

On Nordlander’s conjecture in the three-dimensional case

George Z. Chelidze

Abstract. In the present paper we prove, that in the real normed space X , having at least three dimensions, the Nordlander’s conjecture about the modulus of convexity of the space X is true, i.e. from the validity of Day’s inequality for a fixed real number from the interval $(0, 2)$, follows that X is an inner product space.

1. Introduction and notation

Let X be a real normed space and let $S = \{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$. The modulus of convexity of X is the function $\delta_X : [0, 2] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, defined by

$$\delta_X(a) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \|x + y\| : x, y \in S \text{ and } \|x - y\| = a \right\}.$$

It follows from the Jordan–von Neumann parallelogram law, that if H is an inner product space then $\delta_H(a) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4 - a^2}$. Nordlander discovered [5], that for an arbitrary normed space X the following inequality is true

$$\delta_X(a) \leq \delta_H(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in (0, 2).$$

This inequality is called Nordlander’s inequality and shows that inner product spaces are “the most uniformly convex” spaces in the class of normed spaces [7].

In Day [3, Theorem 4.1] it is proved that if

$$\delta_X(a) = \delta_H(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in (0, 2),$$

then X is an inner product space. Nordlander conjectured [5], that the same conclusion should hold if the above equality takes place for some fixed $a \in (0, 2)$. Alonso and Benitez [1], making use of real two-dimensional arguments, proved the validity of Nordlander’s conjecture for $a \in (0, 2) \setminus D$, where $D = \{2 \cos(k\pi/2n) : k = 1, \dots, n-1; n = 2, 3, \dots\}$, and they gave counterexamples for $\dim X = 2$ and $a \in D$. However, accord-

ing to [2, p. 154], the validity of Nordlander's conjecture for any $a \in (0, 2)$ is still open in the case $\dim X \geq 3$ and in the present paper we give an affirmative answer to it.

An immediate consequence of the parallelogram law is that X is an inner product space if and only if all of its two-dimensional (three-dimensional) subspaces are inner product spaces. Therefore we can divide this paper into two parts. The first one focuses on the results that can be obtained with two-dimensional arguments and the second one (the essential part of the paper) with results that need three-dimensional arguments.

2. Two-dimensional arguments

Let $\dim X = 2$, i.e. X is the space \mathbb{R}^2 endowed with a norm with unit sphere S .

Lemma. (Nordlander) *For any $a \in (0, 2)$, the area bounded by the curve $S_a = \{x + y : x, y \in S \text{ and } \|x - y\| = a\}$ is $(4 - a^2)$ times the area bounded by S .*

Then if $\delta_X(a) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4 - a^2}$, for some $a \in (0, 2)$, we get the following consequences.

Corollary 1. *For $x, y \in S$, $\|x - y\| = a$ is equivalent to $\|x + y\| = \sqrt{4 - a^2}$.*

Corollary 2. *For any $z \in S$ there exists a unique set $\{x, y\}$ of norm-one elements, such that $x + y = \sqrt{4 - a^2}z$ and $\|x - y\| = a$.*

Proof. On the one hand, it is obvious that for any $0 < t < 1$ there exist $x_t, y_t \in S$, such that $x_t + y_t = tz$, and it follows from the above Corollary 1 that if $t = \sqrt{4 - a^2}$, then $\|x_t - y_t\| = a$.

