

# On elliptic systems in $\mathbf{R}^n$

by

ROBERT B. LOCKHART<sup>(1)</sup> and ROBERT C. McOWEN

*University of Notre Dame,  
Notre Dame, IN, U.S.A.*

*Northeastern University,  
Boston, MA, U.S.A.*

## 1. Statement of results

This paper studies elliptic  $k \times k$  systems of partial differential operators in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  which may be written in the form

$$A = A_\infty + Q \quad (1.1)$$

where  $A_\infty$  is an elliptic system of constant coefficient operators and  $Q$  is a variable coefficient perturbation with certain decay properties at  $|x| = \infty$ .

For the case  $k=1$  such operators were studied in [6], [7] and [8] under the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &A_\infty \text{ is an elliptic constant coefficient} \\ &\text{operator which is homogeneous of degree } m \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

and the coefficients of

$$Q = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} q_\alpha(x) \partial^\alpha$$

satisfy  $q_\alpha \in C^l(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and

$$\overline{\lim}_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \left| \langle x \rangle^{m-|\alpha|+|\beta|} \partial^\beta q_\alpha(x) \right| = C_{\alpha,\beta} < \infty \quad (1.3)$$

for all  $|\beta| \leq l \in \mathbf{N}$ . (Here and throughout this paper we let  $\mathbf{Z}$  denote the integers,  $\mathbf{N}$  denote the nonnegative integers,  $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$ ,  $p' = p/(p-1)$ , and use standard conventions for multi-indices  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbf{N}^n$  and  $\partial^\alpha = (\partial/\partial x_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (\partial/\partial x_n)^{\alpha_n}$ .)

---

<sup>(1)</sup> Research partially supported by NSF Grant MCS-80-02995

Such operators are bounded on certain weighted Sobolev space defined as follows: for  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $l \in \mathbf{N}$ , and  $\delta \in \mathbf{R}$  let  $W_{l,\delta}^p$  denote the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$  in the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_{l,\delta}^p} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} \|\langle x \rangle^{\delta+|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha u\|_{L^p}.$$

(We should mention that these spaces were denoted  $M_{l,\delta}^p$  in [2], [3], [7], and [8], and  $H_{l,\delta}^p$  in [4] and [6].) Clearly (1.2) and (1.3) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} A_\infty: W_{l+m,\delta}^p &\rightarrow W_{l,\delta+m}^p & (\dagger)_\infty \\ A: W_{l+m,\delta}^p &\rightarrow W_{l,\delta+m}^p & (\dagger) \end{aligned}$$

are bounded operators. In fact, if we let  $\text{Poly}(\delta)$  denote the space of polynomials in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of degree  $\leq \delta$  and  $d_p(\delta)$  its dimension (note that  $\text{Poly}(\delta) = \{0\}$  if  $\delta < 0$ ) then the following theorems were proved in [6] and [8]:

**THEOREM 1.** *If (1.2) holds then  $(\dagger)_\infty$  is Fredholm if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta - \frac{n}{p} \notin \mathbf{N} &\text{ if } \delta \leq -\frac{n}{p} & (1.4) \\ \delta + m - \frac{n}{p'} \notin \mathbf{N} &\text{ if } \delta > -\frac{n}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

*Furthermore, the nullspace and cokernel of  $(\dagger)_\infty$  consist of polynomials, and are of dimension*

$$d_p\left(-\delta - \frac{n}{p}\right) - d_p\left(-\delta - m - \frac{n}{p}\right) \tag{1.5}$$

$$d_p\left(\delta + m - \frac{n}{p'}\right) - d_p\left(\delta - \frac{n}{p'}\right) \tag{1.6}$$

*respectively.*

**THEOREM 2.** *If (1.2) and (1.3) hold with  $C_{\alpha\beta} = 0$  for all  $|\alpha| \leq m$  and  $|\beta| \leq l$ , then  $(\dagger)$  is Fredholm if and only if (1.4) holds, and the Fredholm index of  $(\dagger)$  agrees with that of  $(\dagger)_\infty$ .*

We should note that the formulae (1.5) and (1.6) do not appear explicitly in [6] or [8] but follow from an easy analysis similar to that of Section 3 of this paper. We also note that in both [6] and [8] it was assumed that  $q_\alpha \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}_n)$  when  $|\alpha| = m$ , but this may be weakened by perturbation theory as in the proof of Theorem 4 below. For  $|\alpha| < m$  the

hypothesis  $q_\alpha \in C^l$  may be weakened slightly to assume only bounded derivatives of order  $l$  satisfying (1.3), but we retain the above formulation for convenience. (More general coefficients are used in [4], but only for the special case  $p=2$ ,  $m < n$ , and  $-n/p < \delta < -m + n/p'$ .)

