

Complex geometrical optics solutions for Lipschitz conductivities

Lassi Päivärinta, Alexander Panchenko and Gunther Uhlmann

Abstract

We prove the existence of complex geometrical optics solutions for Lipschitz conductivities. Moreover we show that, in dimensions $n \geq 3$ that one can uniquely recover a $W^{3/2,\infty}$ conductivity from its associated Dirichlet-to-Neumann map or voltage to current map.

1. Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary. Let $\gamma \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ be the electrical conductivity of Ω . We assume throughout the paper that the conductivity is strictly positive on $\overline{\Omega}$. Given a voltage potential f on $\partial\Omega$, under the assumption of no sources or sinks of current on Ω , the induced potential u on Ω satisfies the Dirichlet problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(\gamma \nabla u) &= 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} &= f. \end{aligned}$$

The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map (DN), or voltage to current map, is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \Lambda_\gamma(f) = \left(\gamma \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right) \Big|_{\partial\Omega},$$

where u is a solution to (1.1) and ν denotes the unit outer normal to $\partial\Omega$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35R30 35Q60.

Keywords: Electrical Impedance Tomography, Complex Geometrical Optics, Lipschitz Conductivities.

The inverse problem of determining γ from Λ_γ has been extensively studied since Calderón's pioneer paper [4]. The essential tool for the solution is the construction of complex geometrical optics solutions for the underlying conductivity equation. See [16] for a recent survey.

Kohn and Vogelius proved that one can uniquely determine piecewise real-analytic conductivities from the DN map [7]. Sylvester and Uhlmann proved in dimension $n \geq 3$ a global identifiability result for smooth conductivities [13]. Brown extended this result to conductivities in $C^{3/2+\varepsilon}(\overline{\Omega})$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, [2]. In two dimensions Nachman proved a global identifiability result for conductivities having two derivatives [8]. This was improved to Lipschitz conductivities in [3]. We point out that the above inverse conductivity problem makes sense for conductivities that are only in L^∞ . There are neither proofs nor counter-examples for this case in any dimension.

As mentioned above a crucial ingredient in the proof of the results in [2], [3], [8], and [13] is the construction of complex geometrical optics solutions for the equation (1.1), [13, 12].

Let $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\rho \cdot \rho = 0$. Moreover, let $\gamma \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 2$, with $\gamma = 1$ for $|x| \geq R$ and R sufficiently large. Then for $|\rho|$ sufficiently large there exist solutions of $\operatorname{div}(\gamma \nabla u) = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n of the form

$$(1.3) \quad u = e^{x \cdot \rho} \gamma^{-1/2} (1 + \psi_\gamma(x, \rho)).$$

In two dimensions these solutions are constructed for all $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ with $\rho \cdot \rho = 0$, [8].

The function ψ_γ satisfies

$$(1.4) \quad (\Delta + 2\rho \cdot \nabla) \psi_\gamma = q(1 + \psi_\gamma),$$

where

$$(1.5) \quad q = \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\gamma}}{\sqrt{\gamma}}.$$

An important property of ψ_γ is that

$$\psi_\gamma \xrightarrow{|\rho| \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

in an appropriate sense so that the solutions of (1.4) behave like $e^{x \cdot \rho} \gamma^{-1/2}$ for large $|\rho|$.

In this paper we construct complex geometrical optics solutions for conductivities $\gamma \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We sketch some of the steps in the construction.

We first rewrite (1.1) in the form

$$(1.6) \quad \Delta u + A \cdot \nabla u = 0,$$

where $A = \nabla \log \gamma \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has compact support. Let $A_\varepsilon = A * \Phi_\varepsilon$ where $\Phi_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, is an approximation to the δ -function.

We consider instead of (1.6) the Schrödinger equation with a smooth first order term

$$(1.7) \quad \Delta u_\varepsilon + A_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u_\varepsilon = 0.$$

In [9] complex geometrical optics solutions to (1.7) are constructed of the form

$$u_\varepsilon = e^{x \cdot \rho} e^{-\frac{\varphi_\varepsilon(x)}{2}} r_\varepsilon(x, \rho),$$

with r_ε satisfying appropriate decay conditions as $|\rho| \rightarrow \infty$. The functions φ_ε are defined by

$$(1.8) \quad \varphi_\varepsilon = \varphi * \Phi_\varepsilon.$$

We remark that in the case that $A_\varepsilon = \nabla \log \alpha$ with α smooth, then

$$e^{-\frac{\varphi_\varepsilon(x)}{2}} = \alpha^{-1/2}.$$

Our complex geometrical optics solutions are of the form

$$(1.9) \quad u = e^{x \cdot \rho} (\omega_0(x, \varepsilon) + \omega_1(x, \varepsilon, \rho)),$$

with

$$(1.10) \quad \omega_0(x, \varepsilon) = e^{-\frac{\varphi_\varepsilon(x)}{2}}.$$

In Section 2 we see that $\omega_0 \rightarrow \gamma^{-1/2}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, in appropriate sense. Since ω_0 is smooth we have avoided the problem of taking two derivatives of γ .

