

*Research Article*

## Isomorphisms and Derivations in Lie $C^*$ -Algebras

Choonkil Park, Jong Su An, and Jianlian Cui

Received 7 May 2007; Revised 10 July 2007; Accepted 13 July 2007

Recommended by John Michael Rassias

We investigate isomorphisms between  $C^*$ -algebras, Lie  $C^*$ -algebras, and  $JC^*$ -algebras, and derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras, Lie  $C^*$ -algebras, and  $JC^*$ -algebras associated with the Cauchy–Jensen functional equation  $2f((x + y/2) + z) = f(x) + f(y) + 2f(z)$ .

Copyright © 2007 Choonkil Park et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Ulam [1] gave a talk before the Mathematics Club of the University of Wisconsin in which he discussed a number of unsolved problems, containing the stability problem of homomorphisms. Hyers [2] proved the stability problem of additive mappings in Banach spaces. Rassias [3] provided a generalization of Hyers' theorem which allows the *Cauchy difference to be unbounded*: Let  $f : E \rightarrow E'$  be a mapping from a normed vector space  $E$  into a Banach space  $E'$  subject to the inequality

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $x, y \in E$ , where  $\epsilon$  and  $p$  are constants with  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $p < 1$ . The inequality (1.1) that was introduced by Rassias [3] provided a lot of influence in the development of a generalization of the Hyers-Ulam stability concept. This new concept is known as *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability* of functional equations. Găvruta [4] provided a further generalization of Th. M. Rassias' theorem. Several mathematicians have contributed works on these subjects (see [4–14]).

Rassias [15] provided an alternative generalization of Hyers' stability theorem which allows the *Cauchy difference to be unbounded*, as follows.

## 2 Abstract and Applied Analysis

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $f : E \rightarrow E'$  be a mapping from a normed vector space  $E$  into a Banach space  $E'$  subject to the inequality*

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon \|x\|^p \|y\|^p \quad (1.2)$$

*for all  $x, y \in E$ , where  $\epsilon$  and  $p$  are constants with  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $0 \leq p < 1/2$ . Then the limit*

$$L(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \quad (1.3)$$

*exists for all  $x \in E$  and  $L : E \rightarrow E'$  is the unique additive mapping which satisfies*

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2 - 4^p} \|x\|^{2p} \quad (1.4)$$

*for all  $x \in E$ . If  $p < 0$ , then inequality (1.2) holds for  $x, y \neq 0$ , and (1.4) for  $x \neq 0$ . If  $p > 1/2$ , then inequality (1.2) holds for all  $x, y \in E$ , and the limit*

$$A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \quad (1.5)$$

*exists for all  $x \in E$  and  $A : E \rightarrow E'$  is the unique additive mapping which satisfies*

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4^p - 2} \|x\|^{2p} \quad (1.6)$$

*for all  $x \in E$ .*

In 1982–1994, a generalization of this result was established by J. M. Rassias with a weaker (unbounded) condition controlled by (or involving) a product of different powers of norms. However, there was a singular case. Then for this singularity, a counterexample was given by Găvruta [16]. The above-mentioned stability involving a product of different powers of norms is called Ulam-Găvruta-Rassias stability by Sibaha et al. [17] and Ravi and Arunkumar [18]. This stability is called Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability involving a product of different powers of norms by Park [10]. Note that both Ulam stabilities specifically called: “Ulam-Găvruta-Rassias stability of mappings” and “Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of mappings involving a product of powers of norms are identical in meaning stability notions. Besides Euler-Lagrange quadratic mappings were introduced by Rassias [19], motivated from the pertinent algebraic quadratic equation. Thus he introduced and investigated the relative quadratic functional equation [20, 21]. In addition, he generalized and investigated the general pertinent Euler-Lagrange quadratic mappings [22]. Analogous quadratic mappings were introduced and investigated by the same author [23, 24]. Therefore, this introduction of Euler-Lagrange mappings and equations in functional equations and inequalities provided an interesting cornerstone in analysis, because this kind of Euler-Lagrange-Rassias mappings (resp., Euler-Lagrange-Rassias equations) is of particular interest in probability theory and stochastic analysis by marrying these fields of research results to functional equations and inequalities via the introduction of new Euler-Lagrange-Rassias quadratic weighted means and Euler-Lagrange-Rassias fundamental mean equations [21, 22, 25]. For further research developments in

stability of functional equations, the readers are referred to the works of Park [6–13], Rassias [15, 19–24, 26–36], J. M. Rassias and M. J. Rassias [25, 37–39], Rassias [40–43], Skof [44], and the references cited therein.

