

A. G. Das, Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani,
West Bengal, India 741235. email: agdas12@yahoo.com

Sarmila Kundu, Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani,
West Bengal, India 741235. email: sarmilakundu@yahoo.com

A GENERALIZED HENSTOCK INTEGRAL

Abstract

The present paper concerns a general version of the Henstock integral that includes Schwabik's generalized Perron integral and all the Stieltjes type integrals induced by measures as well.

1 Introduction

Let k be a positive integer and a, b be fixed real numbers. For $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and for $k + 1$ distinct points x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k belonging to $[a, b]$, not necessarily in linear order, the k th divided difference of g is defined as

$$Q_k(g; x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{g(x_i)}{\prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^k (x_i - x_j)}.$$

If $Q_k(g; x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) \geq 0$ for all choices of $k + 1$ distinct points x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k in $[a, b]$, then g is called k -convex on $[a, b]$.

Let x be a given point in $[a, b]$. The k th Riemann derivative of $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at x (Bullen [2], p. 83) is defined by

$$\widehat{D}^k g(x) = k! \lim_{|x_k - x| \rightarrow 0} \lim_{|x_{k-1} - x| \rightarrow 0} \cdots \lim_{|x_1 - x| \rightarrow 0} \lim_{|x_0 - x| \rightarrow 0} Q_k(g; x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k),$$

if the iterated limit exists for all choices of $k + 1$ distinct points x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k belonging to $[a, b]$ satisfying $0 \leq |x_0 - x| < |x_1 - x| < \cdots < |x_k - x|$. The right and left k th Riemann derivatives $\widehat{D}_+^k g(x)$, $\widehat{D}_-^k g(x)$ respectively are defined in the obvious way.

Key Words: divided difference, k -convex function, RS_k^* , $\mathbb{R}S_k^*$, LS_k^- , HS_k^- , GSH^- , GR_k^- -integrals, Henstock Integral, Schwabik's generalized Perron integral, δ -fine tagged k -partition, GH_k integral, Saks-Henstock lemma, Cauchy Extension Formula

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 26A39

Received by the editors March 17, 2002

Communicated by: Peter Bullen

If in the above definition we take $h_0 = 0$, then, in view of Definition 2 of Russell [11], we obtain the corresponding definitions of the k th Riemann*derivatives $D^k g(x)$, $D_+^k g(x)$, $D_-^k g(x)$ of g at x . It is known that if the ordinary k th derivative $g^{(k)}(x)$ exists, then the k th Riemann*derivative $D^k g(x)$ exists and equals $g^{(k)}(x)$. In general, the converse is true for $k = 1$. In view of Lemma 1(d) of Bullen [2] or Lemma 4 of Russell [11], we have

$$(x_k - x_0)Q_k(g; x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) = Q_{k-1}(g; x_1, \dots, x_k) - Q_{k-1}(g; x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}).$$

This shows that for a k -convex function g on $[a, b]$ the $(k - 1)$ th divided difference is non-decreasing with the increase of any of the component points x_i . It is shown in Russell [11] that for a k -convex function g on $[a, b]$, $D_+^{k-1}g(a)$, $D_-^{k-1}g(b)$ may not exist. If g is k -convex on $[a, b]$ and $D_+^{k-1}g(a)$, $D_-^{k-1}g(b)$ exist, then it is shown in the proof of Lemma 3.2 of Das and Das [3] that for $a < x < y < b$

$$D_+^{k-1}g(a) \leq D_-^{k-1}g(x) \leq D_+^{k-1}g(x) \leq D_-^{k-1}g(y) \leq D_+^{k-1}g(y) \leq D_-^{k-1}g(b).$$

It follows that $D_-^{k-1}g(x)$ is non-decreasing on $(a, b]$ and $D_+^{k-1}g(x)$ is non-decreasing on $[a, b)$. The $(k-1)$ th Riemann* derivative $D^{k-1}g(x)$ exists at each point of continuity of either sided derivative. Since $D^{k-2}g(x)$ is continuous at each point of $[a, b]$ (see Russell [11]), we observe, in view of Verblunsky [14], that the above $(k - 1)$ th Riemann* derivatives can be replaced by the corresponding $(k - 1)$ th ordinary derivatives. It therefore follows that if g is k -convex on $[a, b]$, then $g^{(k-1)}(x)$ exists on $[a, b]$ except possibly for a countable set of points in $[a, b]$.

Russell [12] obtains a definition of Stieltjes type integral which he calls the RS_k^* integral.