Now suppose that (1.1) is a system  $A = (A_{ij})$  so  $Au$  has components

$$(Au)_i = \sum_{j=1}^k A_{ij} u_j.$$

We shall use the generalized notion of ellipticity provided by Douglis & Nirenberg [5]:

*Definition 1.* Two  $k$ -tuples,  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$  and  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$  of nonnegative integers form a *system of orders* for  $A$  if for each  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$  we have  $\text{order } (A_{ij}) \leq t_j - s_i$ . (If  $t_j - s_i < 0$  then  $A_{ij} = 0$ .) The  $(t, s)$ -*principal part* of  $A$  is obtained by replacing each  $A_{ij}$  by its terms which are exactly of order  $t_j - s_i$ , and the  $(t, s)$ -*principal symbol* of  $A$  is obtained by replacing each  $\partial$  in the  $(t, s)$ -principal part by the vector  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ . We say  $A$  is *elliptic with respect to*  $(t, s)$  if the  $(t, s)$ -principal symbol of  $A$  has determinant bounded away from zero for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ .

We now must replace (1.2) with the condition

$$\begin{aligned} A_\infty \text{ is elliptic with respect to } (t, s) \text{ and each operator} \\ (A_\infty)_{ij} \text{ is either zero or constant coefficient} \\ \text{and homogeneous of degree } t_j - s_i. \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

Similarly we must replace (1.3) with  $b_\alpha^{ij} \in C^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$\overline{\lim}_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |\langle x \rangle^{t_j - s_i - |\alpha| + |\beta|} \partial^\beta q_\alpha^{ij}(x)| = C_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} < \infty \tag{1.8}$$

for all  $|\beta| \leq s_i$  where

$$Q_{ij} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq t_j - s_i} q_\alpha^{ij}(x) \partial^\alpha.$$

With these conditions we then have

$$\begin{aligned} A_\infty: W_{t, \delta-t}^p &\rightarrow W_{s, \delta-s}^p & (\dagger\dagger)_\infty \\ A: W_{t, \delta-t}^p &\rightarrow W_{s, \delta-s}^p & (\dagger\dagger) \end{aligned}$$

are bounded operators where we have defined

$$W_{t, \delta-t}^p = \prod_{j=1}^k W_{t_j, \delta-t_j}^p$$

and  $W_{s, \delta-s}^p$  similarly. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following generalizations of Theorems 1 and 2:

**THEOREM 3.** *If (1.7) holds then  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$  is Fredholm if and only if  $\delta$  satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta + t_j - \frac{n}{p} \notin \mathbf{N} & \quad \text{if } \delta - t_j \leq -\frac{n}{p} \\ \delta - s_j - \frac{n}{p'} \notin \mathbf{N} & \quad \text{if } \delta - t_j > -\frac{n}{p} \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

for every  $j=1, \dots, k$ . In fact,  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$  is injective if  $\delta - t_j > -n/p$  for all  $j$ , and has dense range if  $\delta - s_j < n/p'$  for all  $j$ . In general, the nullspace and cokernel of  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$  consist of polynomials and are of dimension

$$\sum_{j=1}^k d_p\left(-\delta + t_j - \frac{n}{p}\right) - d_p\left(-\delta + s_j - \frac{n}{p}\right) \quad (1.10)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^k d_p\left(\delta - s_j - \frac{n}{p'}\right) - d_p\left(\delta - t_j - \frac{n}{p'}\right) \quad (1.11)$$

respectively.

**THEOREM 4.** *If (1.7) and (1.8) hold with  $C_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = 0$  for all  $|\alpha| \leq t_j - s_i$ ,  $|\beta| \leq s_i$ , and  $i, j=1, \dots, k$ , then  $(\dagger\dagger)$  is Fredholm if and only if (1.9) holds, and the Fredholm index of  $(\dagger\dagger)$  then agrees with that of  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$ .*

As an immediate corollary we obtain the following generalization of the results in [9] on the nullspaces of systems which are "classically elliptic" ( $t_j \equiv l+m$ ,  $s_i \equiv l$ ).

