

Research Article

Multiplicity Results for p -Laplacian with Critical Nonlinearity of Concave-Convex Type and Sign-Changing Weight Functions

Tsing-San Hsu

Center for General Education, Chang Gung University, Kwei-Shan, Tao-Yuan 333, Taiwan

Correspondence should be addressed to Tsing-San Hsu, tshsu@mail.cgu.edu.tw

Received 4 December 2008; Revised 24 June 2009; Accepted 7 September 2009

Recommended by Pavel Drábek

The multiple results of positive solutions for the following quasilinear elliptic equation: $-\Delta_p u = \lambda f(x)|u|^{q-2}u + g(x)|u|^{p^*-2}u$ in Ω , $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, are established. Here, $0 \in \Omega$ is a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^N , Δ_p denotes the p -Laplacian operator, $1 \leq q < p < N$, $p^* = Np/(N-p)$, λ is a positive real parameter, and f, g are continuous functions on $\bar{\Omega}$ which are somewhere positive but which may change sign on Ω . The study is based on the extraction of Palais-Smale sequences in the Nehari manifold.

Copyright © 2009 Tsing-San Hsu. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the multiple results of positive solutions for the following quasilinear elliptic equation:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= \lambda f(x)|u|^{q-2}u + g(x)|u|^{p^*-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{E_{\lambda f, g}}$$

where $\lambda > 0$, $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ is the p -Laplacian, $0 \in \Omega$ is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $1 < q < p < N$, $p^* = Np/(N-p)$ is the so-called critical Sobolev exponent and the weight functions f, g are satisfying the following conditions:

- (f1) $f \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $f^+ = \max\{f, 0\} \not\equiv 0$;
- (f2) there exist $\beta_0, \rho_0 > 0$ and $x_0 \in \Omega$ such that $B(x_0, 2\rho_0) \subset \Omega$ and $f(x) \geq \beta_0$ for all $x \in B(x_0, 2\rho_0)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $x_0 = 0$,
- (g1) $g \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $g^+ = \max\{g, 0\} \not\equiv 0$;
- (g2) $|g^+|_\infty = g(0) = \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} g(x)$;

- (g3) $g(x) > 0$ for all $x \in B(0, 2\rho_0)$;
 (g4) there exists $\beta > N/(p-1)$ such that

$$g(x) = g(0) + o(|x|^\beta) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.1)$$

For the weight functions $f \equiv g \equiv 1$, $(E_{\lambda,f,g})$ has been studied extensively. Historically, the role played by such concave-convex nonlinearities in producing multiple solutions was investigated first in the work [1]. They studied the following semilinear elliptic equation:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= \lambda u^{q-1} + u^{2^*-1} && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &> 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

for $1 < q < 2$ and showed the existence of $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that (1.2) admits at least two solutions for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ and no solution for $\lambda > \lambda_0$. Subsequently, in the work [2, 3], the corresponding quasilinear version has been studied

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= \lambda u^{q-1} + u^{p^*-1} && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &> 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where $1 < p < N$ and $1 < q < p$. They obtained results similar to the results of [1] above, but only for some ranges of the exponents p and q . We summarize their results in what follows.

Theorem 1.1 (see [2, 3]). *Assume that either $2N/(N+2) < p < 3$ or $p > 3, p > q > p^* - 2/(p-1)$. Then there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that (1.3) admits at least two solutions for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ and no solution for $\lambda > \lambda_0$.*

It is possible to get complete multiplicity result for problem (1.3) if Ω is taken to be a ball in \mathbb{R}^N . Prashanth and Sreenadh [4] have studied (1.3) in the unit ball $B^N(0; 1)$ in \mathbb{R}^N and obtained the following results.

Theorem 1.2 (see [4]). *Let $\Omega = B^N(0; 1), 1 < p < N, 1 < q < p$. Then there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that (1.3) admits at least two solutions for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ and no solution for $\lambda > \lambda_0$. Additionally, if $1 < p < 2$, then (1.3) admits exactly two solutions for all small $\lambda > 0$.*

For $p = 2$, Tang [5] has studied the exact multiplicity about the following semilinear elliptic equation:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= \lambda u^{q-1} + u^{r-1} && \text{in } B^N(0; 1), \\ u &> 0 && \text{in } B^N(0; 1), \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial B^N(0; 1), \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where $1 < q < 2 < r \leq 2N/(N-2)$ and $N \geq 3$. We also mention his result below.

Theorem 1.3 (see [5]). *There exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that (1.4) admits exactly two solutions for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$, exactly one solution for $\lambda = \lambda_0$, and no solution for $\lambda > \lambda_0$.*

To proceed, we make some motivations of the present paper. Recently, in [6] the author has considered (1.2) with subcritical nonlinearity of concave-convex type, $g \equiv 1$, and f is a continuous function which changes sign in $\overline{\Omega}$, and showed the existence of $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that (1.2) admits at least two solutions for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ via the extraction of Palais-Smale sequences in the Nehari manifold. In a recent work [7], the author extended the results of [6] to the quasilinear case with the more general weight functions f, g but also having subcritical nonlinearity of concave-convex type. In the present paper, we continue the study of [7] by considering critical nonlinearity of concave-convex type and sign-changing weight functions f, g .

In this paper, we use a variational method involving the Nehari manifold to prove the multiplicity of positive solutions. The Nehari method has been used also in [8] to prove the existence of multiple for a singular elliptic problem. The existence of at least one solution can be obtained by using the same arguments as in the subcritical case [7]. The existence of a second solution needs different arguments due to the lack of compactness of the Palais-Smale sequences. For what, we need additional assumptions (f2) and (g2) to prove the compactness of the extraction of Palais-Smale sequences in the Nehari manifold (see Theorem 4.4). The multiplicity result is proved only for the parameter $\lambda \in (0, (q/p)\Lambda_1)$ (see Theorem 1.5) but for all $1 < p < N$ and $1 \leq q < p$. This is not the case in the papers referred [2, 3] where the multiplicity is global but not with the full range of p, q and with the weight functions $f \equiv g \equiv 1$. Finally, we mention a recent contribution on p -Laplacian equation with changing sign nonlinearity by Figueredo et al. [9] which gives the global multiplicity but not with the full range of p and q . The method used in the paper by Figueredo et al. is similar to the method introduced in [1].

In order to represent our main results, we need to define the following constant Λ_1 . Set

$$\Lambda_1 = \left(\frac{p - q}{(p^* - q) \|g^+\|_\infty} \right)^{(p-q)/(p^*-p)} \left(\frac{p^* - p}{(p^* - q) \|f^+\|_\infty} \right) |\Omega|^{(q-p^*)/p^*} S^{(N/p)-(N/p^2)q+(q/p)} > 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where $|\Omega|$ is the Lebesgue measure of Ω and S is the best Sobolev constant (see (2.2)).

Theorem 1.4. *Assume (f1) and (g1) hold. If $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$, then $(E_{\lambda f, g})$ admits at least one positive solution $u_\lambda \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.*

Theorem 1.5. *Assume that (f1)-(f2) and (g1)-(g4) hold. If $\lambda \in (0, (q/p)\Lambda_1)$, then $(E_{\lambda f, g})$ admits at least two positive solutions $u_\lambda, \mathcal{U}_\lambda \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries and some properties of Nehari manifold. In Sections 3 and 4, we complete proofs of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5.