Gilányi [45] showed that if  $f$  satisfies the functional inequality

$$\|2f(x) + 2f(y) - f(x - y)\| \leq \|f(x + y)\|, \tag{1.7}$$

then  $f$  satisfies the Jordan-von Neumann functional inequality

$$2f(x) + 2f(y) = f(x + y) + f(x - y) \tag{1.8}$$

(see also [46]). Fechner [47] and Gilányi [48] proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the functional inequality (1.7). Park et al. [11] proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional inequalities associated with Jordan-von Neumann-type additive functional equations.

Jordan observed that  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is a (nonassociative) algebra via the *anticommutator product*  $x \circ y := (xy + yx)/2$ . A commutative algebra  $X$  with product  $x \circ y$  is called a *Jordan algebra*. A Jordan  $C^*$ -subalgebra of a  $C^*$ -algebra, endowed with the anticommutator product, is called a *JC\*-algebra*. A  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}$ , endowed with the Lie product  $[x, y] = (xy - yx)/2$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ , is called a *Lie C\*-algebra* (see [6, 7, 13]).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we investigate isomorphisms and derivations in  $C^*$ -algebras associated with the Cauchy-Jensen functional equation. In Section 3, we investigate isomorphisms and derivations in Lie  $C^*$ -algebras associated with the Cauchy-Jensen functional equation. In Section 4, we investigate isomorphisms and derivations in  $JC^*$ -algebras associated with the Cauchy-Jensen functional equation.

## 2. Isomorphisms and derivations in $C^*$ -algebras

Throughout this section, assume that  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra with norm  $\|\cdot\|_A$ , and that  $B$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra with norm  $\|\cdot\|_B$ .

LEMMA 2.1 [11]. *Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a mapping such that*

$$\|f(x) + f(y) + 2f(z)\|_B \leq \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) \right\|_B \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in A$ . Then  $f$  is Cauchy additive, that is,  $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ .

In this section, we investigate  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphisms between  $C^*$ -algebras and linear derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras associated with the Cauchy-Jensen functional equation.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let  $r > 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a bijective mapping such that*

$$\|\mu f(x) + f(y) + 2f(z)\|_B \leq \left\| 2f\left(\frac{\mu x + y}{2} + z\right) \right\|_B, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\|f(xy) - f(x)f(y)\|_B \leq \theta(\|x\|_A^{2r} + \|y\|_A^{2r}), \tag{2.3}$$

$$\|f(x^*) - f(x)^*\|_B \leq \theta(\|x\|_A^r + \|x\|_A^r) \tag{2.4}$$

#### 4 Abstract and Applied Analysis

for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1 := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = 1\}$  and all  $x, y, z \in A$ . Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism.

*Proof.* Let  $\mu = 1$  in (2.2). By Lemma 2.1, the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is Cauchy additive. So  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(x/2^n)$  for all  $x \in A$ .

Letting  $y = -\mu x$  and  $z = 0$ , we get

$$\|\mu f(x) + f(-\mu x)\|_B \leq \|2f(0)\|_B = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

for all  $x \in A$  and all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ . So

$$\mu f(x) - f(\mu x) = \mu f(x) + f(-\mu x) = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

for all  $x \in A$  and all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ . Hence  $f(\mu x) = \mu f(x)$  for all  $x \in A$  and all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ . By the same reasoning as in the proof of [8, Theorem 2.1], the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.

It follows from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(xy) - f(x)f(y)\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| f\left(\frac{xy}{2^n \cdot 2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n \theta}{4^{nr}} (\|x\|_A^{2r} + \|y\|_A^{2r}) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Thus

$$f(xy) = f(x)f(y) \quad (2.8)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ .

