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The aim of this paper is to study properties of the third-order delay trinomial differential equation $\left((1 / r(t)) y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+p(t) y^{\prime}(t)+q(t) y(\sigma(t))=0$, by transforming this equation onto the second-/thirdorder binomial differential equation. Using suitable comparison theorems, we establish new results on asymptotic behavior of solutions of the studied equations. Obtained criteria improve and generalize earlier ones.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we will study oscillation and asymptotic behavior of solutions of third-order delay trinomial differential equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{r(t)} y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+p(t) y^{\prime}(t)+q(t) y(\sigma(t))=0 \tag{E}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout the paper, we assume that $r(t), p(t), q(t), \sigma(t) \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)\right)$ and
(i) $r(t)>0, p(t) \geq 0, q(t)>0, \sigma(t)>0$,
(ii) $\sigma(t) \leq t, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t)=\infty$,
(iii) $R(t)=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} r(s) \mathrm{d} s \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

By a solution of $(E)$, we mean a function $y(t) \in C^{2}\left(\left[T_{x}, \infty\right)\right), T_{x} \geq t_{0}$, that satisfies $(E)$ on $\left[T_{x}, \infty\right)$. We consider only those solutions $y(t)$ of $(E)$ which satisfy $\sup \{|y(t)|: t \geq T\}>0$ for all $T \geq T_{x}$. We assume that $(E)$ possesses such a solution. A solution of $(E)$ is called oscillatory
if it has arbitrarily large zeros on $\left[T_{x}, \infty\right)$, and, otherwise, it is nonoscillatory. Equation $(E)$ itself is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

Recently, increased attention has been devoted to the oscillatory and asymptotic properties of second- and third-order differential equations (see [1-22]). Various techniques appeared for the investigation of such differential equations. Our method is based on establishing new comparison theorems, so that we reduce the examination of the third-order trinomial differential equations to the problem of the observation of binomial equations.

In earlier papers $[11,13,16,20$ ], a particular case of $(E)$, namely, the ordinary differential equation (without delay)

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+p(t) y^{\prime}(t)+g(t) y(t)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been investigated, and sufficient conditions for all its nonoscillatory solutions $y(t)$ to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t) y^{\prime}(t)<0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or the stronger condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are presented. It is known that $\left(E_{1}\right)$ has always a solution satisfying (1.1). Recently, various kinds of sufficient conditions for all nonoscillatory solutions to satisfy (1.1) or (1.2) appeared. We mention here $[9,11,13,16,21]$. But there are only few results for differential equations with deviating argument. Some attempts have been made in [8, 10, 18, 19]. In this paper we generalize these, results and we will study conditions under which all nonoscillatory solutions of $(E)$ satisfy (1.1) and (1.2). For our further references we define as following.

Definition 1.1. We say that $(E)$ has property $\left(P_{0}\right)$ if its every nonoscillatory solution $y(t)$ satisfies (1.1).

In this paper, we have two purposes. In the first place, we establish comparison theorems for immediately obtaining results for third-order delay equation from that of third order equation without delay. This part extends and complements earlier papers $[7,8,10,18]$.

Secondly, we present a comparison principle for deducing the desired property of $(E)$ from the oscillation of a second-order differential equation without delay. Here, we generalize results presented in $[8,9,14,15,21]$.

Remark 1.2. All functional inequalities considered in this paper are assumed to hold eventually; 0 that is, they are satisfied for all $t$ large enough.

## 2. Main Results

It will be derived that properties of $(E)$ are closely connected with the corresponding secondorder differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{r(t)} v^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+p(t) v(t)=0 \tag{v}
\end{equation*}
$$

as the following theorem says.
Theorem 2.1. Let $v(t)$ be a positive solution of $\left(E_{v}\right)$. Then $(E)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{v^{2}(t)}{r(t)}\left(\frac{1}{v(t)} y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) v(t) y(\sigma(t))=0 \tag{c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof follows from the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{v(t)}\left(\frac{v^{2}(t)}{r(t)}\left(\frac{1}{v(t)} y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{1}{r(t)} y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, in the sequel, instead of studying properties of the trinomial equation $(E)$, we will study the behavior of the binomial equation $\left(E^{c}\right)$. For our next considerations, it is desirable for $\left(E^{c}\right)$ to be in a canonical form; that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int^{\infty} v(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty  \tag{2.2}\\
& \int^{\infty} \frac{r(t)}{v^{2}(t)} \mathrm{d} t=\infty, \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

because properties of the canonical equations are nicely explored.
Now, we will study the properties of the positive solutions of $\left(E_{v}\right)$ to recognize when (2.2)-(2.3) are satisfied. The following result (see, e.g., $[7,9]$ or $[14]$ ) is a consequence of Sturm's comparison theorem.

