

# Vector-valued Hardy inequalities and $B$ -convexity

Oscar Blasco<sup>(1)</sup>

**Abstract.** Inequalities of the form  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(m_k)|/(k+1) \leq C\|f\|_1$  for all  $f \in H^1$ , where  $\{m_k\}$  are special subsequences of natural numbers, are investigated in the vector-valued setting. It is proved that Hardy's inequality and the generalized Hardy inequality are equivalent for vector valued Hardy spaces defined in terms of atoms and that they actually characterize  $B$ -convexity. It is also shown that for  $1 < q < \infty$  and  $0 < \alpha < \infty$  the space  $X = H(1, q, \alpha)$  consisting of analytic functions on the unit disc such that  $\int_0^1 (1-r)^{q\alpha-1} M_1^q(f, r) dr < \infty$  satisfies the previous inequality for vector valued functions in  $H^1(X)$ , defined as the space of  $X$ -valued Bochner integrable functions on the torus whose negative Fourier coefficients vanish, for the case  $\{m_k\} = \{2^k\}$  but not for  $\{m_k\} = \{k^a\}$  for any  $a \in \mathbf{N}$ .

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we shall deal with the vector-valued formulation of certain inequalities in the theory of Hardy spaces. The first one, due to G. H. Hardy ([Du, p. 48]), reads

$$(H) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{f}(n)|}{n+1} \leq C\|f\|_1 \quad \text{for all } f \in H^1,$$

where  $H^1 = \{f \in L^1(\mathbf{T}) : \hat{f}(n) = 0 \text{ for } n < 0\}$  and, as usual,  $\mathbf{T}$  stands for the unit circle and  $\hat{f}(n) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) e^{-int} dt / 2\pi$  for  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

Recently K. M. Dyakonov [D] considered the following generalized Hardy inequality: There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that, for any increasing subsequence  $\{n_k\}$  of  $\mathbf{N}$  satisfying

$$(*) \quad \delta = \inf_{k \in \mathbf{N}} k \frac{n_{k+1} - n_k}{n_k} > 0$$

---

<sup>(1)</sup> The author has been partially supported by the Spanish DGICYT, Proyecto PB95-0291.

one has

$$(GH) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{f}(n_k)|}{k+1} \leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \|f\|_1 \quad \text{for all } f \in H^1.$$

In particular, besides the classical Hardy's inequality (H), we have the cases  $n_k = k^a$  for any  $a \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $n_k = 2^k$  (or any other lacunary sequence), the last case being also a consequence of Paley's inequality (see [Du, p. 104]).

All of these facts can be regarded as special cases of multiplier inequalities between  $H^1$  and  $l^1$ . Recall that a sequence  $\{m_n\}$  is an  $(H^1-l^1)$ -multiplier, to be denoted by  $\{m_n\} \in (H^1-l^1)$ , if  $T_{\{m_n\}}(f) = \{\hat{f}(n)m_n\}$  defines a bounded operator from  $H^1$  into  $l^1$ .

The  $(H^1-l^1)$ -multipliers were characterized by C. Fefferman in the following way (see [AS] and [SW], [SS] for a proof):

$$(**) \quad (H^1-l^1) = \left\{ \{m_n\} : \sup_{s \geq 1} \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} \left( \sum_{j=ks+1}^{(k+1)s} |m_j| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty \right\}.$$

The proof of this fundamental result depends upon the atomic decomposition of functions in  $H^1$ .

In [BP] the vector valued analogues of several classical inequalities in the theory of Hardy spaces were investigated. Here we use several techniques from that paper and from [B2] to deal with the properties corresponding to the vector valued version of (GH) and some of its particular cases.

A complex Banach space  $X$  is said to satisfy the vector valued Hardy inequality (for short  $X$  is an (HI)-space) if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(H) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|\hat{f}(n)\|}{n+1} \leq C \|f\|_1 \quad \text{for all } f \in H^1(X),$$

where  $H^1(X) = \{f \in L^1(\mathbf{T}, X) : \hat{f}(n) = 0 \text{ for } n < 0\}$ .

A complex Banach space  $X$  is said to have  $(H^1-l^1)$ -Fourier type if for any  $\{m_n\} \in (H^1-l^1)$  there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(F) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\hat{f}(n)\| |m_n| \leq C \|f\|_1 \quad \text{for all } f \in H^1(X).$$

Given  $2 \leq q < \infty$ , a complex Banach space  $X$  is said to be a  $q$ -Paley space if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(P_q) \quad \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|\hat{f}(2^k)\|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq C \|f\|_1 \quad \text{for all } f \in H^1(X).$$

The reader is referred to [BP] for examples of spaces with or without these properties and for their connection with other well-known properties in the theory of Banach spaces.

Let us now introduce the vector-valued extension of (GH) and some of its particular cases.