It follows from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x^*) - f(x)^*\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x^*}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)^* \right\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n \theta}{2^{nr}} (\|x\|_A^r + \|x\|_A^r) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Thus

$$f(x^*) = f(x)^* \quad (2.10)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Hence the bijective mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $r < 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.2), (2.3), and (2.4). Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $r > 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be a mapping satisfying (2.2) such that*

$$\|f(xy) - f(x)y - xf(y)\|_A \leq \theta(\|x\|_A^{2r} + \|y\|_A^{2r}) \tag{2.11}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a linear derivation.

*Proof.* By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.

It follows from (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(xy) - f(x)y - xf(y)\|_A &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| f\left(\frac{xy}{4^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\frac{y}{2^n} - \frac{x}{2^n}f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right\|_A \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n \theta}{4^{nr}} (\|x\|_A^{2r} + \|y\|_A^{2r}) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . So

$$f(xy) = f(x)y + xf(y) \tag{2.13}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Thus the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a linear derivation. □

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $r < 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be a mapping satisfying (2.2) and (2.11). Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a linear derivation.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 2.4. □

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $r > 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.2) such that*

$$\|f(xy) - f(x)f(y)\|_B \leq \theta \cdot \|x\|_A^r \cdot \|y\|_A^r, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\|f(x^*) - f(x)^*\|_B \leq \theta \cdot \|x\|_A^{r/2} \cdot \|x\|_A^{r/2} \tag{2.15}$$

for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$  and all  $x, y \in A$ . Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism.

*Proof.* By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.

It follows from (2.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(xy) - f(x)f(y)\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| f\left(\frac{xy}{2^n \cdot 2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n \theta}{4^{nr}} \cdot \|x\|_A^r \cdot \|y\|_A^r = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

## 6 Abstract and Applied Analysis

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Thus

$$f(xy) = f(x)f(y) \quad (2.17)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ .

It follows from (2.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x^*) - f(x)^*\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x^*}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)^* \right\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n \theta}{2^{nr}} \cdot \|x\|_A^{r/2} \cdot \|x\|_A^{r/2} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Thus

$$f(x^*) = f(x)^* \quad (2.19)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Hence the bijective mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.7.** *Let  $r < 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.2), (2.14), and (2.15). Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 2.6.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.8.** *Let  $r > 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be a mapping satisfying (2.2) such that*

$$\|f(xy) - f(x)y - xf(y)\|_A \leq \theta \cdot \|x\|_A^r \cdot \|y\|_A^r \quad (2.20)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a linear derivation.

*Proof.* By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.

It follows from (2.20) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(xy) - f(x)y - xf(y)\|_A &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| f\left(\frac{xy}{4^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\frac{y}{2^n} - \frac{x}{2^n}f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right\|_A \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n \theta}{4^{nr}} \cdot \|x\|_A^r \cdot \|y\|_A^r = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . So

$$f(xy) = f(x)y + xf(y) \quad (2.22)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Thus the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a linear derivation.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.9.** *Let  $r < 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be a mapping satisfying (2.2) and (2.20). Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a linear derivation.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 2.8.  $\square$

### 3. Isomorphisms and derivations in Lie $C^*$ -algebras

Throughout this section, assume that  $A$  is a Lie  $C^*$ -algebra with norm  $\| \cdot \|_A$ , and that  $B$  is a Lie  $C^*$ -algebra with norm  $\| \cdot \|_B$ .

*Definition 3.1* [6, 7, 13]. A bijective  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mapping  $H : A \rightarrow B$  is called a *Lie  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism* if  $H : A \rightarrow B$  satisfies

$$H([x, y]) = [H(x), H(y)] \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ .

*Definition 3.2* [6, 7, 13]. A  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mapping  $D : A \rightarrow A$  is called a *Lie derivation* if  $D : A \rightarrow A$  satisfies

$$D([x, y]) = [Dx, y] + [x, Dy] \tag{3.2}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ .

In this section, we investigate Lie  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphisms between Lie  $C^*$ -algebras and Lie derivations on Lie  $C^*$ -algebras associated with the Cauchy-Jensen functional equation.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $r > 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.2) such that*

$$\|f([x, y]) - [f(x), f(y)]\|_B \leq \theta(\|x\|_A^{2r} + \|y\|_A^{2r}) \tag{3.3}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a Lie  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism.

*Proof.* By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.

It follows from (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f([x, y]) - [f(x), f(y)]\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| f\left(\frac{[x, y]}{2^n \cdot 2^n}\right) - \left[ f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right] \right\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n \theta}{4^{nr}} (\|x\|_A^{2r} + \|y\|_A^{2r}) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Thus

$$f([x, y]) = [f(x), f(y)] \tag{3.5}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Hence the bijective mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a Lie  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism, as desired.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $r < 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.2) and (3.3). Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a Lie  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 3.3.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Let  $r > 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be a mapping satisfying (2.2) such that*

$$\|f([x, y]) - [f(x), y] - [x, f(y)]\|_A \leq \theta(\|x\|_A^{2r} + \|y\|_A^{2r}) \quad (3.6)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a Lie derivation.

*Proof.* By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.

It follows from (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f([x, y]) - [f(x), y] - [x, f(y)]\|_A \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| f\left(\frac{[x, y]}{4^n}\right) - \left[ f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), \frac{y}{2^n} \right] - \left[ \frac{x}{2^n}, f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right] \right\|_A \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n \theta}{4^{nr}} (\|x\|_A^{2r} + \|y\|_A^{2r}) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . So

$$f([x, y]) = [f(x), y] + [x, f(y)] \quad (3.8)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Thus the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a Lie derivation.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.6.** *Let  $r < 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be a mapping satisfying (2.2) and (3.6). Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a Lie derivation.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 3.5.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.7.** *Let  $r > 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.2) such that*

$$\|f([x, y]) - [f(x), f(y)]\|_B \leq \theta \cdot \|x\|_A^r \cdot \|y\|_A^r \quad (3.9)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a Lie  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism.

*Proof.* By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.

It follows from (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f([x, y]) - [f(x), f(y)]\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| f\left(\frac{[x, y]}{2^n \cdot 2^n}\right) - \left[ f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right] \right\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n \theta}{4^{nr}} \cdot \|x\|_A^r \cdot \|y\|_A^r = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Thus

$$f([x, y]) = [f(x), f(y)] \quad (3.11)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Hence the bijective mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a Lie  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism, as desired.  $\square$



**THEOREM 3.8.** *Let  $r < 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.2) and (3.9). Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a Lie  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 2.2, 2.6, and 3.7. □

**THEOREM 3.9.** *Let  $r > 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be a mapping satisfying (2.2) such that*

$$\|f([x, y]) - [f(x), y] - [x, f(y)]\|_A \leq \theta \cdot \|x\|_A^r \cdot \|y\|_A^r \tag{3.12}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a Lie derivation.

*Proof.* By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.

It follows from (3.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f([x, y]) - [f(x), y] - [x, f(y)]\|_A \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| f\left(\frac{[x, y]}{4^n}\right) - \left[ f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) - \left[ \frac{x}{2^n}, f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right] \right\|_A \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n \theta}{4^{nr}} \cdot \|x\|_A^r \cdot \|y\|_A^r = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . So

$$f([x, y]) = [f(x), y] + [x, f(y)] \tag{3.14}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Thus the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a Lie derivation. □

**THEOREM 3.10.** *Let  $r < 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be a mapping satisfying (2.2) and (3.12). Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a Lie derivation.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 2.2, 2.8, and 3.9. □

#### 4. Isomorphisms and derivations in $JC^*$ -algebras

Throughout this section, assume that  $A$  is a  $JC^*$ -algebra with norm  $\| \cdot \|_A$ , and that  $B$  is a  $JC^*$ -algebra with norm  $\| \cdot \|_B$ .

*Definition 4.1* [7, 13]. A bijective  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mapping  $H : A \rightarrow B$  is called a  $JC^*$ -algebra isomorphism if  $H : A \rightarrow B$  satisfies

$$H(x \circ y) = H(x) \circ H(y) \tag{4.1}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ .

*Definition 4.2* [7, 13]. A  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mapping  $D : A \rightarrow A$  is called a *Jordan derivation* if  $D : A \rightarrow A$  satisfies

$$D(x \circ y) = Dx \circ y + x \circ Dy \tag{4.2}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ .

In this section, we investigate  $JC^*$ -algebra isomorphisms between  $JC^*$ -algebras and Jordan derivations on  $JC^*$ -algebras associated with the Cauchy-Jensen functional equation.

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $r > 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.2) such that*

$$\|f(x \circ y) - f(x) \circ f(y)\|_B \leq \theta(\|x\|_A^{2r} + \|y\|_A^{2r}) \quad (4.3)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a  $JC^*$ -algebra isomorphism.

*Proof.* By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.

It follows from (4.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x \circ y) - f(x) \circ f(y)\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x \circ y}{2^n \cdot 2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \circ f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n \theta}{4^{nr}} (\|x\|_A^{2r} + \|y\|_A^{2r}) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Thus

$$f(x \circ y) = f(x) \circ f(y) \quad (4.5)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Hence the bijective mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a  $JC^*$ -algebra isomorphism, as desired.  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $r < 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.2) and (4.3). Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a  $JC^*$ -algebra isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 4.3.  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.5.** *Let  $r > 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be a mapping satisfying (2.2) such that*

$$\|f(x \circ y) - f(x) \circ y - x \circ f(y)\|_A \leq \theta(\|x\|_A^{2r} + \|y\|_A^{2r}) \quad (4.6)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a Jordan derivation.

*Proof.* By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.

It follows from (4.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x \circ y) - f(x) \circ y - x \circ f(y)\|_A &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x \circ y}{4^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \circ \frac{y}{2^n} - \frac{x}{2^n} \circ f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right\|_A \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n \theta}{4^{nr}} (\|x\|_A^{2r} + \|y\|_A^{2r}) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . So

$$f(x \circ y) = f(x) \circ y + x \circ f(y) \tag{4.8}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Thus the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a Jordan derivation. □

**THEOREM 4.6.** *Let  $r < 1$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be a mapping satisfying (2.2) and (4.6). Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a Jordan derivation.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 4.5. □

**THEOREM 4.7.** *Let  $r > 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.2) such that*

$$\|f(x \circ y) - f(x) \circ f(y)\|_B \leq \theta \cdot \|x\|_A^r \cdot \|y\|_A^r \tag{4.9}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a  $JC^*$ -algebra isomorphism.

*Proof.* By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.

It follows from (4.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x \circ y) - f(x) \circ f(y)\|_B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x \circ y}{2^n \cdot 2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \circ f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n \theta}{4^{nr}} \cdot \|x\|_A^r \cdot \|y\|_A^r = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Thus

$$f(x \circ y) = f(x) \circ f(y) \tag{4.11}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Hence the bijective mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a  $JC^*$ -algebra isomorphism, as desired. □

**THEOREM 4.8.** *Let  $r < 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.2) and (4.9). Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a  $JC^*$ -algebra isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 2.2, 2.6, and 4.7. □

**THEOREM 4.9.** *Let  $r > 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be a mapping satisfying (2.2) such that*

$$\|f(x \circ y) - f(x) \circ y - x \circ f(y)\|_A \leq \theta \cdot \|x\|_A^r \cdot \|y\|_A^r \tag{4.12}$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a Jordan derivation.

*Proof.* By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.

It follows from (4.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x \circ y) - f(x) \circ y - x \circ f(y)\|_A &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x \circ y}{4^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \circ \frac{y}{2^n} - \frac{x}{2^n} \circ f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right\|_A \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n \theta}{4^{nr}} \cdot \|x\|_A^r \cdot \|y\|_A^2 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . So

$$f(x \circ y) = f(x) \circ y + x \circ f(y) \quad (4.14)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Thus the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a Jordan derivation.  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.10.** *Let  $r < 1$  and  $\theta$  be positive real numbers, and let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be a mapping satisfying (2.2) and (4.12). Then the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a Jordan derivation.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 2.2, 2.8, and 4.9.  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