On the other hand, a simple drawing of $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \in S$, such that $x_1 + y_1 = x_2 + y_2$ and $\|x_1 - y_1\| = \|x_2 - y_2\|$ shows that $\{x_1, y_1\} = \{x_2, y_2\}$. \square

Corollary 3. *If $u, v \in X$ are such that $\|u + v\| = \sqrt{4 - a^2}$ and $\|u - v\| = a$, then $(\|u\| - 1)(\|v\| - 1) \leq 0$.*

Proof. Indeed, by Corollary 2 for all $z \in S$, there exists one pair of vectors u and v from S , such that $u + v = \sqrt{4 - a^2}z$ and $\|u - v\| = a$. Now consider the line $l = \{tu + (1 - t)v : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. It is clear, that this line intersects the curve $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4 - a^2}z + \frac{1}{2}aS$ only in the points u and v , and divides it into two parts: the first part consists of points having norm less than 1, while the second consists of points having norm greater than 1. If $\{u_1, v_1\}$ is different from $\{u, v\}$ and $u_1 + v_1 = \sqrt{4 - a^2}z$, then u_1 and v_1 are located on different sides of the line l and, by the condition $\|u_1 - v_1\| = a$, they belong to the curve $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4 - a^2}z + \frac{1}{2}aS$. So, both of them cannot have norm greater (smaller) than one simultaneously. \square

3. Three-dimensional arguments. Main result

Theorem. *Let X be a real normed space with $\dim X \geq 3$ and let a be a fixed number from the interval $(0, 2)$. Then X is an inner product space if and only if $\delta_X(a) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4-a^2}$.*

We know that if X is an inner product space then $\delta_X(a) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4-a^2}$. To prove the converse we shall use three lemmas in which we suppose:

1. a is a fixed point of the interval $(0, 2)$ and $a' = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4-a^2}$.
2. $\delta_X(a) \geq 1 - a'$.
3. X is the space \mathbb{R}^3 endowed with a norm whose unit sphere and ball are S and B , respectively.
4. $\zeta \in S$ is such that there is a homogeneous plane P_ζ such that $\zeta + P_\zeta$ is tangent to S at ζ . Since B is a convex body this is true for almost every $\zeta \in S$. It is not restrictive to assume that $\zeta = (1, 0, 0)$ and $P_\zeta = \{(r, s, 0) : r, s \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

5. $\Gamma = S \cap (a'\zeta + \frac{1}{2}aS)$. By Corollary 2, in every section of S by a homogeneous plane containing ζ there is only a pair of points of Γ . Hence, Γ is a simple, closed, continuous curve which is symmetric with respect to the point $a'\zeta$.

Lemma 1. *There exists a parametrization $(u(\alpha), v(\alpha), w(\alpha))$ of the curve Γ , such that $u(\alpha), v(\alpha)$, and $w(\alpha)$ are absolutely continuous functions.*

Proof. We prove firstly that the orthogonal projection Γ_1 of Γ over P_ζ , which coincides with the orthogonal projection of $\Gamma' = -\Gamma$ over P_ζ , is a convex curve, i.e. that for every $x_1, y_1 \in \Gamma_1$ and $0 < t < 1$, $tx_1 + (1-t)y_1$ is not outside of Γ_1 .

Let $x, y \in \Gamma$ and $x', y' \in \Gamma'$ be such that $x_1 = \text{Pr } x = \text{Pr } x'$ and $y_1 = \text{Pr } y = \text{Pr } y'$.

On the one hand it is obvious that

$$tx_1 + (1-t)y_1 = \text{Pr}[tx + (1-t)y] = \text{Pr}[tx' + (1-t)y'],$$

and, on the other hand, there exist $z \in \Gamma$, $z' \in \Gamma'$, and $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\rho[tx_1 + (1-t)y_1] = \text{Pr } z = \text{Pr } z'.$$

Then, it suffices to see that $\rho \geq 1$. Indeed, if $\rho < 1$ the line

$$l = \rho[tx_1 + (1-t)y_1] + \{r\zeta : r \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

intersects the parallel segments $[tx + (1-t)y, a'\zeta]$ and $[tx' + (1-t)y', -a'\zeta]$ at interior points \bar{z} and \bar{z}' of B and since $z, z' \in l \cap S$ we have the contradiction $2a' = \|z - z'\| > \|\bar{z} - \bar{z}'\| = 2a'$.