*Definition 1.1.* Let  $a \in \mathbf{N}$ . A complex Banach space  $X$  is said to satisfy the vector valued Hardy inequality for  $\{n_k\} = \{k^a\}$  (for short  $X$  is an  $(\text{HI})_a$ -space) if

$$(H_a) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|\hat{f}(n^a)\|}{n+1} \leq C \|f\|_1 \quad \text{for all } f \in H^1(X).$$

*Definition 1.2.* A complex Banach space  $X$  is said to satisfy the vector valued Hardy inequality for  $n_k = 2^k$  (for short  $X$  is an  $(\text{HI})_{\text{lac}}$ -space) if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(H_{\text{lac}}) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|\hat{f}(2^n)\|}{n+1} \leq C \|f\|_1 \quad \text{for all } f \in H^1(X).$$

*Definition 1.3.* A complex Banach space  $X$  is said to satisfy a generalized vector valued Hardy inequality (for short  $X$  is a  $(\text{GHI})$ -space) if there exists  $C > 0$  such that for any  $\{n_k\}$  verifying (\*),

$$(GH) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|\hat{f}(n_k)\|}{k+1} \leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \|f\|_1 \quad \text{for all } f \in H^1(X).$$

Using (\*\*), it is easy to see that any space of  $(H^{1-l^1})$ -Fourier type must be a 2-Paley space (hence  $q$ -Paley for any  $q \geq 2$ ) and an  $(\text{HI})$ -space (see [BP]).

Actually repeating the proof in [D] one sees that any space of  $(H^{1-l^1})$ -Fourier type must be a  $(\text{GHI})$ -space.

It should be noted that now the use of vector-valued atoms is still at our disposal but the spaces  $H^1(X)$  and  $H_{\text{at}}^1(X)$  (see definition below) are not isomorphic. The aim of this paper is to make it clear that actually one can get the generalized Hardy inequality for  $H_{\text{at}}^1(X)$  using only the classical Hardy inequality for  $H^1(X)$ .

Let us now recall the following definitions (see [B1], [Bo2]).

*Definition 1.4.* Given a complex Banach space  $X$ , we denote by  $H_{\text{max}}^1(X)$  the space of functions  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{T}, X)$  such that  $P^*(f)(t) = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \|P_r * f(t)\| \in L^1(\mathbf{T})$ , where  $P_r$  stands for the Poisson kernel.

We endow this space with the norm  $\|f\|_{\text{max}, X} = \|P^*(f)\|_1$ .

*Definition 1.5.* Given a complex Banach space  $X$ , we denote by  $H_{\text{at}}^1(X)$  the space of functions  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{T}, X)$  such that  $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \lambda_n a_n$  (in the sense of distributions), where  $\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |\lambda_n| < \infty$  and  $a_n$  are  $X$ -valued atoms, that is  $a_n$  is either a constant function or it has the following three properties:

- (i)  $a_n \in L^\infty(\mathbf{T}, X)$  and  $\text{supp}(a_n) \subset I_n$  for some interval  $I_n$ ;
- (ii)  $\int_{I_n} a_n(t) dt = 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\|a_n\|_\infty \leq 1/|I_n|$ , where  $|I_n|$  stands for the normalized Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{T}$ .

As usual the norm is given by  $\|f\|_{\text{at}, X} = \inf\{\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |\lambda_n|\}$ , where the infimum is taken over all possible decompositions.

The facts that  $H_{\text{max}}^1(X) = H_{\text{at}}^1(X)$  and  $\|f\|_{\text{at}, X} \sim \|f\|_{\text{max}, X}$  can be established by repeating the scalar-valued proof in [CW].

It is also well known (see [B1]) that  $H^1(X) \subset H_{\text{max}}^1(X)$  but they are not the same space unless  $X$  has the so-called UMD property, i.e., the  $X$ -valued martingale differences are unconditionally convergent.

Because of this, it makes sense to consider the analogues of  $(H)$ ,  $(H)_a$  and  $(H)_{\text{lac}}$  with  $H_{\text{at}}^1(X)$  in place of  $H^1(X)$ . The arising ‘‘atomic’’ properties, denoted by  $(H)^{\text{at}}$ ,  $(H)_a^{\text{at}}$ ,  $(H)_{\text{lac}}^{\text{at}}$ , are stronger than their respective counterparts discussed above.

Let us now recall some fundamental notions in the geometry of Banach spaces to be used in the sequel. Although they are usually defined in terms of the Rademacher functions we shall replace these by lacunary sequences  $e^{i2^n t}$ , which gives an equivalent definition ([MP], [Pi]).

Given  $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q < \infty$ , a Banach space  $X$  has cotype  $q$  (respectively type  $p$ ) if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  and for all  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N \in X$  one has

$$\left( \sum_{k=0}^N \|x_k\|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq C \left\| \sum_{k=0}^N x_k e^{i2^k t} \right\|_1$$

(respectively

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^N x_k e^{i2^k t} \right\|_1 \leq C \left( \sum_{k=0}^N \|x_k\|^p \right)^{1/p}.)$$

A Banach space is called  $B$ -convex if it has type  $> 1$ .

