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For $1<r<+\infty$, we find the least value $\alpha$ and the greatest value $\beta$ such that the inequality $H_{\alpha}(a, b)<$ $A_{r}(a, b)<H_{\beta}(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. Here, $H_{\omega}(a, b)$ and $A_{r}(a, b)$ are the generalized Heronian and the power means of two positive numbers $a$ and $b$, respectively.

## 1. Introduction and Statement of Result

For $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, the generalized Heronian mean of $a$ and $b$ is defined by Janous [1] as

$$
H_{\omega}(a, b)= \begin{cases}\frac{a+\omega \sqrt{a b}+b}{\omega+2}, & 0 \leq \omega<+\infty  \tag{1.1}\\ \sqrt{a b}, & \omega=+\infty\end{cases}
$$

If we take $\omega=1$ in (1.1), then we arrive at the classical Heronian mean

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{e}(a, b)=\frac{a+\sqrt{a b}+b}{3} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The domain of definition for the function $\omega \mapsto H_{\omega}(a, b)$ can be extended to all $\omega$ with $\omega \in$ $(-2,+\infty)$, that is,

$$
H_{\omega}(a, b)= \begin{cases}\frac{a+\omega \sqrt{a b}+b}{\omega+2}, & -2<\omega<+\infty,  \tag{1.3}\\ \sqrt{a b}, & \omega=+\infty .\end{cases}
$$

For all fixed $a, b>0$, it is easy to derive that $\omega \mapsto H_{\omega}(a, b),-2<\omega<+\infty$ is monotonically decreasing, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\omega \rightarrow-2^{+}} H_{\omega}(a, b)=+\infty \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
A_{r}(a, b)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{a^{r}+b^{r}}{2}\right)^{1 / r}, & r \neq 0  \tag{1.5}\\ \sqrt{a b}, & r=0 \\ \max \{a, b\}, & r=+\infty \\ \min \{a, b\}, & r=-\infty\end{cases}
$$

denote the power mean of order $r$. In particular, the harmonic, geometric, square-root, arithmetic, and root-square means of $a$ and $b$ are

$$
\begin{gather*}
H(a, b)=A_{-1}(a, b)=\frac{2 a}{a+b^{\prime}} \\
G(a, b)=A_{0}(a, b)=\sqrt{a b} \\
N_{1}(a, b)=A_{1 / 2}(a, b)=\left(\frac{\sqrt{a}+\sqrt{b}}{2}\right)^{2},  \tag{1.6}\\
A(a, b)=A_{1}(a, b)=\frac{a+b}{2} \\
S(a, b)=A_{2}(a, b)=\sqrt{\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{2}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

It is well known that the power mean of order $r$ given in (1.5) is monotonically increasing in $r$, then we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \{a, b\}<H(a, b)<G(a, b)<N_{1}(a, b)<A(a, b)<S(a, b)<\max \{a, b\} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recently, the inequalities for means have been the subject of intensive research [1-15]. In particular, many remarkable inequalities for the generalized Heronian and power means can be found in the literature [4-9].

In [4], the authors established two sharp inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2}{3} G(a, b)+\frac{1}{3} H(a, b) \geq A_{-1 / 3}(a, b) \\
& \frac{1}{3} G(a, b)+\frac{2}{3} H(a, b) \geq A_{-2 / 3}(a, b) \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

In [5], Long and Chu found the greatest value $p$ and the least value $q$ such that the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{p}(a, b) \leq A(a, b)^{\alpha} G(a, b)^{\beta} H(a, b)^{1-\alpha-\beta} \leq A_{q}(a, b) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ and $\alpha, \beta>0$ with $\alpha+\beta<1$.
In [6], Shi et al. gave two optimal inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{\alpha}(a, b) L^{1-\alpha}(a, b) \leq A_{(1+2 \alpha) / 3}(a, b), \\
& G^{\alpha}(a, b) L^{1-\alpha}(a, b) \leq A_{(1-\alpha) / 3}(a, b), \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

for $0<\alpha<1$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(a, b)=\frac{a-b}{\log a-\log b}, \quad a \neq b \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the logarithmic mean for $a, b>0$.
In [7], Guan and Zhu obtained sharp bounds for the generalized Heronian mean in terms of the power mean with $\omega>0$. The optimal values $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\alpha}(a, b) \leq H_{\omega}(a, b) \leq A_{\beta}(a, b) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds in general are
(1) in case of $\omega \in(0,2], \alpha_{\max }=\log 2 / \log (\omega+2)$ and $\beta_{\min }=2 /(\omega+2)$,
(2) in case of $\omega \in[2,+\infty), \alpha_{\max }=2 /(\omega+2)$ and $\beta_{\min }=\log 2 / \log (\omega+2)$.