Now ω_1 solves the equation

$$(1.11) \quad ((\Delta + 2\rho \cdot \nabla) + A \cdot (\nabla + \rho))\omega_1 = -((\Delta + 2\rho \cdot \nabla) + A \cdot (\nabla + \rho))\omega_0.$$

We show that $\omega_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $|\rho| \rightarrow \infty$ in appropriate sense.

In this paper we show the existence of the complex geometrical solutions for all Lipschitz conductivities and give a global identifiability result for $W^{3/2, \infty}$ conductivities in dimension three and higher. This latter result improves on the result of [2].

More precisely, we will prove:

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\gamma \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, γ strictly positive and $\gamma = 1$ outside a large ball. Let $-1 < \delta < 0$. Then for $|\rho|$ sufficiently large there is a unique solution of*

$$\operatorname{div}(\gamma \nabla u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

of the form

$$(1.12) \quad u = e^{x \cdot \rho} (\gamma^{-1/2} + \psi_\gamma(x, \rho))$$

with $\psi_\gamma \in L_\delta^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Moreover, ψ_γ has the form

$$(1.13) \quad \psi_\gamma(x, \rho) = (\omega_0(x, \rho) - \gamma^{-1/2}) + \omega_1(x, \rho)$$

where ω_0 and ω_1 satisfy

$$(1.14) \quad \lim_{|\rho| \rightarrow \infty} \|\omega_0(x, \rho) - \gamma^{-1/2}\|_{H_\delta^1} = 0,$$

and

$$(1.15) \quad \lim_{|\rho| \rightarrow \infty} \|\omega_1(x, \rho)\|_{L_\delta^2} = 0.$$

Theorem 1.2 *Let $n \geq 3$. Let $\gamma_i \in W^{3/2,\infty}(\Omega)$, be strictly positive on $\overline{\Omega}$, $i = 1, 2$. Assume $\Lambda_{\gamma_1} = \Lambda_{\gamma_2}$. Then $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ on Ω .*

Note that if one could replace L_δ^2 -norm in (1.15) with H_δ^1 -norm then Theorem 1.2 would easily follow for any $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ conductivity.

In Section 2 we construct solutions as in Theorem 1.1. The key point of the proof is the observation that the operator on the left-hand side of (1.11) has an explicit approximative inverse that involves only first order derivatives of γ (c.f. Theorem 2.1 below).

In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.2, using a new identity that is satisfied if the DN maps of two conductivities are the same and plugging the solutions (1.9) into this identity. The standard identity used in previous results doesn't seem to give the desired result.

As for the case of $W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$ conductivities, we expect that the methods of this paper will lead to stability estimates and a reconstruction method. The case of $C^{2/3+\varepsilon}(\overline{\Omega})$, $\varepsilon > 0$, first order perturbations of the Laplacian was considered in [15].

2. Construction of the complex geometrical optics solutions

In this section we construct ω_0 and ω_1 as in Theorem 1.1 and we derive their asymptotic properties as $|\rho| \rightarrow \infty$.

We denote by $\Phi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-n}\Phi(x/\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, an approximation to the δ -function. More specifically we assume

$$\Phi(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n \Phi_0(x_j)$$

with $\Phi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\Phi_0 \geq 0$, $\Phi_0 = 1$ near 0 and $\int \Phi_0(x)dx = 1$.

We define the weighted L^2 -space, L_α^2 , by

$$L_\alpha^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f : \int (1 + |x|^2)^{\alpha/2} |f(x)|^2 dx < \infty \right\},$$

with norm given by

$$\|f\|_{L_\alpha^2}^2 = \int (1 + |x|^2)^\alpha |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

We denote by $H_\alpha^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the corresponding Sobolev space defined by interpolation for non integer s .

Throughout this section we assume that $\gamma \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $\gamma = 1$ outside a large ball. Let

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi_\varepsilon = \Phi_\varepsilon * \log \gamma,$$

$$(2.2) \quad A = \nabla \log \gamma,$$

$$(2.3) \quad A_\varepsilon = \Phi_\varepsilon * A.$$

Clearly

$$(2.4) \quad \rho \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon = \rho \cdot A_\varepsilon.$$

Next we define

$$(2.5) \quad \omega_0(x, \varepsilon) = e^{-\varphi_\varepsilon(x)/2}.$$

The proof of the following proposition is standard.