Given a complex Banach space  $X$  and a function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n z^n$  with  $x_n \in X$ , we write  $\mathcal{P}(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_{2^n} z^{2^n}$  for the vector-valued version of the Paley projection acting on  $f$ .

It is well known that  $X$  is a  $B$ -convex space if and only if the Paley projection is bounded on  $H^p(X)$  for some (or any)  $1 < p < \infty$ . This can be extended to  $p=1$ . It

is a result due to Pisier (see [BP, Proposition 4.2]) that  $X$  is  $B$ -convex if and only if  $\|\mathcal{P}(f)\|_{\text{at},X} \leq C\|f\|_{\text{at},X}$  for all  $f \in H^1_{\text{at}}(X)$ .

*Definition 1.6.* We say that  $X$  satisfies the Paley projection property (for short  $X \in (\text{PP})$ ) if the Paley projection is bounded in  $H^1(X)$ .

In [LPP] this property was studied for the case of Schatten classes.

*Remark 1.1.* Observe that, since  $X = c_0 \notin (\text{PP})$ , the property  $X \in (\text{PP})$  implies that  $X$  has finite cotype.

*Remark 1.2.* If  $X \in (\text{PP})$  and  $X$  has cotype  $q$  for some  $2 \leq q < \infty$  then  $X \in (P)_q$ .

Combining both remarks one easily gets the following result.

**Proposition 1.1.** *If  $X$  has the Paley projection property then it also has the  $q$ -Paley property for some  $2 \leq q < \infty$  and satisfies the Hardy inequality for  $n_k = 2^k$ .*

We shall also be using the notion of Fourier-type introduced by J. Peetre ([P]). Let us recall that for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , a Banach space  $X$  is said to have Fourier type  $p$  if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \|\hat{f}(n)\|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(X)} \quad \text{for all } f \in L^p(\mathbf{T}, X).$$

It is not hard to see that  $X$  has Fourier type  $p$  if and only if  $X^*$  has Fourier type  $p$ . Typical examples are the spaces  $L^r$  for  $p \leq r \leq p'$ , where  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ , or those obtained by interpolation between any Banach space and a Hilbert space.

Let us now state the fundamental theorem, due to J. Bourgain, which connects the last two properties.

**Theorem A.** ([Bo1], [Bo3]) *Let  $X$  be a complex Banach space. Then  $X$  has Fourier type strictly greater than 1 if and only if  $X$  is  $B$ -convex.*

Throughout the paper  $L_p(\mu, Y)$  (respectively  $L^p(Y)$ ) stands for the space of  $Y$ -valued strongly measurable functions  $f$  on a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  (respectively  $(\mathbf{T}, \mathcal{B}, dt/2\pi)$ ) such that  $\|f\| \in L_p(\mu)$ , and we denote by  $H^p(Y)$  the subspace of  $L^p(Y)$  consisting of functions such that  $\hat{f}(n) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t)e^{-int} dt/2\pi = 0$  for  $n < 0$ . We write  $H^p(\mathbf{D}, X)$  for the space of analytic functions  $f$  from  $\mathbf{D}$  into  $X$  such that  $\sup_{0 < r < 1} M_{p,X}(f, r) < \infty$ , where  $M_{p,X}(f, r) = \left( \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \|f(re^{it})\|^p dt/2\pi \right)^{1/p}$ .

Clearly, if  $f \in H^p(X)$  then  $f(re^{it}) = f * P_r(t) \in H^p(\mathbf{D}, X)$ , but in general the space  $H^p(\mathbf{D}, X)$  cannot be identified with  $H^p(X)$  or, in other words, the functions in  $H^p(\mathbf{D}, X)$  do not necessarily have radial boundary limits.

A complex Banach space  $X$  for which any function in  $H^\infty(\mathbf{D}, X)$  has radial boundary limits a.e. is said to have the analytic Radon–Nikodym property, for short  $X \in (\text{ARNP})$ . This was first introduced in [BD], where it was shown, among other things, that  $L^1(\mu) \in (\text{ARNP})$ .

## 2. Hardy type inequalities for $H^1(X)$

We shall first show an extension to the vector valued setting of one inequality by Hardy and Littlewood (see [Du], [HL]). Our proof follows ideas in [F] and uses the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $1 < p < \infty$ . If  $f \in H^1(X)$  then*

$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{-1/p} M_{p,X}(f, r) dr \leq C \|f\|_1.$$

*Proof.* Let us first recall that if  $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty$  and  $g$  is an  $X$ -valued analytic function then (see [Du, p. 84])

$$(2.1) \quad M_{q,X}(g, r^2) \leq (1-r)^{1/q-1/p} M_{p,X}(g, r).$$

To prove the result let us first fix  $p_1 < 1 < p_2 < p$ . For  $j=1, 2$ , using (2.1) one has

$$(1-r)^{-1/p} M_{p,X}(f, r) \leq (1-r)^{-1/p_j} \|f\|_{H^{p_j}(\mathbf{D}, X)}.$$

Hence

$$|\{r \in [0, 1] : (1-r)^{-1/p} M_{p,X}(f, r) > \lambda\}| \leq C \frac{\|f\|_{H^{p_j}(\mathbf{D}, X)}^{p_j}}{\lambda^{p_j}}.$$

This actually gives that

$$f \mapsto F(r, e^{it}) = (1-r)^{-1/p} f(re^{it})$$

defines a bounded operator from  $H^{p_j}(\mathbf{D}, X)$  into the space  $L^{p_j, \infty}(dr, L^p(X))$ , where  $L^{p_j, \infty}(dr, L^p(X))$  stands for the corresponding vector valued Lorenz space.

