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For $\alpha, \beta \in(0,1 / 2)$ we prove that the double inequality $G(\alpha a+(1-\alpha) b, \alpha b+(1-\alpha) a)<P(a, b)<$ $G(\beta a+(1-\beta) b, \beta b+(1-\beta) a)$ holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha \leq\left(1-\sqrt{1-4 / \pi^{2}}\right) / 2$ and $\beta \geq(3-\sqrt{3}) / 6$. Here, $G(a, b)$ and $P(a, b)$ denote the geometric and Seiffert means of two positive numbers $a$ and $b$, respectively.

## 1. Introduction

For $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ the Seiffert mean $P(a, b)$ was introduced by Seiffert [1] as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(a, b)=\frac{a-b}{4 \arctan \sqrt{a / b}-\pi} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recently, the bivariate mean values have been the subject of intensive research. In particular, many remarkable inequalities for the Seiffert mean can be found in the literature [1-9].

Let $H(a, b)=2 a b /(a+b), G(a, b)=\sqrt{a b}, L(a, b)=(a-b) /(\log a-\log b)$, $I(a, b)=1 / e\left(b^{b} / a^{a}\right)^{1 /(b-a)}, A(a, b)=(a+b) / 2, C(a, b)=\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) /(a+b)$, and $M_{p}(a, b)=$ $\left[\left(a^{p}+b^{p}\right) / 2\right]^{1 / p}(p \neq 0)$ and $M_{0}(a, b)=\sqrt{a b}$ be the harmonic, geometric, logarithmic, identric, arithmetic, contraharmonic, and $p$ th power means of two different positive numbers $a$ and $b$,
respectively. Then it is well known that

$$
\begin{align*}
\min \{a, b\}<H(a, b) & =M_{-1}(a, b)<G(a, b)=M_{0}(a, b)<L(a, b) \\
& <I(a, b)<A(a, b)=M_{1}(a, b)<C(a, b)<\max \{a, b\} \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
For all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, Seiffert [1] established that $L(a, b)<P(a, b)<I(a, b)$; Jagers [4] proved that $M_{1 / 2}(a, b)<P(a, b)<M_{2 / 3}(a, b)$ and $M_{2 / 3}(a, b)$ is the best possible upper power mean bound for the Seiffert mean $P(a, b)$; Seiffert [7] established that $P(a, b)>A(a, b) G(a, b) / L(a, b)$ and $P(a, b)>2 A(a, b) / \pi$; Sándor [6] presented that $(A(a, b)+G(a, b)) / 2<P(a, b)<\sqrt{A(a, b)(A(a, b)+G(a, b)) / 2}$ and $\sqrt[3]{A^{2}(a, b) G(a, b)}<$ $P(a, b)<(G(a, b)+2 A(a, b)) / 3$; Hästö [3] proved that $P(a, b)>M_{\log 2 / \log \pi}(a, b)$ and $M_{\log 2 / \log \pi}(a, b)$ is the best possible lower power mean bound for the Seiffert mean $P(a, b)$.

Very recently, Wang and Chu [8] found the greatest value $\alpha$ and the least value $\beta$ such that the double inequality $A^{\alpha}(a, b) H^{1-\alpha}(a, b)<P(a, b)<A^{\beta}(a, b) H^{1-\beta}(a, b)$ holds for $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$; For any $\alpha \in(0,1)$, Chu et al. [10] presented the best possible bounds for $P^{\alpha}(a, b) G^{1-\alpha}(a, b)$ in terms of the power mean; In [2] the authors proved that the double inequality $\alpha A(a, b)+(1-\alpha) H(a, b)<P(a, b)<\beta A(a, b)+(1-\beta) H(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha \leq 2 / \pi$ and $\beta \geq 5 / 6$; Liu and Meng [5] proved that the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{1} C(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) G(a, b)<P(a, b)<\beta_{1} C(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{1}\right) G(a, b), \\
& \alpha_{2} C(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{2}\right) H(a, b)<P(a, b)<\beta_{2} C(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{2}\right) H(a, b) \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_{1} \leq 2 / 9, \beta_{1} \geq 1 / \pi, \alpha_{2} \leq 1 / \pi$ and $\beta_{2} \geq 5 / 12$.
For fixed $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ and $x \in[0,1 / 2]$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=G(x a+(1-x) b, x b+(1-x) a) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it is not difficult to verify that $g(x)$ is continuous and strictly increasing in $[0,1 / 2]$. Note that $g(0)=G(a, b)<P(a, b)$ and $g(1 / 2)=A(a, b)>P(a, b)$. Therefore, it is natural to ask what are the greatest value $\alpha$ and least value $\beta$ in $(0,1 / 2)$ such that the double inequality $G(\alpha a+(1-\alpha) b, \alpha b+(1-\alpha) a)<P(a, b)<G(\beta a+(1-\beta) b, \beta b+(1-\beta) a)$ holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. The main purpose of this paper is to answer these questions. Our main result is the following Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1. If $\alpha, \beta \in(0,1 / 2)$, then the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\alpha a+(1-\alpha) b, \alpha b+(1-\alpha) a)<P(a, b)<G(\beta a+(1-\beta) b, \beta b+(1-\beta) a) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha \leq\left(1-\sqrt{1-4 / \pi^{2}}\right) / 2$ and $\beta \geq(3-\sqrt{3}) / 6$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\lambda=\left(1-\sqrt{1-4 / \pi^{2}}\right) / 2$ and $\mu=(3-\sqrt{3}) / 6$. We first prove that inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(a, b)>G(\lambda a+(1-\lambda) b, \lambda b+(1-\lambda) a)  \tag{2.1}\\
& P(a, b)<G(\mu a+(1-\mu) b, \mu b+(1-\mu) a) \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
Without loss of generality, we assume that $a>b$. Let $t=\sqrt{a / b}>1$ and $p \in(0,1 / 2)$, then from (1.1) one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log G(p a+(1-p) b, p b+(1-p) a)-\log P(a, b) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2} \log \left[\left(p t^{2}+(1-p)\right)\left((1-p) t^{2}+p\right)\right]-\log \frac{t^{2}-1}{4 \arctan t-\pi} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\frac{1}{2} \log \left[\left(p t^{2}+(1-p)\right)\left((1-p) t^{2}+p\right)\right]-\log \frac{t^{2}-1}{4 \arctan t-\pi} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then simple computations lead to