Lemma 2.2. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R^{2}(t)}{r(t)} p(t) \leq \frac{1}{4} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left(E_{v}\right)$ possesses a positive solution $v(t)$.
To be sure that $\left(E_{v}\right)$ possesses a positive solution, we will assume throughout the paper that (2.4) holds. The following result is obvious.

Lemma 2.3. If $v(t)$ is a positive solution of $\left(E_{v}\right)$, then $v^{\prime}(t)>0,\left((1 / r(t)) v^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}<0$, and, what is more, (2.2) holds and there exists $c>0$ such that $v(t) \leq c R(t)$.

Now, we will show that if $\left(E_{v}\right)$ is nonoscillatory, then we always can choose a positive solution $v(t)$ of $\left(E_{v}\right)$ for which (2.3) holds.

Lemma 2.4. If $v_{1}(t)$ is a positive solution of $\left(E_{v}\right)$ for which (2.3) is violated, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{2}(t)=v_{1}(t) \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{r(s)}{v_{1}^{2}(s)} \mathrm{d} s \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is another positive solution of $\left(E_{v}\right)$ and, for $v_{2}(t),(2.3)$ holds.
Proof. First note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)=v_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t) \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{r(s)}{v_{1}^{2}(s)} \mathrm{d} s=-p(t) v_{1}(t) \int_{t_{0}}^{t} v_{1}^{-2}(s) \mathrm{d} s=-p(t) v_{2}(t) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $v_{2}(t)$ is a positive solution of $\left(E_{v}\right)$. On the other hand, to insure that (2.3) holds for $v_{2}(t)$, let us denote $w(t)=\int_{t}^{\infty} r(s) / v_{1}^{2}(s) \mathrm{d} s$. Then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{r(s)}{v_{2}^{2}(s)} \mathrm{d} s=\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{-w^{\prime}(s)}{w(s)} \mathrm{d} s=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{w(t)}-\frac{1}{w\left(t_{1}\right)}\right)=\infty \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4, we obtain the following result.
Lemma 2.5. Let (2.4) hold. Then trinomial $(E)$ can be represented in its binomial canonical form $\left(E^{c}\right)$.

Now we can study properties of $(E)$ with help of its canonical representation $\left(E^{c}\right)$. For our reference, let us denote for $\left(E^{c}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} y=y, \quad L_{1} y=\frac{1}{v}\left(L_{0} y\right)^{\prime}, \quad L_{2} y=\frac{v^{2}}{r}\left(L_{1} y\right)^{\prime}, \quad L_{3} y=\left(L_{2} y\right)^{\prime} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, $\left(E^{c}\right)$ can be written as $L_{3} y(t)+v(t) q(t) y(\sigma(t))=0$.
We present a structure of the nonoscillatory solutions of $\left(E^{c}\right)$. Since $\left(E^{c}\right)$ is in a canonical form, it follows from the well-known lemma of Kiguradze (see, e.g., $[7,9,14]$ ) that every nonoscillatory solution $y(t)$ of $\left(E^{c}\right)$ is either of degree 0 , that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y L_{0} y(t)>0, \quad y L_{1} y(t)<0, \quad y L_{2} y(t)>0, \quad y L_{3} y(t)<0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or of degree 2, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y L_{0} y(t)>0, \quad y L_{1} y(t)>0, \quad y L_{2} y(t)>0, \quad y L_{3} y(t)<0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.6. We say that $\left(E^{c}\right)$ has property $(A)$ if its every nonoscillatory solution $y(t)$ is of degree 0; that is, it satisfies (2.9).

Now we verify that property $\left(P_{0}\right)$ of $(E)$ and property $(A)$ of $\left(E^{c}\right)$ are equivalent in the sense that $y(t)$ satisfies (1.1) if and only if it obeys (2.9).

Theorem 2.7. Let (2.4) hold. Assume that $v(t)$ is a positive solution of $\left(E_{v}\right)$ satisfying (2.2)-(2.3). Then $\left(E^{c}\right)$ has property $(A)$ if and only if $(E)$ has property $\left(P_{0}\right)$.