In this paper, we find the least value $\alpha$ and the greatest value $\beta$, such that for any fixed $1<r<+\infty$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\alpha}(a, b)<A_{r}(a, b)<H_{\beta}(a, b) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
Theorem 1.1. For $1<r<+\infty$, the optimal numbers $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\alpha}(a, b)<A_{r}(a, b)<H_{\beta}(a, b) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, are $\alpha_{\min }=2^{1 / r}-2$ and $\beta_{\max }=2(1-r) / r$.
Notice that in our case $r>1$; the two numbers $\alpha_{\min }$ and $\beta_{\max }$ are all negative see Corollary 2.2 below. Thus, the result in this paper is different from [7, Theorem A].

## 2. Preliminary Lemmas

The following lemma will be repeatedly used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Lemma 2.1. For $1<r<+\infty$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
r 2^{1 / r-1}>1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(r)=(1-r) \log 2+r \log r>0, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is clearly equivalent to the claim. Equation (2.2) follows from the facts

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{+}} m(r)=0, \quad m^{\prime}(r)=-\log 2+\log r+1>0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2.2. If $1<r<+\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2<\frac{2(1-r)}{r}<2^{1 / r}-2<0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since for $1<r<+\infty$, the two functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}(r)=\frac{2(1-r)}{r}, \quad \varphi_{2}(r)=2^{1 / r}-2 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

are strictly decreasing, then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \varphi_{1}(r)<\varphi_{1}(r), \quad \varphi_{2}(r)<\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{+}} \varphi_{2}(r)=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2-2 r<r 2^{1 / r}-2 r \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to (2.1).
Lemma 2.3. For $x>1$ and $r>1$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell(x)=\left(x^{2 r}+1\right)^{1 / r-2} x^{2(r-1)}\left(x^{2 r}+2 r-1\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $\ell(x)$ is strictly decreasing for $x>1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 1^{+}} \ell(x)=r 2^{1 / r-1}, \quad \lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \ell(x)=1 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The fact $\ell(x)>0$ for $x>1$ and $r>1$ is obvious, which allows us to take the logarithmic function of $\ell(x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \ell(x)=\left(\frac{1}{r}-2\right) \log \left(x^{2 r}+1\right)+2(r-1) \log x+\log \left(x^{2 r}+2 r-1\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some tedious, but not difficult calculations lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
{[\log \ell(x)]^{\prime} } & =\left(\frac{1}{r}-2\right) \frac{2 r x^{2 r-1}}{x^{2 r}+1}+\frac{2(r-1)}{x}+\frac{2 r x^{2 r-1}}{x^{2 r}+2 r-1}  \tag{2.11}\\
& =\frac{m(x)}{x\left(x^{2 r}+1\right)\left(x^{2 r}+2 r-1\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
m(x) & =2(1-2 r) x^{2 r}\left(x^{2 r}+2 r-1\right)+(2 r-1)\left(x^{2 r}+1\right)\left(x^{2 r}+2 r-1\right)+2 r x^{2 r}\left(x^{2 r}+1\right) \\
& =2(r-1)(2 r-1)\left(1-x^{2 r}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 1^{+}} m(x)=0  \tag{2.13}\\
m^{\prime}(x)=-4 r(r-1)(2 r-1) x^{2 r-1}<0 \tag{2.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (2.14) implies that $m(x)$ is strictly decreasing for $x>1$, which together with (2.13) implies $m(x)<0$ for $x>1$. Thus, by (2.11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\log \ell(x)]^{\prime}<0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell^{\prime}(x)=[\log \ell(x)]^{\prime} \ell(x)<0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $\ell(x)$ is strictly decreasing.
It remains to show (2.9). The first equality in (2.9) is obvious. The second one follows from