Proposition 2.1 *If $A \in W^{1/2,\infty}(\Omega)$ we have as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$*

$$\|A_\varepsilon - A\|_{L^2} = \varepsilon^{1/2}o(\varepsilon)$$

and

$$\|\partial_{x_j} A_\varepsilon\|_{L^2} = \varepsilon^{-1/2}o(\varepsilon).$$

From the above proposition we conclude

Lemma 2.1 *Let ω_0 be as in (2.5). Then for any $\delta > 0$*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\omega_0 - \gamma^{-1/2}\|_{H_\delta^1} = 0.$$

Let $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\rho \cdot \rho = 0$. We define the operators

$$(2.6) \quad \Delta_\rho u = e^{-x \cdot \rho} \Delta(e^{x \cdot \rho} u) = \Delta u + 2\rho \cdot \nabla u,$$

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla_\rho v = e^{-x \cdot \rho} \nabla(e^{x \cdot \rho} v) = \nabla v + \rho \cdot v.$$

Let $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We define

$$(2.8) \quad \Delta_\rho^{-1} f = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \frac{\hat{f}(\xi)}{-|\xi|^2 + 2i\rho \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

and recall

Proposition 2.2 ([13, 12]). *Let $n \geq 2$. Let $-1 < \delta < 0$ and $s \geq 0$. Then Δ_ρ^{-1} extends to a bounded operator*

$$\Delta_\rho^{-1} : H_{\delta+1}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H_\delta^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

with

$$(2.9) \quad \|\Delta_\rho^{-1}\|_{H_{\delta+1}^s \rightarrow H_\delta^s} \leq \frac{C(s, \delta, n)}{|\rho|},$$

for some $C > 0$. Moreover

$$\Delta_\rho^{-1} : L_{\delta+1}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H_\delta^k(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad k = 1, 2$$

is bounded and

$$(2.10) \quad \|\Delta_\rho^{-1}\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2 \rightarrow H_\delta^k} \leq C(\delta, k, n) |\rho|^{k-1}, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

for some $C > 0$.

Next we construct ω_1 . To have a solution of the form (1.9), ω_1 must satisfy

$$(2.11) \quad (\Delta_\rho + A \cdot \nabla_\rho)\omega_1 = -(\Delta_\rho + A \cdot \nabla_\rho)\omega_0 =: -f_\varepsilon.$$

We write

$$\omega_1 = \Delta_\rho^{-1}\tilde{\omega},$$

then $\tilde{\omega}$ must satisfy

$$(2.12) \quad (I + A \cdot \nabla_\rho \Delta_\rho^{-1})\tilde{\omega} = -f_\varepsilon.$$

A straightforward calculation gives that

$$(2.13) \quad f_\varepsilon = -e^{-\frac{\varphi_\varepsilon}{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\varphi_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{4}(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon)^2 - \frac{1}{2}A \cdot \nabla\varphi_\varepsilon - \rho \cdot \nabla\varphi_\varepsilon + \rho \cdot A \right).$$

Since $\rho \cdot \nabla\varphi_\varepsilon = \rho \cdot A_\varepsilon$, we get

$$(2.14) \quad f_\varepsilon = -e^{-\frac{\varphi_\varepsilon}{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\varphi_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{4}(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon)^2 - \frac{1}{2}A \cdot \nabla\varphi_\varepsilon + (A - A_\varepsilon) \cdot \rho \right).$$

In order to solve (2.12) we define the operators $T_\rho(\gamma)$ and $S_\rho(\gamma)$ by

$$(2.15) \quad T_\rho(\gamma) := (I + A \cdot \nabla_\rho \Delta_\rho^{-1})$$

and

$$(2.16) \quad S_\rho(\gamma) := \gamma^{-1/2}(I - A \cdot \nabla_\rho \Delta_\rho^{-1})\gamma^{1/2}.$$

Note that

$$(2.17) \quad S_\rho(\gamma) = \gamma^{-1/2}T_\rho(\gamma^{-1})\gamma^{1/2}.$$

The main result of this section is that $T_\rho(\gamma)$ and $S_\rho(\gamma)$ are approximate inverses to each other. This result is used to prove that (2.12) has a unique solution in an appropriate space.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $-1 < \delta < 0$ and $\gamma \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with γ strictly positive and $\gamma = 1$ outside a large ball. Then the operator*

$$(2.18) \quad T_\rho(\gamma) : L_{\delta+1}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_{\delta+1}^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

is bounded and it has a bounded inverse T_ρ^{-1} for $|\rho|$ large. Moreover

$$(2.19) \quad \|T_\rho^{-1}(\gamma) - S_\rho(\gamma)\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2 \rightarrow L_{\delta+1}^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |\rho| \rightarrow \infty.$$