**COROLLARY 5.** *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4, the nullspace of*

$$A: H_t^p \rightarrow H_s^p$$

is finite dimensional, where  $H_t^p = \prod_{j=1}^k H_{t_j}^p$ ,  $H_{t_j}^p$  denoting the classical  $L^p$ -Sobolev space of order  $t_j$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

## 2. Lemmas on convolution operators

We consider functions  $E_m(x)$  of the form

$$\begin{aligned} E_0(x) &= \Omega(x)|x|^{-n} \\ E_m(x) &= \Gamma_0(x) + \Gamma_1(x) \log |x|, \quad m \geq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\Omega$ ,  $\Gamma_0$ , and  $\Gamma_1$  are all in  $C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ ;  $\Omega$  is homogeneous of degree 0 and has mean value 0 on the unit sphere;  $\Gamma_0$  is homogeneous of degree  $m-n$ ; and  $\Gamma_1$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $m-n$  if  $n$  is even and  $m-n \geq 0$ , otherwise  $\Gamma_1=0$ . Let  $T$  be the convolution operator defined by

$$Tu = E_m * u$$

The following lemma is a special case of Theorem 2.11 in [6]. (We should note here that there is a gap in the proof of that theorem; namely, it does not include the case  $\beta > -n/p$  and  $\beta + m - n/p \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \mathbf{N}$ . However, this gap can be filled with an easy application of standard interpolation theorems, and so the theorem is true as stated.)

**LEMMA 2.1.** *If  $l \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\delta \in \mathbf{R}$  satisfies  $m - n/p < \delta < n/p'$ , then*

$$T: W_{l, \delta}^p \rightarrow W_{l+m, \delta-m}^p$$

*is bounded.*

We shall also require the following generalization.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *For  $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^n$ ,  $l \in \mathbf{N}$ , and  $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}$  let  $r = m - |\alpha|$  and suppose (i)  $|\alpha| > 0$ , (ii)  $l+r \geq 0$ , and (iii)  $r - n/p < \gamma < n/p'$ . Then*

$$\partial^\alpha T: W_{l, \gamma}^p \rightarrow W_{l+r, \gamma-r}^p$$

*is bounded.*

*Proof.* If  $r \geq 0$  then  $\partial^\alpha Tu = E'_r * u$  where  $E'_r = \partial^\alpha E_m$  is of the form (2.1), so Lemma 2.1 may be applied. If  $r < 0$  write  $\partial^\alpha T = \partial^{\tau_1} \partial^\beta T \partial^{\tau_2}$  where  $\tau_i \in \mathbf{N}^n$  satisfy  $|\tau_1| + |\tau_2| = -r$  and  $-n/p < \gamma + |\tau_2| < n/p'$ . Then  $|\beta| = m$  and by the  $r=0$  case,  $\partial^\beta T: W_{l-|\tau_2|, \gamma+|\tau_2|}^p \rightarrow W_{l-|\tau_2|, \gamma+|\tau_2|}^p$  is bounded, so obviously  $\partial^{\tau_1} \partial^\beta T \partial^{\tau_2}: W_{l, \gamma}^p \rightarrow W_{l+r, \gamma-r}^p$  is bounded.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 3.

Let  $m = \sum_{j=1}^k t_j - s_j$  and  $\tilde{A}_\infty = \det(A_\infty)$  which is an elliptic constant coefficient differential operator, homogenous of degree  $m$ . Let  ${}^{\text{co}}A_\infty$  be the matrix formed by the cofactors of  $A_\infty$  so that

$${}^{\text{co}}A_\infty \cdot A_\infty = A_\infty \cdot {}^{\text{co}}A_\infty = \tilde{A}_\infty I$$

where  $I$  is the identity matrix. Note that  $({}^{\text{co}}A_\infty)_{ji}$  is either zero or homogeneous of order  $m - t_j + s_j$ .

