

## Research Article

# Optimal Approximate Solutions of Fixed Point Equations

**S. Sadiq Basha,<sup>1</sup> N. Shahzad,<sup>2</sup> and R. Jeyaraj<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Anna University, Chennai 600 025, India

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, St. Joseph's College Higher Secondary School, Trichy 620 002, India

Correspondence should be addressed to N. Shahzad, nshahzad@kau.edu.sa

Received 4 March 2011; Accepted 10 April 2011

Academic Editor: Gaston Mandata N'Guerekata

Copyright © 2011 S. Sadiq Basha et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The main objective of this paper is to present some best proximity point theorems for  $K$ -cyclic mappings and  $C$ -cyclic mappings in the frameworks of metric spaces and uniformly convex Banach spaces, thereby furnishing an optimal approximate solution to the equations of the form  $Tx = x$  where  $T$  is a non-self mapping.

## 1. Introduction

Fixed point theorems delve into the existence of a solution to the equations of the form  $Tx = x$  where  $T$  is a self-mapping. However, when  $T$  is a nonself-mapping, the equation  $Tx = x$  does not necessarily have a solution, in which case best approximation theorems explore the existence of an approximate solution whereas best proximity point theorems analyze the existence of an approximate solution that is optimal. Indeed, a classical and well-known best approximation theorem, due to Fan [1], contends that if  $K$  is a nonempty convex compact subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space  $E$  and  $T$  is a continuous non-self mapping from  $K$  to  $E$ , then there exists an element  $x$  in  $K$  such that  $d(x, Tx) = d(A, B)$ . Subsequently, many authors, including Prolla [2], Reich [3], and Sehgal and Singh [4, 5], accomplished several appealing extensions and variants of the preceding best approximation theorem. Further, Vetrivel et al. [6] elicited a more generalized result that unifies and subsumes many such results. Despite the fact that best approximation theorems produce an approximate solution to the equation  $Tx = x$ , they may not render an approximate solution that is optimal. On the contrary, best proximity point theorems are intended to furnish an approximate solution  $x$  that is optimal in the sense that the error  $d(x, Tx)$  is minimum. Indeed, in light of the fact

that  $d(x, Tx)$  is at least  $d(A, B)$ , a best proximity point theorem guarantees the global minimization of  $d(x, Tx)$  by the requirement that an approximate solution  $x$  satisfies the condition  $d(x, Tx) = d(A, B)$ . Such optimal approximate solutions are called best proximity points of the mapping  $T$ .

Eldred et al. [7] have established interesting best proximity point theorems for relatively nonexpansive mappings. A Best proximity point theorem for contractive mapping has been explored in [8]. Best proximity point theorems for various types of contractions have been obtained in [9–13]. Best proximity point theorems for several types of set valued mappings have been derived in [14–25]. Moreover, common best proximity point theorems for pairs of contractions and for pairs of contractive mappings have been elicited in [26].

The main objective of this article is to prove some best proximity point theorems for  $K$ -cyclic mappings and  $C$ -cyclic mappings in the frameworks of metric spaces and uniformly convex Banach spaces, thereby furnishing an optimal approximate solution to the equations of the form  $Tx = x$  where  $T$  is a non-self- $K$ -cyclic mapping or a non-self- $C$ -cyclic mapping.

## 2. Preliminaries

The following notions will be used in the sequel.

*Definition 2.1.* A pair of mappings  $T : A \rightarrow B$  and  $S : B \rightarrow A$  is said to form a  $K$ -Cyclic mapping between  $A$  and  $B$  if there exists a nonnegative real number  $k < 1/2$  such that

$$d(Tx, Sy) \leq k[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Sy)] + (1 - 2k)d(A, B), \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ .

*Definition 2.2.* A pair of mappings  $T : A \rightarrow B$  and  $S : B \rightarrow A$  is said to form a  $C$ -Cyclic mapping between  $A$  and  $B$  if there exists a non-negative real number  $k < 1/2$  such that

$$d(Tx, Sy) \leq k[d(x, Sy) + d(y, Tx)] + (1 - 2k)d(A, B), \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ .

*Definition 2.3.* A subset  $C$  of a metric space is said to be *boundedly compact* if every bounded sequence in  $C$  has a subsequence converging to some element in  $C$ .