Definition 1.1 ([12], Definition 4, amended on p. 441). Let $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The function f is said to be RS_k^* integrable with respect to g on $[a, b]$ if there exists a real number I such that to each $\epsilon > 0$ there corresponds a real constant $\delta > 0$ such that for every partition $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b\}$ of $[a, b]$ with $\max\{(x_i - x_{i-1}), 1 \leq i \leq n\} < \delta$ the inequality

$$\left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} f(\xi_i)[Q_{k-1}(g; x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+k}) - Q_{k-1}(g; x_i, \dots, x_{i+k-1})] - I \right| < \epsilon$$

holds independent of the choice of points $\xi_i \in [x_i, x_{i+k}]$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - k$.

If the integral exists we write $(f, g) \in RS_k^*[a, b]$. The number I is called the RS_k^* integral and we write $I = (RS_k^*) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}}$.

It is shown in Russell [12] that given g , k -convex on $[a, b]$ and $g_+^{k-1}(a)$, $g_-^{k-1}(b)$ existing, $(f, g) \in RS_k^*[a, b]$ if f is continuous on $[a, b]$. Bhattacharaya and Das [1] show by an example that the RS_k^* integral fails to exist if the interior of $[a, b]$ contains a common point of discontinuity of f and nonexistence of $(k-1)$ th derivative of g . Ray and Das [10] overcome this difficulty of the RS_k^* integral by introducing the definition of the $\mathbb{R}S_k^*$ integral as follows.

Definition 1.2 ([10], Definition 2.2). Let f be bounded and g be k -convex on $[a, b]$ and $g_+^{(k-1)}(a)$, $g_{\pm}^{(k-1)}(b)$ exist. For any partition

$$P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b\}$$

of $[a, b]$, we write gk -mesh(P) = $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} [g_-^{(k-1)}(x_i) - g_+^{(k-1)}(x_{i-1})]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} S(P, f, g) &= \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)[g_+^{(k-1)}(x_i) - g_-^{(k-1)}(x_i)]/(k-1)! \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n f(\xi_i)[g_-^{(k-1)}(x_i) - g_+^{(k-1)}(x_{i-1})]/(k-1)! \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_i \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

The $\mathbb{R}S_k^*$ integral, written as $(\mathbb{R}S_k^*) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}}$, is the real number I if it exists uniquely, and if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there corresponds a real number $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that for any partition P of $[a, b]$ with gk -mesh(P) $< \delta$, the inequality $|S(P, f, g) - I| < \epsilon$ is satisfied. If the integral exists, we write $(f, g) \in \mathbb{R}S_k^*[a, b]$.

It is shown in Ray and Das [10] that this integral exists if f is BV on $[a, b]$, and that $(RS_k^*) \subset (\mathbb{R}S_k^*) \subset (LS_k)$, where (I) stands for the class of I -integrable functions. (For the LS_k integral the readers are referred to [1].)

Das et al. [5] obtain the Henstock version of the $\mathbb{R}S_k^*$ integral as below.

Definition 1.3 ([5], Definition 2.1 and Remark 2.15). Let f be defined on $[a, b]$ and g be k -convex on $[a, b]$ with $g_+^{(k-1)}(a)$, $g_{\pm}^{(k-1)}(b)$ existing. The HS_k integral of f with respect to g is the real number I if for every arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ there is a positive function δ , called a gauge, on $[a, b]$ such that for every δ -fine partition $P = \{(\xi_j, [x_{j-1}, x_j]), 1 \leq j \leq q\}$ of $[a, b]$ with each $\xi_j \in [x_{j-1}, x_j] \subset (\xi_j - \delta(\xi_j), \xi_j + \delta(\xi_j))$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^q f(x_j)[g_+^{(k-1)}(x_j) - g_-^{(k-1)}(x_j)]/(k-1)! \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{j=1}^q f(\xi_j)[g_-^{(k-1)}(x_j) - g_+^{(k-1)}(x_{j-1})]/(k-1)! \right\} - I \right| < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

If the integral exists, we write $(f, g) \in HS_k[a, b]$ and $I = (HS_k) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}}$.

It is proved in ([5], Theorem 3.2) that the HS_k integral includes the LS_k integral of Bhattacharaya and Das [1], so that we obtain

$$(RS_k^*) \subset \mathbb{R}S_k^* \subset (LS_k) \subset (HS_k).$$

Das and Sahu [7] apply the HS_k integral to obtain the existence theorem for the solutions of certain differential equations. An equivalent Denjoy type definition of the HS_k integral, the DS_k^* integral, is obtained by Das and Sahu [8].

Das and Sahu [6] further introduced the definition of a general integral, the GSH integral, in light of Schwabik's definition of the generalized Perron integral in Schwabik [13]. The GSH integral includes the HS_k integral in the same way as the Schwabik integral includes the Henstock integral. For ready references we produce the Schwabik integral [13] and the GSH integral [6].