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(1)=0,  \tag{2.5}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} f(t)=\frac{1}{2} \log [p(1-p)]+\log \pi  \tag{2.6}\\
f^{\prime}(t)=\frac{t\left(t^{2}+1\right)}{\left(t^{2}-1\right)(4 \arctan t-\pi)\left(p t^{2}+(1-p)\right)\left((1-p) t^{2}+p\right)} f_{1}(t), \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{1}(t)=\frac{4\left(t^{2}-1\right)\left(p t^{2}+1-p\right)\left[(1-p) t^{2}+p\right]}{t\left(t^{2}+1\right)^{2}}-4 \arctan t+\pi  \tag{2.8}\\
f_{1}(1)=0  \tag{2.9}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} f_{1}(t)=+\infty  \tag{2.10}\\
f_{1}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{4 f_{2}\left(t^{2}\right)}{t^{2}\left(t^{2}+1\right)^{4}} \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f_{2}(t)=p(1-p) t^{5}-(3 p-2)(3 p-1) t^{4}+2\left(5 p^{2}-5 p+1\right) t^{3}+2\left(5 p^{2}-5 p+1\right) t^{2}-(3 p-2)(3 p-$ 1) $t+p(1-p)$.

Note that

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{2}(1)=0,  \tag{2.12}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} f_{2}(t)=+\infty,  \tag{2.13}\\
f_{2}^{\prime}(t)=5 p(1-p) t^{4}-4(3 p-2)(3 p-1) t^{3}+6\left(5 p^{2}-5 p+1\right) t^{2}  \tag{2.14}\\
+4\left(5 p^{2}-5 p+1\right) t-(3 p-2)(3 p-1), \\
f_{2}^{\prime}(1)=0,  \tag{2.15}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} f_{2}^{\prime}(t)=+\infty,  \tag{2.16}\\
f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)=20 p(1-p) t^{3}-12(3 p-2)(3 p-1) t^{2}+12\left(5 p^{2}-5 p+1\right) t+4\left(5 p^{2}-5 p+1\right),  \tag{2.17}\\
f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)=-8\left(6 p^{2}-6 p+1\right),  \tag{2.18}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)=+\infty,  \tag{2.19}\\
f_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=60 p(1-p) t^{2}-24(3 p-2)(3 p-1) t+12\left(5 p^{2}-5 p+1\right),  \tag{2.20}\\
f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=-36\left(6 p^{2}-6 p+1\right),  \tag{2.21}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=+\infty,  \tag{2.22}\\
f_{2}^{(4)}(t)=120 p(1-p) t-24(3 p-2)(3 p-1),  \tag{2.23}\\
f_{2}^{(4)}(1)=-48\left(7 p^{2}-7 p+1\right),  \tag{2.24}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} f_{2}^{(4)}(t)=+\infty . \tag{2.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