Consider now the stereographic projection Pr_s from $\xi = (0, 0, 2a'/(2-a))$ on the plane P_ζ and prove that $\Gamma_2 = \text{Pr}_s \Gamma$ is also a convex curve. (For any point

$\eta \neq \xi$, $\text{Pr}_s \eta = \{t\eta + (1-t)\xi : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \cap P_\zeta$. By Corollary 1 we have $\Gamma_2 = \text{Pr}_s \Gamma''$, where $\Gamma'' = (2/a)(\Gamma - a'\zeta)$. As above, we need to show that for every $x_2, y_2 \in \Gamma_2$ and $0 < t < 1$, $tx_2 + (1-t)y_2$ is not outside of Γ_2 .

Let $x, y \in \Gamma$ and $x'', y'' \in \Gamma''$ be such that $x_2 = \text{Pr}_s x = \text{Pr}_s x''$ and $y_2 = \text{Pr}_s y = \text{Pr}_s y''$.

Also, it is obvious that

$$tx_2 + (1-t)y_2 = \text{Pr}_s[tx + (1-t)y] = \text{Pr}_s[tx'' + (1-t)y''],$$

and, there exist $z \in \Gamma$, $z'' \in \Gamma''$, and $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\rho[tx_2 + (1-t)y_2] = \text{Pr}_s z = \text{Pr}_s z''.$$

It suffices to show that $\rho \geq 1$. Indeed, if $\rho < 1$, the line

$$h = \{r\rho[tx_2 + (1-t)y_2] + (1-r)\xi : r \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

intersects the parallel segments $[tx + (1-t)y, a'\zeta]$ and $[tx'' + (1-t)y'', 0]$ at interior points \bar{z} and \bar{z}'' of B and since $z, z'' \in h \cap S$, we have $z - a'\zeta = \lambda_1 \bar{z}'' + \mu_1 \zeta$ and $z'' = \lambda_2 \bar{z}'' - \mu_2 \zeta$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1$ and μ_2 are positive numbers. Hence, these vectors cannot be collinear. So we get that Γ_2 is convex.

Let $(u_1(\alpha), v_1(\alpha))$ and $(u_2(\alpha), v_2(\alpha))$ be the parametric expressions of Γ_1 and Γ_2 , parametrized by the angle α between the radius vectors of the curves and any axis which passes through the point 0. Since Γ_1 and Γ_2 are convex curves, the functions u_1, v_1, u_2 and v_2 are absolutely continuous on the segment $[0, 2\pi]$. Denoting the parametric expression of Γ by $(u(\alpha), v(\alpha), w(\alpha))$, we get $u(\alpha) = u_1(\alpha)$, $v(\alpha) = v_1(\alpha)$ and $(2-a)w(\alpha)/2a' = (u_2(\alpha) - u_1(\alpha))/u_2(\alpha) = (v_2(\alpha) - v_1(\alpha))/v_2(\alpha)$. From this it follows, that $w(\alpha)$ is also absolutely continuous on $[0, 2\pi]$. The proof of Lemma 1 is complete. \square

Lemma 2. *If there exists a tangent vector $T_x = (u'(\alpha_x), v'(\alpha_x), w'(\alpha_x))$ to Γ at a point $x \in \Gamma$, then $T_x \in P_\zeta$, i.e. $w'(\alpha_x) = 0$.*

Proof. If the vector T_x is not in P_ζ then it is not tangent, at $a'\zeta$, to the convex curve $\bar{\Gamma} = a'S \cap \text{span}\{\zeta, T_x\}$ and, therefore, there exists $h \in \bar{\Gamma}$ such that

$$h - a'\zeta = \lambda T_x + \mu \zeta, \quad \text{with } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } \mu > 0.$$

We shall prove that, hence, $\|x - a'\zeta + h\| > 1$ and $\|x - a'\zeta - h\| > 1$, which contradicts Corollary 3.