We first prove a stronger version of (2.19) for C^2 -conductivities. More precisely we show

Lemma 2.2 *Let $\gamma \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\gamma = 1$ outside a large ball and strictly positive. Then for $|\rho|$ large we have the estimate*

$$(2.20) \quad \|T_\rho^{-1}(\gamma) - S_\rho(\gamma)\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2 \rightarrow L_{\delta+1}^2} \leq \frac{C\|\gamma\|_{C^2}}{|\rho|},$$

for some $C > 0$.

Proof. We have the following identity which was implicitly used in [13, 12] to reduce the construction complex geometrical optics solutions for the conductivity equation to the construction of complex geometrical optics solutions for the Schrödinger equation. The identity was explicitly stated in [5] and used to construct complex geometrical optics solutions for Maxwell's equations.

$$(2.21) \quad (\Delta_\rho + A \cdot \nabla_\rho)\gamma^{-1/2} = \gamma^{-1/2}(\Delta_\rho - q),$$

with $q = \frac{\Delta\sqrt{\gamma}}{\sqrt{\gamma}}$. Then

$$(\Delta_\rho + A \cdot \nabla_\rho)\Delta_\rho^{-1} = \gamma^{-1/2}(\Delta_\rho - q)\gamma^{1/2}\Delta_\rho^{-1}$$

and therefore

$$(2.22) \quad I + (A \cdot \nabla_\rho)\Delta_\rho^{-1} = \gamma^{-1/2}\Delta_\rho\gamma^{1/2}\Delta_\rho^{-1} - q\Delta_\rho^{-1}.$$

By Proposition 2.2

$$\|q\Delta_\rho^{-1}\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2 \rightarrow L_{\delta+1}^2} \leq \frac{C\|q\|_\infty}{|\rho|},$$

for some $C > 0$. Therefore

$$(I + (A \cdot \nabla_\rho)\Delta_\rho^{-1})^{-1} = (\gamma^{-1/2}\Delta_\rho\gamma^{1/2}\Delta_\rho^{-1})^{-1} + B_\rho,$$

for $|\rho|$ large with

$$\|B_\rho\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2 \rightarrow L_{\delta+1}^2} \leq \frac{C\|q\|_\infty}{|\rho|}.$$

Since

$$(\gamma^{-1/2}\Delta_\rho\gamma^{1/2}\Delta_\rho^{-1})^{-1} = \Delta_\rho\gamma^{-1/2}\Delta_\rho^{-1}\gamma^{1/2},$$

we conclude that

$$(2.23) \quad \|(I + (A \cdot \nabla_\rho)\Delta_\rho^{-1})^{-1} - \Delta_\rho\gamma^{-1/2}\Delta_\rho^{-1}\gamma^{1/2}\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2 \rightarrow L_{\delta+1}^2} \leq \frac{C\|\gamma\|_{C^2}}{|\rho|}.$$

Now we use formula (2.22) again by changing γ to $1/\gamma$, to conclude

$$(2.24) \quad \Delta_\rho \gamma^{-1/2} \Delta_\rho^{-1} \gamma^{1/2} = \gamma^{1/2} (I - (A \cdot \nabla_\rho) \Delta_\rho^{-1}) \gamma^{-1/2} + \gamma^{1/2} \Delta(\gamma^{-1/2}) \Delta_\rho^{-1}.$$

From (2.23) and (2.24) we conclude the proof of the Lemma since by Proposition 2.2 again

$$\|\gamma^{1/2} \Delta(\gamma^{-1/2}) \Delta_\rho^{-1}\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2 \rightarrow L_{\delta+1}^2} \leq \frac{C \|\gamma\|_{C^2}}{|\rho|}.$$

■

Lemma 2.3 *Let $\gamma \in W^{1,\infty}$, γ strictly positive and $\gamma = 1$ outside a large ball. Let $\gamma_\varepsilon = \gamma * \Phi_\varepsilon$. Then*

$$(2.25) \quad \|T_\rho(\gamma_\varepsilon) - T_\rho(\gamma)\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2 \rightarrow L_{\delta+1}^2} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Proof. We have that

$$T_\rho(\gamma_\varepsilon) - T_\rho(\gamma) = I + (\nabla \log \gamma_\varepsilon - \nabla \log \gamma) \cdot \nabla_\rho \Delta_\rho^{-1}.$$