Definition 1.4 ([13], Definition 1.2). A function $U : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called integrable over $[a, b]$ if there is an $I \in \mathbb{R}$ such that given $\epsilon > 0$, there is a gauge $\delta : [a, b] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$|S(U, D) - I| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^n [U(\tau_j, \alpha_j) - U(\tau_j, \alpha_{j-1})] - I \right| < \epsilon$$

for every δ -fine partition $D = \{(\tau_j, [\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j]), j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of $[a, b]$. The real number I is called the generalized Perron integral of U over the interval $[a, b]$ and will be denoted by $\int_a^b DU(\tau, t)$.

We see that for $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, letting $U(\tau, t) = f(\tau)g(t)$ reduces the Schwabik integral to the Henstock Stieltjes integral $(HS) \int_a^b f dg$.

Definition 1.5 ([6], Definition 2.1). Let U and V be two real valued functions defined on $[a, b] \times [a, b]$. The pair (U, V) is called integrable on $[a, b]$ if there is a real number I such that given $\epsilon > 0$ there is a gauge $\delta : [a, b] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^n \{[V(\tau_j, \alpha_j) - U(\tau_j, \alpha_{j-1})] + [U(\alpha_j, \alpha_j) - V(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)]\} - I \right| < \epsilon$$

for every δ -fine partition $P = \{(\tau_j, [\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j]), j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of $[a, b]$. The real number I is called the generalized Schwabik-Henstock integral of the pair (U, V) on the interval $[a, b]$ and will be denoted by $(GSH) \int_a^b (U, V)$.

If $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and g is k -convex with $g_+^{k-1}(a), g_{\pm}^{k-1}(b)$ existing, then letting $U(\tau, t) = f(\tau)g_+^{k-1}(t)$ and $V(\tau, t) = f(\tau)g_-^{k-1}(t)$ in Definition 1.5 yields the HS_k integral of Das et al. [5].

The main clue in introducing the $\mathbb{R}S_k^*$, LS_{k^-} , HS_{k^-} , DS_{k^-} , GSH - integrals of A. G. Das and his collaborators is based on the concept of gk -measure of an open interval $J = (u, v)$ defined by $|J|_{gk} = g_-^{(k-1)}(v) - g_+^{(k-1)}(u)$ and the gk -saltus at $x \in (a, b)$ defined by $g_+^{(k-1)}(x) - g_-^{(k-1)}(x)$ (see Bhattacharaya and Das [1]). The HS_k integral is a Henstock type generalization of the $\mathbb{R}S_k^*$ integral of Ray and Das [10] that uses gk -measure and gk -saltus instead of the RS_k^* integral of Russell [12] that uses divided differences of the integrator function. Obviously the HS_k integral includes the RS_k^* integral too. It is expected that a Henstock type integral be introduced that directly uses the *divided differences* of the integrator function as in the RS_k^* integral.

Pal, Ganguly and Lee [9] make an attempt in this regard. They offer a concept of δ^k -fine division of $[a, b]$ and obtain a general version of a Henstock type integral. We produce below certain definitions and results from Pal et al. [9] for ready references.

Given a positive function $\delta : [a, b] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, there always exists (see [9], p. 854) a δ^k -fine division $D = \{([x_i, x_{i+k}], \xi_i), i = 0, 1, \dots, n-k\}$ of $[a, b]$ given by $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ with associated points $\{\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-k}\}$ satisfying

$$\xi_i \in [x_i, x_{i+k}] \subset (\xi_i - \delta(\xi_i), \xi_i + \delta(\xi_i)), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-k.$$

Definition 1.6 ([9], page 854). Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : [a, b]^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The function f is said to be GR_k integrable with respect to g to real number I on $[a, b]$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a positive function δ on $[a, b]$ such that for any δ^k -fine division $D = \{([x_i, x_{i+k}], \xi_i), i = 0, 1, \dots, n-k\}$ of $[a, b]$ we have

$$\left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} f(\xi_i)g(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+k}) - I \right| < \epsilon.$$

The expression $\sum_{i=0}^{n-k} f(\xi_i)g(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+k})$ is often denoted by $s(f, g; D)$.

For $k = 1$, $g : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, letting $g(x_i, x_{i+1}) = \alpha(x_{i+1}) - \alpha(x_i)$, provides the classical Henstock-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b f d\alpha$.

Pal et al. [9] claim that for $g : [a, b]^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, letting

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+k}) &= (x_{i+k} - x_i)Q_k(\alpha; x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+k}) \\ &= Q_{k-1}(\alpha; x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+k}) - Q_{k-1}(\alpha; x_i, \dots, x_{i+k}) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

reduces the GR_k integral to the Henstock type generalization of the RS_k^* integral of Russell [12].

For further development of the theory of the GR_k integral Pal et al. [9] need the following concept of *jump*.