2. Preliminaries and Nehari Manifold

Throughout this paper, (f1) and (g1) will be assumed. The dual space of a Banach space E will be denoted by E^{-1} . $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ denotes the standard Sobolev space with the following

norm:

$$\|u\|^p = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx. \quad (2.1)$$

$W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is simply denoted by W . We denote the norm in $L^p(\Omega)$ by $|\cdot|_p$ and the norm in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by $|\cdot|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$. $|\Omega|$ is the Lebesgue measure of Ω . $B(x, r)$ is a ball centered at x with radius r . $O(\varepsilon^t)$ denotes $|O(\varepsilon^t)|/\varepsilon^t \leq C$, $o(\varepsilon^t)$ denotes $|o(\varepsilon^t)|/\varepsilon^t \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and $o_n(1)$ denotes $o_n(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. C, C_i will denote various positive constants; the exact values of which are not important. S is the best Sobolev embedding constant defined by

$$S = \inf_{u \in W \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\nabla u|_p^p}{|u|_{p^*}^p}. \quad (2.2)$$

Definition 2.1. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$, E be a Banach space and $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$.

- (i) $\{u_n\}$ is a $(PS)_c$ -sequence in E for I if $I(u_n) = c + o_n(1)$ and $I'(u_n) = o_n(1)$ strongly in E^{-1} as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (ii) We say that I satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition if any $(PS)_c$ -sequence $\{u_n\}$ in E for I has a convergent subsequence.

Associated with $(E_{\lambda f, g})$, we consider the energy functional J_λ in W , for each $u \in W$,

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} f|u|^q dx - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} g|u|^{p^*} dx. \quad (2.3)$$

It is well known that J_λ is of C^1 in W and the solutions of $(E_{\lambda f, g})$ are the critical points of the energy functional J_λ (see Rabinowitz [10]).

As the energy functional J_λ is not bounded below on W , it is useful to consider the functional on the Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda = \{u \in W \setminus \{0\} : \langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 0\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Thus, $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ if and only if

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = \|u\|^p - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f|u|^q dx - \int_{\Omega} g|u|^{p^*} dx = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Note that \mathcal{N}_λ contains every nonzero solution of $(E_{\lambda f, g})$. Moreover, we have the following results.

Lemma 2.2. *The energy functional J_λ is coercive and bounded below on \mathcal{N}_λ .*

Proof. If $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$, then by (f1), (2.5), and the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem we have

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{p^* - p}{p^* p} \|u\|^p - \lambda \left(\frac{p^* - q}{p^* q} \right) \int_{\Omega} f |u|^q dx \quad (2.6)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{N} \|u\|^p - \lambda \left(\frac{p^* - q}{p^* q} \right) S^{-q/p} |\Omega|^{(p^* - q)/p^*} \|u\|^q \|f^+\|_{\infty}. \quad (2.7)$$

Thus, J_λ is coercive and bounded below on \mathcal{N}_λ . \square

Define

$$\varphi_\lambda(u) = \langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle. \quad (2.8)$$

Then for $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$,

$$\langle \varphi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = p \|u\|^p - \lambda q \int_{\Omega} f |u|^q dx - p^* \int_{\Omega} g |u|^{p^*} dx \quad (2.9)$$

$$= (p - q) \|u\|^p - (p^* - q) \int_{\Omega} g |u|^{p^*} dx \quad (2.10)$$

$$= \lambda (p^* - q) \int_{\Omega} f |u|^q dx - (p^* - p) \|u\|^p. \quad (2.11)$$

Similar to the method used in Tarantello [11], we split \mathcal{N}_λ into three parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+ &= \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : \langle \varphi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle > 0\}, \\ \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 &= \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : \langle \varphi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{N}_\lambda^- &= \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : \langle \varphi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle < 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Then, we have the following results.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that u_λ is a local minimizer for J_λ on \mathcal{N}_λ and $u_\lambda \notin \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0$. Then $J'_\lambda(u_\lambda) = 0$ in W^{-1} .*

Proof. Our proof is almost the same as that in Brown and Zhang [12, Theorem 2.3] (or see Binding et al. [13]). \square

Lemma 2.4. *One has the following.*

- (i) If $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$, then $\int_{\Omega} f |u|^q dx > 0$.
- (ii) If $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0$, then $\int_{\Omega} f |u|^q dx > 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} g |u|^{p^*} dx > 0$.
- (iii) If $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$, then $\int_{\Omega} g |u|^{p^*} dx > 0$.

Proof. The proof is immediate from (2.10) and (2.11). \square

Moreover, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.5. *If $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$, then $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 = \emptyset$ where Λ_1 is the same as in (1.5).*

Proof. Suppose otherwise that there exists $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$ such that $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 \neq \emptyset$. Then by (2.10) and (2.11), for $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|^p &= \frac{p^* - q}{p - q} \int_{\Omega} g|u|^{p^*} dx, \\ \|u\|^p &= \lambda \frac{p^* - q}{p^* - p} \int_{\Omega} f|u|^q dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Moreover, by (f1), (g1), and the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\| &\geq \left(\frac{p - q}{(p^* - q)|g^+|_{\infty}} S^{p^*/p} \right)^{1/(p^* - p)}, \\ \|u\| &\leq \left[\lambda \frac{p^* - q}{p^* - p} S^{-q/p} |\Omega|^{(p^* - q)/p^*} |f^+|_{\infty} \right]^{1/(p - q)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

This implies

$$\lambda \geq \left(\frac{p - q}{(p^* - q)|g^+|_{\infty}} \right)^{(p - q)/(p^* - p)} \left(\frac{p^* - p}{(p^* - q)|f^+|_{\infty}} \right) |\Omega|^{(q - p^*)/p^*} S^{(N/p) - (N/p^2)q + (q/p)} = \Lambda_1, \quad (2.15)$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, we can conclude that if $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$, we have $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 = \emptyset$. \square

By Lemma 2.5, we write $\mathcal{N}_\lambda = \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+ \cup \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ and define

$$\alpha_\lambda = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda} J_\lambda(u), \quad \alpha_\lambda^+ = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(u), \quad \alpha_\lambda^- = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u). \quad (2.16)$$

Then we get the following result.

Theorem 2.6. (i) *If $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$ and $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$, then one has $J_\lambda(u) < 0$ and $\alpha_\lambda \leq \alpha_\lambda^+ < 0$.*

(ii) *If $\lambda \in (0, (q/p)\Lambda_1)$, then $\alpha_\lambda^- > d_0$ for some positive constant d_0 depending on $\lambda, p, q, N, S, |f^+|_{\infty}, |g^+|_{\infty}$, and $|\Omega|$.*

Proof. (i) Let $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. By (2.10), we have

$$\frac{p - q}{p^* - q} \|u\|^p > \int_{\Omega} g|u|^{p^*} dx, \quad (2.17)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_\lambda(u) &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)\|u\|^p + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right)\int_\Omega g|u|^{p^*} dx \\
 &< \left[\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right)\frac{p-q}{p^*-q}\right]\|u\|^p \\
 &= -\frac{p-q}{qN}\|u\|^p < 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.18}$$

Therefore, from the definition of α_λ , α_λ^+ , we can deduce that $\alpha_\lambda \leq \alpha_\lambda^+ < 0$.