Now using the standard real method of interpolation for  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\frac{1-\theta}{p_1} + \frac{\theta}{p_2} = 1,$$

we have (see [BL])

$$(L^{p_1, \infty}(dr, L^p(X)), L^{p_2, \infty}(dr, L^p(X)))_{\theta, 1} = L^1(dr, L^p(X)).$$

On the other hand, since the Banach space  $X$  is the same for both indices, it is not difficult to extend the scalar-valued proof (see [BX] and references there) to get

$$(H^{p_1}(\mathbf{D}, X), H^{p_2}(\mathbf{D}, X))_{\theta,1} = H^1(\mathbf{D}, X).$$

Hence the operator is bounded from  $H^1(X)$  to  $L^1(dr, L^p(X))$ , that is

$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{-1/p} M_p(f, r) dr \leq C \|f\|_1. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 2.1.** ([BP], [Bo3]) *If  $X$  is a  $B$ -convex space then  $X$  satisfies the vector valued Hardy inequality, i.e.*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|x_n\|}{n+1} \leq C \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n e^{int} \right\|_1.$$

*Proof.* From Theorem A we have that  $X$  has Fourier type  $p$  for some  $p > 1$ . Then applying Hölder's inequality and Theorem 2.1 for such a  $p$ , one has for  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n z^n$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{\|x_n\|}{n+1} &= \int_0^1 \sum_{n=0}^m \|x_n\| r^n dr \leq \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{n=0}^m \|x_n\|^{p'} r^n \right)^{1/p'} \left( \sum_{n=0}^m r^n \right)^{1/p} dr \\ &\leq C \int_0^1 (1-r)^{-1/p} M_{p,X}(f, r) dr \leq C \|f\|_1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The following is a simple modification of a proof in [B2] regarding Paley spaces (corresponding to the 2-Paley property).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $2 \leq q < \infty$  and  $1 \leq p \leq q$ . If  $Y$  is a  $q$ -Paley space then so is  $L_p(\mu, Y)$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $r = (q/p)' = q/(q-p)$ . Let us take  $f(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} x_n e^{int}$ , where  $x_n \in$

$L_p(\mu, Y)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \sum_{k \geq 0} \|x_{2^k}\|_{L_p(\mu, Y)}^q \right)^{1/q} &= \left( \sum_{k \geq 0} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|x_{2^k}(w)\|_Y^p d\mu(w) \right)^{q/p} \right)^{1/q} \\
&= \sup_{\sum |\alpha_k|^r = 1} \left( \sum_{k \geq 0} \int_{\Omega} \|x_{2^k}(w)\|_Y^p |\alpha_k| d\mu(w) \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{k \geq 0} \|x_{2^k}(w)\|_Y^q \right)^{p/q} d\mu(w) \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq C \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\| \sum_{n \geq 0} x_n(w) e^{int} \right\|_Y dt \right)^p d\mu(w) \right)^{1/p} \\
&= C \sup_{\|h\|_{p'}=1} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\| \sum_{n \geq 0} x_n(w) e^{int} \right\|_Y |h(w)| dt d\mu(w) \\
&\leq C \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\| \sum_{n \geq 0} x_n(w) e^{int} \right\|_{L_p(\mu, Y)} dt \\
&= C \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \|f(t)\|_{L_p(\mu, Y)} dt. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $2 \leq q < \infty$ . Then  $L_{p_1}(\mu, L_{p_2}(\nu))$  is a  $q$ -Paley space if and only if  $1 \leq p_1, p_2 \leq q$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear from the definition that a  $q$ -Paley space must have cotype  $q$ . Now the cotype  $q$  condition forces the values of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  to be in the required range.