Proof. $\rightarrow$ We suppose that $y(t)$ is a positive solution of $(E)$. We need to verify that $y^{\prime}(t)<0$. Since $y(t)$ is also a solution of $\left(E^{c}\right)$, then it satisfies (2.9). Therefore, $0>L_{1} y(t)=y^{\prime}(t) / v(t)$.
$\leftarrow$ Assume that $y(t)$ is a positive solution of $\left(E^{c}\right)$. We will verify that (2.9) holds. Since $y(t)$ is also a solution of $(E)$, we see that $y^{\prime}(t)<0$; that is, $L_{1} y(t)<0$. It follows from ( $E^{c}$ ) that $L_{3} y(t)=-v(t) q(t) y(\sigma(t))<0$. Thus, $L_{2} y(t)$ is decreasing. If we admit $L_{2} y(t)<0$ eventually, then $\mathrm{L}_{1} y(t)$ is decreasing, and integrating the inequality $L_{1} y(t)<L_{1} y\left(t_{1}\right)$, we get $y(t)<$ $y\left(t_{1}\right)+L_{1} y\left(t_{1}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{t} v(s) d s \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $L_{2} y(t)>0$ and (2.9) holds.

The following result which can be found in [9,14] presents the relationship between property $(A)$ of delay equation and that of equation without delay.

Theorem 2.8. Let (2.4) hold. Assume that $v(t)$ is a positive solution of $\left(E_{v}\right)$ satisfying (2.2)-(2.3). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(t) \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)\right), \quad \sigma^{\prime}(t)>0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{v^{2}(t)}{r(t)}\left(\frac{1}{v(t)} y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{v\left(\sigma^{-1}(t)\right) q\left(\sigma^{-1}(t)\right)}{\sigma^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{-1}(t)\right)} y(t)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has property $(A)$, then so does $\left(E^{c}\right)$.
Combining Theorems 2.7 and 2.8 , we get a criterion that reduces property $\left(P_{0}\right)$ of $(E)$ to the property $(A)$ of $\left(E_{2}\right)$.

Corollary 2.9. Let (2.4) and (2.11) hold. Assume that $v(t)$ is a positive solution of $\left(E_{v}\right)$ satisfying (2.2)-(2.3). If $\left(E_{2}\right)$ has property $(A)$ then $(E)$ has property $\left(P_{0}\right)$.

Employing any known or future result for property $(A)$ of $\left(E_{2}\right)$, then in view of Corollary 2.9, we immediately obtain that property $\left(P_{0}\right)$ holds for $(E)$.

Example 2.10. We consider the third-order delay trinomial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{t} y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{\alpha(2-\alpha)}{t^{3}} y^{\prime}(t)+q(t) y(\sigma(t))=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\alpha<1$ and $\sigma(t)$ satisfies (2.11). The corresponding equation $\left(E_{v}\right)$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{t} v^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{\alpha(2-\alpha)}{t^{3}} v(t)=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it has the pair of the solutions $v(t)=t^{\alpha}$ and $\widehat{v}(t)=t^{2-\alpha}$. Thus, $v(t)=t^{\alpha}$ is our desirable solution, which permits to rewrite (2.12) in its canonical form. Then, by Corollary 2.9, (2.12) has property $\left(P_{0}\right)$ if the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t^{2 \alpha-1}\left(t^{-\alpha} y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{\left(\sigma^{-1}(t)\right)^{\alpha} q\left(\sigma^{-1}(t)\right)}{\sigma^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{-1}(t)\right)} y(t)=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