(ii) Let $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. By (2.10), we have

$$\frac{p-q}{p^*-q}\|u\|^p < \int_\Omega g|u|^{p^*} dx.
 \tag{2.19}$$

Moreover, by (g1) and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\int_\Omega g|u|^{p^*} dx \leq S^{-p^*/p}\|u\|^{p^*}|g^+|_\infty.
 \tag{2.20}$$

This implies

$$\|u\| > \left(\frac{p-q}{(p^*-q)|g^+|_\infty}\right)^{1/(p^*-p)} S^{N/p^2}, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-.
 \tag{2.21}$$

By(2.7) in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_\lambda(u) &\geq \|u\|^q \left[\frac{p^*-p}{p^*p}\|u\|^{p-q} - \lambda S^{-q/p}\frac{p^*-q}{p^*q}|\Omega|^{(p^*-q)/p^*}|f^+|_\infty \right] \\
 &> \left(\frac{p-q}{(p^*-q)|g^+|_\infty}\right)^{q/(p^*-p)} S^{qN/p^2} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\frac{p^*-p}{p^*p} S^{(p-q)N/p^2} \left(\frac{p-q}{(p^*-q)|g^+|_\infty}\right)^{(p-q)/(p^*-p)} - \lambda S^{-q/p}\frac{p^*-q}{p^*q}|\Omega|^{(p^*-q)/p^*}|f^+|_\infty \right].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.22}$$

Thus, if $\lambda \in (0, (q/p)\Lambda_1)$, then

$$J_\lambda(u) > d_0, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-,
 \tag{2.23}$$

for some positive constant $d_0 = d_0(\lambda, p, q, N, S, |f^+|_\infty, |g^+|_\infty, |\Omega|)$. This completes the proof. \square

For each $u \in W$ with $\int_{\Omega} g|u|^{p^*} dx > 0$, we write

$$t_{\max} = \left(\frac{(p-q)\|u\|^p}{(p^*-q)\int_{\Omega} g|u|^{p^*} dx} \right)^{1/(p^*-p)} > 0. \quad (2.24)$$

Then the following lemma holds.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$. For each $u \in W$ with $\int_{\Omega} g|u|^{p^*} dx > 0$, one has the following:*

(i) *if $\int_{\Omega} f|u|^q dx \leq 0$, then there exists a unique $t^- > t_{\max}$ such that $t^-u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^-$ and*

$$J_{\lambda}(t^-u) = \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}(tu), \quad (2.25)$$

(ii) *if $\int_{\Omega} f|u|^q dx > 0$, then there exists unique $0 < t^+ < t_{\max} < t^-$ such that $t^+u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^+$, $t^-u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^-$, and*

$$J_{\lambda}(t^+u) = \inf_{0 \leq t \leq t_{\max}} J_{\lambda}(tu); \quad J_{\lambda}(t^-u) = \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}(tu). \quad (2.26)$$

Proof. Fix $u \in W$ with $\int_{\Omega} g|u|^{p^*} dx > 0$. Let

$$k(t) = t^{p-q}\|u\|^p - t^{p^*-q} \int_{\Omega} g|u|^{p^*} dx \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \quad (2.27)$$

It is clear that $k(0) = 0$, $k(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. From

$$k'(t) = (p-q)t^{p-q-1}\|u\|^p - (p^*-q)t^{p^*-q-1} \int_{\Omega} g|u|^{p^*} dx, \quad (2.28)$$

we can deduce that $k'(t) = 0$ at $t = t_{\max}$, $k'(t) > 0$ for $t \in (0, t_{\max})$ and $k'(t) < 0$ for $t \in (t_{\max}, \infty)$. Then $k(t)$ that achieves its maximum at t_{\max} is increasing for $t \in [0, t_{\max})$ and decreasing for $t \in (t_{\max}, \infty)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} k(t_{\max}) &= \left(\frac{(p-q)\|u\|^p}{(p^*-q)\int_{\Omega} g|u|^{p^*} dx} \right)^{(p-q)/(p^*-p)} \|u\|^p \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{(p-q)\|u\|^p}{(p^*-q)\int_{\Omega} g|u|^{p^*} dx} \right)^{(p^*-q)/(p^*-p)} \int_{\Omega} g|u|^{p^*} dx \\ &= \|u\|^q \left[\left(\frac{p-q}{p^*-q} \right)^{(p-q)/(p^*-p)} - \left(\frac{p-q}{p^*-q} \right)^{(p^*-q)/(p^*-p)} \right] \left(\frac{\|u\|^{p^*}}{\int_{\Omega} g|u|^{p^*} dx} \right)^{(p-q)/(p^*-p)} \\ &\geq \|u\|^q \left(\frac{p^*-p}{p^*-q} \right) \left(\frac{p-q}{(p^*-q)|g^+|_{\infty}} S^{p^*/p} \right)^{(p-q)/(p^*-p)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

(i) We have $\int_{\Omega} f|u|^q dx \leq 0$. There exists a unique $t^- > t_{\max}$ such that $k(t^-) = \lambda \int_{\Omega} f|u|^q dx$ and $k'(t^-) < 0$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & (p-q)(t^-)^p \|u\|^p - (p^*-q)(t^-)^p \int_{\Omega} g|u|^{p^*} dx \\ &= (t^-)^{1+q} \left[(p-q)(t^-)^{p-q-1} \|u\|^p - (p^*-q)(t^-)^{p^*-q-1} \int_{\Omega} g|u|^{p^*} dx \right] \\ &= (t^-)^{1+q} k'(t^-) < 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J'_\lambda(t^-u), t^-u \rangle &= (t^-)^p \|u\|^p - (t^-)^{p^*} \int_{\Omega} g|u|^{p^*} dx - (t^-)^q \lambda \int_{\Omega} f|u|^q dx \\ &= (t^-)^q \left[k(t^-) - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f|u|^q dx \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have that $t^-u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. For $t > t_{\max}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (p-q)\|tu\|^p - (p^*-q) \int_{\Omega} g|tu|^{p^*} < 0, \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} J_\lambda(tu) < 0, \\ & \frac{d}{dt} J_\lambda(tu) = t^{p-1} \|u\|^p - t^{p^*-1} \int_{\Omega} g|u|^{p^*} dx - t^{q-1} \lambda \int_{\Omega} f|u|^q dx \\ &= 0 \quad \text{for } t = t^-. \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

Thus, $J_\lambda(t^-u) = \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu)$.

(ii) We have $\int_{\Omega} f|u|^q dx > 0$. By (2.29) and

$$\begin{aligned} k(0) &= 0 < \lambda \int_{\Omega} f|u|^q dx \\ &\leq \lambda S^{-q/p} |\Omega|^{(p^*-q)/p^*} \|u\|^q \|f^+\|_\infty \\ &< \|u\|^q \left(\frac{p^*-p}{p^*-q} \right) \left(\frac{p-q}{(p^*-q) \|g^+\|_\infty} S^{p^*/p} \right)^{(p-q)/(p^*-p)} \\ &\leq k(t_{\max}) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1), \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

there are unique t^+ and t^- such that $0 < t^+ < t_{\max} < t^-$,

$$\begin{aligned} k(t^+) &= \lambda \int_{\Omega} f|u|^q dx = k(t^-), \\ k'(t^+) &> 0 > k'(t^-). \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

We have $t^+u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$, $t^-u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$, and $J_\lambda(t^-u) \geq J_\lambda(tu) \geq J_\lambda(t^+u)$ for each $t \in [t^+, t^-]$ and $J_\lambda(t^+u) \leq J_\lambda(tu)$ for each $t \in [0, t^+]$. Thus,

$$J_\lambda(t^+u) = \inf_{0 \leq t \leq t_{\max}} J_\lambda(tu), \quad J_\lambda(t^-u) = \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu). \quad (2.34)$$

This completes the proof. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

First, we will use the idea of Tarantello [11] to get the following results.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$, then for each $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a differentiable function $\xi : B(0; \epsilon) \subset W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\xi(0) = 1$, the function $\xi(v)(u - v) \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$, and*

$$\langle \xi'(0), v \rangle = \frac{p \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v \, dx - \lambda q \int_\Omega f |u|^{q-2} uv \, dx - p^* \int_\Omega g |u|^{p^*-2} uv \, dx}{(p-q) \|u\|^p - (p^*-q) \int_\Omega g |u|^{p^*} \, dx} \quad (3.1)$$

for all $v \in W$.