The first author was supported by Grant no. F01-2006-000-10111-0 from the Korea Science & Engineering Foundation, and the third author was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (no.10501029), Tsinghua Basic Research Foundation (JCpy2005056), and the Specialized Research Fund for Doctoral Program of Higher Education

## References

- [1] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, no. 8, Interscience, New York, NY, USA, 1960.
- [2] D. H. Hyers, "On the stability of the linear functional equation," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 222–224, 1941.
- [3] Th. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 297–300, 1978.
- [4] P. Găvruta, "A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 184, no. 3, pp. 431–436, 1994.
- [5] C. Baak, "Cauchy-Rassias stability of Cauchy-Jensen additive mappings in Banach spaces," *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 1789–1796, 2006.
- [6] C.-G. Park, "Lie  $*$ -homomorphisms between Lie  $C^*$ -algebras and Lie  $*$ -derivations on Lie  $C^*$ -algebras," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 293, no. 2, pp. 419–434, 2004.
- [7] C.-G. Park, "Homomorphisms between Lie  $JC^*$ -algebras and Cauchy-Rassias stability of Lie  $JC^*$ -algebra derivations," *Journal of Lie Theory*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 393–414, 2005.
- [8] C.-G. Park, "Homomorphisms between Poisson  $JC^*$ -algebras," *Bulletin of the Brazilian Mathematical Society*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 79–97, 2005.
- [9] C. Park, "Stability of an Euler-Lagrange-Rassias type additive mapping," *International Journal of Applied Mathematics & Statistics*, vol. 7, no. Fe07, pp. 101–111, 2007.
- [10] C. Park, "Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras," to appear in *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*.

- [11] C. Park, Y. S. Cho, and M.-H. Han, “Functional inequalities associated with Jordan-von Neumann-type additive functional equations,” *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2007, Article ID 41820, 13 pages, 2007.
- [12] C. Park and J. Cui, “Generalized stability of  $C^*$ -ternary quadratic mappings,” *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2007, Article ID 23282, 6 pages, 2007.
- [13] C. G. Park, J. C. Hou, and S. Q. Oh, “Homomorphisms between  $JC^*$ -algebras and Lie  $C^*$ -algebras,” *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 1391–1398, 2005.
- [14] C. Park and A. Najati, “Homomorphisms and derivations in  $C^*$ -algebras,” *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2007, Article ID 80630, 12 pages, 2007.
- [15] J. M. Rassias, “On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings,” *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 126–130, 1982.
- [16] P. Găvruta, “An answer to a question of John M. Rassias concerning the stability of Cauchy equation,” in *Advances in Equations and Inequalities*, Hadronic Math. Ser., pp. 67–71, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, Fla, USA, 1999.
- [17] M. A. Sibaha, B. Bouikhalene, and E. Elqorachi, “Ulam-Găvruta-Rassias stability for a linear functional equation,” *International Journal of Applied Mathematics & Statistics*, vol. 7, no. Fe07, pp. 157–168, 2007.
- [18] K. Ravi and M. Arunkumar, “On the Ulam-Găvruta-Rassias stability of the orthogonally Euler-Lagrange type functional equation,” *International Journal of Applied Mathematics & Statistics*, vol. 7, no. Fe07, pp. 143–156, 2007.
- [19] J. M. Rassias, “On the stability of the Euler-Lagrange functional equation,” *Chinese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 185–190, 1992.
- [20] J. M. Rassias, “On the stability of the non-linear Euler-Lagrange functional equation in real normed linear spaces,” *Journal of Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 231–235, 1994.
- [21] J. M. Rassias, “On the stability of the general Euler-Lagrange functional equation,” *Demonstratio Mathematica*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 755–766, 1996.
- [22] J. M. Rassias, “Solution of the Ulam stability problem for Euler-Lagrange quadratic mappings,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 220, no. 2, pp. 613–639, 1998.
- [23] J. M. Rassias, “On the stability of the multi-dimensional Euler-Lagrange functional equation,” *The Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society*, vol. 66, no. 1–4, pp. 1–9, 1999.
- [24] J. M. Rassias, “Asymptotic behavior of mixed type functional equations,” *The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 1, no. 1, article 10, pp. 1–21, 2004.
- [25] M. J. Rassias and J. M. Rassias, “On the Ulam stability for Euler-Lagrange type quadratic functional equations,” *The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 2, no. 1, article 11, pp. 1–10, 2005.
- [26] J. M. Rassias, “On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings,” *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, vol. 108, no. 4, pp. 445–446, 1984.
- [27] J. M. Rassias, “Solution of a problem of Ulam,” *Journal of Approximation Theory*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 268–273, 1989.
- [28] J. M. Rassias, “Solution of a stability problem of Ulam,” *Discussiones Mathematicae*, vol. 12, pp. 95–103, 1992.
- [29] J. M. Rassias, “Complete solution of the multi-dimensional problem of Ulam,” *Discussiones Mathematicae*, vol. 14, pp. 101–107, 1994.
- [30] J. M. Rassias, “Alternative contraction principle and Ulam stability problem,” *Mathematical Sciences Research Journal*, vol. 9, no. 7, pp. 190–199, 2005.
- [31] J. M. Rassias, “Solution of the Hyers-Ulam stability problem for quadratic type functional equations in several variables,” *The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 2, no. 2, article 11, pp. 1–9, 2005.