To get the converse, observe that the classical Paley inequality together with Lemma 2.1 for  $Y = \mathbf{C}$  gives that  $L_{p_2}$  is a  $q$ -Paley space for  $1 \leq p_2 \leq q$ . Now apply Lemma 2.1 again.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $X \in (\text{PP})$ . Then  $L_p(\mu, X) \in (\text{PP})$ .*

*Proof.* Using Kahane's inequality we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_{2^n} z^{2^n} \right\|_{H^1(L_p(\mu, X))} &\sim \left( \int_{\Omega} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_{2^n}(\omega) z^{2^n} \right\|_{H^1(X)}^p d\mu(\omega) \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq C \left( \int_{\Omega} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n(\omega) z^n \right\|_{H^1(X)}^p d\mu(\omega) \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq C \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n(\omega) e^{int} \right\|_X^p d\mu(\omega) \right)^{1/p} \frac{dt}{2\pi}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$



**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ . Then  $L_p(\mu, L_q(\nu))$  is an  $(\text{HI})_{\text{lac}}$ -space if and only if  $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Observe first that  $c_0$  is not an  $(\text{HI})_{\text{lac}}$ -space (take the canonical example  $f_N(z) = \sum_{n=1}^N e_n z^n$  to check this fact). Consequently, if  $X$  is an  $(\text{HI})_{\text{lac}}$ -space then it must have finite cotype.

Assume that  $L_p(\mu, L_q(\nu))$  is an  $(\text{HI})_{\text{lac}}$ -space. Now the cotype condition forces the values of  $p$  and  $q$  to be finite.

To get the converse, observe that the classical Paley inequality gives that  $Y = \mathbf{C} \in (\text{PP})$ . Now, applying Lemma 2.2 twice, one has that  $L_p(\mu, L_q(\nu)) \in (\text{PP})$  for  $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ . Finally apply Proposition 1.1 to finish the proof.  $\square$

Now we shall consider some classes of analytic functions that will serve us to get examples of spaces satisfying  $(\text{HI})_{\text{lac}}$  but failing to satisfy  $(\text{HI})_a$ . The reader is referred to [B2] for the fact that  $l^p(H^1)$  fails to satisfy  $(\text{HI})$  for  $1 < p \leq 2$  but it is a 2-Paley space.

Let us recall that, given  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  and  $0 < \alpha < \infty$ ,  $H(p, q, \alpha)$  stands for the space of analytic functions on the unit disc such that

$$\left( \int_0^1 (1-r)^{\alpha q - 1} M_p^q(f, r) dr \right)^{1/q} = \|f\|_{p, q, \alpha} < \infty.$$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $1 < q < \infty$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \infty$  and  $a \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then  $H(1, q, \alpha)$  is an  $(\text{HI})_{\text{lac}}$ -space but fails to be an  $(\text{HI})_a$ -space.*

*Proof.* That  $H(1, q, \alpha)$  is an  $(\text{HI})_{\text{lac}}$ -space follows from Theorem 2.3, since

$$\|f\|_{1, q, \alpha} = \|g\|_{L^q(dr/(1-r), L^1(\mathbf{T}))},$$

where  $g(r, \theta) = (1-r)^\alpha f(re^{i\theta})$ .

To see that it does not satisfy  $(\text{H})_a$ , let us consider the function

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{z}{\log 1/(1-z)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

It is known (see [L, pp. 93-96]) that

$$(2.2) \quad a_n \sim \frac{n^\alpha}{\log n}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad M_1(\phi, r) \sim \frac{(1-r)^{-\alpha}}{\log 1/(1-r)}, \quad r \rightarrow 1^-.$$

Consider now  $f(z)(w) = \phi(zw) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n w^n z^n$  and write  $x_n(w) = a_n w^n$ . Since we have

$$\|x_n\|_{1,q,\alpha} = |a_n| \|w^n\|_{1,q,\alpha} = |a_n| B^{1/q}(\alpha q, qn+1)$$

then, (2.2) together with the estimate  $B(\beta, m) \sim m^{-\beta}$ , as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , give

$$(2.4) \quad \|x_n\|_{1,q,\alpha} \sim \frac{1}{\log n}.$$

This allows us to say that  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n z^n$  is an analytic function on the open unit disc with values in  $H(1, q, \alpha)$ .

Using now (2.3) and the assumption  $q > 1$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(z)\|_{1,q,\alpha} &= \left( \int_0^1 (1-r)^{\alpha q - 1} M_1^q(\phi, |z|r) dr \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_0^1 (1-r)^{\alpha q - 1} \frac{(1-r)^{-\alpha q}}{\log^q 1/(1-r)} dr \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-r) \log^q 1/(1-r)} dr \right)^{1/q} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $f \in H^\infty(\mathbf{D}, H(1, q, \alpha))$ . Using the fact that  $H(1, q, \alpha)$  has the analytic Radon–Nikodym property (recall that  $L^q(dr/(1-r), L^1(\mathbf{T})) \in (\text{ARNP})$ ), we can show that the radial limits exist almost everywhere and hence, in particular  $f \in H^1(H(1, q, \alpha))$ .

On the other hand, from (2.4) we have  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|x_n\|_{1,q,\alpha}/(n+1) = \infty$ .  $\square$

### 3. Generalized Hardy inequalities for $H_{\text{at}}^1(X)$

Let us start by showing the differences appearing when dealing with the vector-valued versions  $H_{\text{at}}^1(X)$  and  $H^1(X)$ .