It is evident that every compact set is boundedly compact but the converse is not true.

## 3. $K$ -Cyclic Mappings

This section is concerned with best proximity point theorems for  $K$ -cyclic non-self mappings.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two non-empty subsets of a metric space. Suppose that the mappings  $T : A \rightarrow B$  and  $S : B \rightarrow A$  form a  $K$ -Cyclic map between  $A$  and  $B$ . For a fixed element  $x_0$  in  $A$ , let  $x_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n} = Sx_{2n-1}$ . Then,  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow d(A, B)$ .*

*Proof.* As  $T$  and  $S$  form a  $K$ -Cyclic map,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_1, x_2) &= d(Tx_0, Sx_1) \\ &\leq k[d(x_0, Tx_0) + d(x_1, Sx_1)] + (1 - 2k)d(A, B) \\ &= k[d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)] + (1 - 2k)d(A, B). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

So, it follows that  $d(x_1, x_2) \leq (k/(1 - k))d(x_0, x_1) + [1 - (k/(1 - k))]d(A, B)$ .

Similarly, it can be seen that

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq \left(\frac{k}{1 - k}\right)^2 d(x_0, x_1) + \left[1 - \left(\frac{k}{1 - k}\right)^2\right] d(A, B). \tag{3.2}$$

Hence, it follows by induction that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{k}{1 - k}\right)^n d(x_0, x_1) + \left[1 - \left(\frac{k}{1 - k}\right)^n\right] d(A, B). \tag{3.3}$$

Therefore,  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow d(A, B)$  because of the fact that  $k < 1/2$ . □

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be non-empty closed subsets of a metric space. Let the mappings  $T : A \rightarrow B$  and  $S : B \rightarrow A$  form a  $K$ -Cyclic map between  $A$  and  $B$ . For a fixed element  $x_0$  in  $A$ , let  $x_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n} = Sx_{2n-1}$ . Then, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded.*

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$  is convergent and hence it is bounded. Further, since  $S$  and  $T$  form a  $K$ -cyclic mapping, it follows that

$$d(x_{2n}, Tx_0) \leq k[d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + d(x_0, Tx_0)] + (1 - 2k)d(A, B). \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore, the subsequence  $\{x_{2n}\}$  is bounded. Similarly, it can be shown that  $\{x_{2n+1}\}$  is also bounded. □

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be non-empty closed subsets of a metric space. Let the mappings  $T : A \rightarrow B$  and  $S : B \rightarrow A$  form a  $K$ -Cyclic map between  $A$  and  $B$ . For a fixed element  $x_0$  in  $A$ , let  $x_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n} = Sx_{2n-1}$ . Suppose that the sequence  $\{x_{2n}\}$  has a subsequence converging to some element  $x$  in  $A$ . Then,  $x$  is a best proximity point of  $T$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that a subsequence  $\{x_{2n_k}\}$  converges to  $x$  in  $A$ . It follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $d(x_{2n_k-1}, x_{2n_k})$  converges to  $d(A, B)$ . As  $S$  and  $T$  form a  $K$ -cyclic mapping, it follows that

$$d(A, B) \leq d(x_{2n_k}, Tx) \leq k[d(x_{2n_k-1}, x_{2n_k}) + d(x, Tx)] + (1 - 2k)d(A, B). \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore,  $d(x, Tx) = d(A, B)$ . □

The preceding two lemmas yield the following best proximity point theorem for  $K$ -cyclic mappings in the setting of metric spaces.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two non-empty and closed subsets of a metric space. Let the mappings  $T : A \rightarrow B$  and  $S : B \rightarrow A$  form a  $K$ -Cyclic map between  $A$  and  $B$ . If  $A$  is boundedly compact, then  $T$  has a best proximity point.*

The following lemma, due to Eldred and Veeramani [10], will be required subsequently to establish the next best proximity point theorem of this section.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $A$  be a non-empty, closed, and convex subset and  $B$  be a non-empty and closed subset of a uniformly convex Banach space. Suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are sequences in  $A$  and  $\{z_n\}$  is a sequence in  $B$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (a)  $\|y_n - z_n\| \rightarrow d(A, B)$ ,
- (b) for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\|x_m - z_n\| \leq d(A, B) + \epsilon$ ,

for sufficiently large values of  $m$  and  $n$ .