Using Proposition 2.2 we conclude that

$$\|\nabla_\rho \Delta_\rho^{-1}\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2 \rightarrow L_{\delta+1}^2} \leq C,$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of $|\rho|$. Therefore

$$\|T_\rho(\gamma_\varepsilon) - T_\rho(\gamma)\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2 \rightarrow L_{\delta+1}^2} \leq C \|\nabla \log \gamma_\varepsilon - \nabla \log \gamma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

proving the Lemma. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Theorem 2.1 follows directly from Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 by standard approximation procedure. Namely, for $\gamma \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as before we have

$$(2.26) \quad \begin{aligned} S_\rho T_\rho - I &= (S_\rho(\gamma_\varepsilon) T_\rho(\gamma_\varepsilon) - I) \\ &\quad - (S_\rho(\gamma_\varepsilon) - S_\rho(\gamma)) T_\rho(\gamma_\varepsilon) - S_\rho(\gamma) (T_\rho(\gamma_\varepsilon) - T_\rho(\gamma)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\gamma_\varepsilon\|_{C^2} = O(\varepsilon^{-2})$ we obtain from Lemma 2.2

$$(2.27) \quad \|S_\rho(\gamma_\varepsilon) T_\rho(\gamma_\varepsilon) - I\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2 \rightarrow L_{\delta+1}^2} \leq \frac{C \|\gamma_\varepsilon\|_{C^2}}{|\rho|} = O\left(\frac{1}{|\rho| \varepsilon^2}\right).$$

Now by Proposition 2.2

$$\|S_\rho(\tilde{\gamma})\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2 \rightarrow L_{\delta+1}^2} + \|T_\rho(\tilde{\gamma})\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2 \rightarrow L_{\delta+1}^2} \leq C \|\nabla \log \tilde{\gamma}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

holds for any conductivity $\tilde{\gamma} \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore by taking $\varepsilon = |\rho|^{-1/4}$ and $|\rho|$ large we obtain from Lemma 2.3 and (2.27) the desired conclusion (2.19). \blacksquare

Next we study the behavior of ω_1 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $|\rho| \rightarrow \infty$. By Theorem 2.1 and (2.12) we can define

$$(2.28) \quad \omega_1(x, \varepsilon, \rho) = -\Delta_\rho^{-1} S_\rho f_\varepsilon + h_\rho$$

where

$$(2.29) \quad h_\rho = \Delta_\rho^{-1} C_\rho f_\varepsilon$$

with $C_\rho = T_\rho^{-1} - S_\rho$.

Lemma 2.4

$$\lim_{|\rho| \rightarrow \infty} \|h_\rho\|_{H_\delta^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.1 we have that

$$\|T_\rho^{-1}\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2 \rightarrow L_{\delta+1}^2} \leq C$$

with C independent of ρ . By definition

$$(2.30) \quad T_\rho \Delta_\rho \omega_1 = -f_\varepsilon,$$

and

$$\Delta_\rho \omega_1 = -S_\rho f_\varepsilon + C_\rho f_\varepsilon.$$

Therefore by Theorem 2.1

$$\|\Delta_\rho \omega_1 + S_\rho f_\varepsilon\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2 \rightarrow L_{\delta+1}^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \rho \rightarrow \infty.$$

This implies that

$$(2.31) \quad A := \|\nabla \omega_1 + \Delta_\rho^{-1} \nabla S_\rho f_\varepsilon\|_{L_\delta^2 \rightarrow L_\delta^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |\rho| \rightarrow \infty$$

since

$$A = \|\nabla \Delta_\rho^{-1} (\Delta_\rho \omega_1 + S_\rho f_\varepsilon)\|_{L_\delta^2 \rightarrow L_\delta^2} \leq C \|\Delta_\rho \omega_1 - S_\rho f_\varepsilon\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2 \rightarrow L_{\delta+1}^2} \quad \blacksquare$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The choice $\omega_0(x, \rho) = \omega_0(x, \varepsilon)$ and $\omega_1(x, \rho) = \omega_1(x, \varepsilon, \rho)$, with say $\varepsilon = |\rho|^{-\alpha}$ for any $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ will lead to the desired result. Indeed, by Proposition 2.2 and by (2.16)

$$\|f_\varepsilon\| \leq C(\varepsilon^{-1} + |\rho|)o(\varepsilon).$$

On the other hand by Lemma 2.3

$$\|\omega_1\|_{L^2_\delta} \leq \|\Delta_\rho^{-1} S_\rho f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2_\delta} + \|\Delta_\rho h_\rho\|_{L^2_\delta} \leq \frac{C}{|\rho|} \|f_\varepsilon\|$$

which proves that

$$\|\omega_1\|_{L^2_\delta} \xrightarrow{|\rho| \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