It follows rather easily, using Fubini's theorem and the scalar-valued result by K. Dyakonov, that  $L^1(\mu)$  verifies the generalized Hardy's inequality, i.e.  $L^1(\mu) \in (\text{GHI})$ . Actually the same argument shows that  $L^1(\mu)$  even has  $(H^1-l^1)$ -Fourier type (see [BP]).

Nevertheless  $L^1(\mathbf{T})$  fails to have  $(\text{HI})_a^{\text{at}}$  for any value of  $a \in \mathbf{N}$  as the following proposition shows.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $a \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then  $L^1(\mathbf{T})$  is not an  $(\text{HI})_a^{\text{at}}$ -space.*

*Proof.* It is well known (see [Z]) that  $\phi(t) = \sum_{|n| \geq 2} e^{int} / \log |n| \in L^1(\mathbf{T})$ .

Now consider  $f: \mathbf{T} \rightarrow L^1$  given by  $f(t)(s) = \phi(e^{i(t+s)})$ . It is clear that  $f \in L^\infty(L^1)$  and that

$$P^*(f)(t) = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{r^{|n|} e^{in(t+s)}}{\log |n|} \right| \frac{ds}{2\pi} \leq \|\phi\|_1.$$

Therefore, in particular,  $f \in H_{\max}^1(L^1)$ .

However,  $\hat{f}(n)(t) = e^{int} / \log n$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , which gives  $\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \|\hat{f}(n^a)\|_1 / n = \infty$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{N}$ .  $\square$

Let us now prove a couple of lemmas to be used later on.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $f \in L^1(X)$  and  $J$  be an interval in  $\mathbf{Z}$ . If  $g(t) = f(t)(1 - e^{-it})$  then*

$$(3.1) \quad \sup_{j \in J} \|\hat{f}(j)\| \leq \frac{1}{\text{card}(J)} \sum_{j \in J} \|\hat{f}(j)\| + \sum_{j \in J} \|\hat{g}(j)\|.$$

*Proof.* Let us fix  $j \in J$ . For any  $k \in J$  we can write

$$\|\hat{f}(j)\| \leq \|\hat{f}(k)\| + \|\hat{f}(k) - \hat{f}(j)\| \leq \|\hat{f}(k)\| + \sum_{l=\min(J)}^{\max(J)-1} \|\hat{f}(l) - \hat{f}(l+1)\|.$$

Averaging over  $k \in J$  we get

$$\|\hat{f}(j)\| \leq \frac{1}{\text{card}(J)} \sum_{k \in J} \|\hat{f}(k)\| + \sum_{l=\min(J)}^{\max(J)-1} \|\hat{f}(l) - \hat{f}(l+1)\|.$$

Finally, taking into account that  $\hat{f}(l) - \hat{f}(l+1) = \hat{g}(l)$ , we get (3.1).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $M \in \mathbf{N}$  and let  $\{n_k\}$  be an increasing sequence in  $\mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  such that there exists a constant  $A > 0$  for which*

$$\frac{n_k - n_{k-1}}{n_k} \geq \frac{A}{k}, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

*Then for any  $l \in \mathbf{N}$ ,*

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{\{k: lM < n_k \leq lM+M\}} \frac{1}{k} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{A}\right) \frac{1}{l}.$$

*Proof.* For a fixed  $l \in \mathbf{N}$  we may assume that there exists  $n_k \in (lM, lM + M]$ . Let  $k_l$  be the smallest index with this property, so that  $n_{k_l-1} \leq lM < n_{k_l}$ .

Observe now that

$$\sum_{\{k: lM < n_k \leq lM + M\}} \frac{1}{k} \leq \frac{1}{k_l} + \frac{1}{A} \sum_{k=k_l+1}^{k_{l+1}} \frac{n_k - n_{k-1}}{n_k} \leq \frac{1}{k_l} + \frac{1}{An_{k_l}} (n_{k_{l+1}} - n_{k_l}).$$

Since  $k_l \geq l$ ,  $n_{k_l} \geq lM$  and  $n_{k_{l+1}} - n_{k_l} \leq M$  we get (3.2).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. The following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|\hat{f}(n)\|}{n} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{at}, X} \quad \text{for all } f \in H_{\text{at}}^1(X).$$

(2) *For any increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}$  in  $\mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  satisfying that*

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{n_k - n_{k-1}}{n_k} \geq \frac{A}{k}, \quad k \in \mathbf{N},$$

*for some  $A > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|\hat{f}(n_k)\|}{k} \leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{A}\right) \|f\|_{\text{at}, X} \quad \text{for all } f \in H_{\text{at}}^1(X).$$

*Proof.* Obviously (2) implies (1).

To see that (1) implies (2) let us fix a sequence  $\{n_k\}$  satisfying (3.3). It suffices to show that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|\hat{a}(n_k)\|}{k} \leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{A}\right)$$

for any  $M \in \mathbf{N}$  and any  $X$ -valued atom  $a$  supported on  $(-\pi/M, \pi/M)$ .