The *jump* of $g : [a, b]^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at x , denoted by $J(g; x)$, is defined by

$$J(g; x) = \lim_{x_0 \rightarrow x, x_k \rightarrow x} g(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

where $x \in [x_0, x_k]$ and $x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k$. We note here that for $k = 1$ and $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, letting $g(x_0, x_1) = \alpha(x_1) - \alpha(x_0)$, yields $J(g; x) = \alpha(x+) - \alpha(x-)$ or $\alpha(x+) - \alpha(x)$ or $\alpha(x) - \alpha(x-)$ according as $x_0 < x < x_1$ or $x_0 = x < x_1$ or $x_0 < x = x_1$. In any case $J(g; x) = 0$ if α is continuous at x . For $k > 1$ and g as in (1), $J(g; x)$ exists and equals 0 provided α has finite k th divided differences or the $(k - 1)$ th Riemann derivative (see Bullen [2], p. 83) of α exists at x .

Prior to producing the Saks-Henstock lemma analog, Pal et al. [9] introduce a concept of partial division of $[a, b]$ as follows.

Let $[a_i, b_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ be pairwise non-overlapping intervals in $[a, b]$ such that $\cup_{i=1}^p [a_i, b_i] \subset [a, b]$. The $\{D_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,p}$ is said to be a δ^k -fine partial division of $[a, b]$ if each D_i is a δ^k -fine division of $[a_i, b_i]$. Its corresponding partial Riemann type sum is given by $\sum_{i=1}^p s(f, g; D_i)$.

Theorem 1.7 ([9], Theorem 2.5). *If $(f, g) \in GR_k[a, b]$ and $J(g, c)$ exists for all $c \in (a, b)$, then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a positive function δ on $[a, b]$ such that for any δ^k -fine division D of $[a, b]$ and for any δ^k -fine partial division $\{D_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,p}$ of $[a, b]$*

$$\|s(f, g; D) - F(a, b)\| < \epsilon \text{ and } \left| \sum_{i=1}^p [s(f, g; D_i) - F(a_i, b_i)] \right| < (k + 1)\epsilon \quad (2)$$

where D_i is a δ^k -fine division of $[a_i, b_i]$ and $F(u, v)$ denotes the GR_k integral on $[u, v] \subseteq [a, b]$.

The process of the proof of the above theorem in [9] requires refinements of gauge functions arising out of the overlap of the point-interval pairs. As such from a given δ^k -fine division D satisfying the first inequality in (2), one cannot choose a finite number of point interval pairs $\{([x_i, x_{i+k}], \xi_i)\}$ as in D . This results in the non-additive behavior of the GR_k integrals over subintervals of $[a, b]$.

To overcome this unpleasant behavior of the GR_k integral the authors of the present paper introduce the definition of a general integral that extends

the idea of the generalized Perron integral of Schwabik [13] in the sense of higher dimension. It is worth mentioning that the new integral presented here accommodates Saks-Henstock lemma analog (see Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 below) without any *jump* concept which is essential for the similar result in the GR_k integral of Pal et al. [9]. Cauchy Extension analogs have also been obtained. It is shown that for a particular choice of the defining function the new integral is the GR_k integral of Pal et al. [9]. The definition of the integral here does not involve repetition of division points and as such the approach seems to be simpler.

2 Definitions and Elementary properties

Let a, b be fixed real numbers such that $a < b$, and let k be a fixed positive integer. Let $x_{1,0} < x_{1,1} < \dots < x_{1,k} \leq x_{2,0} < x_{2,1} < \dots < x_{2,k} \leq \dots \leq x_{n,0} < x_{n,1} < \dots < x_{n,k}$ be any system of points in $[a, b]$. We say that the intervals $[x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ form an elementary system

$$\{(x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) : [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

in $[a, b]$. If each interval $[x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}]$ along with the interior points $x_{i,1} < x_{i,2} < \dots < x_{i,k-1}$ is tagged with $\xi_i \in [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}]$ we call the system a *tagged elementary k -system* and denote it by

$$\{(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) : [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

A tagged elementary k -system

$$\{(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) : [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

is a *tagged k -partition* of $[a, b]$ if $\cup_{i=1}^n [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}] = [a, b]$.

Given a positive function $\delta : [a, b] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, a tagged elementary k -system and in particular a tagged k -partition

$$\{(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) : [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

of $[a, b]$ is said to be *δ -fine* if $\xi_i \in [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}] \subset (\xi_i - \delta(\xi_i), \xi_i + \delta(\xi_i))$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We shall often call such a positive function δ a gauge on $[a, b]$.

We note that a δ -fine tagged k -partition

$$\{(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) : [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

of $[a, b]$ exists because it is simply a usual δ -fine tagged partition

$$\{\xi_i; [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

of $[a, b]$ along with a set of $(k - 1)$ points $x_{i,1} < x_{i,2} < \dots < x_{i,k-1}$ in $(x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Clearly for $k = 1$, a δ -fine tagged k -partition is a δ -fine partition.