Then, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\|x_m - y_n\| \leq \epsilon$  for sufficiently large values of  $m$  and  $n$ .

The following best proximity point theorem is for  $K$ -cyclic mappings in the setting of uniformly convex Banach spaces.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be non-empty, closed, and convex subsets of a uniformly convex Banach space. If the mappings  $T : A \rightarrow B$  and  $S : B \rightarrow A$  form a  $K$ -Cyclic map between  $A$  and  $B$ , then there exist a unique element  $x \in A$  and a unique element  $y \in B$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, Tx) &= d(A, B), \\ d(y, Sy) &= d(A, B), \\ d(x, y) &= d(A, B). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Further, if  $x_0$  is any fixed element in  $A$ ,  $x_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n} = Sx_{2n-1}$ , then the sequences  $\{x_{2n}\}$  and  $\{x_{2n+1}\}$  converge to the best proximity points  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively.

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{2m-1} - x_{2m}\| &\rightarrow d(A, B), \\ \|x_{2n} - x_{2n+1}\| &\rightarrow d(A, B). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Therefore, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{2m-1} - x_{2m}\| &< d(A, B) + \frac{\epsilon}{2k}, \\ \|x_{2n} - x_{2n+1}\| &< d(A, B) + \frac{\epsilon}{2k}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

for sufficiently large values of  $m$  and  $n$ . As  $T$  and  $S$  form a  $K$ -cyclic mapping,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{2m} - x_{2n+1}\| &\leq k[\|x_{2m-1} - x_{2m}\| + \|x_{2n} - x_{2n+1}\|] + (1 - 2k)d(A, B) \\ &< d(A, B) + \varepsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

for sufficiently large values of  $m$  and  $n$ . Thus,  $\{x_{2n}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence by Lemma 3.5. Since the space is complete,  $\{x_{2n}\}$  converges to some element  $x \in A$ , which becomes a best proximity point of the mapping  $T$  by Lemma 3.3. Similarly,  $\{x_{2n+1}\}$  converges to some element  $y \in B$ , which is a best proximity point of the mapping  $S$ . Further,  $d(Tx, Sy) \leq k[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Sy)] + (1 - 2k)d(A, B) = d(A, B)$ . Therefore,  $d(Tx, Sy) = d(A, B)$ . By strict convexity of the space,  $Tx$  and  $y$  should be identical, and  $Sy$  and  $x$  should be identical. Consequently,  $d(x, y) = d(A, B)$ . To prove the uniqueness, let us suppose that there exists another element  $x^*$  such that

$$\|x^* - Tx^*\| = d(A, B). \quad (3.10)$$

Then,  $\|Tx^* - STx^*\| \leq k[\|x^* - Tx^*\| + \|Tx^* - STx^*\|] + (1 - 2k)d(A, B)$ . Consequently,  $\|Tx^* - STx^*\| = d(A, B)$ . By strict convexity of the space,  $STx^* = x^*$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - x^*\| &= \|Tx - STx^*\| \\ &\leq k[\|x - Tx\| + \|Tx^* - STx^*\|] + (1 - 2k)d(A, B) \\ &= d(A, B). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Therefore,  $\|Tx - x^*\| = d(A, B)$ . By strict convexity of the space,  $x$  and  $x^*$  are identical. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

The following example illustrates Lemma 3.3. Further, it shows that uniqueness of best proximity point is not feasible.

*Example 3.7.* Consider the nonuniformly convex Banach space  $R^2$  with the norm  $\|(x, y)\| = \max\{|x|, |y|\}$ .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \{(x, 0) : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}, \\ B &:= \{(x, 1) : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Then,  $d(A, B) = 1$  and  $d(u, v) = 1$  for all  $u$  in  $A$  and  $v$  in  $B$ . Let  $T : A \rightarrow B$  and  $S : B \rightarrow A$  be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} T((x, 0)) &= (x, 1), \\ S((x, 1)) &= (x, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

For any positive number  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T((x_1, 0)) - S((x_2, 1))\| \\ &= 1 \\ &= k[\|(x_1, 0) - T((x_1, 0))\| + \|(x_2, 1) - S((x_2, 1))\|] + (1 - 2k)d(A, B). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

So, the mappings  $S$  and  $T$  form a  $K$ -cyclic mapping. Further, it can be observed that every element of  $A$  is a best proximity point of the mapping  $T$ .