Proof. For $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$, define a function $F : \mathbb{R} \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} F_u(\xi, w) &= \langle J'_\lambda(\xi(u-w)), \xi(u-w) \rangle \\ &= \xi^p \int_\Omega |\nabla(u-w)|^p \, dx - \xi^q \lambda \int_\Omega f |u-w|^q \, dx \\ &\quad - \xi^{p^*} \int_\Omega g |u-w|^{p^*} \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Then $F_u(1, 0) = \langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\xi} F_u(1, 0) &= p \|u\|^p - \lambda q \int_\Omega f |u|^q \, dx - p^* \int_\Omega g |u|^{p^*} \, dx \\ &= (p-q) \|u\|^p - (p^*-q) \int_\Omega g |u|^{p^*} \, dx \neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

According to the implicit function theorem, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a differentiable function $\xi : B(0; \epsilon) \subset W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\xi(0) = 1$,

$$\langle \xi'(0), v \rangle = \frac{p \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v \, dx - \lambda q \int_\Omega f |u|^{q-2} uv \, dx - p^* \int_\Omega g |u|^{p^*-2} uv \, dx}{(p-q) \|u\|^p - (p^*-q) \int_\Omega g |u|^{p^*} \, dx}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$F_u(\xi(v), v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in B(0; \epsilon),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\langle J'_\lambda(\xi(v)(u-v)), \xi(v)(u-v) \rangle = 0, \quad \forall v \in B(0; \epsilon), \quad (3.5)$$

that is, $\xi(v)(u-v) \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$, then for each $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a differentiable function $\xi^- : B(0; \epsilon) \subset W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\xi^-(0) = 1$, the function $\xi^-(v)(u-v) \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$, and*

$$\langle (\xi^-)'(0), v \rangle = \frac{p \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v \, dx - \lambda q \int_\Omega f |u|^{q-2} u v \, dx - p^* \int_\Omega g |u|^{p^*-2} u v \, dx}{(p-q) \|u\|^p - (p^*-q) \int_\Omega g |u|^{p^*} \, dx} \quad (3.6)$$

for all $v \in W$.

Proof. Similar to the argument in Lemma 3.1, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a differentiable function $\xi^- : B(0; \epsilon) \subset W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\xi^-(0) = 1$ and $\xi^-(v)(u-v) \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ for all $v \in B(0; \epsilon)$. Since

$$\langle \psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = (p-q) \|u\|^p - (p^*-q) \int_\Omega g |u|^{p^*} \, dx < 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Thus, by the continuity of the function ξ^- , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi'_\lambda(\xi^-(v)(u-v)), \xi^-(v)(u-v) \rangle &= (p-q) \|\xi^-(v)(u-v)\|^p \\ &\quad - (p^*-q) \int_\Omega g |\xi^-(v)(u-v)|^{p^*} \, dx < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

if ϵ sufficiently small, this implies that $\xi^-(v)(u-v) \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. □

Proposition 3.3. (i) *If $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$, then there exists a $(PS)_{\alpha_\lambda}$ -sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ in W for J_λ .*
 (ii) *If $\lambda \in (0, (q/p)\Lambda_1)$, then there exists a $(PS)_{\alpha_\lambda^-}$ -sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ in W for J_λ .*

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2.2 and the Ekeland variational principle [14], there exists a minimizing sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_n) &< \alpha_\lambda + \frac{1}{n}, \\ J_\lambda(u_n) &< J_\lambda(w) + \frac{1}{n} \|w - u_n\| \quad \text{for each } w \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

By $\alpha_\lambda < 0$ and taking n large, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_n) &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \|u_n\|^p - \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \lambda \int_\Omega f |u_n|^q \, dx \\ &< \alpha_\lambda + \frac{1}{n} < \frac{\alpha_\lambda}{p}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

From (2.7), (3.10), $\alpha_\lambda < 0$, and the Hölder inequality, we deduce that

$$|f^+|_\infty \lambda S^{-q/p} |\Omega|^{(p^*-q)/p^*} \|u_n\|^q \geq \lambda \int_\Omega f |u_n|^q dx > \frac{-p^*q}{p(p^*-q)} \alpha_\lambda > 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Consequently, $u_n \neq 0$ and putting together (3.10), (3.11), and the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\| &> \left[\frac{-p^*q}{p\lambda(p^*-q)} |f^+|_\infty^{-1} \alpha_\lambda S^{q/p} |\Omega|^{(q-p^*)/p^*} \right]^{1/q}, \\ \|u_n\| &< \left[\frac{p(p^*-q)}{q(p^*-p)} \lambda S^{-q/p} |\Omega|^{(p^*-q)/p^*} |f^+|_\infty \right]^{1/(p-q)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Now, we show that

$$\|J'_\lambda(u_n)\|_{W^{-1}} \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty. \quad (3.13)$$

Apply Lemma 3.1 with u_n to obtain the functions $\xi_n : B(0; \varepsilon_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ for some $\varepsilon_n > 0$, such that $\xi_n(w)(u_n - w) \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$. Choose $0 < \rho < \varepsilon_n$. Let $u \in W$ with $u \neq 0$ and let $w_\rho = \rho u / \|u\|$. We set $\eta_\rho = \xi_n(w_\rho)(u_n - w_\rho)$. Since $\eta_\rho \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$, we deduce from (3.9) that

$$J_\lambda(\eta_\rho) - J_\lambda(u_n) \geq -\frac{1}{n} \|\eta_\rho - u_n\|, \quad (3.14)$$

and by the mean value theorem, we have

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \eta_\rho - u_n \rangle + o(\|\eta_\rho - u_n\|) \geq -\frac{1}{n} \|\eta_\rho - u_n\|. \quad (3.15)$$

Thus,

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), -w_\rho \rangle + (\xi_n(w_\rho) - 1) \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), (u_n - w_\rho) \rangle \geq -\frac{1}{n} \|\eta_\rho - u_n\| + o(\|\eta_\rho - u_n\|). \quad (3.16)$$

Since $\xi_n(w_\rho)(u_n - w_\rho) \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ and (3.16) it follows that

$$-\rho \left\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \frac{u}{\|u\|} \right\rangle + (\xi_n(w_\rho) - 1) \langle J'_\lambda(u_n) - J'_\lambda(\eta_\rho), (u_n - w_\rho) \rangle \geq -\frac{1}{n} \|\eta_\rho - u_n\| + o(\|\eta_\rho - u_n\|). \quad (3.17)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \frac{u}{\|u\|} \right\rangle &\leq \frac{\|\eta_\rho - u_n\|}{n\rho} + \frac{o(\|\eta_\rho - u_n\|)}{\rho} \\ &+ \frac{(\xi_n(\omega_\rho) - 1)}{\rho} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n) - J'_\lambda(\eta_\rho), (u_n - \omega_\rho) \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Since $\|\eta_\rho - u_n\| \leq \rho\xi_n(\omega_\rho) + |\xi_n(\omega_\rho) - 1|\|u_n\|$ and

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\xi_n(\omega_\rho) - 1|}{\rho} \leq \|\xi'_n(0)\|, \tag{3.19}$$

if we let $\rho \rightarrow 0$ in (3.18) for a fixed n , then by (3.12) we can find a constant $C > 0$, independent of ρ , such that

$$\left\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \frac{u}{\|u\|} \right\rangle \leq \frac{C}{n} (1 + \|\xi'_n(0)\|). \tag{3.20}$$

The proof will be complete once we show that $\|\xi'_n(0)\|$ is uniformly bounded in n . By (3.1), (3.12), (f_1) , (g_1) , and the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\langle \xi'_n(0), v \rangle \leq \frac{b\|v\|}{\left| (p-q)\|u_n\|^p - (p^*-q) \int_\Omega g|u_n|^{p^*} dx \right|} \quad \text{for some } b > 0. \tag{3.21}$$