has property $(A)$.
Now, we enhance our results to guarantee stronger asymptotic behavior of the nonoscillatory solutions of $(E)$. We impose an additional condition on the coefficients of $(E)$ to achieve that every nonoscillatory solution of $(E)$ tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Corollary 2.11. Let (2.4) and (2.11) hold. Assume that $v(t)$ is a positive solution of $\left(E_{v}\right)$ satisfying (2.2)-(2.3). If $\left(E_{2}\right)$ has property $(A)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} v\left(s_{3}\right) \int_{s_{3}}^{\infty} \frac{r\left(s_{2}\right)}{v^{2}\left(s_{2}\right)} \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} v\left(s_{1}\right) q\left(s_{1}\right) d s_{1} d s_{2} d s_{3}=\infty, \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every nonoscillatory solution $y(t)$ of $(E)$ satisfies (1.2).
Proof. Assume that $y(t)$ is a positive solution of $(E)$. Then, it follows from Corollary 2.9 that $y^{\prime}(t)<0$. Therefore, $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=\ell \geq 0$. Assume $\ell>0$. On the other hand, $y(t)$ is also a solution of $\left(E^{c}\right)$, and, in view of Theorem 2.7, it has to be of degree 0 ; that is, $(2.9)$ is fulfilled. Then, integrating $\left(E^{c}\right)$ from $t$ to $\infty$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2} y(t) \geq \int_{t}^{\infty} v(s) q(s) y(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s \geq \ell \int_{t}^{\infty} v(s) q(s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying this inequality by $r(t) / v^{2}(t)$ and then integrating from $t$ to $\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-L_{1} y(t) \geq \ell \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{r\left(s_{2}\right)}{v^{2}\left(s_{2}\right)} \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} v\left(s_{1}\right) q\left(s_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} s_{1} \mathrm{~d} s_{2} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying this by $v(t)$ and then integrating from $t_{1}$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(t_{1}\right) \geq \ell \int_{t_{1}}^{t} v\left(s_{3}\right) \int_{s_{3}}^{\infty} \frac{r\left(s_{2}\right)}{v^{2}\left(s_{2}\right)} \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} v\left(s_{1}\right) q\left(s_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} s_{1} \mathrm{~d} s_{2} \mathrm{~d} s_{3} \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } t \longrightarrow \infty \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a contradiction, and we deduce that $\ell=0$. The proof is complete.

Example 2.12. We consider once more the third-order equation (2.12). It is easy to see that (2.15) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} s_{3}^{\alpha} \int_{s_{3}}^{\infty} s_{2}^{1-2 \alpha} \int_{s_{2}}^{\infty} s_{1}^{\alpha} q\left(s_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} s_{1} \mathrm{~d} s_{2} \mathrm{~d} s_{3}=\infty \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by Corollary 2.11, every nonoscillatory solution of (2.12) tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ provided that $(2.19)$ holds and $(2.14)$ has property $(A)$.

In the second part of this paper, we derive criteria that enable us to deduce property $\left(P_{0}\right)$ of $(E)$ from the oscillation of a suitable second-order differential equation. The following theorem is a modification of Tanaka's result [21].

Theorem 2.13. Let (2.4) and (2.11) hold. Assume that $v(t)$ is a positive solution of $\left(E_{v}\right)$ satisfying (2.2)-(2.3). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int^{\infty} v(s) q(s) d s<\infty \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the second-order equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{v^{2}(t)}{r(t)} z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\left(v(\sigma(t)) \sigma^{\prime}(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} v(s) q(s) d s\right) z(\sigma(t))=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory, then $\left(E^{c}\right)$ has property $(A)$.
Proof. Assume that $y(t)$ is a positive solution of $\left(E^{c}\right)$, then $y(t)$ is either of degree 0 or of degree 2. Assume that $y(t)$ is of degree 2 ; that is, $(2.10)$ holds. An integration of $\left(E^{c}\right)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2} y(t) \geq \int_{t}^{\infty} v(s) q(s) y(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t) \geq \int_{t_{1}}^{t} v(x) L_{1} y(x) \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the last two inequalities, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{2} y(t) & \geq \int_{t}^{\infty} v(s) q(s) \int_{t_{1}}^{\sigma(s)} v(x) L_{1} y(x) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} s \\
& \geq \int_{t}^{\infty} v(s) q(s) \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\sigma(s)} v(x) L_{1} y(x) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} s  \tag{2.23}\\
& =\int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} L_{1} y(x) v(x) \int_{\sigma^{-1}(x)}^{\infty} v(s) q(s) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} x
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating the previous inequality from $t_{1}$ to $t$, we see that $w(t) \equiv L_{1} y(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t) \geq w\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{r(s)}{v^{2}(s)} \int_{\sigma(s)}^{\infty} L_{1} y(x) v(x) \int_{\sigma^{-1}(x)}^{\infty} v(\delta) q(\delta) \mathrm{d} \delta \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} s \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting the right-hand side of $(2.24)$ by $z(t)$, it is easy to see that $z(t)>0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\left(\frac{v^{2}(t)}{r(t)} z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\left(v(\sigma(t)) \sigma^{\prime}(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} v(s) g(s) d s\right) w(\sigma(t))=0 \\
& \geq\left(\frac{v^{2}(t)}{r(t)} z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\left(v(\sigma(t)) \sigma^{\prime}(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} v(s) g(s) d s\right) z(\sigma(t))=0 \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

By Theorem 2 in [14], the corresponding equation $\left(E_{3}\right)$ also has a positive solution. This is a contradiction. We conclude that $y(t)$ is of degree 0 ; that is, $\left(E^{c}\right)$ has property $(\mathrm{A})$.