Now if  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$  is in the nullspace of  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$  then  $\tilde{A}_\infty I u = {}^{\text{co}}A_\infty \cdot A_\infty u = 0$  so  $\tilde{A}_\infty u_j = 0$  for each  $j$ . Since  $W_{t_j, \delta - t_j}^p \subset \mathcal{S}'$  the space of "tempered distributions," the Schwartz theory of distributions implies that  $u_j$  is a polynomial which must be of degree  $< -\delta + t_j - n/p$  in order to be in  $W_{t_j, \delta - t_j}^p$ . Hence the nullspace of  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$  is contained in

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \text{Poly} \left( -\delta + t_j - \frac{n}{p} \right)$$

and so is finite dimensional. In particular, if  $\delta - t_j > -n/p$  for all  $j$  then  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$  is injective.

Similarly, the dual map to  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$  is

$$A_\infty^*: W_{-s, -\delta + s}^{p'} \rightarrow W_{-t, -\delta + t}^{p'} \quad (\dagger\dagger)_\infty^* \tag{3.1}$$

where  $W_{-s, -\delta + s}^{p'}$  and  $W_{-t, -\delta + t}^{p'}$  denote the dual spaces of  $W_{s, \delta - s}^p$  and  $W_{t, \delta - t}^p$  respectively, and  $A_\infty^*$  is a system of operators satisfying (1.7) for some system of orders  $(t^*, s^*)$ . By duality,  $W_{-s_i, -\delta + s_i}^{p'} \subset \mathcal{S}'$ . Thus the argument above shows that if  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$  is in the nullspace of  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty^*$  then each  $u_j$  is a polynomial of degree  $< \delta - s_i - n/p'$ . Hence the nullspace of  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty^*$  is contained in

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \text{Poly} \left( \delta - s_i - \frac{n}{p'} \right)$$

and so  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$  has dense range if  $\delta - s_j < n/p'$  for all  $j$ .

Now to show  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$  has closed range we may assume that the  $t_j$  and  $s_i$  are arranged so that  $s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_k$  and  $t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_k$ . Ellipticity of  $A_\infty$  then implies  $t_j \geq s_j$  for every  $j$ . Hence we find that

$$m + s_j \geq t_j \text{ for all } j. \tag{3.1}$$

We first control the range of  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$  in the case of

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta + s_i + m - \frac{n}{p} \notin \mathbb{N} & \text{ if } \delta - s_i - m \leq -\frac{n}{p} \\ \delta - s_i - \frac{n}{p'} \notin \mathbb{N} & \text{ if } \delta - s_i - m > -\frac{n}{p}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

By Theorem 1

$$\tilde{A}_\infty : W_{s_i+m, \delta-s_i-m}^p \rightarrow W_{s_i, \delta-s_i}^p \quad (3.3)$$

is Fredholm if and only if (3.2) holds, so let us fix  $\delta$  satisfying (3.2) for all  $i$ . Let  $T_i$  be a Fredholm inverse for (3.3), and  $T$  the diagonal matrix with entries  $T_i$ . Then  $A_\infty \cdot {}^\infty A_\infty \cdot T = \tilde{A}_\infty I \cdot T = I + P$  where  $P$  is a projection of  $W_{s, \delta-s}^p$  onto a complement of the range of  $\tilde{A}_\infty I$  in  $W_{s, \delta-s}^p$ . Hence the range of  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$  is closed and we have proven

LEMMA 3.1. *If  $\delta$  satisfies (3.2) for all  $i$ , then  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$  is Fredholm.*

In comparing (3.2) with (1.9), note that if for some  $j$  we have  $\delta - t_j \leq -n/p$  and  $-\delta + t_j - n/p \notin \mathbb{N}$ , then  $-\delta + t_j - n/p$  cannot be an integer so (3.2) will be satisfied for all  $i$ . Similarly, the first line of (3.2) holding for some  $i$  implies (1.9) for all  $j$ . On the other hand, if  $\delta - s_i - m > -n/p$  and  $\delta - s_i - n/p' \notin \mathbb{N}$ , then by (3.1) we have  $\delta - t_i > -n/p$  so we have proved

LEMMA 3.2. *If  $\delta$  satisfies (3.2) for all  $i$ , then it satisfies (1.9) for all  $j$ .*

By the above remarks,  $\delta$  can satisfy (1.9) for all  $j$  but *not* (3.2) only if for all  $j$

$$\delta - t_j > -\frac{n}{p} \text{ and } \delta - s_j - \frac{n}{p'} \notin \mathbb{N} \quad (3.4)$$

and for some  $i$

$$\delta - s_i - m \leq -\frac{n}{p} \text{ and } -\delta + s_i - m - \frac{n}{p} \in \mathbb{N} \quad (3.5)$$