We only need to show that

$$\left| (p-q)\|u_n\|^p - (p^*-q) \int_\Omega g|u_n|^{p^*} dx \right| > C \tag{3.22}$$

for some $C > 0$ and n large enough. We argue by contradiction. Assume that there exists a subsequence $\{u_n\}$ such that

$$(p-q)\|u_n\|^p - (p^*-q) \int_\Omega g|u_n|^{p^*} dx = o_n(1). \tag{3.23}$$

By (3.23) and the fact that $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\|^p &= \frac{p^*-q}{p-q} \int_\Omega g|u_n|^{p^*} dx + o_n(1), \\ \|u_n\|^p &= \lambda \frac{p^*-q}{p^*-p} \int_\Omega f|u_n|^q dx + o_n(1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Moreover, by (f1), (g1), and the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\| &\geq \left[\frac{p-q}{(p^*-q)|g^+|_\infty} S^{p^*/p} \right]^{1/(p^*-p)} + o_n(1), \\ \|u_n\| &\leq \left[\lambda \frac{(p^*-q)|f^+|_\infty S^{-q/p} |\Omega|^{(p^*-q)/p^*}}{p^*-p} \right]^{1/(p-q)} + o_n(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

This implies $\lambda \geq \Lambda_1$ which is a contradiction. We obtain

$$\left\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \frac{u}{\|u\|} \right\rangle \leq \frac{C}{n}. \quad (3.26)$$

This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) Similarly, by using Lemma 3.2, we can prove (ii). We will omit detailed proof here. \square

Now, we establish the existence of a local minimum for J_λ on \mathcal{N}_λ^+ .

Theorem 3.4. *If $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$, then J_λ has a minimizer u_λ in \mathcal{N}_λ^+ and it satisfies that*

- (i) $J_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \alpha_\lambda = \alpha_\lambda^+$;
- (ii) u_λ is a positive solution of $(E_{\lambda f, g})$ in $C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3(i), there exists a minimizing sequence $\{u_n\}$ for J_λ on \mathcal{N}_λ such that

$$J_\lambda(u_n) = \alpha_\lambda + o_n(1), \quad J'_\lambda(u_n) = o_n(1) \quad \text{in } W^{-1}. \quad (3.27)$$

Since J_λ is coercive on \mathcal{N}_λ (see Lemma 2.2), we get that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in W . Going if necessary to a subsequence, we can assume that there exists $u_\lambda \in W$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u_\lambda \quad \text{weakly in } W, \\ u_n &\longrightarrow u_\lambda \quad \text{almost every where in } \Omega, \\ u_n &\longrightarrow u_\lambda \quad \text{strongly in } L^s(\Omega) \quad \forall 1 \leq s < p^*. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

First, we claim that u_λ is a nontrivial solution of $(E_{\lambda f, g})$. By (3.27) and (3.28), it is easy to see that u_λ is a solution of $(E_{\lambda f, g})$. From $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ and (2.6), we deduce that

$$\lambda \int_\Omega f|u_n|^q dx = \frac{q(p^*-p)}{p(p^*-q)} \|u_n\|^p - \frac{p^*q}{p^*-q} J_\lambda(u_n). \quad (3.29)$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.29), by (3.27), (3.28), and $\alpha_\lambda < 0$, we get

$$\int_\Omega f|u_\lambda|^q dx \geq -\frac{p^*q}{p^*-q} \alpha_\lambda > 0. \quad (3.30)$$

Thus, $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ is a nontrivial solution of $(E_{\lambda f, g})$. Now we prove that $u_n \rightarrow u_\lambda$ strongly in W and $J_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \alpha_\lambda$. By (3.29), if $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$, then

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{p^* - p}{p^* p} \|u\|^p - \frac{p^* - q}{p^* q} \lambda \int_\Omega f|u|^q dx. \quad (3.31)$$

In order to prove that $J_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \alpha_\lambda$, it suffices to recall that $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$, by (3.31), and applying Fatou's lemma to get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\lambda &\leq J_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \frac{p^* - p}{p^* p} \|u_\lambda\|^p - \frac{p^* - q}{p^* q} \lambda \int_\Omega f|u_\lambda|^q dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{p^* - p}{p^* p} \|u_n\|^p - \frac{p^* - q}{p^* q} \lambda \int_\Omega f|u_n|^q dx \right) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n) = \alpha_\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

This implies that $J_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \alpha_\lambda$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|^p = \|u_\lambda\|^p$. Let $v_n = u_n - u_\lambda$, then Brézis and Lieb lemma [15] implies that

$$\|v_n\|^p = \|u_n\|^p - \|u_\lambda\|^p + o_n(1). \quad (3.33)$$

Therefore, $u_n \rightarrow u_\lambda$ strongly in W . Moreover, we have $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. On the contrary, if $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$, then by Lemma 2.7, there are unique t_0^+ and t_0^- such that $t_0^+ u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ and $t_0^- u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. In particular, we have $t_0^+ < t_0^- = 1$. Since

$$\frac{d}{dt} J_\lambda(t_0^+ u_\lambda) = 0, \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} J_\lambda(t_0^+ u_\lambda) > 0, \quad (3.34)$$

there exists $t_0^+ < \bar{t} \leq t_0^-$ such that $J_\lambda(t_0^+ u_\lambda) < J_\lambda(\bar{t} u_\lambda)$. By Lemma 2.7,

$$J_\lambda(t_0^+ u_\lambda) < J_\lambda(\bar{t} u_\lambda) \leq J_\lambda(t_0^- u_\lambda) = J_\lambda(u_\lambda), \quad (3.35)$$

which is a contradiction. Since $J_\lambda(u_\lambda) = J_\lambda(|u_\lambda|)$ and $|u_\lambda| \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$, by Lemma 2.3 we may assume that u_λ is a nontrivial nonnegative solution of $(E_{\lambda f, g})$. Moreover, from $f, g \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, then using the standard bootstrap argument (see, e.g., [16]) we obtain $u_\lambda \in L^\infty(\Omega)$; hence by applying regularity results [17, 18] we derive that $u_\lambda \in C^{1, \alpha}(\Omega)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and finally, by the Harnack inequality [19] we deduce that $u_\lambda > 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Now, we begin the proof of Theorem 1.4. By Theorem 3.4, we obtain $(E_{\lambda f, g})$ that has a positive solution u_λ in $C^{1, \alpha}(\Omega)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.5

Next, we will establish the existence of the second positive solution of $(E_{\lambda f, g})$ by proving that J_λ satisfies the $(PS)_{\alpha_\lambda^-}$ condition.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that (f1) and (g1) hold. If $\{u_n\} \subset W$ is a $(PS)_c$ -sequence for J_λ , then $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in W .*

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Assume that $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\hat{u}_n = u_n/\|u_n\|$. We may assume that $\hat{u}_n \rightharpoonup \hat{u}$ in W . This implies that $\hat{u}_n \rightarrow \hat{u}$ strongly in $L^s(\Omega)$ for all $1 \leq s < p^*$ and

$$\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} f|\hat{u}_n|^q dx = \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} f|\hat{u}|^q dx + o_n(1). \quad (4.1)$$

Since $\{u_n\}$ is a $(PS)_c$ -sequence for J_λ and $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$, there hold

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \hat{u}_n|^p dx - \frac{\lambda \|u_n\|^{q-p}}{q} \int_{\Omega} f|\hat{u}_n|^q dx - \frac{\|u_n\|^{p^*-p}}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} g|\hat{u}_n|^{p^*} dx &= o_n(1), \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \hat{u}_n|^p dx - \lambda \|u_n\|^{q-p} \int_{\Omega} f|\hat{u}_n|^q dx - \|u_n\|^{p^*-p} \int_{\Omega} g|\hat{u}_n|^{p^*} dx &= o_n(1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