#### 4. C-Cyclic Mappings

This section is concerned with best proximity point theorems for  $C$ -cyclic non-self mappings.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two non-empty subsets of a metric space. Suppose that the mappings  $T : A \rightarrow B$  and  $S : B \rightarrow A$  form a  $C$ -cyclic mapping between  $A$  and  $B$ . For a fixed element  $x_0$  in  $A$ , let  $x_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n} = Sx_{2n-1}$ . Then,  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow d(A, B)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $T$  and  $S$  form a  $C$ -cyclic mapping,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_1, x_2) &= d(Tx_0, Sx_1) \\ &\leq k[d(x_1, Tx_0) + d(x_0, Sx_1)] + (1 - 2k)d(A, B) \\ &= kd(x_0, x_2) + (1 - 2k)d(A, B) \\ &\leq k[d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)] + (1 - 2k)d(A, B). \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

So, it follows that  $d(x_1, x_2) \leq (k/(1 - k))d(x_0, x_1) + [1 - (k/(1 - k))]d(A, B)$ .

Similarly,  $d(x_2, x_3) \leq (k/(1 - k))^2 d(x_0, x_1) + [1 - (k/(1 - k))^2]d(A, B)$ .

It can be shown by induction that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{k}{1 - k}\right)^n d(x_0, x_1) + \left[1 - \left(\frac{k}{1 - k}\right)^n\right]d(A, B). \quad (4.2)$$

Therefore,  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow d(A, B)$  because of the fact that  $k < 1/2$ . □

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be non-empty closed subsets of a metric space. Let the mappings  $T : A \rightarrow B$  and  $S : B \rightarrow A$  form a  $C$ -cyclic map between  $A$  and  $B$ . For a fixed element  $x_0$  in  $A$ , let  $x_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n} = Sx_{2n-1}$ . Suppose that the sequence  $\{x_{2n}\}$  has a subsequence converging to some element  $x$  in  $A$ . Then,  $x$  is a best proximity point of  $T$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that a subsequence  $\{x_{2n_k}\}$  converges to  $x$  in  $A$ . Then, it follows from Lemma 4.1 that  $d(x_{2n_k-1}, x_{2n_k}) \rightarrow d(A, B)$ . Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n_k}, Tx) &= d(Sx_{2n_k-1}, Tx) \\ &\leq k[d(x_{2n_k-1}, Tx) + d(x, Sx_{2n_k-1})] + (1-2k)d(A, B) \\ &\leq k[d(x_{2n_k-1}, x_{2n_k}) + d(x_{2n_k}, Tx) + d(x, x_{2n_k})] + (1-2k)d(A, B). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

So, it follows that

$$d(A, B) \leq d(x_{2n_k}, Tx) \leq \left(\frac{k}{1-k}\right)[d(x_{2n_k-1}, x_{2n_k}) + d(x, x_{2n_k})] + \left[1 - \left(\frac{k}{1-k}\right)\right]d(A, B). \quad (4.4)$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $d(x, Tx) = d(A, B)$ . This completes the proof of the Lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be non-empty closed subsets of a metric space. Let the mappings  $T : A \rightarrow B$  and  $S : B \rightarrow A$  form a C-cyclic map between  $A$  and  $B$ . For a fixed element  $x_0$  in  $A$ , let  $x_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n} = Sx_{2n-1}$ . Then, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.1,  $d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$  is convergent and hence it is bounded. Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n}, Tx_0) &= d(Sx_{2n-1}, Tx_0) \\ &\leq k[d(x_{2n-1}, Tx_0) + d(x_0, Sx_{2n-1})] + (1-2k)d(A, B) \\ &\leq k[d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + 2d(x_{2n}, Tx_0) + d(x_0, Tx_0)] + (1-2k)d(A, B). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Therefore,  $d(x_{2n}, Tx_0) \leq (k/(1-2k))[d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + d(x_0, Tx_0)] + d(A, B)$ .