But (3.4) and (3.5) imply  $\delta - s_j - n/p' \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}$  and in particular

$$\delta - s_j < \frac{n}{p'} \quad (3.6)$$

for all  $j$ . By monotonicity of the  $s_i$  we can find  $i_0$  such that (3.5) holds for all  $i \geq i_0$ . In fact, together with (3.4) we find

$$\begin{aligned} t_j < s_i + m \text{ for all } i \geq i_0 \text{ and all } j \\ \delta - s_i - m > -\frac{n}{p} \text{ for all } i < i_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Now let  $Tu = E_m * u$  where  $E_m$  is the fundamental solution of  $\tilde{A}_\infty$  of the form (2.1). The operator  ${}^{\circ}A_\infty \cdot TI$  is then a fundamental solution for  $A_\infty$ . In fact, we claim that  ${}^{\circ}A_\infty \cdot TI$  is the inverse for  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$  when  $\delta$  satisfies (3.4) and (3.5). We need only show that for every  $i$  and  $j$

$$({}^{\circ}A_\infty)_{ji} T: W_{s_i, \delta - s_i}^p \rightarrow W_{t_j, \delta - t_j}^p \quad (3.8)$$

is bounded. If  $i < i_0$  then (3.6) and (3.7) imply  $m - n/p < \delta - s_i < n/p'$ , so by Lemma 2.1  $T: W_{s_i, \delta - s_i}^p \rightarrow W_{s_i + m, \delta - s_i - m}^p$  is bounded which obviously implies that (3.8) is bounded. On the other hand if  $i \geq i_0$  then  $|\alpha| = m - t_j + s_i$ ,  $l = s_i$ , and  $\gamma = \delta - s_i$  satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 2.2, so (3.8) is bounded. Thus we have proved

LEMMA 3.3. *If  $\delta$  satisfies (1.9) for all  $j$  but not (3.2) for some  $i$ , then  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$  is an isomorphism.*

We conclude, therefore, that (1.9) is sufficient for  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$  to be Fredholm.

Next we suppose  $\delta$  satisfies (1.9) and compute the nullity of  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$ . Note that

$$A_\infty: \prod_{j=1}^k \text{Poly} \left( -\delta + t_j - \frac{n}{p} \right) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^k \text{Poly} \left( -\delta + s_i - \frac{n}{p} \right). \quad (3.9)$$

We claim that (3.9) is surjective. Indeed, if  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \prod_{i=1}^k \text{Poly}(-\delta + s_i - n/p)$  then  $v$  is in the range of  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$  if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^k \int w_i v_i dx = 0$  for all  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_k)$  in the nullspace of  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty^*$ . If  $v_i \neq 0$  then  $\delta - s_i < -n/p$ , so  $\text{Poly}(\delta - s_i - n/p) = \{0\}$  implying  $w_i = 0$ . Thus we can always solve  $A_\infty u = v$  for  $u \in W_{t, \delta - t}^p$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$  with each  $\alpha_j$  sufficiently large,  $(\partial^\alpha T) \cdot A_\infty u = (\partial^\alpha T)v = 0$  so  $u$  is a polynomial. Thus  $u \in \prod_{j=1}^k \text{Poly}(-\delta + t_j - n/p)$  proving that (3.9) is surjective. Since we have already observed that the nullspace of  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$  is contained in  $\prod_{j=1}^k \text{Poly}(-\delta + t_j - n/p)$  this proves (1.10).