From (4.1)-(4.2), we can deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \hat{u}_n|^p dx = \frac{p(p^* - q)}{q(p^* - p)} \|u_n\|^{q-p} \lambda \int_{\Omega} f|\hat{u}|^q dx + o_n(1). \quad (4.3)$$

Since $1 \leq q < 2$ and $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$, (4.3) implies

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \hat{u}_n|^p dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.4)$$

which is contrary to the fact $\|\hat{u}_n\| = 1$ for all n . \square

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that (f1) and (g1) hold. If $\{u_n\} \subset W$ is a $(PS)_c$ -sequence for J_λ with $c \in (0, (1/N)|g^+|_{\infty}^{-(N-p)/p} S^{N/p})$, then there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ converging weakly to a nontrivial solution of $(E_{\lambda, f, g})$.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subset W$ be a $(PS)_c$ -sequence for J_λ with $c \in (0, (1/N)|g^+|_{\infty}^{-(N-p)/p} S^{N/p})$. We know from Lemma 4.1 that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in W , and then there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ (still denoted by $\{u_n\}$) and $u_0 \in W$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u_0 \quad \text{weakly in } W, \\ u_n &\rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{almost every where in } \Omega, \\ u_n &\rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^s(\Omega) \quad \forall 1 \leq s < p^*. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

It is easy to see that $J'_\lambda(u_0) = 0$ and

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u_n|^q dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u_0|^q dx + o_n(1). \quad (4.6)$$

Next we verify that $u_0 \neq 0$. Arguing by contradiction, we assume $u_0 \equiv 0$. Setting

$$l = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} g|u_n|^{p^*} dx. \tag{4.7}$$

Since $J'_\lambda(u_n) = o_n(1)$ and $\{u_n\}$ is bounded, then by (4.6), we can deduce that

$$0 = \left\langle \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \right\rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|u_n\|^p - \int_{\Omega} g|u_n|^{p^*} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|^p - l, \tag{4.8}$$

that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|^p = l. \tag{4.9}$$

If $l = 0$, then we get $c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n) = 0$, which contradicts with $c > 0$. Thus we conclude that $l > 0$. Furthermore, the Sobolev inequality implies that

$$\|u_n\|^p \geq S \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p^*} \right)^{p/p^*} \geq S \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{g}{|g^+|_{\infty}} |u_n|^{p^*} \right)^{p/p^*} = S |g^+|_{\infty}^{-(N-p)/N} \left(\int_{\Omega} g|u_n|^{p^*} \right)^{p/p^*}. \tag{4.10}$$

Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$l = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|^p \geq S |g^+|_{\infty}^{-(N-p)/N} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} g|u_n|^{p^*} \right)^{p/p^*} = S |g^+|_{\infty}^{-(N-p)/N} l^{p/p^*}, \tag{4.11}$$

which implies that

$$l \geq |g^+|_{\infty}^{-(N-p)/p} S^{N/p}. \tag{4.12}$$

Hence, from (4.6) to (4.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|^p - \frac{\lambda}{q} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f|u_n|^q dx - \frac{1}{p^*} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} g|u_n|^{p^*} dx \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) l \\ &\geq \frac{1}{N} |g^+|_{\infty}^{-(N-p)/p} S^{N/p}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

This is a contradiction to $c < (1/N)|g^+|_{\infty}^{-(N-p)/p} S^{N/p}$. Therefore u_0 is a nontrivial solution of $(E_{\lambda,f,g})$. □

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that (f1)-(f2) and (g1)-(g4) hold. Then for any $\lambda > 0$, there exists $v_\lambda \in W$ such that*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tv_\lambda) < \frac{1}{N} |g^+|_\infty^{-(N-p)/p} S^{N/p}. \quad (4.14)$$

In particular, $\alpha_\lambda^- < (1/N) |g^+|_\infty^{-(N-p)/p} S^{N/p}$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$ where Λ_1 is as in (1.5).

Proof. For convenience, we introduce the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|^p - \frac{1}{p^*} g |u|^{p^*} \right\} dx, \\ \chi_{B(0, 2\rho_0)} &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in B(0, 2\rho_0), \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin B(0, 2\rho_0), \end{cases} \\ Q(u) &= \frac{|\nabla u|_p^p}{\left| (\chi_{B(0, 2\rho_0)})^{1/p^*} u \right|_{p^*}^p}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

From (g3) to (g4), we know that there exists $\delta_0 \in (0, \rho_0)$ such that for all $x \in B(0, 2\delta_0)$,

$$g(x) = g(0) + o(|x|^\beta) \quad \text{for some } \beta > \frac{N}{p-1}. \quad (4.16)$$

Motivated by some ideas of selecting cut-off functions in [20, Lemma 4.1], we take such cut-off function $\eta(x)$ that satisfies $\eta(x) \in C_0^\infty(B(0, 2\delta_0))$, $\eta(x) = 1$ for $|x| < \delta_0$, $\eta(x) = 0$ for $|x| > 2\delta_0$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, and $|\nabla \eta| \leq C$. Define, for $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\varepsilon^{(N-p)/p^2} \eta(x)}{\left(\varepsilon + |x|^{p/(p-1)} \right)^{(N-p)/p}}. \quad (4.17)$$

Step 1. Show that $\sup_{t \geq 0} I(tu_\varepsilon) \leq (1/N) |g^+|_\infty^{-(N-p)/p} S^{N/p} + O(\varepsilon^{(N-p)/p})$.

On that purpose, we need to establish the following estimates (as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$):

$$\left| (\chi_{B(0, 2\rho_0)})^{1/p^*} u_\varepsilon \right|_{p^*}^p = |g^+|_\infty^{-(N-p)/N} |U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + O(\varepsilon^{N/p}), \quad (4.18)$$

$$|\nabla u_\varepsilon|_p^p = |\nabla U|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + O(\varepsilon^{(N-p)/p}), \quad (4.19)$$

where $U(x) = (1 + (x)^{p/(p-1)})^{-(N-p)/p} \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a minimizer of $\{|\nabla u|_p^p / |u|_{p^*}^p\}_{u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}}$, that is,

$$\frac{|\nabla U|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p}{|U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p} = S = \inf_{u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\nabla u|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p}{|u|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p}, \quad (4.20)$$

and $\omega_N = 2\pi^{N/2}/N\Gamma(N/2)$ which is the volume of the unit ball $B(0, 1)$ in \mathbb{R}^N . We only show that equality (4.18) is valid; proofs of (4.19) are very similar to [20]. In view of (4.17), we get that

$$\left| \left(g\chi_{B(0,2\rho_0)} \right)^{1/p^*} u_\varepsilon \right|_{p^*}^{p^*} = \int_{B(0,2\delta_0)} g(x) |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\varepsilon^{N/p} \eta^{p^*}(x) g(x)}{(\varepsilon + |x|^{p/(p-1)})^N} dx. \quad (4.21)$$

On the other hand, let $x = \varepsilon^{(p-1)/p} y$, we can deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon + |x|^{p/(p-1)})^N} dx = \varepsilon^{-N/p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{(1 + |y|^{p/(p-1)})^N} dy = \varepsilon^{-N/p} |U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p^*}. \quad (4.22)$$

