

Vilmos Prokaj, Department of Probability and Statistics, Eötvös Loránd University, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, Budapest, 1117, Hungary. email: prokaj@cs.elte.hu

## ON A CONSTRUCTION OF J. TKADLEC CONCERNING $\sigma$ -POROUS SETS

### Abstract

In the paper [2] Josef Tkadlec gave an example of a finite singular Borel measure  $\mu$  on the real line such that all  $\sigma$ -porous sets are of  $\mu$ -measure zero. We give an alternative proof, i.e. probably a simpler construction, of his theorem [2, theorem] and we also give a similar example in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

A subset  $S$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to be porous at a point  $x$ ,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x, \varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} > 0$$

where  $f(x, \varepsilon) = \sup \{r : \exists p, B(p, r) \subset B(x, \varepsilon) \setminus S\}$  and  $B(p, r)$  denotes the open ball around  $p$  with radius  $r$ . The set  $S$  is porous if it is porous at each of its points.

Any porous set  $S$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is of Lebesgue measure zero, because its density is smaller than 1 at any point of  $S$ . Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on  $[0, 1]^n$  such that for any  $0 < c < 1$  there exists a constant  $d(c)$  depending only on  $c$  such that

$$\frac{\mu(B(p, cr))}{\mu(B(x, r))} > d(c) \tag{1}$$

provided that  $B(p, cr) \subset B(x, r) \subset [0, 1]^n$ , and  $r$  is small enough. Then the above argument concerning the  $\mu$ -density of a porous set  $S$  shows that  $\mu(S)$  has to be zero.

---

Key Words: singular measure,  $\sigma$ -porous set  
Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 28A12, 28A35, 28A05  
Received by the editors January 15, 2000

We are going to construct Borel probability measures on  $[0, 1]^n$  satisfying inequality (1), and singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure. It is clear that we can use the “max” distance in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; i.e.

$$d_n(x, y) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i - y_i|.$$

It is enough to show the existence of a singular probability measure on the real line satisfying (2). Indeed if we have such a  $\mu$ , a singular probability Borel measure on  $[0, 1]$  then  $\mu_n = \mu \times \cdots \times \mu$  ( $n$ -fold product) is a singular probability measure on  $[0, 1]^n$  such that

$$\frac{\mu_n(\times_i(a_i, b_i))}{\mu_n(\times_i(c_i, d_i))} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu((a_i, b_i))}{\mu((c_i, d_i))} > d(c)^n > 0$$

provided that  $(a_i, b_i) \subset (c_i, d_i) \subset [0, 1]$ ,  $|b_i - a_i| > c|d_i - c_i|$  and  $\max(|d_i - c_i|)$  is small enough.

We do something more that is needed. Almost with same effort we can construct a measure such that  $d(c)$  can be chosen  $d \cdot c$  with ( $d = 1/216$ ).

So let  $0 \leq \alpha_n < 1/4$  be a decreasing sequence of non-negative numbers. We will denote by  $|I|$  the length of the interval  $I$ . Put

$$\mu_{n,\alpha}(\{i\}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1-\alpha_n}{3} & i = 0, 2 \\ \frac{1+2\alpha_n}{3} & i = 1; \end{cases}$$

i.e. we defined a probability measure on the power set of  $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Let us form the infinite product measure  $\mu_\alpha = \prod_n \mu_{n,\alpha}$  on the Borel sets of  $A^\mathbb{N}$ . Denote  $\nu = \mu_0$  the measure we get in this way from the zero sequence.

Define a mapping  $T : A^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by the formula

$$T(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x(k)}{3^k},$$

i.e. the sequence  $x$  is the ternary expansion of  $T(x)$ . It is well known that there are countable sets  $H \subset A^\mathbb{N}$  and  $H' \subset [0, 1]$  such that  $T|_{A^\mathbb{N} \setminus H} : A^\mathbb{N} \setminus H \rightarrow [0, 1] \setminus H'$  is bijective, measurable and the inverse is also measurable. So  $\mu_\alpha \circ T^{-1}$  and  $\nu \circ T^{-1}$  are singular if and only if  $\mu_\alpha$  and  $\nu$  is such. Observe that countable sets are of  $\mu_\alpha$ -measure zero, whatever is the sequence  $\alpha$  satisfying  $0 \leq \alpha_n < 1/4$ .