We divide the proof into two cases.
Case $1\left(p=\lambda=\left(1-\sqrt{1-4 / \pi^{2}}\right) / 2\right)$. Then (2.6), (2.18), (2.21), and (2.24) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} f(t)=0  \tag{2.26}\\
f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(1)=-\frac{8\left(\pi^{2}-6\right)}{\pi^{2}}<0  \tag{2.27}\\
f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=-\frac{36\left(\pi^{2}-6\right)}{\pi^{2}}<0  \tag{2.28}\\
f_{2}^{(4)}(1)=-\frac{48\left(\pi^{2}-7\right)}{\pi^{2}}<0 \tag{2.29}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (2.23) we clearly see that $f_{2}^{(4)}(t)$ is strictly increasing in $[1,+\infty)$, then $(2.25)$ and inequality (2.29) lead to the conclusion that there exists $\lambda_{1}>1$ such that $f_{2}^{(4)}(t)<0$ for $t \in$ [ $1, \lambda_{1}$ ) and $f_{2}^{(4)}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(\lambda_{1},+\infty\right)$. Thus, $f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)$ is strictly decreasing in $\left[1, \lambda_{1}\right]$ and strictly increasing in $\left[\lambda_{1},+\infty\right)$.

It follows from (2.22) and inequality (2.28) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)$ that there exists $\lambda_{2}>\lambda_{1}>1$ such that $f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ is strictly decreasing in $\left[1, \lambda_{2}\right]$ and strictly increasing in $\left[\lambda_{2},+\infty\right)$. Then (2.19) and inequality (2.27) lead to the conclusion that there exists $\lambda_{3}>\lambda_{2}>1$ such that $f_{2}^{\prime}(t)$ is strictly decreasing in $\left[1, \lambda_{3}\right]$ and strictly increasing in $\left[\lambda_{3},+\infty\right)$.

From (2.15) and (2.16) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $f_{2}^{\prime}(t)$ we know that there exists $\lambda_{4}>\lambda_{3}>1$ such that $f_{2}(t)$ is strictly decreasing in $\left[1, \lambda_{4}\right]$ and strictly increasing in $\left[\lambda_{4},+\infty\right)$. Then (2.11)-(2.13) lead to the conclusion that there exists $\lambda_{5}>\lambda_{4}>1$ such that $f_{1}(t)$ is strictly decreasing in $\left[1, \sqrt{\lambda_{5}}\right]$ and strictly increasing in $\left[\sqrt{\lambda_{5}},+\infty\right)$.

It follows from (2.7)-(2.10) and the piecewise monotonicity of $f_{1}(t)$ that there exists $\lambda_{6}>\sqrt{\lambda_{5}}>1$ such that $f(t)$ is strictly decreasing in $\left[1, \lambda_{6}\right]$ and strictly increasing in $\left[\lambda_{6},+\infty\right)$.

Therefore, inequality (2.1) follows from (2.3)-(2.5) and the piecewise monotonicity of $f(t)$.

Case $2(p=\mu=(3-\sqrt{3}) / 6)$. Then (2.18), (2.21) and (2.24) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(1)=0  \tag{2.30}\\
f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=0  \tag{2.31}\\
f_{2}^{(4)}(1)=8>0 . \tag{2.32}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (2.23) we clearly see that $f_{2}^{(4)}(t)$ is strictly increasing in $[1,+\infty)$, then inequality (2.32) leads to the conclusion that $f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)$ is strictly increasing in $[1,+\infty)$.

Therefore, inequality (2.2) follows from (2.3)-(2.5), (2.7)-(2.9), (2.11), (2.12), (2.15), and inequalities (2.30) and (2.31) together with the monotonicity of $f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)$.

Next, we prove that $\lambda=\left(1-\sqrt{1-4 / \pi^{2}}\right) / 2$ is the best possible parameter such that inequality (2.1) holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. In fact, if $\left(1-\sqrt{1-4 / \pi^{2}}\right) / 2=\lambda<p<1 / 2$, then (2.6) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} f(t)=\frac{1}{2} \log [p(1-p)]+\log \pi>0 \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (2.33) implies that there exists $T=T(p)>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)>0 \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in(T,+\infty)$.
It follows from (2.3) and (2.4) together with inequality (2.34) that $P(a, b)<G(p a+(1-$ $p) b, p b+(1-p) a)$ for $a / b \in\left(T^{2},+\infty\right)$.

Finally, we prove that $\mu=(3-\sqrt{3}) / 6$ is the best possible parameter such that inequality (2.2) holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. In fact, if $0<p<\mu=(3-\sqrt{3}) / 6$, then from (2.18) we get $f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(1)<0$, which implies that there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)<0 \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in[1,1+\delta)$.
Therefore, $P(a, b)>G(p a+(1-p) b, p b+(1-p) a)$ for $a / b \in\left(1,(1+\delta)^{2}\right)$ follows from (2.3) $-(2.5),(2.7)-(2.9),(2.11),(2.12)$, and (2.15) together with inequality (2.35).
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