1} - I \right] + P^\pi Q \left[P^D \left(I + P^D Q\right)^{-1} \right]^2 \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \left(Q^D\right)^{i+1} (-P)^i P^\pi + Q^\pi P \sum_{i=0}^{s-2} (-1)^i (i+1) \left(Q^D\right)^{i+2} P^i P^\pi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

In order to verify (3.26), we need to calculate the 2-norms of the right-hand side of the above equation. By (3.25),

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| P^D \left[(I + P^D Q)^{-1} - I \right] \right\|_2 &\leq \frac{\|P^D\|_2 \|P^D Q\|_2}{1 - \|P^D Q\|_2}, \\
\left\| P^\pi Q \left[P^D (I + P^D Q)^{-1} \right]^2 \right\|_2 &\leq \frac{\|P^\pi\|_2 \|Q\|_2 \|P^D\|_2^2}{(1 - \|P^D Q\|_2)^2}, \\
\left\| \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (Q^D)^{i+1} (-P)^i P^\pi \right\|_2 &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \|Q^D\|_2^{i+1} \|P\|_2^i \|P^\pi\|_2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^s \|Q^D\|_2^i \|P\|_2^{i-1} \|P^\pi\|_2 \\
&= \frac{\|Q^D\|_2 \|P^\pi\|_2 (1 - \|Q^D\|_2^s \|P\|_2^s)}{1 - \|Q^D\|_2 \|P\|_2}, \\
\left\| Q^\pi P \sum_{i=0}^{s-2} (-1)^i (i+1) (Q^D)^{i+2} P^i P^\pi \right\|_2 &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{s-2} (i+1) \|Q^D\|_2^{i+2} \|P\|_2^{i+1} \|Q^\pi\|_2 \|P^\pi\|_2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} i \|Q^D\|_2^{i+1} \|P\|_2^i \|Q^\pi\|_2 \|P^\pi\|_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Let $q := \|Q^D\|_2 \|P\|_2$ and $S := \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} i q^i$. Then,

$$(1 - q)S = \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} q^i - (s-1)q^s = \frac{q(1 - q^{s-1})}{1 - q} - (s-1)q^s = \frac{q - sq^s + (s-1)q^{s+1}}{1 - q}. \tag{3.29}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} i \|Q^D\|_2^{i+1} \|P\|_2^i \|Q^\pi\|_2 \|P^\pi\|_2 \\
&= \frac{\|Q^D\|_2^2 \|Q^\pi\|_2 \|P^\pi\|_2 \|P\|_2 \left[1 - s \|Q^D\|_2^{s-1} \|P\|_2^{s-1} + (s-1) \|Q^D\|_2^s \|P\|_2^s \right]}{(1 - \|Q^D\|_2 \|P\|_2)^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

By the above argument, we can get (3.26). \square

Finally, we give an example to illustrate our results.

Example 3.6. Consider the matrices

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.31)$$

We observe that $P^2Q = PQP$ and $Q^2P = QPQ$, but $PQ \neq QP$. It is obvious that $s = \text{Ind}(P) = 2$, and

$$P^D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q^D = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.32)$$

Since $\|P^DQ\|_2 = (1/3) < 1$, $I + P^DQ$ is invertible and

$$(I + P^DQ)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.33)$$

By (3.12),

$$(P + Q)^D - P^D = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.34)$$

We can compute $\|(P + Q)^D - P^D\|_2 = 3\sqrt{10}$. On the other hand, it is easy to get that $\|P\|_2 = \|P^D\|_2 = \|P^{\pi}\|_2 = \|Q^{\pi}\|_2 = 1$, $\|Q\|_2 = 1/3$, $\|Q^D\|_2 = 3$. By (3.26), we get the upper bound of $\|(P + Q)^D - P^D\|_2$ is $16(1/4)$, it is bigger than and close to the exact norm.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referees for their helpful comments and suggestions. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11061005), the Ministry of Education Science and Technology Key Project under Grant 210164, and Grants (HCIC201103) of Guangxi Key Laboratory of Hybrid Computational and IC Design Analysis Open Fund.

References

- [1] S. L. Campbell and C. D. Meyer Jr., *Generalized Inverses of Linear Transformations*, Dover Publications, New York, NY, USA, 1991.
- [2] M. P. Drazin, "Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 65, pp. 506–514, 1958.
- [3] G. Wang, Y. Wei, and S. Qiao, *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Computations*, Science Press, Beijing, China, 2004.
- [4] R. E. Hartwig, G. Wang, and Y. Wei, "Some additive results on Drazin inverse," *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 322, no. 1–3, pp. 207–217, 2001.
- [5] N. Castro-González, E. Dopazo, and M. F. Martínez-Serrano, "On the Drazin inverse of the sum of two operators and its application to operator matrices," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 350, no. 1, pp. 207–215, 2009.
- [6] D. S. Cvetković-Ilić, D. S. Djordjević, and Y. Wei, "Additive results for the generalized Drazin inverse in a Banach algebra," *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 418, no. 1, pp. 53–61, 2006.
- [7] C. Y. Deng, "The Drazin inverse of bounded operators with commutativity up to a factor," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 206, no. 2, pp. 695–703, 2008.
- [8] C. Y. Deng, "The Drazin inverses of sum and difference of idempotents," *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 430, no. 4, pp. 1282–1291, 2009.
- [9] X. Liu, L. Xu, and Y. Yu, "The representations of the Drazin inverse of differences of two matrices," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 216, no. 12, pp. 3652–3661, 2010.
- [10] J. Ljubisavljević and D. S. Cvetković-Ilić, "Additive results for the Drazin inverse of block matrices and applications," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 235, no. 12, pp. 3683–3690, 2011.
- [11] P. Patrício and R. E. Hartwig, "Some additive results on Drazin inverses," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 215, no. 2, pp. 530–538, 2009.
- [12] Y. Wei, "A characterization and representation of the Drazin inverse," *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 744–747, 1996.
- [13] C. Y. Deng, "The Drazin inverses of products and differences of orthogonal projections," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 335, no. 1, pp. 64–71, 2007.
- [14] Y. Wei and C. Deng, "A note on additive results for the Drazin inverse," *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, vol. 59, no. 12, pp. 1319–1329, 2011.
- [15] H. Yang and X. Liu, "The Drazin inverse of the sum of two matrices and its applications," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 235, no. 5, pp. 1412–1417, 2011.
- [16] C. D. Meyer Jr. and N. J. Rose, "The index and the Drazin inverse of block triangular matrices," *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 1977.