Definition 2.1. A function $U : [a, b]^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called GH_k integrable on $[a, b]$ if there is an $I \in \mathbb{R}$ such that given $\epsilon > 0$ there is a gauge δ on $[a, b]$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n [U(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - U(\xi_i; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1})] - I \right| < \epsilon$$

for every δ -fine tagged k -partition

$$P = \{(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) : [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

of $[a, b]$. The real number I is called the GH_k integral of U on $[a, b]$ and we write $(GH_k) \int_a^b U = I$.

If $(GH_k) \int_a^b U$ exists, we often write $U \in GH_k[a, b]$. We use the notation $S(U, P)$ for the Riemann type sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [U(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - U(\xi_i; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1})]$$

corresponding to the function U and the k -partition

$$P = \{(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) : [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Remark 2.2. For $k = 1$, the GH_k integral is the generalized Perron integral of Schwabik [13]. As such the GH_k integral includes the Henstock, the generalized Perron and all the Stieltjes type integrals on $[a, b]$ induced by measure.

For $k > 1$, we set

$$U(\tau; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) = f(\tau)\alpha(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$$

for $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha : [a, b]^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so as to obtain a k th Riemann Stieltjes type sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f(\xi_i)[\alpha(x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - \alpha(x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1})].$$

If the integral exists, we often write $(f, \alpha) \in GH_k[a, b]$ and the integral will be denoted by $(GH_k) \int_a^b f d\alpha$. In particular, for $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $h : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, letting

$$U(\tau; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) = f(\tau)Q_{k-1}(h; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$$

where $Q_{k-1}(h; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$ is the $(k-1)$ th divided difference of h , leads to the k th Riemann-Stieltjes sum

$$s(f, h; P) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\xi_i) [Q_{k-1}(h; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - Q_{k-1}(h; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1})]$$

corresponding to the k -partition

$$P = \{(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) : [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

of $[a, b]$ (cf. Russell [12], Das and Lahiri [4]). We note further that the resulting integrals do not involve the repeated terms as in [12], [9] and in some others.

Definition 2.3. A function $U : [a, b]^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called GH_k integrable on $[a, b]$ if there exists an $I \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a gauge δ on $[a, b]$ such that

$$\|S(U, P) - I\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n [U(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - U(\xi_i; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1})] - I \right\| < \epsilon$$

for any δ -fine tagged k -partition

$$P = \{(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) : [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

of $[a, b]$. The integral $I \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is called the GH_k integral of U on $[a, b]$ and we write $(GH_k) \int_a^b U = I$.

If the integral exists, we often write $U \in GH_k[a, b]$. Here the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is any norm in \mathbb{R}^n , for example, the Euclidean one.

Note 2.4. Following Schwabik[13] it is not difficult to show that an \mathbb{R}^n -valued function $U : [a, b]^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n)$, is GH_k integrable if and only if every component U_m , $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$, is GH_k integrable in the sense of Definition 1.1.

Theorem 2.5. *The function $U : [a, b]^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is GH_k integrable on $[a, b]$ if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a gauge δ on $[a, b]$ such that*

$$\|S(U, P_1) - S(U, P_2)\| < \epsilon$$

for any δ -fine tagged k -partitions P_1, P_2 of $[a, b]$.

PROOF. By Note 2.4, it is sufficient to prove the theorem for a real valued function $U : [a, b]^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We prove the sufficient part. The necessary part is immediate. Denote by M the set of all s in \mathbb{R} such that for every δ -fine tagged k -partition P of $[a, b]$ we have $s \leq S(U, P)$. Assume that P_0 is an arbitrary δ -fine tagged k -partition of $[a, b]$. By the hypothesis, for every δ -fine tagged k -partition P of $[a, b]$ we have

$$S(U, P_0) - \epsilon < S(U, P) < S(U, P_0) + \epsilon.$$

Therefore $(-\infty, S(U, P_0) - \epsilon) \subset M \subset (-\infty, S(U, P_0) + \epsilon)$ and so the set M is non-empty and bounded above. Consequently $\sup M$ exists and

$$S(U, P_0) - \epsilon < \sup M < S(U, P_0) + \epsilon,$$

so that for every δ -fine tagged k -partition P of $[a, b]$

$$|S(U, P) - \sup M| \leq |S(U, P) - S(U, P_0)| + |S(U, P_0) - \sup M| < 2\epsilon$$

holds. Hence $U \in GH_k[a, b]$ and $(GH_k) \int_a^b U = \sup M$. \square

For any k -partition $P = \{(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}); [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of $[a, b]$ and for arbitrary $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ we evidently have

$$S(c_1U + c_2V, P) = c_1S(U, P) + c_2S(V, P)$$

for the Riemann sums of the functions $U : [a, b]^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $V : [a, b]^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. We immediately obtain the following linear property.

