

Dariusz Borzestowski,* Institute of Mathematics, University of Gdańsk,
Poland. email: db@math.univ.gda.pl

Ireneusz Reclaw,† Institute of Informatics, University of Gdańsk, Poland.
email: reclaw@inf.ug.edu.pl

ON LUNINA'S 7-TUPLES FOR IDEAL CONVERGENCE

Abstract

We prove the ideal versions of Lunina's Theorem on convergence and divergence sets of real continuous functions defined on a metric space for F_σ -ideals and ideals with Baire property.

Let (M, ρ) be a metric space. For a sequence of continuous real functions $\vec{f} = (f_n)_n$ defined on M we consider 7 types of sets of convergence or divergence of that sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{\vec{f}} &= \{x : -\infty < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) < +\infty\}, \\ E_2^{\vec{f}} &= \{x : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = +\infty\}, \\ E_3^{\vec{f}} &= \{x : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = -\infty\}, \\ E_4^{\vec{f}} &= \{x : -\infty < \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) < \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) < +\infty\}, \\ E_5^{\vec{f}} &= \{x : -\infty < \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) < \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = +\infty\}, \\ E_6^{\vec{f}} &= \{x : -\infty = \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) < \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) < +\infty\}, \\ E_7^{\vec{f}} &= \{x : -\infty = \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \wedge \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = +\infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, let

$$\begin{aligned} E_8^{\vec{f}} &= \{x : \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = +\infty\}, \\ E_9^{\vec{f}} &= \{x : \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = -\infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 28A20; Secondary: 03E15
Key words: ideal convergence, continuous functions, convergence sets, Lunina's theorem
Received by the editors October 13, 2009
Communicated by: Udayan B. Darji

*The author is a Ph.D. student at University of Gdańsk

†Research partially supported by Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and by the grant BW 5100-5-0148-8.

Observe that $E_8^{\vec{f}} = E_2^{\vec{f}} \cup E_5^{\vec{f}} \cup E_7^{\vec{f}}$ and $E_9^{\vec{f}} = E_3^{\vec{f}} \cup E_6^{\vec{f}} \cup E_7^{\vec{f}}$

Theorem 1 (Lunina, [6]). *Suppose that a metric space M is a union of 7 disjoint sets E_1, E_2, \dots, E_7 such that E_1, E_2, E_3 is $F_{\sigma\delta}$ in M and $E_2 \cup E_5 \cup E_7, E_3 \cup E_6 \cup E_7$ are G_δ in M . Then there exists the sequence of real-valued continuous functions $\vec{f} = (f_n)_n$ on M so that $E_i = E_i^{\vec{f}}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$.*

This completely describes the defined sets because it was known that for a given sequence of continuous functions \vec{f} on a metric space M , the sets satisfy the assumption of the theorem. We will call (E_1, \dots, E_7) *Lunina's 7-tuple* in M if there exists a sequence of real-valued continuous functions $\vec{f} = (f_n)_n$ on M such that $E_i = E_i^{\vec{f}}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$. Let us denote $\Lambda 7(M) = \{(E_1, \dots, E_7) : (E_1, \dots, E_7) \text{ is Lunina's 7-tuple in } M\}$. So Lunina's theorem can be expressed in the following way:

$$\Lambda 7(M) = \{(E_1, \dots, E_7) : (E_1, \dots, E_7) \text{ is a partition of } M \text{ and} \\ E_1, E_2, E_3 \in F_{\sigma\delta}(M) \text{ and } E_2 \cup E_5 \cup E_7, E_3 \cup E_6 \cup E_7 \in G_\delta(M)\}$$

for a metric space M .

In this paper we are going to prove some results which generalize Lunina's Theorem (however using it) for ideal convergence for ideals with Baire property (inclusion) and F_σ -ideals (equality). The notion of ideal convergence (\mathcal{I} -convergence) is a generalization of the notion of convergence (in the case of the ordinary convergence the ideal \mathcal{I} is equal to the ideal of finite subsets of $\omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$). It was first considered in the case of the ideal of sets of statistical density 0 by Steinhaus and Fast [4] (in such a case ideal convergence is equivalent to statistical convergence.) In its general form it appears in the work of Bernstein [1] (for maximal ideals) and M. Katětov [5], where both authors use dual notions of filter convergence.

A family of sets of integers $\mathcal{I} \subset P(\omega)$ is an ideal if $\omega \notin \mathcal{I}$ and it is closed under finite unions and taking subsets. Throughout the paper assume that \mathcal{I} contains the ideal of finite subsets Fin . Since we can identify a set of integers with its characteristic function we can identify $P(\omega)$ with the Cantor space. In this sense ideals can be F_σ -subsets or have Baire property in the space $P(\omega)$. The ideal Fin is an F_σ -ideal. Let us give two more less trivial examples of F_σ -ideals. The ideal $\mathcal{I}_{1/n} = \{A \subset \omega : \sum_{n \in A} 1/n < \infty\}$ and the van der Waerden ideal, an ideal of sets which do not contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. It is known that the ideal of sets of statistical density 0 is an $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -ideal but not an F_σ -ideal.