It is also clear that  $\nu \circ T^{-1}$  is just the Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ . An old and famous theorem of Kakutani [1] can be applied to decide which sequences give singular measure  $\mu_\alpha \circ T^{-1}$  with respect to the Lebesgue measure. He

introduced the “inner product” of probability measures  $\tau, \vartheta$  defined on the same measurable space as follows:

$$\rho(\tau, \vartheta) = \int \left(\frac{d\tau}{d\pi}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{d\vartheta}{d\pi}\right)^{1/2} d\pi$$

where both  $\tau$ , and  $\vartheta$  are absolutely continuous with respect to the measure  $\pi$ .  $\rho$  does not depend on the choice of  $\pi$ . The two measures are the same if  $\rho$  is 1 and mutually singular if  $\rho$  is 0.

**Theorem 1.** ([1, Kakutani 1948]) *Let  $\mu_n, \nu_n$  be a sequence of equivalent measures.  $\prod_n \mu_n$  and  $\prod_n \nu_n$  are either singular or equivalent according to*

$$\prod \rho(\mu_n, \nu_n) = 0 \text{ or } > 0.$$

**Corollary 2.**  $\mu_\alpha \circ T^{-1}$  is singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k^2 = \infty$$

PROOF.  $\rho(\mu_{k,\alpha}, \mu_{k,0}) = 1/3(2\sqrt{1-\alpha_k} + \sqrt{1+2\alpha_k})$  which has logarithm of order  $-\alpha_k^2$ . □

In what follows let  $0 < \alpha_n < 1/4$  be a fixed decreasing sequence and  $\mu$  denotes  $\mu_\alpha \circ T^{-1}$ . Put

$$\mathcal{I}_n = \left\{ \left[ \frac{k}{3^n}, \frac{k+1}{3^n} \right] : k = 0, 1, \dots, 3^n - 1 \right\}.$$

We say that two intervals are joining if one of their endpoints coincide, more precisely  $I$  and  $J$  are joining if  $I \cup J$  is interval and  $I \cap J$  has at most one point.

The following two lemmas are trivial corollaries of the definition of  $\mu$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}_n$  be two joining intervals, then  $1/2 < \frac{\mu(I)}{\mu(J)} < 2$ .*

PROOF. By induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 0$  there is nothing to prove. Let  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}_{n+1}$  two joining intervals. Either there is  $K \in \mathcal{I}_n$  such that  $I, J \subset K$  or there are joining intervals  $K_0, K_1 \in \mathcal{I}_n$  such that  $I \subset K_0$  and  $J \subset K_1$ . In the first case

$$\frac{1}{2} < \frac{1 - \alpha_{n+1}}{1 + 2\alpha_{n+1}} \leq \frac{\mu(J)}{\mu(I)} \leq \frac{1 + 2\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}} < 2$$

In the second case

$$\frac{\mu(J)}{\mu(I)} = \frac{\frac{1-\alpha_{n+1}}{3}\mu(K_1)}{\frac{1-\alpha_{n+1}}{3}\mu(K_0)} = \frac{\mu(K_1)}{\mu(K_0)}$$

which is between 1/2 and 2 by induction hypothesis. □

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $J \in \mathcal{I}_n$  and  $I \in \mathcal{I}_{n+k}$  such that  $I \subset J$ , then*

$$\prod_{l=1}^k (1 - \alpha_{n+l}) \leq \frac{3^k \mu(I)}{\mu(J)} \leq \prod_{l=1}^k (1 + 2\alpha_{n+l}).$$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $c > 0$  and  $I, J$  two subintervals of  $[0, 1]$  such that  $I \subset J$  and  $|I| > c|J|$ , then*

$$\frac{\mu(I)}{\mu(J)} \geq c \frac{\prod_{l=1}^m (1 - \alpha_{n+l})}{108},$$

where  $n = \lceil -\log_3(|J|) \rceil$  and  $m = \lceil -\log_3(c/12) \rceil + 1$  ( $\lceil a \rceil$  denotes the integer part of  $a$  and  $\log_3$  stands for the logarithm of base 3.)