Theorem 2.6 (Linear Property). *If $U, V \in GH_k[a, b]$ and $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, then $c_1U + c_2V \in GH_k[a, b]$ and*

$$(GH_k) \int_a^b (c_1U + c_2V) = c_1(GH_k) \int_a^b U + c_2(GH_k) \int_a^b V.$$

Theorem 2.7. *If $U \in GH_k[a, b]$, then for every $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$, $U \in GH_k[c, d]$.*

PROOF. Consider any two δ -fine tagged k -partitions P_1, P_2 of $[c, d]$, namely

$$P_j = \{(\xi_i^j; x_{i,1}^j, \dots, x_{i,k-1}^j) : [x_{i,0}^j, x_{i,k}^j], i = 1, 2, \dots, q_j\}, x_{1,0}^j = c, x_{q_j,k}^j = d$$

for $j = 1, 2$. Assume that $a < c < d < b$. Let

$$P_3 = \{(\xi_i^3; x_{i,1}^3, \dots, x_{i,k-1}^3) : [x_{i,0}^3, x_{i,k}^3], i = 1, 2, \dots, p\}, x_{1,0}^3 = a, x_{p,k}^3 = c$$

be a δ -fine tagged k -partition of $[a, c]$ and let

$$P_4 = \{(\xi_i^4; x_{i,1}^4, \dots, x_{i,k-1}^4) : [x_{i,0}^4, x_{i,k}^4], i = 1, 2, \dots, r\}, x_{1,0}^4 = d, x_{r,k}^4 = b$$

be a δ -fine tagged k -partition of $[d, b]$. Clearly the union $P_3 \cup P_1 \cup P_4$ constitute a δ -fine tagged k -partition P'_1 of $[a, b]$. Similarly the union $P_3 \cup P_2 \cup P_4$ constitute a δ -fine tagged k -partition P'_2 of $[a, b]$. So using the necessary part of Theorem 2.5, we have $\|S(U, P'_1) - S(U, P'_2)\| < \epsilon$. Then

$$\|S(U, P_1) - S(U, P_2)\| = \|S(U, P'_1) - S(U, P'_2)\| < \epsilon.$$

Therefore by the sufficient part of Theorem 2.5, $U \in GH_k[c, d]$. \square

Corollary 2.8. *If $U \in GH_k[a, b]$ and if $a < c < b$, then $U \in GH_k[a, c]$, $U \in GH_k[c, b]$ and $(GH_k) \int_a^b U = (GH_k) \int_a^c U + (GH_k) \int_c^b U$.*

PROOF. By Theorem 2.7, $U \in GH_k[a, c]$ and $U \in GH_k[c, b]$. For arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a positive function $\delta : [a, b] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that for every δ -fine tagged k -partition P_1 of $[a, c]$ and P_2 of $[c, b]$ and consequently $P = P_1 \cup P_2$ of $[a, b]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(U, P_1) - (GH_k) \int_a^c U\| &< \epsilon/3; \\ \|S(U, P_2) - (GH_k) \int_c^b U\| &< \epsilon/3; \\ \|S(U, P) - (GH_k) \int_a^b U\| &< \epsilon/3. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\| (GH_k) \int_a^c U + (GH_k) \int_c^b U - (GH_k) \int_a^b U \| \\ &\leq \| (GH_k) \int_a^c U - S(U, P_1) \| + \| (GH_k) \int_c^b U - S(U, P_2) \| \\ &\quad + \| (GH_k) \int_a^b U - S(U, P) \| < \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

As $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have $(GH_k) \int_a^b U = (GH_k) \int_a^c U + (GH_k) \int_c^b U$. \square

Prior to the next result we produce a definition.

Definition 2.9. Let $U : [a, b]^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $c \in [a, b]$. By $J(U, c)$ we mean the iterated limit

$$\lim_{|t_k - c| \rightarrow 0} \lim_{|t_{k-1} - c| \rightarrow 0} \cdots \lim_{|t_1 - c| \rightarrow 0} U(c; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k),$$

if it exists for all choices of t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k in $[a, b]$ satisfying $0 \leq |t_1 - c| < |t_2 - c| < \cdots < |t_k - c|$.

Equivalently, $J(U, c)$ exists if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a positive number $\delta(c)$ such that $|U(c; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) - J(U, c)| < \epsilon$ for all $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k \in [a, b]$ satisfying $0 \leq |t_1 - c| < |t_2 - c| < \cdots < |t_k - c| < \delta(c)$.

For $c \leq t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_k \leq b$, we define $J^+(U, c)$ and similarly $J^-(U, c)$ for $c \geq t_1 > t_2 > \cdots > t_k \geq a$.