Similarly, we derive (1.11) from the surjectivity of

$$A_\infty^*: \prod_{i=1}^k \text{Poly} \left( \delta - s_i - \frac{n}{p'} \right) \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^k \text{Poly} \left( \delta - t_j - \frac{n}{p'} \right).$$

To show that (1.9) is necessary for  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$  to be Fredholm, suppose that for some  $j$  we have  $-\delta+t_j-n/p \in \mathbb{N}$  or  $\delta-s_j-n/p' \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider the one-parameter family of operators

$$A_\infty(\tau) = \langle x \rangle^\tau A_\infty \langle x \rangle^{-\tau} : W_{t, \delta-t}^p \rightarrow W_{s, \delta-s}^p \quad (3.10)$$

defined for  $-\varepsilon \leq \tau \leq \varepsilon$  where  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Since  $u \rightarrow \langle x \rangle^\sigma u$  is an isomorphism of  $W_{t, \delta+\sigma}^p$  onto  $W_{t, \delta}^p$  we conclude that (3.10) is Fredholm if and only if

$$A_\infty : W_{t, \delta+\tau-t}^p \rightarrow W_{s, \delta+\tau-s}^p \quad (3.11)$$

is Fredholm, and the index of (3.10) equals that of (3.11). We have seen that  $A_\infty(\tau)$  is Fredholm for  $\tau \neq 0$ , and by (1.10) and (1.11)  $\text{index } [A_\infty(\varepsilon)] < \text{index } [A_\infty(-\varepsilon)]$ . Hence  $A_\infty(0)$  cannot be Fredholm, as to be shown.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 4.

First note that (1.8) with  $C_{\alpha\beta}^j = 0$  implies

$$\sum_{|\alpha| < t_j - s_i} q_\alpha^j(x) \partial^\alpha : W_{t_j, \delta - t_j}^p \rightarrow W_{s_i, \delta - s_i}^p$$

is compact by Theorem 5.2 of [6] or Lemma 4.1 of [8]. Therefore we may assume

$$Q_{ij} = \sum_{|\alpha| = t_j - s_i} q_\alpha^j(x) \partial^\alpha.$$

Now let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy  $\varphi(x) \equiv 1$  for  $|x| \leq 1$  and  $\varphi(x) \equiv 0$  for  $|x| \geq 2$ , and define  $\varphi_R(x) = \varphi(x/R)$  for  $R > 1$ . From (1.8) with  $C_{\alpha\beta}^j = 0$  we can find  $R > 1$  such that for every  $i, j$  and  $|\beta| \leq s_i$  and  $|\alpha| = t_j - s_i$

$$|\langle x \rangle^{|\beta|} \partial^\beta q_\alpha^j(x)| < \varepsilon$$

whenever  $|x| > R$ . Thus there is a constant  $C$  which depends only on  $\varphi$ ,  $s_i$ , and  $n$  for which

$$|\langle x \rangle^{|\beta|} \partial^\beta ((1 - \varphi_R(x)) q_\alpha^j(x))| < C \cdot \varepsilon$$

holds for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|\beta| \leq s_i$ ,  $|\alpha| = t_j - s_i$ , and all  $i, j$ . Hence by choosing  $R$  sufficiently large, the norm of

$$(1 - \varphi_R) Q = (1 - \varphi_R) I \cdot Q : W_{t, \delta-t}^p \rightarrow W_{s, \delta-s}^p$$

may be made arbitrarily small. Therefore, if  $\delta$  satisfies (1.9) for all  $j$ , then we may choose  $R_0$  so that

$$A'_\infty = A_\infty + (1 - \varphi_R) Q : W_{t, \delta-t}^p \rightarrow W_{s, \delta-s}^p$$

is Fredholm whenever  $R \geq R_0$ .

In terms of à priori inequalities this means that

$$|u|_t \leq C(|A'_\infty u|_s + |\pi u|_t) \quad (4.1)$$

for  $u \in W_{t, \delta-t}^p$ , where we have abbreviated the norms in  $W_{t, \delta-t}^p$  and  $W_{s, \delta-s}^p$  by  $|\cdot|_t$  and  $|\cdot|_s$  respectively, and where  $\pi$  is a projection of  $W_{t, \delta-t}^p$  onto the kernel of  $A'_\infty$  and thus is compact. We shall apply (4.1) to  $(1 - \varphi_{3R})u$  and use  $A'_\infty = A$  in the support of  $(1 - \varphi_{3R})$  to conclude

$$|(1 - \varphi_{3R})u|_t \leq C(|A(1 - \varphi_{3R})u|_s + |\pi(1 - \varphi_{3R})u|_t). \quad (4.2)$$

On the other hand, since  $\varphi_{3R}u$  has compact support, standard elliptic estimates [1] imply

$$|\varphi_{3R}u|_t \leq C(|A\varphi_{3R}u|_s + |\varphi_{3R}u|_0). \quad (4.3)$$