Combining with $g(0) = g^+|_\infty$ and the equalities above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon^{-N/p} |g^+|_\infty |U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p^*} - \varepsilon^{-N/p} \left| \left(g\chi_{B(0,2\rho_0)} \right)^{1/p^*} u_\varepsilon \right|_{p^*}^{p^*} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0,\delta_0)} \frac{g(0) - \eta^{p^*}(x) g(x)}{(\varepsilon + |x|^{p/(p-1)})^N} dx + \int_{B(0,\delta_0)} \frac{g(0) - g(x)}{(\varepsilon + |x|^{p/(p-1)})^N} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \varepsilon^{-N/p} |g^+|_\infty |U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p^*} - \varepsilon^{-N/p} \left| \left(g\chi_{B(0,2\rho_0)} \right)^{1/p^*} u_\varepsilon \right|_{p^*}^{p^*} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0,\delta_0)} \frac{g(0)}{(\varepsilon + |x|^{p/(p-1)})^N} dx + \int_{B(0,\delta_0)} \frac{o(|x|^\beta)}{(\varepsilon + |x|^{p/(p-1)})^N} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0,\delta_0)} \frac{g(0)}{|x|^{Np/(p-1)}} dx + \int_{B(0,\delta_0)} \frac{o(|x|^\beta)}{|x|^{Np/(p-1)}} dx \\ &= N\omega_N \int_{\delta_0}^\infty \frac{r^{N-1} g(0)}{r^{pN/(p-1)}} dr + \int_0^{\delta_0} \frac{o(r^\beta) r^{N-1}}{r^{pN/(p-1)}} dr \\ &= (p-1)\omega_N \delta_0^{-N/(p-1)} g(0) + \frac{o(1)\delta_0^{\beta-(N/(p-1))}}{\beta - (N/(p-1))} \leq C_1 = \text{Const.}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

which leads to

$$0 \leq 1 - |g^+|_\infty^{-1} \left| (g\chi_{B(0,2\rho_0)})^{1/p^*} u_\varepsilon \right|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p^*} |U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-p^*} \leq C_1 |g^+|_\infty^{-1} |U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-p^*} \varepsilon^{N/p}, \quad (4.25)$$

that is,

$$1 - C_1 |g^+|_\infty^{-1} |U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-p^*} \varepsilon^{N/p} \leq |g^+|_\infty^{-1} \left| (g\chi_{B(0,2\rho_0)})^{1/p^*} u_\varepsilon \right|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p^*} |U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-p^*} \leq 1. \quad (4.26)$$

Now, let ε be small enough such that $C_1 |g^+|_\infty^{-1} |U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-p^*} \varepsilon^{N/p} < 1$, then from (4.26) we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - C_1 |g^+|_\infty^{-1} |U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-p^*} \varepsilon^{N/p} &\leq \left(1 - C_1 |g^+|_\infty^{-1} |U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-p^*} \varepsilon^{N/p} \right)^{p/p^*} \\ &\leq |g^+|_\infty^{-(N-p)/N} \left| (g\chi_{B(0,2\rho_0)})^{1/p^*} u_\varepsilon \right|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p |U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{-p}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

which yields that

$$|g^+|_\infty^{(N-p)/N} |U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p - C_1 |g^+|_\infty^{-p/N} |U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p-p^*} \varepsilon^{N/p} \leq \left| (g\chi_{B(0,2\rho_0)})^{1/p^*} u_\varepsilon \right|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \leq |g^+|_\infty^{(N-p)/N} |U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p, \quad (4.28)$$

equivalently, equality (4.18) is valid.

Combining (4.18) and (4.19), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} Q(u_\varepsilon) &= \frac{|\nabla U|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + O(\varepsilon^{(N-p)/p})}{|g^+|_\infty^{(N-p)/N} |U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + O(\varepsilon^{N/p})} \\ &= |g^+|_\infty^{-(N-p)/N} \frac{|\nabla U|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + O(\varepsilon^{(N-p)/p})}{|U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + O(\varepsilon^{N/p})}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} Q(u_\varepsilon) - |g^+|_\infty^{-(N-p)/N} S &= |g^+|_\infty^{-(N-p)/N} \left[\frac{|\nabla U|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + O(\varepsilon^{(N-p)/p})}{|U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + O(\varepsilon^{N/p})} - \frac{|\nabla U|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p}{|U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p} \right] \\ &= |g^+|_\infty^{-(N-p)/N} \left[\frac{|U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p O(\varepsilon^{(N-p)/p}) - |\nabla U|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p O(\varepsilon^{N/p})}{\left(|U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + O(\varepsilon^{N/p}) \right) |U|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p} \right] \\ &= O(\varepsilon^{(N-p)/p}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Using the fact that

$$\max_{t \geq 0} \left(\frac{t^p}{p} a - \frac{t^{p^*}}{p^*} b \right) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{a}{b^{p/p^*}} \right)^{N/p} \quad \text{for any } a, b > 0, \quad (4.31)$$

we can deduce that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} I(tu_\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{N} (Q(u_\varepsilon))^{N/p}. \quad (4.32)$$

From (4.30), we conclude that $\sup_{t \geq 0} I(tu_\varepsilon) \leq (1/N) |g^+|_\infty^{-(N-p)/p} S^{N/p} + O(\varepsilon^{(N-p)/p})$.

Step 2. We claim that for any $\lambda > 0$ there exists a constant $\varepsilon_\lambda > 0$ such that $\sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu_{\varepsilon_\lambda}) < (1/N) |g^+|_\infty^{-(N-p)/p} S^{N/p}$.

Using the definitions of J_λ, u_ε and by (f2), (g3), we get

$$J_\lambda(tu_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{t^p}{p} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|_p^p, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall \lambda > 0. \quad (4.33)$$

Combining this with (4.19), let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, then there exists $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ independent of ε such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} J_\lambda(tu_\varepsilon) < \frac{1}{N} |g^+|_\infty^{-(N-p)/p} S^{N/p}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1). \quad (4.34)$$

Using the definitions of J_λ, u_ε , and by the results in Step 1 and (f2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq t_0} J_\lambda(tu_\varepsilon) &= \sup_{t \geq t_0} \left(I(tu_\varepsilon) - \frac{t^q}{q} \lambda \int f(x) |u_\varepsilon|^q dx \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} |g^+|_\infty^{-(N-p)/p} S^{N/p} + O(\varepsilon^{(N-p)/p}) - \frac{t_0^q}{q} \beta_0 \lambda \int_{B(0, \delta_0)} |u_\varepsilon|^q dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta_0^{p/(p-1)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0, \delta_0)} |u_\varepsilon|^q dx &= \int_{B(0, \delta_0)} \frac{\varepsilon^{q(N-p)/p^2}}{(\varepsilon + |x|^{p/(p-1)})^{((N-p)/p)q}} dx \\ &\geq \int_{B(0, \delta_0)} \frac{\varepsilon^{q(N-p)/p^2}}{(2\delta_0^{p/(p-1)})^{((N-p)/p)q}} dx \\ &= C_2(N, p, q, \delta_0) \varepsilon^{(q(N-p))/p^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

Combining (4.35) and (4.36), for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \delta_0^{p/(p-1)})$, we get

$$\sup_{t \geq t_0} J_\lambda(tu_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{N} |g^+|_\infty^{-(N-p)/p} S^{N/p} + O(\varepsilon^{(N-p)/p}) - \frac{t_0^q}{q} \beta_0 C_2 \lambda \varepsilon^{q(N-p)/p^2}. \quad (4.37)$$

Hence, for any $\lambda > 0$, we can choose small positive constant $\varepsilon_\lambda < \min\{1, \delta_0^{p/(p-1)}\}$ such that

$$O(\varepsilon_\lambda^{(N-p)/p}) - \frac{t_0^q}{q} \beta_0 C_2 \lambda \varepsilon_\lambda^{q(N-p)/p^2} < 0. \quad (4.38)$$

From (4.34), (4.37), (4.38), we can deduce that for any $\lambda > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu_{\varepsilon_\lambda}) < \frac{1}{N} |g^+|_\infty^{-(N-p)/p} S^{N/p}. \quad (4.39)$$

Step 3. Prove that $\alpha_\lambda^- < (1/N)S^{N/p}$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$.