This together with Lemma 2.1 prove Theorem 1.1. ■

3. The uniqueness proof

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. We shall use the following identity

Lemma 3.1 *Let $\gamma \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, γ strictly positive on $\bar{\Omega}$ and $a = \sqrt{\gamma}$. Let $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ be a solution of $\operatorname{div}(\gamma \nabla u) = 0$. Let $v \in H^1(\Omega)$. Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} (\nabla a \cdot \nabla(uv) - \nabla(au) \cdot \nabla v) dx = - \int_{\partial\Omega} av \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} dS,$$

where dS denotes surface measure on $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. By the divergence theorem we have

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} a^{-1} \operatorname{div}(a^2 \nabla u) v dx = - \int_{\Omega} a^2 \nabla u \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{v}{a} \right) dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} a \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} v dS.$$

Therefore

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} a^2 \nabla u \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{v}{a} \right) dx = - \int_{\partial\Omega} a \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} v dS.$$

Now setting $w = au$ and using that $\nabla a^{-1} = -a^{-2} \nabla a$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} a^2 \nabla(a^{-1}w) \cdot \nabla(a^{-1}v) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\nabla w \cdot \nabla v - \nabla a \cdot (w \nabla(a^{-1}v) + v \nabla(a^{-1}w))) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla w \cdot \nabla v - \nabla a \cdot \nabla(a^{-1}wv) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla(au) \cdot \nabla v - \nabla a \cdot \nabla(uv) dx, \end{aligned}$$

proving the Lemma. ■

The right-hand side of (3.1) is determined by the DN map if we know $v|_{\partial\Omega}$. This is a consequence of the following two Lemmas.

Lemma 3.2 [1, 6, 14] *Let $\gamma_i \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ conductivities $i = 1, 2$ with $\Lambda_{\gamma_1} = \Lambda_{\gamma_2}$. Then*

$$\gamma_1|_{\partial\Omega} = \gamma_2|_{\partial\Omega} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\partial\gamma_1}{\partial\nu} \right) \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = \left(\frac{\partial\gamma_2}{\partial\nu} \right) \Big|_{\partial\Omega}.$$

Lemma 3.3 [13] *Assume $\gamma_i \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ conductivities $i = 1, 2$ with $\Lambda_{\gamma_1} = \Lambda_{\gamma_2}$. Extend $\gamma_i \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ in Ω^c and $\gamma_i = 1$ outside a large ball. Let u_i , $i = 1, 2$ be the complex geometrical solutions as in Theorem 1.1. Then*

$$u_1 = u_2 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega^c.$$

We include the proof here for the sake of completeness.

Proof. Let $z \in H^1(\Omega)$ be the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(\gamma_1 \nabla z) &= 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Omega \\ z|_{\partial\Omega} &= u_2|_{\partial\Omega}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption $\Lambda_{\gamma_1} = \Lambda_{\gamma_2}$, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \left(\gamma_1 \frac{\partial z}{\partial\nu} \right) \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = \left(\gamma_2 \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial\nu} \right) \Big|_{\partial\Omega},$$

and therefore, since γ_1 equals γ_2 on the boundary,

$$\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial\nu} \right) \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = \left(\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial\nu} \right) \Big|_{\partial\Omega}.$$

Then z solves $\operatorname{div}(\gamma_1 \nabla z) = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n if we extend $z = u_2$ on Ω^c . Since z has the same asymptotic behavior as u_1 we have from the uniqueness of the solutions in Theorem 1.1 that $z = u_1$ and therefore $u_1 = u_2$ in Ω^c . ■

Combining Lemmas 3.1–3.3 we arrive at the following:

Proposition 3.1 *Let $\gamma_i \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ conductivities with $\Lambda_{\gamma_1} = \Lambda_{\gamma_2}$ and let $a_i = \gamma_i^{1/2}$. Then*

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} (\nabla a_1 \cdot \nabla(u_1 v) - \nabla a_2 \cdot \nabla(u_2 v)) \, dx \\ &- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla(a_1 u_1) \cdot \nabla v - \nabla(a_2 u_2) \cdot \nabla v) \, dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for any $v \in H^1(\Omega)$ and $u_i \in H^1(\Omega)$ solution of $\operatorname{div}(\gamma_i \nabla u_i) = 0$ on Ω , $i = 1, 2$.