Definition 2. *Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω and $(x_n)_n$ be a sequence of real numbers*

and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\mathcal{I}-\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x \Leftrightarrow \forall l \in \mathbb{N}_+ \left\{ n \in \omega : |x_n - x| > \frac{1}{l} \right\} \in \mathcal{I},$$

$$\mathcal{I}-\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = -\infty \Leftrightarrow \forall l \in \mathbb{Z} \{n \in \omega : x_n > l\} \in \mathcal{I},$$

$$\mathcal{I}-\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = +\infty \Leftrightarrow \forall l \in \mathbb{Z} \{n \in \omega : x_n < l\} \in \mathcal{I},$$

$$\mathcal{I}-\overline{\lim} x_n = \inf \{ \alpha : \{n : x_n > \alpha\} \in \mathcal{I} \},$$

$$\mathcal{I}-\underline{\lim} x_n = \sup \{ \alpha : \{n : x_n < \alpha\} \in \mathcal{I} \}.$$

Observe that to define the first three parts of the definition it is enough to use only the last two parts, simply defining $\mathcal{I}-\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ if $\mathcal{I}-\overline{\lim} x_n = \mathcal{I}-\underline{\lim} x_n = x$, and $\mathcal{I}-\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = -\infty$ if $\mathcal{I}-\overline{\lim} x_n = -\infty$, and $\mathcal{I}-\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = +\infty$ if $\mathcal{I}-\underline{\lim} x_n = +\infty$.

Let $\vec{f} = (f_n)_n$ be a sequence of continuous functions such that $f_n : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Suppose that \mathcal{I} is an ideal on ω . Let us introduce the following notation:

$$E_1^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I}) = \left\{ x : -\infty < \mathcal{I}-\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) < +\infty \right\},$$

$$E_2^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I}) = \left\{ x : \mathcal{I}-\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = +\infty \right\},$$

$$E_3^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I}) = \left\{ x : \mathcal{I}-\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = -\infty \right\},$$

$$E_4^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I}) = \left\{ x : -\infty < \mathcal{I}-\underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) < \mathcal{I}-\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) < +\infty \right\},$$

$$E_5^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I}) = \left\{ x : -\infty < \mathcal{I}-\underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) < \mathcal{I}-\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = +\infty \right\},$$

$$E_6^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I}) = \left\{ x : -\infty = \mathcal{I}-\underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) < \mathcal{I}-\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) < +\infty \right\},$$

$$E_7^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I}) = \left\{ x : -\infty = \mathcal{I}-\underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \wedge \mathcal{I}-\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = +\infty \right\}.$$

Moreover, let

$$E_8^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I}) = \left\{ x : \mathcal{I}-\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = +\infty \right\},$$

$$E_9^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I}) = \left\{ x : \mathcal{I}-\underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = -\infty \right\}.$$

Since standard convergence coincides with the ideal convergence with respect to Fin , for the ideal Fin we have $E_i^{\vec{f}} = E_i^{\vec{f}}(\text{Fin})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 9$.

We will call (E_1, \dots, E_7) *Lunina's 7-tuple in M for \mathcal{I}* if there exists a sequence of real-valued continuous functions $\vec{f} = (f_n)_n$ on M so that $E_i = E_i^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$. Let us denote $\Lambda 7(M, \mathcal{I}) = \{(E_1, \dots, E_7) : (E_1, \dots, E_7) \text{ is Lunina's 7-tuple in } M \text{ for } \mathcal{I}\}$.

Let us recall *the Rudin-Keisler ordering* for ideals. $\mathcal{I} \leq_{RK} \mathcal{J}$ if there is a function $h : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $A \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $h^{-1}[A] \in \mathcal{J}$.

Theorem 3. *If $\mathcal{I} \leq_{RK} \mathcal{J}$ then $\Lambda 7(M, \mathcal{I}) \subset \Lambda 7(M, \mathcal{J})$.*

PROOF. Let $h : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ be a function such that for each $A \subset \omega$ $A \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $h^{-1}[A] \in \mathcal{J}$ and let $(E_1, \dots, E_7) \in \Lambda 7(M, \mathcal{I})$. Then there exists a sequence of continuous functions $\vec{f} = (f_n)_n, f_n : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that $E_i = E_i^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$. We define a sequence of functions $\vec{g} = (g_n)_n, g_n : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g_k = f_n$ for $k \in h^{-1}[\{n\}]$. To show that $E_i = E_i^{\vec{g}}(\mathcal{J})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$, it is enough to show the following for all $x \in M$:

1. $\mathcal{I} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \mathcal{J} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x)$,
2. $\mathcal{I} - \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \mathcal{J} - \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x)$,
3. $\mathcal{I} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \mathcal{J} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x)$.