By (f2), (g2), and the definition of u_ε , we have

$$\int_\Omega f(x)|u_\varepsilon|^q dx > 0, \quad \int_\Omega g(x)|u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dx > 0. \quad (4.40)$$

Combining this with Lemma 2.7(ii), from the definition of α_λ^- and the results in Step 2, for any $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$, we obtain that there exists $t_{\varepsilon_\lambda} > 0$ such that $t_{\varepsilon_\lambda} u_{\varepsilon_\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ and

$$\alpha_\lambda^- \leq J_\lambda(t_{\varepsilon_\lambda} u_{\varepsilon_\lambda}) \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu_{\varepsilon_\lambda}) < \frac{1}{N} |g^+|_\infty^{-(N-p)/p} S^{N/p}. \quad (4.41)$$

This completes the proof. □

Now, we establish the existence of a local minimum of J_λ on \mathcal{N}_λ^- .

Theorem 4.4. *If $\lambda \in (0, (q/p)\Lambda_1)$, then J_λ satisfies the $(PS)_{\alpha_\lambda^-}$ condition. Moreover, J_λ has a minimizer U_λ in \mathcal{N}_λ^- and satisfies that*

(i) $J_\lambda(U_\lambda) = \alpha_\lambda^-$;

(ii) U_λ is a positive solution of $(E_{\lambda f, g})$ in $C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,

where Λ_1 is as in (1.5).

Proof. If $\lambda \in (0, (q/p)\Lambda_1)$, then by Theorem 2.6(ii), Proposition 3.3(ii), and Lemma 4.3, there exists a $(PS)_{\alpha_\lambda^-}$ -sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ in W for J_λ with $\alpha_\lambda^- \in (0, (1/N)|g^+|_\infty^{-(N-p)/p} S^{N/p})$. From Lemma 4.2, there exists a subsequence still denoted by $\{u_n\}$ and nontrivial solution $U_\lambda \in W$ of $(E_{\lambda f, g})$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup U_\lambda$ weakly in W . Now we prove that $u_n \rightarrow U_\lambda$ strongly in W and $J_\lambda(U_\lambda) = \alpha_\lambda^-$. By (3.29), if $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$, then

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{p^* - p}{p^* p} \|u\|^p - \frac{p^* - q}{p^* q} \lambda \int_\Omega f|u|^q dx. \quad (4.42)$$

First, we prove that $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. On the contrary, if $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$, then by \mathcal{N}_λ^- closed in W , we have $\|U_\lambda\| < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|$. By Lemma 2.7, there exists a unique t_λ^- such that $t_\lambda^- U_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. Since $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$, $J_\lambda(u_n) \geq J_\lambda(tu_n)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and by (4.42), we have

$$\alpha_\lambda^- \leq J_\lambda(t_\lambda^- U_\lambda) < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(t_\lambda^- u_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n) = \alpha_\lambda^-, \tag{4.43}$$

and this is contradiction.

In order to prove that $J_\lambda(U_\lambda) = \alpha_\lambda^-$, it suffices to recall that $u_n, U_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ for all n , by (4.42), and applying Fatou’s lemma to get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\lambda^- &\leq J_\lambda(U_\lambda) = \frac{p^* - p}{p^* p} \|U_\lambda\|^p - \frac{p^* - q}{p^* q} \lambda \int_\Omega f |U_\lambda|^q dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{p^* - p}{p^* p} \|u_n\|^p - \frac{p^* - q}{p^* q} \lambda \int_\Omega f |u_n|^q dx \right) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n) = \alpha_\lambda^-. \end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

This implies that $J_\lambda(U_\lambda) = \alpha_\lambda^-$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|^p = \|U_\lambda\|^p$. Let $v_n = u_n - U_\lambda$, then Brézis and Lieb lemma [15] implies that

$$\|v_n\|^p = \|u_n\|^p - \|U_\lambda\|^p + o_n(1). \tag{4.45}$$

Therefore, $u_n \rightarrow U_\lambda$ strongly in W .

Since $J_\lambda(U_\lambda) = J_\lambda(|U_\lambda|)$ and $|U_\lambda| \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$, by Lemma 2.3 we may assume that U_λ is a nontrivial nonnegative solution of $(E_{\lambda f, g})$. Finally, by using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.4, for all $\lambda \in (0, (q/p)\Lambda_1)$, we have that U_λ is a positive solution of $(E_{\lambda f, g})$ in $C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. \square

Now, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.5. By Theorems 3.4 and 4.4, if $\lambda \in (0, (q/p)\Lambda_1)$, then we obtain $(E_{\lambda f, g})$ that has two positive solutions u_λ and U_λ such that $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$, $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$, and $u_\lambda, U_\lambda \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Since $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+ \cap \mathcal{N}_\lambda^- = \emptyset$, this implies that u_λ and U_λ are distinct.

References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, H. Brézis, and G. Cerami, “Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems,” *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 122, no. 2, pp. 519–543, 1994.
- [2] J. García Azorero and I. Peral Alonso, “Some results about the existence of a second positive solution in a quasilinear critical problem,” *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 941–957, 1994.
- [3] J. P. García Azorero, I. Peral Alonso, and J. J. Manfredi, “Sobolev versus Hölder local minimizers and global multiplicity for some quasilinear elliptic equations,” *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 385–404, 2000.
- [4] S. Prashanth and K. Sreenadh, “Multiplicity results in a ball for p -Laplace equation with positive nonlinearity,” *Advances in Differential Equations*, vol. 7, no. 7, pp. 877–896, 2002.
- [5] M. Tang, “Exact multiplicity for semilinear elliptic Dirichlet problems involving concave and convex nonlinearities,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, vol. 133, no. 3, pp. 705–717, 2003.

- [6] T.-F. Wu, "On semilinear elliptic equations involving concave-convex nonlinearities and sign-changing weight function," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 318, no. 1, pp. 253–270, 2006.
- [7] T. S. Hsu, "On a class of quasilinear elliptic problems involving concave-convex nonlinearities and sign-changing weight functions," submitted.
- [8] N. Hirano, C. Saccon, and N. Shioji, "Existence of multiple positive solutions for singular elliptic problems with concave and convex nonlinearities," *Advances in Differential Equations*, vol. 9, no. 1-2, pp. 197–220, 2004.
- [9] D. G. de Figueiredo, J.-P. Gossez, and P. Ubilla, "Local "superlinearity" and "sublinearity" for the p -Laplacian," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 257, no. 3, pp. 721–752, 2009.
- [10] P. H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*, vol. 65 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*, American Mathematical Society, Washington, DC, USA, 1986.
- [11] G. Tarantello, "On nonhomogeneous elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent," *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré. Analyse Non Linéaire*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 281–304, 1992.
- [12] K. J. Brown and Y. Zhang, "The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic equation with a sign-changing weight function," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 193, no. 2, pp. 481–499, 2003.
- [13] P. A. Binding, P. Drábek, and Y. X. Huang, "On Neumann boundary value problems for some quasilinear elliptic equations," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 5, pp. 1–11, 1997.
- [14] I. Ekeland, "On the variational principle," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 47, pp. 324–353, 1974.
- [15] H. Brézis and E. Lieb, "A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 88, no. 3, pp. 486–490, 1983.
- [16] P. Drábek, "Strongly nonlinear degenerated and singular elliptic problems," in *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 343 of *Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series*, pp. 112–146, Longman, Harlow, UK, 1996.
- [17] G. M. Lieberman, "Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 12, no. 11, pp. 1203–1219, 1988.
- [18] P. Tolksdorf, "Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 126–150, 1984.
- [19] N. S. Trudinger, "On Harnack type inequalities and their application to quasilinear elliptic equations," *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 20, pp. 721–747, 1967.
- [20] P. Drábek and Y. X. Huang, "Multiplicity of positive solutions for some quasilinear elliptic equation in \mathbb{R}^N with critical Sobolev exponent," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 140, no. 1, pp. 106–132, 1997.