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \ell(x) & =\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty}\left(x^{2 r}+1\right)^{1 / r-2} x^{2(r-1)}\left(x^{2 r}+2 r-1\right) \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{(2 r-1) t^{2 r}+1}{\left(1+t^{2 r}\right)^{(2 r-1) / r}}  \tag{2.17}\\
& =1
\end{align*}
$$

This ends the proof of Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.4. For $x>1, r>1$, and $\omega=2^{1 / r}-2$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{r}(x)=2^{1 / r}\left(x^{2}+\omega x+1\right)-(\omega+2)\left(x^{2 r}+1\right)^{1 / r} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} f_{r}(x)=-\infty \\
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} f_{r}^{\prime}(x)=2^{1 / r}\left(2^{1 / r}-2\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Simple calculations lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} f_{r}(x) & =\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} 2^{1 / r}\left(x^{2}+\omega x+1\right)-(\omega+2)\left(x^{2 r}+1\right)^{1 / r} \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{2^{1 / r}\left(t^{2}+\omega t+1\right)-(\omega+2)\left(t^{2 r}+1\right)^{1 / r}}{t^{2}} \\
& =-\infty, \\
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} f_{r}^{\prime}(x) & =\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} 2^{1 / r}(2 x+\omega)-2(\omega+2)\left(x^{2 r}+1\right)^{1 / r} \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{2^{1 / r}(2+\omega t)-2(\omega+2)\left(1+t^{2 r}\right)^{(1-r) / r}}{t}  \tag{2.20}\\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{2^{1 / r}(2+\omega t)\left(1+t^{2 r}\right)^{(r-1) / r}-2(\omega+2)}{t\left(1+t^{2 r}\right)^{(r-1) / r}} \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{2^{1 / r} \omega\left(1+t^{2 r}\right)^{(r-1) / r}+2^{(1 / r)+1}(r-1)(2+\omega t)\left(1+t^{2 r}\right)^{-1 / r} t^{2 r-1}}{\left(1+t^{2 r}\right)^{(r-1) / r}+2(r-1)\left(1+t^{2 r}\right)^{-1 / r} t^{2 r}} \\
& =2^{1 / r} \omega=2^{1 / r}\left(\omega^{1 / r}-2\right)<0,
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used L'Hospital's law. This ends the proof of Lemma 2.4.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. Firstly, we prove that for $1<r<+\infty$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{2(1-r) / r}(a, b)>A_{r}(a, b)  \tag{3.1}\\
H_{2^{1 / r}-2}(a, b)<A_{r}(a, b) \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

hold true for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. It is no loss of generality to assume that $a>b>0$. Let $x=\sqrt{b / a}>1$ and $\omega \in\left\{2(1-r) / r, 2^{1 / r}-2\right\}$. In view of Corollary 2.2, $-2<\omega<0$. Equations (1.3) and (1.5) lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{a}\left[H_{\omega}(a, b)-A_{r}(a, b)\right] & =H_{\omega}\left(x^{2}, 1\right)-A_{r}\left(x^{2}, 1\right) \\
& =\frac{x^{2}+\omega x+1}{\omega+2}-\left(\frac{x^{2 r}+1}{2}\right)^{1 / r} \\
& =\frac{2^{1 / r}\left(x^{2}+\omega x+1\right)-(\omega+2)\left(x^{2 r}+1\right)^{1 / r}}{2^{1 / r}(\omega+2)}  \tag{3.3}\\
& =\frac{f_{r}(x)}{2^{1 / r}(\omega+2)}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{r}(x)$ is defined by (2.18). It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 1^{+}} f_{r}(x)=0  \tag{3.4}\\
f_{r}^{\prime}(x)=2^{1 / r}(2 x+\omega)-2(\omega+2)\left(x^{2 r}+1\right)^{1 / r-1} x^{2 r-1}  \tag{3.5}\\
\lim _{x \rightarrow 1^{+}} f_{r}^{\prime}(x)=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