In particular, if we set $U(\tau, t) = f(\tau)g(t)$, then $J(U, \tau) = f(\tau) \lim_{|t - \tau| \rightarrow 0} g(t)$.

If $U(\tau; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) = f(\tau)Q_{k-1}(g; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$, then

$$J(U, \tau) = f(\tau) \widehat{D}^{k-1}g(\tau)/(k-1)!,$$

provided the $(k-1)$ th Riemann derivative, $\widehat{D}^{k-1}g(\tau)$, exists. We note that if any t_i coincides with τ for some i , we have $J(U, \tau) = f(\tau)D^{k-1}g(\tau)/(k-1)!$, where $D^{k-1}g(\tau)$ is the $(k-1)$ th Riemann* derivative (see Russell [11], p. 548). We further note that $\widehat{D}^{k-1}g(\tau) = D^{k-1}g(\tau)$ whenever $\widehat{D}^{k-1}g(\tau)$ exists.

For $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha : [a, b]^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $U(\tau; t_1, \dots, t_k) = f(\tau)\alpha(t_1, \dots, t_k)$, we shall sometimes use the notation $J(f, \alpha; c)$ for $J(U, c)$ when $a < c < b$, $J^+(f, \alpha; c)$ for $J^+(U, c)$ when $a \leq c < b$ and $J^-(f, \alpha; c)$ for $J^-(U, c)$ when $a < c \leq b$.

Theorem 2.10. Let $c \in (a, b)$ and let $J(U, c)$ exist. If $U \in GH_k[a, c]$ and $U \in GH_k[c, b]$, then $U \in GH_k[a, b]$ and $(GH_k) \int_a^b U = (GH_k) \int_a^c U + (GH_k) \int_c^b U$.

PROOF. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. There exist $\delta_1 : [a, c] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $\delta_2 : [c, b] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that for any δ_1 -fine tagged k -partition P_1 of $[a, c]$ and for any δ_2 -fine tagged k -partition P_2 of $[c, b]$, we have

$$\|S(U, P_1) - (GH_k) \int_a^c U\| < \epsilon, \quad \|S(U, P_2) - (GH_k) \int_c^b U\| < \epsilon.$$

Since $J(U, c)$ exists, there is $\eta > 0$ such that for $\max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |t_j - c| < \eta$,

$$\|U(c; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) - J(U, c)\| < \epsilon/6. \quad (3)$$

We define a positive function δ on $[a, b]$ by

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} \min\{\delta_1(x), c - x\} & \text{if } a \leq x < c \\ \min\{\delta_2(x), x - c\} & \text{if } c < x \leq b \\ \min\{\delta_1(c), \delta_2(c), \eta\} & \text{if } x = c. \end{cases}$$

Consider any δ -fine tagged k -partition

$$P = \{(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) : [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

of $[a, b]$. Clearly c is a tag for some interval $[x_{m,0}, x_{m,k}]$, $1 \leq m \leq n$. No other interval except $[x_{m,0}, x_{m,k}]$ can include c . If $S(U, P)$ denotes the approximating sum of U corresponding to the δ -fine tagged k -partition P , we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(U, P) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} [U(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - U(\xi_i; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1})] \\ &\quad + [U(c; x_{m,1}, \dots, x_{m,k}) - U(c; x_{m,0}, \dots, x_{m,k-1})] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=m+1}^n [U(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - U(\xi_i; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1})]. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the sets of k -points

$$c - \delta(c) < x_{m-1,k} = y_{m,0} < y_{m,1} < \dots < y_{m,k} = c,$$

$$c = z_{m,0} < z_{m,1} < \dots < z_{m,k} = x_{m+1,0} < c + \delta(c).$$

Then the parts of the partition P for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ together with the single system $\{(c; y_{m,1}, \dots, y_{m,k-1}) : [y_{m,0}, c]\}$ constitute a δ_1 -fine tagged k -partition P_1 of $[a, c]$. Also the parts of the partition P for $i = m+1, \dots, n$ together with $\{(c; z_{m,1}, \dots, z_{m,k-1}) : [c, z_{m,k}]\}$ constitute a δ_2 -fine tagged k -partition P_2 of $[c, b]$. We have then using (3)

$$\begin{aligned} &\|S(U, P) - S(U, P_1) - S(U, P_2)\| \\ &= \|[U(c; x_{m,1}, \dots, x_{m,k}) - U(c; x_{m,0}, \dots, x_{m,k-1})] \\ &\quad - [U(c; y_{m,1}, \dots, y_{m,k} = c) - U(c; y_{m,0}, \dots, y_{m,k-1})] \\ &\quad - [U(c; z_{m,1}, \dots, z_{m,k}) - U(c; z_{m,0} = c, \dots, z_{m,k-1})]\| < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S(U, P) - (GH_k) \int_a^c U - (GH_k) \int_c^b U\| \\ & \leq \|S(U, P_1) - (GH_k) \int_a^c U\| + \|S(U, P_2) - (GH_k) \int_c^b U\| \\ & \quad + \|S(U, P) - S(U, P_1) - S(U, P_2)\| < 3\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since P is arbitrary δ -fine tagged k -partition of $[a, b]$, it follows that $U \in GH_k[a, b]$, and $(GH_k) \int_a^b U = (GH_k) \int_a^c U + (GH_k) \int_c^b U$. \square