Take such an  $X$ -atom, say  $a$ . Given  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , using that  $a$  has zero mean, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \|\hat{a}(n)\| = \left\| \int_{-\pi/M}^{\pi/M} a(t) (e^{-int} - 1) \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right\| \leq n \|a\|_{\infty} \int_{-\pi/M}^{\pi/M} |t| \frac{dt}{2\pi} \leq C \frac{n}{M}.$$

Let us write  $F = \{k: n_k \leq M\}$  and  $G = \{k: n_k > M\}$ .

On the one hand

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{k \in F} \frac{\|\hat{a}(n_k)\|}{k} \leq \frac{C}{M} \sum_{k \in F} \frac{n_k}{k} \leq \frac{C}{MA} \sum_{k \in F} (n_k - n_{k-1}) \leq \frac{C}{A}.$$

On the other hand, letting  $G_l = \{k: lM < j \leq lM + M\}$ , we have

$$\sum_{k \in G} \frac{\|\hat{a}(n_k)\|}{k} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in G_l} \frac{\|a_{n_k}\|}{k} \leq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sup_{lM < j \leq lM + M} \|\hat{a}(j)\| \sum_{k \in G_l} \frac{1}{k}.$$

Now applying Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 and letting  $b_1(t) = a(t)(1 - e^{-it})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in G} \frac{\|\hat{a}(n_k)\|}{k} &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{A}\right) \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{lM} \sum_{j=lM+1}^{lM+M} \|\hat{a}(j)\| + \left(1 + \frac{1}{A}\right) \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=lM+1}^{lM+M} \|\hat{b}_1(j)\| \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{A}\right) \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=lM+1}^{lM+M} \frac{\|\hat{a}(j)\|}{j} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{A}\right) \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=lM+1}^{lM+M} \frac{\|M\hat{b}_1(j)\|}{j} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{A}\right) \sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{\|\hat{a}(j)\|}{j} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{A}\right) \sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{\|M\hat{b}_1(j)\|}{j}. \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof note that  $b(t) = M(b_1(t) - \int_{-\pi/M}^{\pi/M} b_1(s) ds / 2\pi)$  is also an  $X$ -valued atom and  $\hat{b}(j) = M\hat{b}_1(j)$ .

Therefore applying Hardy's inequality to  $a$  and  $b$  we get

$$\sum_{k \in G} \frac{\|\hat{a}(n_k)\|}{k} \leq 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{A}\right) C.$$

We now finish the proof by combining this last estimate with (3.5).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** (See [BP], [Bo3]) *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X$  is  $B$ -convex;
- (2)  $X$  is an  $(\text{HI})^{\text{at}}$ -space;
- (3)  $X$  is a  $(\text{GHI})^{\text{at}}$ -space.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). As in the previous theorem it suffices to show that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|\hat{a}(n)\|}{n} \leq C$$

for any  $M \in \mathbf{N}$  and any  $X$ -valued atom  $a$  supported on  $(-\pi/M, \pi/M)$ .

Using Theorem A we may assume that  $X$  has Fourier type  $p > 1$ . It is clear that if  $a$  is such an  $X$ -valued atom then  $\|a\|_p \leq M^{1/p'}$ . Hence, in view of (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|\hat{a}(n)\|}{n} &= \sum_{n=1}^M \frac{\|\hat{a}(n)\|}{n} + \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{\|\hat{a}(n)\|}{n} \\ &\leq C + \left( \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \|\hat{a}(n)\|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \left( \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p} \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C + C \frac{\|a\|_p}{M^{1/p'}} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). This follows from Theorem 3.1.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). By a finite representability argument (see Proposition 2.6 in [BP]) it is enough to show that  $L^1$  fails (GHI)<sup>at</sup>. This now follows from Proposition 3.1.  $\square$

As a corollary of our previous theorems we get some improvements of results by H. König and V. Tarieladze (see [K] and Proposition 3 in [T]).

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a  $B$ -convex Banach space and let  $a \in \mathbf{N}$ . If  $f \in \bigcup_{p>1} L^p(X)$  with  $\hat{f}(0) = 0$  and  $F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds / 2\pi$  then*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} n^{a-1} \|\hat{F}(n^a)\| < \infty.$$

*Proof.* Since  $f \in H_{\text{at}}^1(X)$  and  $\|\hat{f}(n)\| = |n| \|\hat{F}(n)\|$  we can apply Corollary 3.1 for  $n_k = k^a$  to the function  $f$ .  $\square$

## References

- [AS] ANDERSON, J. M. and SHIELDS, A. L., Coefficient multipliers on Bloch functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **224** (1976), 256–265.
- [BL] BERGH, J. and LÖFSTRÖM, J., *Interpolation Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1973.
- [B1] BLASCO, O., Boundary values of functions in vector-valued Hardy spaces and geometry of Banach spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **78** (1988), 346–364.
- [B2] BLASCO, O., A note on vector-valued Hardy and Paley inequalities, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **115** (1992), 787–790.
- [BP] BLASCO, O. and PELCZYNSKI, A., Theorems of Hardy and Paley for vector valued analytic functions and related classes of Banach spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **323** (1991), 335–367.