The next lemma is the only place where the assumption $\gamma \in W^{3/2,\infty}(\Omega)$ is needed.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $\gamma \in W^{3/2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, γ strictly positive and equal to one outside a large ball. Then for $\omega_1(x, \rho) = \omega_1(x, \varepsilon, \rho)$, as in (2.28), $\varepsilon = |\rho|^{-1}$ we have*

$$(3.6) \quad \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \nabla \gamma^{1/2} \cdot \nabla \omega_1 \, dx \xrightarrow{|\rho| \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Proof. The statement (3.6) is equivalent to

$$(3.7) \quad \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \gamma^{1/2} A \cdot \nabla \omega_1 \, dx \xrightarrow{|\rho| \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Using (2.12), (2.16) and (2.19), (3.7) follows if we show

$$(3.8) \quad \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \gamma^{-1/2} A \cdot \nabla \Delta_\rho^{-1} f_\varepsilon \, dx \xrightarrow{|\rho| \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \gamma^{-1/2} A \cdot \nabla \Delta_\rho^{-1} \gamma^{-1/2} A \cdot \nabla_\rho \Delta_\rho^{-1} \gamma^{1/2} f_\varepsilon \, dx \xrightarrow{|\rho| \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

We know from Lemma 2.1 that $e^{\frac{\varphi_\varepsilon}{2}} \rightarrow \gamma^{1/2}$ in H_δ^1 so that it can be easily estimated. The first two terms of f_ε involve $\Delta \varphi_\varepsilon$ and $\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon$. Recall from Proposition 2. that $\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon = A_\varepsilon$ and therefore $\Delta \varphi_\varepsilon = \operatorname{div} A_\varepsilon$. Below we will see that the choice $\varepsilon \simeq |\rho|^{-1}$ is the only possibility to obtain (3.6). Accordingly in (2.14) the terms $\nabla \cdot A_\varepsilon = \Delta \varphi_\varepsilon$ and $(A - A_\varepsilon) \cdot \rho$ behave both as $\varepsilon^{-1/2} o(\varepsilon)$. The other two terms are bounded and cause no trouble. We will give detailed estimates for the term involving $(A - A_\varepsilon) \cdot \rho$. The same reasoning works for the other terms, as well. To this end we notice that for (3.9) we need to show

$$C_{\varepsilon, \rho} := \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \gamma^{-1/2} (A - A_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla \Delta_\rho^{-1} \gamma^{-1/2} A \cdot \nabla_\rho \Delta_\rho^{-1} \gamma^{1/2} (A - A_\varepsilon) \cdot \rho \, dx \xrightarrow{|\rho| \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

and

$$D_{\varepsilon, \rho} := \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \gamma^{-1/2} A_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \Delta_\rho^{-1} \gamma^{-1/2} A \cdot \nabla_\rho \Delta_\rho^{-1} \gamma^{1/2} (A - A_\varepsilon) \cdot \rho \, dx \xrightarrow{|\rho| \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Since $\nabla \Delta_\rho^{-1}$ and $\nabla_\rho \Delta_\rho^{-1}$ are both bounded operators from $L_{\delta+1}^2 \rightarrow L_\delta^2$ we have by choosing $\varepsilon = |\rho|^{-1}$

$$C_{\varepsilon, \rho} = \varepsilon o(\varepsilon) |\rho| \xrightarrow{|\rho| \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\|\nabla(e^{ix \cdot \xi} \gamma^{-1/2} A_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2} = \varepsilon^{-1/2} o(\varepsilon)$$

the integration by parts gives

$$D_{\varepsilon, \rho} = \varepsilon^{-1/2} \varepsilon^{1/2} o(\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{|\rho| \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

proving (3.9) concerning this term. The proof of (3.8) is similar but easier. \blacksquare

Note that the above proof uses the result of Theorem 2.1. The proof of this theorem uses the choice $\varepsilon = |\rho|^{-1/4}$ but the statement of the theorem is independent of ε .

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We now extend $\gamma_i \in W^{3/2, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ on Ω^c , $\gamma_i = 1$ outside a large ball $i = 1, 2$ and γ_i strictly positive.

Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We choose $\rho_1 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\rho_1 \cdot \rho_1 = 0$, $|\rho_1|$ large enough and $\rho_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\rho_2 \cdot \rho_2 = 0$ so that $\rho_1 + \rho_2 = i\xi$. Let

$$(3.10) \quad u_i = e^{x \cdot \rho_i} \left(\omega_0^{(i)} + \omega_1^{(i)} \right), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

as in Theorem 1.1 with γ replaced by γ_i , $i = 1, 2$. Let

$$(3.11) \quad v = e^{x \cdot \rho_2}.$$

Now we substitute (3.10) and (3.11) in (3.5). We note that by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 we can replace the integration over Ω on (3.5) by integration over \mathbb{R}^n .