PROOF. Let  $n$  be an integer such that  $1/3 < 3^n |J| \leq 1$ , i.e.  $n = \lceil -\log_3(|J|) \rceil$ . Then there are joining intervals  $J_1, J_2 \in \mathcal{I}_n$  such that  $J \subset J_1 \cup J_2$ , e.g. let  $J_1 = [a, b] \in \mathcal{I}_n$  such that  $(a, b]$  contains the left endpoint of  $J$  and let  $J_2 = [b, b + 3^{-n}]$ . Therefore

$$\mu(J) \leq \mu(J_1) + \mu(J_2) \leq 3 \min(\mu(J_1), \mu(J_2)) \tag{2}$$

Either for  $k = 1$  or  $k = 2$  we have that

$$|I \cap J_k| \geq \frac{1}{2} |I| \geq \frac{c}{2} |J| \geq \frac{c}{2} \frac{|J_k|}{3}$$

Let  $m$  be an integer such that  $3^{-m} < \frac{c}{12} \leq 3 \cdot 3^{-m}$ , i.e.  $m = \lceil -\log_3(c/12) \rceil + 1$ , there is an interval  $I_0$  in  $\mathcal{I}_{n+m}$  such that  $I_0 \subset I \cap J_k$  because in  $\mathcal{I}_{m+n}$  there are intervals only of length at most the half of the length of  $I \cap J_k$  and  $\cup \mathcal{I}_{n+m} = [0, 1]$ . So by lemma 4 we get

$$\mu(I) \geq \mu(I_0) \geq \mu(J_k) 3^{-m} \prod_{l=1}^m (1 - \alpha_{n+l}) \geq \mu(J_k) \frac{c}{36} \prod_{l=1}^m (1 - \alpha_{n+l}) \tag{3}$$

Comparing the inequalities (3) and (2) we get the statement. □

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $0 < \alpha_n < 1/4$  be an arbitrary sequence such that*

1.  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$
2.  $\sum_n \alpha_n^2 = \infty$ .

The associated Borel measure  $\mu_\alpha \circ T^{-1}$  is singular and takes on a value of zero on any  $\sigma$ -porous Borel set.

PROOF. By property 1 for any  $c > 0$  there is an  $n_0$  such that for  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $\prod_{l=1}^m (1 - \alpha_{n+l}) > 1/2$  where  $m = \lceil -\log_3(c/12) \rceil + 1$ . This means that if  $J$  is small enough and  $I \subset J$ ,  $|I| > c|J|$  then

$$\frac{\mu(I)}{\mu(J)} > \frac{c}{216}$$

So the sufficient condition (1) is satisfied,  $\mu$  assigns measure zero to porous sets. By the theorem of Kakutani this measure is singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure. □

**Corollary 7.** *There is a continuum family of pairwise mutually singular Borel measures on  $[0, 1]$  such that each measure is singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure and takes on a value of zero on  $\sigma$ -porous sets.*

PROOF. Let  $\mu_a$  be the measure corresponding to  $\alpha_n = a \cdot n^{-1/2}$  where  $a \in (0, 1/4)$ . Using the previous corollary we have to prove only that if  $a, b \in (0, 1/4)$  and  $a \neq b$  then  $\mu_a$  and  $\mu_b$  are mutually singular. We can apply Kakutani's theorem again since

$$\rho(\mu_{n,a}, \mu_{n,b}) = \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{a}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\left(1 - \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}}\right)} + \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{\left(1 + 2\frac{a}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\left(1 + 2\frac{b}{\sqrt{n}}\right)}$$

which has logarithm of order  $-n^{-1}$ . □

The above construction gives something more that we actually need. Indeed for the constructed measure the constant  $d(c)$  can depend on  $c$  linearly. If we do not care about this we can choose  $0 < \alpha_n < 1/4$  to be a constant sequence and then instead of Kakutani's theorem we can apply the strong law of large numbers to see that  $\mu_\alpha$  is singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

## References

- [1] Shizuo Kakutani, *On equivalence of infinite product measures*, Ann. of Math. **2**(1948), 49:214-224.

- [2] Josef Tkadlec, *Construction of a finite Borel measure with  $\sigma$ -porous sets as null sets*, Real Anal. Exchange, 12(1)(1986/87), 349–353.