Therefore, the subsequence  $\{x_{2n}\}$  is bounded. Similarly, it can be shown that  $\{x_{2n+1}\}$  is also bounded.  $\square$

The preceding two lemmas give rise to the following best proximity point theorem for C-cyclic mappings in the setting of metric spaces.

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two non-empty and closed subsets of a metric space. Let the mappings  $T : A \rightarrow B$  and  $S : B \rightarrow A$  form a C-cyclic map between  $A$  and  $B$ . If  $A$  is boundedly compact, then  $T$  has a best proximity point.*

The following best proximity point theorem is for C-cyclic mappings in the setting of uniformly convex Banach spaces.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be non-empty, closed, and convex subsets of a uniformly convex Banach space. Let the mappings  $T : A \rightarrow B$  and  $S : B \rightarrow A$  form a C-Cyclic map between  $A$  and  $B$ . If  $x_0$  is any fixed element in  $A$ ,  $x_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n} = Sx_{2n-1}$ , then the sequence  $\{x_{2n}\}$  converges to a best proximity  $x$  of  $T$  and the sequence  $\{x_{2n+1}\}$  converges to a best proximity point  $y$  of  $S$  such that  $d(x, y) = d(A, B)$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{2m-1} - x_{2m}\| &\longrightarrow d(A, B), \\ \|x_{2n} - x_{2n+1}\| &\longrightarrow d(A, B).\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

Therefore, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{2m-1} - x_{2m}\| &< d(A, B) + \frac{\epsilon(1-2k)}{2k}, \\ \|x_{2n} - x_{2n+1}\| &< d(A, B) + \frac{\epsilon(1-2k)}{2k},\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

for sufficiently large values of  $m$  and  $n$ . As  $T$  and  $S$  form a  $K$ -cyclic mapping,

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{2m} - x_{2n+1}\| &= \|Sx_{2m-1} - Tx_{2n}\| \\ &\leq k[\|x_{2m-1} - Tx_{2n}\| + \|x_{2n} - Sx_{2m-1}\|] + (1-2k)d(A, B) \\ &\leq k[\|x_{2m-1} - x_{2m}\| + \|x_{2m} - x_{2n+1}\| + \|x_{2n} - x_{2n+1}\| + \|x_{2n+1} - x_{2m}\|] \\ &\quad + (1-2k)d(A, B).\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

Thus, it follows that

$$\|x_{2m} - x_{2n+1}\| \leq \left(\frac{k}{1-2k}\right)[\|x_{2m-1} - x_{2m}\| + \|x_{2n} - x_{2n+1}\|] + d(A, B).\tag{4.9}$$

Therefore, it can be concluded that

$$\|x_{2m} - x_{2n+1}\| < d(A, B) + \epsilon,\tag{4.10}$$

for sufficiently large values of  $m$  and  $n$ . Thus,  $\{x_{2n}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence by Lemma 3.5. Since the space is complete,  $\{x_{2n}\}$  converges to some element  $x \in A$ , which becomes a best proximity point of the mapping  $T$  by Lemma 4.2. Similarly,  $\{x_{2n+1}\}$  converges to some element  $y \in B$ , which is a best proximity point of the mapping  $S$ . Further,  $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \rightarrow d(x, y)$ . However, by Lemma 4.1,  $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \rightarrow d(A, B)$ . Consequently,  $d(x, y) = d(A, B)$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

## Acknowledgment

The research of the second author (N. Shahzad) was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, under Project no. 3-021/430.