On the one hand, since T_x is tangent to Γ at x ,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \left\| x + \frac{a'\lambda}{a'+\mu} T_x \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{a'}{a'+\mu} (x - a'\zeta + h) \right\| + \left\| \frac{\mu}{a'+\mu} (x - a'\zeta) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{a'}{a'+\mu} (x - a'\zeta + h) \right\| + \frac{a\mu}{2(a'+\mu)}, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\|x - a'\zeta + h\| \geq 1 + \frac{(2-a)\mu}{a'} > 1.$$

On the other hand, since Γ is symmetric with respect to $a'\zeta$, the vector T_x is also tangent to it at the point $y = 2a'\zeta - x$ and, as above, we obtain $\|x - a'\zeta - h\| = \|y - a'\zeta + h\| > 1$.

Then, $w'(\alpha) = 0$ for almost all values of α and, since $w(\alpha)$ is absolutely continuous, it is constant (by Lebesgue’s theorem). So, Γ is a plane curve parallel to P_ζ . \square

Lemma 3. *If $x, y \in S$ are so that either $x + y = 2a'\zeta$ or $x + y = a\zeta$, then $x - y \in P_\zeta$.*

Proof. It suffices to consider that Γ is a plane curve parallel to P_ζ . \square

Proof of the theorem. Suppose that $\zeta + P_\zeta$ and $\zeta' + P_{\zeta'}$ are tangent planes to S at ζ and ζ' , respectively, and that $\zeta \in S$ is Birkhoff–James orthogonal to $\zeta' \in S$, (i.e. $\|\zeta\| \leq \|\zeta + t\zeta'\|$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which is equivalent to $\zeta' \in P_\zeta$).

By Lemma 3 we have that $\zeta \in P_{\zeta'}$, i.e. that $\zeta' \perp \zeta$. Then Birkhoff–James-orthogonality is symmetric and, since $\dim X \geq 3$, X is an inner product space [4]. The proof of the theorem is complete. \square

Corollary. *Let $a \in (0, 2)$. A real normed space of dimension ≥ 3 is an inner product space if and only if the set $C_a = \{\|x + y\| : \|x\| = \|y\| = 1 \text{ and } \|x - y\| = a\}$ is a singleton.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Corollary 1 and the above theorem. \square

Remark. A well-known result of Senechale [6] says that a real normed space X is an inner product space if and only if there exists a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|x + y\| = f(\|x - y\|)$ for any $x, y \in S$. An immediate consequence of the corollary is that for $\dim X \geq 3$, it suffices to consider, in Senechale’s result, only such points x and y on the unit sphere for which $\|x - y\| = a$.

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to express his deep gratitude to the referee for valuable remarks. The research was supported by the grants GNSF/ST06/3-009 and GNSF/835/07.

References

1. ALONSO, J. and BENÍTEZ, C., Some characteristic and noncharacteristic properties of inner product spaces, *J. Approx. Theory* **55** (1988), 318–325.
2. AMIR, D., *Characterizations of Inner Product Spaces*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications **20**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1986.
3. DAY, M. M., Uniform convexity in factor and conjugate spaces, *Ann. of Math.* **45** (1944), 375–385.
4. DAY, M. M., Some characterizations of inner-product spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **62** (1947), 320–337.
5. NORDLANDER, G., The modulus of convexity in normed linear spaces, *Ark. Mat.* **4** (1960), 15–17.
6. SENECHALLE, D. A., A characterization of inner product spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **19** (1968), 1306–1312.
7. ŞERB, I., Rectangular modulus, Birkhoff orthogonality and characterizations of inner product spaces, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **40** (1999), 107–119.

George Z. Chelidze
N. Muskhelishvili Institute of Computational Mathematics
Akuri St.8
Tbilisi 0193
Georgia
g_chelidze@hotmail.com

Received May 8, 2007
accepted February 20, 2008
published online September 3, 2008