Observe first that $\{n : f_n(x) \in Z\} \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $\{k : g_k(x) \in Z\} \in \mathcal{J}$ for fixed $x \in M$ and $Z \subset \mathbb{R}$, simply because $\{k : g_k(x) \in Z\} = h^{-1}[\{n : f_n(x) \in Z\}]$. Then $\{\alpha : \{n : f_n(x) > \alpha\} \in \mathcal{I}\} = \{\alpha : \{k : g_k(x) > \alpha\} \in \mathcal{J}\}$ and $\{\alpha : \{n : f_n(x) < \alpha\} \in \mathcal{I}\} = \{\alpha : \{k : g_k(x) < \alpha\} \in \mathcal{J}\}$ as well as their suprema and infima.

□

Corollary 4. *If \mathcal{I} is an ideal with the Baire property then $\Lambda 7(M) \subset \Lambda 7(M, \mathcal{I})$.*

PROOF. M. Talagrand ([8] or [3], Corollary 3.10.2) proved that if \mathcal{I} has the Baire property then $\text{Fin} \leq_{RK} \mathcal{I}$. □

Next we are going to show the inverse of the above inclusion for F_σ -ideals. We start with the following characterization of F_σ -ideals. A map $\Phi : P(\omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a submeasure on ω if $\Phi(\emptyset) = 0$, and $\Phi(A) \leq \Phi(A \cup B) \leq \Phi(A) + \Phi(B)$, for all $A, B \subset \omega$. It is lower semicontinuous if for all $A \subset \omega$ we have $\Phi(A) = \lim_n \Phi(A \cap \{0, \dots, n\})$.

Theorem 5 (K. Mazur, [7], Lemma 1.2 or [3], Theorem 1.2.5). *Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω . Then \mathcal{I} is F_σ if and only if $\mathcal{I} = \text{Fin}(\phi)$ for some lower semicontinuous submeasure ϕ , where $\text{Fin}(\phi) = \{A \subseteq \omega : \phi(A) < \infty\}$.*

Lemma 6. *Assume that $\mathcal{I} = \text{Fin}(\phi)$ for some lower semicontinuous submeasure ϕ . Then $A \in \mathcal{I}$ if and only if there exists a natural number n so that $(\forall B \in \text{Fin})(\phi(B) > n \Rightarrow \exists m \in B \quad m \notin A)$.*

PROOF. If $A \in \mathcal{I}$ then let $n = \phi(A)$. If $\phi(B) > n$ then B cannot be contained in A . And conversely, assume that $A \notin \mathcal{I}$. Then $\phi(A) = \infty$ so from lower semicontinuity of ϕ for each n there is $B \subset A$ finite with $\phi(B) > n$. \square

Theorem 7. *Let M be a metric space. If \mathcal{I} is F_σ -ideal then $\Lambda 7(M) = \Lambda 7(M, \mathcal{I})$*

PROOF. Let ϕ be a lower semicontinuous submeasure with $\mathcal{I} = \text{Fin}(\phi)$. Fix a sequence $\vec{f} = (f_n)_n$ of continuous functions $f_n : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. From [2] (Proposition 1, Theorem 2) we use Cauchy-like characterization of ideal convergence and we get

$$E_1^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I}) = \left\{ x : \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_+ \exists l \in \mathbb{N} \left\{ n : |f_l(x) - f_n(x)| > \frac{1}{k} \right\} \in \mathcal{I} \right\}.$$

By Lemma 6

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I}) &= \{x : \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_+ \exists l \in \mathbb{N} \exists m \in \mathbb{N} \forall B \in \text{Fin} \\ &\quad (\phi(B) > m \Rightarrow \exists b \in B \quad |f_l(x) - f_b(x)| \leq \frac{1}{k})\} = \\ &= \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}_+} \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{B \in \text{Fin}, \phi(B) > m} \bigcup_{b \in B} \left\{ x : |f_l(x) - f_b(x)| \leq \frac{1}{k} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{x : |f_l(x) - f_b(x)| \leq \frac{1}{k}\}$ is a closed subset of M , therefore $E_1^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I})$ is $F_{\sigma\delta}$.

In the next case $E_2^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I}) = \{x : \forall l \in \mathbb{Z} \{n : f_n(x) < l\} \in \mathcal{I}\}$. Applying Lemma 6 we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{\vec{f}} &= \{x : \forall l \in \mathbb{Z} \exists m \in \mathbb{N} \forall B \in \text{Fin} (\phi(B) > m \Rightarrow \exists b \in B \quad f_b(x) \geq l)\} = \\ &= \bigcap_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{B \in \text{Fin}, \phi(B) > m} \bigcup_{b \in B} \{x : f_b(x) \geq l\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{x : f_b(x) \geq l\}$ is a closed subset of M thus $E_2^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I})$ is $F_{\sigma\delta}$.