## References

- [1] K. Fan, "Extensions of two fixed point theorems of F. E. Browder," *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 112, pp. 234–240, 1969.
- [2] J. B. Prolla, "Fixed point theorems for set-valued mappings and existence of best approximants," *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 449–455, 1982–1983.
- [3] S. Reich, "Approximate selections, best approximations, fixed points and invariant sets," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 104–113, 1978.
- [4] V. M. Sehgal and S. P. Singh, "A generalization to multifunctions of Fan's best approximation theorem," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 102, no. 3, pp. 534–537, 1988.
- [5] V. M. Sehgal and S. P. Singh, "A theorem on best approximations," *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, vol. 10, no. 1-2, pp. 181–184, 1989.
- [6] V. Vetrivel, P. Veeramani, and P. Bhattacharyya, "Some extensions of Fan's best approximation theorem," *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, vol. 13, no. 3-4, pp. 397–402, 1992.
- [7] A. A. Eldred, W. A. Kirk, and P. Veeramani, "Proximal normal structure and relatively nonexpansive mappings," *Studia Mathematica*, vol. 171, no. 3, pp. 283–293, 2005.
- [8] S. Sadiq Basha, "Best proximity points: global optimal approximate solutions," *Journal of Global Optimization*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 15–21, 2011.
- [9] M. A. Al-Thagafi and N. Shahzad, "Convergence and existence results for best proximity points," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 70, no. 10, pp. 3665–3671, 2009.
- [10] A. A. Eldred and P. Veeramani, "Existence and convergence of best proximity points," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 323, no. 2, pp. 1001–1006, 2006.
- [11] C. Di Bari, T. Suzuki, and C. Vetro, "Best proximity points for cyclic Meir-Keeler contractions," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 69, no. 11, pp. 3790–3794, 2008.
- [12] S. Karpagam and S. Agrawal, "Best proximity point theorems for  $p$ -cyclic Meir-Keeler contractions," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Article ID 197308, 9 pages, 2009.
- [13] S. Sadiq Basha, "Extensions of Banach's contraction principle," *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, vol. 31, no. 4–6, pp. 569–576, 2010.
- [14] M. A. Al-Thagafi and N. Shahzad, "Best proximity pairs and equilibrium pairs for Kakutani multimaps," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 1209–1216, 2009.
- [15] M. A. Al-Thagafi and N. Shahzad, "Best proximity sets and equilibrium pairs for a finite family of multimaps," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Article ID 457069, 10 pages, 2008.
- [16] W. K. Kim, S. Kum, and K. H. Lee, "On general best proximity pairs and equilibrium pairs in free abstract economies," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 68, no. 8, pp. 2216–2227, 2008.
- [17] W. A. Kirk, S. Reich, and P. Veeramani, "Proximinal retracts and best proximity pair theorems," *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, vol. 24, no. 7-8, pp. 851–862, 2003.
- [18] S. Sadiq Basha and P. Veeramani, "Best approximations and best proximity pairs," *Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum*, vol. 63, no. 1-2, pp. 289–300, 1997.
- [19] S. Sadiq Basha and P. Veeramani, "Best proximity pair theorems for multifunctions with open fibres," *Journal of Approximation Theory*, vol. 103, no. 1, pp. 119–129, 2000.
- [20] S. Sadiq Basha, P. Veeramani, and D. V. Pai, "Best proximity pair theorems," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 32, no. 8, pp. 1237–1246, 2001.
- [21] P. S. Srinivasan, "Best proximity pair theorems," *Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum*, vol. 67, no. 1-2, pp. 421–429, 2001.
- [22] K. Włodarczyk, R. Plebaniak, and A. Banach, "Best proximity points for cyclic and noncyclic set-valued relatively quasi-asymptotic contractions in uniform spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 70, no. 9, pp. 3332–3341, 2009.
- [23] K. Włodarczyk, R. Plebaniak, and A. Banach, "Erratum to: bestproximity points for cyclic and noncyclic set-valued relatively quasi-asymptotic contractions in uniform spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 70, no. 9, pp. 3332–3341, 2009.
- [24] K. Włodarczyk, R. Plebaniak, and A. Banach, "Best proximity points for cyclic and noncyclic set-valued relatively quasi-asymptotic contractions in uniform spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 71, no. 9, pp. 3583–3586, 2009.
- [25] K. Włodarczyk, R. Plebaniak, and C. Obczynski, "Convergence theorems, best approximation and best proximity for set-valued dynamic systems of relatively quasi-asymptotic contractions in cone uniform spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 794–805, 2010.
- [26] N. Shahzad, S. Sadiq Basha, and R. Jeyaraj, "Common best proximity points: global optimal solutions," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 148, no. 1, pp. 69–78, 2011.