By Lemma 2.3,

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x) & =2\left\{2^{1 / r}-(\omega+2)\left[2(1-r)\left(x^{2 r}+1\right)^{1 / r-2} x^{4 r-2}+(2 r-1)\left(x^{2 r}+1\right)^{1 / r-1} x^{2(r-1)}\right]\right\} \\
& =2\left[2^{1 / r}-(\omega+2) \ell(x)\right]>2\left[2^{1 / r}-(\omega+2) r 2^{1 / r-1}\right]=2^{1 / r}[2-(\omega+2) r]  \tag{3.7}\\
\lim _{x \rightarrow 1^{+}} f_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x) & =2\left\{2^{1 / r}-(\omega+2)\left[2(1-r) 2^{1 / r-2}+(2 r-1) 2^{1 / r-1}\right]\right\}=2^{1 / r}[2-(\omega+2) r] \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

We now distinguish between two cases.
Case $1(\omega=2(1-r) / r)$. Since $2-(\omega+2) r=0$, then by $(3.7), f_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$. Thus, $f_{r}^{\prime}(x)$ is strictly increasing for $x>1$, which together with (3.6) implies $f_{r}^{\prime}(x)>0$. Hence, $f_{r}(x)$ is strictly increasing for $x>1$. Since (3.4), then $f_{r}(x)>0$. Equation (3.1) follows from (3.3).

Case $2\left(\omega=2^{1 / r}-2\right)$. By (3.5) and (2.11),

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{r}^{\prime \prime \prime}(x) & =-2(\omega+2) \ell^{\prime}(x)=-2(\omega+2)[\log \ell(x)]^{\prime} \ell(x) \\
& =-2(\omega+2) \frac{m(x) \ell(x)}{x\left(x^{2 r}+1\right)\left(x^{r}+2 r-1\right)} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

$>0$.

Thus, $f_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x)$ is strictly increasing. Equations (3.8) and (2.1) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 1^{+}} f_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x)=2^{1 / r}[2-(\omega+2) r]=2^{1 / r+1}\left(1-r 2^{1 / r-1}\right)<0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (3.7) and (2.9) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} f_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} 2\left[2^{1 / r}-(\omega+2) \ell(x)\right]=2\left(2^{1 / r}-1\right)>0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.10) with (3.11), we obviously know that there exists $\lambda_{1}>1$ such that $f_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x)<0$ for $x \in\left(1, \lambda_{1}\right)$ and $f_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$ for $x \in\left(\lambda_{1},+\infty\right)$. This implies that $f_{r}^{\prime}(x)$ is strictly decreasing for $x \in\left(1, \lambda_{1}\right)$ and strictly increasing for $x \in\left(\lambda_{1},+\infty\right)$. By (3.6) and Lemma 2.4, we know that $f_{r}^{\prime}(x)<0$ for $x>1$. Therefore, $f_{r}(x)$ is strictly decreasing. By (3.4) and Lemma 2.4 again, we derive that $f_{r}(x)<0$ for $x>1$. Equation (3.2) follows from (3.3).

Secondly, we prove that $H_{2^{1 / r}-2}(a, b)$ is the best lower bound for the power mean $A_{r}(a, b)$ for $1<r<+\infty$. For any $\alpha<2^{1 / r}-2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{H_{\alpha}(x, 1)}{A_{r}(x, 1)}=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{2^{1 / r}(x+\alpha \sqrt{x}+1)}{(\alpha+2)\left(x^{r}+1\right)^{r}}=\frac{2^{1 / r}}{\alpha+2}>1 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, there exists $X=X(\alpha)>1$ such that $H_{\alpha}(x, 1)>A_{r}(x, 1)$ for $x \in(X,+\infty)$.
Finally, we prove that $H_{2(1-r) / r}(a, b)$ is the best upper bound for the power mean $A_{r}(a, b)$ for $1<r<+\infty$. For any $\beta>2(1-r) / r$, by (3.7) (with $\beta$ in place of $\omega$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 1^{+}} f_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x)=2^{1 / r}[2-(\beta+2) r]<0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by the continuity of $f_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x)$, there exists $\delta=\delta(\beta)>0$ such that $f_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x)<0$ for $x \in(1,1+\delta)$. Thus $f_{r}(x)$ is strictly decreasing for $x \in(1,1+\delta)$. From (3.6), $f_{r}^{\prime}(x)<0$ for $x \in(1,1+\delta)$. This result together with (3.4) implies that $f_{r}(x)<0$ for $x \in(1,1+\delta)$. Hence, by (3.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\beta}\left(x^{2}, 1\right)<A_{r}\left(x^{2}, 1\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in(1,1+\delta)$.
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