Next we consider the set $E_8^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I}) = \{x : \forall l \in \mathbb{Z} \{n : f_n(x) > l\} \notin \mathcal{I}\}$. Again, applying Lemma 6 we have

$$E_8^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I}) = \bigcap_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{\substack{B \in \text{Fin} \\ \phi(B) > m}} \bigcap_{b \in B} \{x : f_b(x) > l\}.$$

Therefore we see that $E_8^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I})$ is G_δ , because $f_b^{-1}[(l, +\infty)]$ is an open subset of M . Similarly, we show that $E_3^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I})$ is $F_{\sigma\delta}$ and $E_9^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I})$ is G_δ . So we have proven that $\Lambda 7(M) \supset \Lambda 7(M, \mathcal{I})$. The inverse inclusion follows from the fact that F_σ sets have the Baire Property and Corollary 4. \square

For some spaces the previous theorem can be inverted.

Theorem 8. *Let M be a metric space containing a subspace homeomorphic to the Cantor space. If $\Lambda 7(M) = \Lambda 7(M, \mathcal{I})$ then \mathcal{I} is F_σ -ideal.*

PROOF. Assume that \mathcal{I} is not F_σ -ideal. Let us define a sequence of continuous functions $f_n : P(\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$f_n(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \notin A \\ n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

Observe that if $A \in \mathcal{I}$ then $\mathcal{I} - \lim f_n(A) = 0$, and if $A \notin \mathcal{I}$ then for each k $\{n : f_n(A) > k\} = A \setminus \{0, \dots, k\} \notin \mathcal{I}$ so $\mathcal{I} - \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(A) = \infty$ so $E_8^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I}) = P(\omega) \setminus \mathcal{I}$ is not G_δ . Now assume that $P(\omega)$ is a homeomorphic subset of M . Since $P(\omega)$ is a compact space it is also a closed subset of M . So we can extend functions f_n to continuous functions $g_n : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Observe that $E_8^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I}) = E_8^{\vec{g}}(\mathcal{I}) \cap P(\omega)$ so if $E_8^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I})$ is not G_δ in $P(\omega)$ then $E_8^{\vec{g}}(\mathcal{I})$ is not G_δ in M . \square

Corollary 9. *Let \mathcal{I} be an F_σ -ideal. Then*

$$F_{\sigma\delta}(M) = \left\{ A \subseteq M : A = E_i^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I}) \text{ for } \vec{f} \in C(M)^\omega \right\} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$G_\delta(M) = \left\{ A \subseteq M : A = E_i^{\vec{f}}(\mathcal{I}) \text{ for } \vec{f} \in C(M)^\omega \right\} \text{ for } i = 8, 9.$$

where $C(M)$ denotes the set of all real-valued continuous functions defined on M .

PROOF. For $A \in F_{\sigma\delta}(M)$ we apply Theorems 1 and 7 for 7-tuples:

$$(A, \emptyset, \emptyset, M \setminus A, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset) \text{ for } i = 1,$$

$$(\emptyset, A, \emptyset, \emptyset, M \setminus A, \emptyset, \emptyset) \text{ for } i = 2,$$

$$(\emptyset, \emptyset, A, \emptyset, \emptyset, M \setminus A, \emptyset) \text{ for } i = 3.$$

For $A \in G_\delta(M)$ we take $(\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, M \setminus A, \emptyset, \emptyset, A)$ for $i = 8, 9$. \square

References

- [1] A. R. Bernstein, *A new kind of compactness for topological spaces*, Fund. Math., **66** (1969/1970), 185–193.
- [2] K. Doms, *On J -Cauchy sequences*, Real Anal. Exchange, **30** (2004/05), 123–128.
- [3] I. Farah, *Analytic quotients: theory of liftings for quotients over analytic ideals on the integers*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **148(702)** (2000).
- [4] H. Fast, *Sur la convergence statistique*. Colloq. Math., **2** (1951), 241–244.
- [5] M. Katětov, *Products of filters*. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., **9** (1968), 173–189.
- [6] M. A. Lunina, *Sets of convergence and divergence of a sequences of real-valued continuous functions on a metric space*, Math. Notes, **17** (1975), 120–126.
- [7] K. Mazur, *F_σ -ideals and $\omega_1\omega_1^*$ -gaps in the Boolean algebras $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/I$* , Fund. Math., **138** (1991), 103–111.
- [8] M. Talagrand, *Compacts de fonctions mesurables et filtres non mesurables*, Studia Math., **67(1)** (1980), 13–43.