If (2.20) does not hold, then we can use the following result.
Theorem 2.14. Let (2.4) and (2.11) hold. Assume that $v(t)$ is a positive solution of $\left(E_{v}\right)$ satisfying (2.2)-(2.3). If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int^{\infty} v(s) q(s) d s=\infty \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left(E^{c}\right)$ has property $(A)$.
Proof. Assume that $y(t)$ is a positive solution of $\left(E^{c}\right)$ and $y(t)$ is of degree 2. An integration of ( $E^{c}$ ) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{2} y\left(t_{1}\right) & \geq \int_{t_{1}}^{t} v(s) q(s) y(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \geq y\left(\sigma\left(t_{1}\right)\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{t} v(s) q(s) \mathrm{d} s \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } t \longrightarrow \infty \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, $y(t)$ is of degree 0 . The proof is complete now.
Taking Theorem 2.13 and Corollary 2.9 into account, we get the following criterion for property $\left(P_{0}\right)$ of $(E)$.

Corollary 2.15. Let (2.4), (2.11), and (2.20) hold. Assume that $v(t)$ is a positive solution of $\left(E_{v}\right)$ satisfying (2.2)-(2.3). If $\left(E_{3}\right)$ is oscillatory, then $(E)$ has property $\left(P_{0}\right)$.

Applying any criterion for oscillation of $\left(E_{3}\right)$, Corollary 2.15 yields a sufficient condition property $\left(P_{0}\right)$ of $(E)$.

Corollary 2.16. Let (2.4), (2.11), and (2.20) hold. Assume that $v(t)$ is a positive solution of $\left(E_{v}\right)$ satisfying (2.2)-(2.3). If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{\sigma(t)} \frac{r(s)}{v^{2}(s)} d s\right)\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} v(\sigma(x)) \sigma^{\prime}(x) \int_{x}^{\infty} v(s) g(s) d s d x\right)>\frac{1}{4} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $(E)$ has property $\left(P_{0}\right)$.
Proof. It follows from Theorem 11 in [9] that condition (2.28) guarantees the oscillation of $\left(E_{3}\right)$. The proof arises from Corollary 2.16.

Imposing an additional condition on the coefficients of $(E)$, we can obtain that every nonoscillatory solution of $(E)$ tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Corollary 2.17. Let (2.4) and (2.11) hold. Assume that $v(t)$ is a positive solution of $\left(E_{v}\right)$ satisfying (2.2)-(2.3). If (2.28) and (2.15) hold, then every nonoscillatory solution $y(t)$ of $(E)$ satisfies (1.2).

Example 2.18. We consider again (2.12). By Corollary 2.17, every nonoscillatory solution of (2.12) tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ provided that (2.19) holds and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{2-2 \alpha}(t)\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \sigma^{\alpha}(x) \sigma^{\prime}(x) \int_{x}^{\infty} s^{\alpha} q(s) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} x\right)>\frac{2-2 \alpha}{4} . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a special case of (2.12), namely, for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(\frac{1}{t} y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{\alpha(2-\alpha)}{t^{3}} y^{\prime}(t)+\frac{a}{t^{4}} y(\lambda t)\right)=0 \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $0<\alpha<1,0<\lambda<1$, and $a>0$, we get that every nonoscillatory solution of (2.30) tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a \lambda^{3-\alpha}}{(3-\alpha)(1-\alpha)^{2}}>1 \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we set $a=\beta[(\beta+1)(\beta+3)+\alpha(2-\alpha)] \lambda^{\beta}$, where $\beta>0$, then one such solution of (2.12) is $y(t)=t^{-\beta}$.

On the other hand, if for some $\gamma \in(1+\alpha, 3-\alpha)$ we have $a=\gamma[(\gamma-1)(3-\gamma)+\alpha(\alpha-2)] \lambda^{-\gamma}>$ 0 , then (2.31) is violated and (2.12) has a nonoscillatory solution $y(t)=t^{r}$ which is of degree 2.

## 3. Summary

In this paper, we have introduced new comparison theorems for the investigation of properties of third-order delay trinomial equations. The comparison principle established in Corollaries 2.9 and 2.11 enables us to deduce properties of the trinomial third-order equations from that of binomial third-order equations. Moreover, the comparison theorems presented in Corollaries 2.15-2.17 permit to derive properties of the trinomial third-order equations from
the oscillation of suitable second-order equations. The results obtained are of high generality, are easily applicable, and are illustrated on suitable examples.
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