By taking the limit as $|\rho| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we can replace by Lemmas 2.1 and 3.3 u_1 by $e^{x \cdot \rho_1} \gamma_1^{-1/2}$ and u_2 by $e^{x \cdot \rho_2} \gamma_2^{-1/2}$ in (3.5). We obtain

$$(3.12) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\nabla \gamma_1^{1/2} \cdot \nabla(e^{ix \cdot \xi} \gamma_1^{-1/2}) - \nabla \gamma_2^{1/2} \cdot \nabla(e^{ix \cdot \xi} \gamma_2^{-1/2}) \right) dx = 0.$$

This leads to

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{i}{2} \xi \cdot \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} (\nabla \log \gamma_1 - \nabla \log \gamma_2) dx \\ - \frac{1}{4} \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} ((\nabla \log \gamma_1)^2 - (\nabla \log \gamma_2)^2) dx = 0.$$

Then by using the Fourier inversion formula we obtain in the sense of distributions

$$(3.14) \quad \Delta(\log \gamma_1 - \log \gamma_2) + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \log \gamma_1 + \nabla \log \gamma_2) \cdot \nabla(\log \gamma_1 - \log \gamma_2) = 0.$$

Since $\gamma_1|_{\partial\Omega} = \gamma_2|_{\partial\Omega}$, by the uniqueness of the solutions of the Dirichlet problem we conclude that $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ ending the proof of Theorem 1.2. \blacksquare

References

- [1] ALESSANDRINI, G.: Singular solutions of elliptic equations and the determination of conductivity by boundary measurements. *J. Diff. Equations* **84** (1990), 252–272.
- [2] BROWN, R.: Global uniqueness in the impedance imaging problem for less regular conductivities. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **27** (1996), 1049–1056.
- [3] BROWN, R. AND UHLMANN, G.: Uniqueness in the inverse conductivity problem for nonsmooth conductivities in two dimensions. *Comm. PDE* **22** (1997), 1009–1027.
- [4] CALDERÓN, A. P.: On an inverse boundary value problem. *Seminar on Numerical Analysis and its Applications to Continuum Physics*, 65–73. Soc. Brasileira de Matemática, 1980.
- [5] COLTON, D. AND PÄIVÄRINTA, L.: The uniqueness of a solution to an inverse scattering problem for electromagnetic waves. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **119** (1992), 59–70.
- [6] KOHN, R. AND VOGELIUS, M.: Determining conductivity by boundary measurements. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **37** (1984), 289–298.
- [7] KOHN, R. AND VOGELIUS, M.: Determining conductivity by boundary measurements II. Interior results. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **38** (1985), 643–667.
- [8] NACHMAN, A.: Global uniqueness for a two-dimensional inverse boundary value problem. *Ann. of Math.* **143** (1996), 71–96.
- [9] NAKAMURA, G., SUN, Z. AND UHLMANN, G.: Global identifiability for an inverse problem for the Schrödinger equation in a magnetic field. *Math. Ann.* **303** (1995), 377–388.
- [10] PANCHENKO, A.: An inverse problem for the magnetic Schrödinger equation and quasi-exponential solutions of nonsmooth partial differential equations. *Inverse Problems* **18** (2002), 1421–1439.
- [11] SUN, Z.: An inverse boundary value problem for Schrödinger operator with vector potential. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **338** (1993), 953–959.
- [12] SYLVESTER, J. AND UHLMANN, G.: A uniqueness theorem for an inverse boundary value problem in electrical prospection. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **39** (1986), 91–112.
- [13] SYLVESTER, J. AND UHLMANN, G.: A global uniqueness theorem for an inverse boundary value problem. *Ann. of Math.* **125** (1987), 153–169.
- [14] SYLVESTER, J. AND UHLMANN, G.: Inverse boundary value problems at the boundary-continuous dependence. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math* **41** (1988), 197–221.

- [15] TOLMASKY, C.: Exponentially growing solutions for nonsmooth first order perturbations of the Laplacian. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **29** (1998), 116–133.
- [16] UHLMANN, G.: Developments in inverse problems since Calderón’s foundational paper. *Harmonic analysis and partial differential equations (Chicago, IL, 1996)*, 295–345 (M. Christ, C. Kenig, C. Sadosky editors). Chicago Lectures in Math., Univ. Chicago Press, 1999.

Recibido: 7 de mayo de 2001

Lassi Päivärinta
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Oulu
90570 Oulu, Finland
lassi@tol.oulu.fi

Alexander Panchenko
Department of Mathematics
Pennsylvania State University
University Park, PA 16802, USA
panchenk@math.psu.edu

Gunther Uhlmann
Department of Mathematics
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195, USA
gunther@math.washington.edu