- [32] J. M. Rassias, "On the general quadratic functional equation," *Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 259–268, 2005.
- [33] J. M. Rassias, "On the Ulam problem for Euler quadratic mappings," *Novi Sad Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 57–66, 2005.
- [34] J. M. Rassias, "On the Cauchy-Ulam stability of the Jensen equation in  $C^*$ -algebras," *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 92–101, 2005.
- [35] J. M. Rassias, "Alternative contraction principle and alternative Jensen and Jensen type mappings," *International Journal of Applied Mathematics & Statistics*, vol. 4, no. M06, pp. 1–10, 2006.
- [36] J. M. Rassias, "Refined Hyers-Ulam approximation of approximately Jensen type mappings," *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, vol. 131, no. 1, pp. 89–98, 2007.
- [37] J. M. Rassias and M. J. Rassias, "On some approximately quadratic mappings being exactly quadratic," *The Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society*, vol. 69, no. 1–4, pp. 155–160, 2002.
- [38] J. M. Rassias and M. J. Rassias, "Asymptotic behavior of Jensen and Jensen type functional equations," *Pan-American Mathematical Journal*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 21–35, 2005.
- [39] J. M. Rassias and M. J. Rassias, "Asymptotic behavior of alternative Jensen and Jensen type functional equations," *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, vol. 129, no. 7, pp. 545–558, 2005.
- [40] Th. M. Rassias, "The problem of S. M. Ulam for approximately multiplicative mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 246, no. 2, pp. 352–378, 2000.
- [41] Th. M. Rassias, "On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 251, no. 1, pp. 264–284, 2000.
- [42] Th. M. Rassias, "On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam," *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 23–130, 2000.
- [43] Th. M. Rassias, Ed., *Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2003.
- [44] F. Skof, "Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori," *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico di Milano*, vol. 53, pp. 113–129, 1983.
- [45] A. Gilányi, "Eine zur Parallelogrammgleichung äquivalente Ungleichung," *Aequationes Mathematicae*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 303–309, 2001.
- [46] J. Rätz, "On inequalities associated with the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation," *Aequationes Mathematicae*, vol. 66, no. 1–2, pp. 191–200, 2003.
- [47] W. Fechner, "Stability of a functional inequality associated with the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation," *Aequationes Mathematicae*, vol. 71, no. 1–2, pp. 149–161, 2006.
- [48] A. Gilányi, "On a problem by K. Nikodem," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 707–710, 2002.

Choonkil Park: Department of Mathematics, Hanyang University, Seoul 133–791, South Korea  
 Email address: baak@hanyang.ac.kr

Jong Su An: Department of Mathematics Education, Pusan National University,  
 Pusan 609–735, South Korea  
 Email addresses: jsan63@pusan.ac.kr; jsan63@hanmail.net

Jianlian Cui: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China  
 Email address: jcui@math.tsinghua.edu.cn