- [BX] BLASCO, O. and XU, Q., Interpolation between vector valued Hardy spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **102** (1991), 331–359.
- [Bo1] BOURGAIN, J., A Hausdorff–Young inequality for  $B$ -convex spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **101** (1982), 255–262.
- [Bo2] BOURGAIN, J., Vector valued singular integrals and the  $H^1$ -BMO duality, in *Probability Theory and Harmonic Analysis*, (Chao, J.-A. and Woyczynski, W. A., eds.), pp. 1–19, Marcel Decker, Basel–New York, 1986.
- [Bo3] BOURGAIN, J., Vector-valued Hausdorff–Young inequality and applications, in *Geometric Aspects in Functional Analysis* (Lindenstrauss, J., and Milman, V. D., eds.), Lecture Notes in Math. **1317**, pp. 239–249, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg, 1988.
- [BD] BUKHVALOV, A. and DANILEVICH, A., Boundary properties of analytic and harmonic functions with values in Banach space, *Mat. Zametki* **31** (1982), 203–214.
- [CW] COIFMAN, R. R. and WEISS, G., Extension of Hardy spaces and their use in Analysis, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1977), 569–645.
- [Du] DUREN, P., *Theory of  $H_p$ -Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [D] DYAKONOV, K. M., Generalized Hardy inequalities and pseudocontinuable functions, *Ark. Mat.* **34** (1996), 231–244.
- [F] FLETT, T. M., On the rate of growth of mean values of holomorphic and harmonic functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **20** (1970), 749–768.
- [GR] GARCÍA-CUERVA, J. and RUBIO DE FRANCIA, J. L., *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
- [GKKT] GARCÍA-CUERVA, J., KAZARIAN, K. S., KOLYADA, V. I. and TORREA, J. L., Vector-valued Hausdorff–Young inequality and applications, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **53:3** (1998), 3–84 (Russian). English transl.: *Russian Math. Surveys* **53** (1998), 435–513.
- [G] GARNETT, J. B., *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Academic Press, Orlando, Fla., 1981.
- [HL] HARDY, G. H. and LITTLEWOOD, J. E., Some properties of fractional integrals II, *Math. Z.* **34** (1932), 403–439.
- [K] KÖNIG, H., On the Fourier coefficients of vector-valued functions, *Math. Nachr.* **152** (1991), 213–227.
- [LT] LINDENSTRAUSS, J. and TZAFRIRI, L., *Classical Banach Spaces II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1979.
- [L] LITTLEWOOD, J. E., *Lectures on the Theory of Functions*, Oxford Univ. Press., London, 1944.
- [LP] LITTLEWOOD, J. E. and PALEY, R. E. A. C., Theorems on Fourier series and power series (II), *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **42** (1936), 52–89.
- [LPP] LUST-PICQUARD, F. and PISIER, G., Non commutative Khinchine and Paley inequalities, *Ark. Mat.* **29** (1991), 241–260.
- [MP] MAUREY, B. and PISIER, G., Séries de variables aléatoires vectorielles indépendentes et propriétés géométriques des espaces de Banach, *Studia Math.* **58** (1976), 45–90.
- [Pa] PALEY, R. E. A. C., On the lacunary coefficients of power series, *Ann. of Math.* **34** (1933), 615–616.

- [P] PEETRE, J., Sur la transformation de Fourier des fonctions à valeurs vectorielles, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **42** (1969), 15–46.
- [Pi] PISIER, G., Les inégalités de Khintchine–Kahane d’après C. Borell, *Séminaire sur la Géométrie des espaces de Banach (1977–1978)*, Exposé **7**, École Polytechnique, Centre de Math., Palaiseau, 1978.
- [RRT] RUBIO DE FRANCIA, J. L., RUIZ, F. and TORREA, J. L., Calderón–Zygmund theory for vector-valued functions, *Adv. Math.* **62** (1986), 7–48.
- [SS] SLEDD, W. T. and STEGENGA, D. A., An  $H^1$  multiplier theorem, *Ark. Mat.* **19** (1981), 265–270.
- [SW] SZAREK, S. J. and WOLNIEWICZ, T., A proof of Fefferman’s theorem on multipliers, Preprint, 1980.
- [T] TARIELADZE, V. I., Vector-valued Fourier series and sample continuity of random processes, in *Probability Theory on Vector Spaces IV* (Cambanis, S. and Weron, A., eds.), Lecture Notes in Math. **1391**, pp. 375–387, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg, 1989.
- [Z] ZYGMUND, A., *Trigonometric Series*, Cambridge Univ. Press., New York, 1959.

*Received September 23, 1998*

Oscar Blasco  
Departamento de Análisis Matemático  
Universidad de Valencia  
ES-46100 Burjassot (Valencia)  
Spain  
email: Oscar.Blasco@uv.es