Combining (4.2) and (4.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |u|_t &\leq C(|A(1 - \varphi_{3R})u|_s + |A\varphi_{3R}u|_s + |\pi(1 - \varphi_{3R})u|_t + |\varphi_{3R}u|_0) \\ &\leq C(|(1 - \varphi_{3R})Au|_s + |\varphi_{3R}Au|_s \\ &\quad + |[A, (1 - \varphi_{3R})]u|_s + |[A, \varphi_{3R}]u|_s \\ &\quad + |\pi(1 - \varphi_{3R})u|_t + |\varphi_{3R}u|_0) \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where  $[ \ , \ ]$  denotes the commutator. By Rellich's compactness theorem,  $[A, (1 - \varphi_{3R})]$ ,  $[A, \varphi_{3R}] : W_{t, \delta-t}^p \rightarrow W_{s, \delta-s}^p$  and  $\varphi_{3R} : W_{t, \delta-t}^p \rightarrow W_{0, \delta}^p$  are all compact, so the à priori inequality (4.4) shows that  $A : W_{t, \delta-t}^p \rightarrow W_{s, \delta-s}^p$  has a finite dimensional nullspace and closed range, hence is "semi-Fredholm". Furthermore, we may find  $R_1$  large so that  $A_\infty + \varphi_R Q$  is an elliptic system which is semi-Fredholm and

$$\text{index}(A_\infty + \varphi_R Q) = \text{index}(A) \quad (4.5)$$

whenever  $R \geq R_1$ , although we do not as yet know that (4.5) is finite.

Now for  $R \geq \max(R_0, R_1)$  and  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$  let  $(\varphi_R Q)_\tau$  be the matrix with entries

$$\varphi_R(\tau x) \sum_{|\alpha|=l_j-s_j} q_\alpha^j(\tau x) \partial^\alpha.$$

For each  $\tau$ ,  $A_\tau = A_\infty + (\varphi_R Q)_\tau$  is an elliptic system of the form (1.1) with coefficients satisfying (1.8) (since  $A_0$  has constant coefficients and  $A_\tau$  for  $\tau > 0$  has coefficients constant for  $|x| \geq 2/\tau$ ). Thus we have a one-parameter family of semi-Fredholm operators, and so

$$\text{index}(A_0) = \text{index}(A_1). \quad (4.6)$$

But  $A_1 = A_\infty + \varphi_R Q$  so (4.5) and (4.6) imply that  $\text{index}(A) = \text{index}(A_0)$ . However, the index of  $A_0$  is given by Theorem 3:  $\text{index}(A_0) = \text{index}(A_\infty)$  is finite. Hence  $A$  is indeed Fredholm.

In other words, we have shown that if  $\delta$  satisfies (1.9) then  $(\dagger\dagger)$  is Fredholm and has the same index as  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$ . Conversely, we can show that  $(\dagger\dagger)$  is not Fredholm where its index changes (i.e., where (1.9) fails for some  $j$ ) by the same method as used for  $(\dagger\dagger)_\infty$  in Section 3.

### References

- [1] AGMON, S., DOUGLIS, A. & NIRENBERG, L., Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions II. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 17 (1964), 35–92.
- [2] CANTOR, M., Elliptic operators and the decomposition of vector fields. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 5 (1981), 235–262.
- [3] CANTOR, M., Spaces of functions with asymptotic conditions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . *Indiana J. Math.*, 24 (1975), 897–902.
- [4] CHOQUET-BRUHAT, Y. & CHRISTODOULOU, D., Elliptic systems in  $H_{s,\delta}$  spaces on manifolds which are euclidean at infinity. *Acta Math.*, 146 (1981), 129–150.
- [5] DOUGLIS, A. & NIRENBERG, L., Interior estimates for elliptic systems of partial differential equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 8 (1955), 503–538.
- [6] LOCKHART, R., Fredholm properties of a class of elliptic operators on non-compact manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 48 (1981), 289–312.
- [7] MCOWEN, R., Behavior of the Laplacian on weighted Sobolev spaces. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 32 (1979), 783–795.
- [8] MCOWEN, R., On elliptic operators in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 5 (1980), 913–933.
- [9] NIRENBERG, L. & WALKER, H., Nullspaces of elliptic partial differential operators in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 42 (1973), 271–301.

Received December 22, 1981