Remark 2.11. Apparently there seems to be a contrast between Corollary 2.8 and Theorem 2.10. In Corollary 2.8, the equality holds without the limit concept on U at c whereas it is essential in establishing the equality in Theorem 2.10. In fact, if U is given to be GH_k integrable on $[a, b]$, we are free to consider any point $c \in (a, b)$ as a partition point $x_{m,0}, m < n$, of a δ -fine tagged k -partition

$$P = \{(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) : [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

of $[a, b]$. For $k = 1$, Theorem 2.10 analog does not require the existence of $J(U, c)$ (see Schwabik [13]).

3 Some Fundamental Results

Theorem 3.1 (Saks-Henstock analog). *Let $U : [a, b]^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be GH_k integrable on $[a, b]$. Given $\epsilon > 0$ assume that the gauge δ on $[a, b]$, $\delta : [a, b] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is such that for every δ -fine tagged k -partition*

$$P = \{(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) : [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

of $[a, b]$

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n [U(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - U(\xi_i; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1})] - (GH_k) \int_a^b U \right\| < \epsilon.$$

If $\{(\eta_i; y_{i,1}, \dots, y_{i,k-1}) : [y_{i,0}, y_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ where $a \leq y_{1,0}, y_{i-1,k} \leq y_{i,0} (i = 2, \dots, m)$, $y_{m,k} \leq b$, represents a δ -fine elementary k -system in $[a, b]$, then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m [U(\eta_i; y_{i,1}, \dots, y_{i,k}) - U(\eta_i; y_{i,0}, \dots, y_{i,k-1})] - (GH_k) \int_{y_{1,0}}^{y_{m,k}} U \right\| < 2\epsilon.$$

PROOF. If $y_{i,k} < y_{i+1,0}$ for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$; $y_{m+1,0} = b$, then by Theorem 2.7, $U \in GH_k[y_{i,k}, y_{i+1,0}]$. Given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ_i on $[y_{i,k}, y_{i+1,0}]$ such that $\delta_i(x) < \delta(x)$ for all $x \in [y_{i,k}, y_{i+1,0}]$ and for every δ_i -fine tagged k -partition P^i of $[y_{i,k}, y_{i+1,0}]$ we have

$$\|S(U, P^i) - (GH_k) \int_{y_{i,k}}^{y_{i+1,0}} U\| < \frac{\epsilon}{m+1}. \quad (4)$$

If $y_{i,k} = y_{i+1,0}$, we consider $S(U, P^i) = 0$. The expression

$$\sum_{i=1}^m [U(\eta_i; y_{i,1}, \dots, y_{i,k}) - U(\eta_i; y_{i,0}, \dots, y_{i,k-1})] + \sum_i S(U, P^i)$$

represents a GH_k integral sum which corresponds to a certain δ -fine tagged k -partition of $[a, b]$ and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m [U(\eta_i; y_{i,1}, \dots, y_{i,k}) - U(\eta_i; y_{i,0}, \dots, y_{i,k-1})] \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_i S(U, P^i) - (GH_k) \int_a^b U \right\| < \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Hence in view of Corollary 2.8 and the inequalities (4), (5)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m [U(\eta_i; y_{i,1}, \dots, y_{i,k}) - U(\eta_i; y_{i,0}, \dots, y_{i,k-1}) - (GH_k) \int_{y_{i,0}}^{y_{i,k}} U] \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m [U(\eta_i; y_{i,1}, \dots, y_{i,k}) - U(\eta_i; y_{i,0}, \dots, y_{i,k-1})] \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_i S(U, P^i) - (GH_k) \int_a^b U \right\| + \sum_i \left\| S(U, P^i) - (GH_k) \int_{y_{i,k}}^{y_{i+1,0}} U \right\| \\ & < \epsilon + \frac{m}{m+1} \epsilon < 2\epsilon. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.2. *Let $U : [a, b]^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be GH_k integrable on $[a, b]$. Then to each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ on $[a, b]$ such that for every δ -fine tagged k -partition*

$$P = \{(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) : [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

of $[a, b]$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left\| [U(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - U(\xi_i; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) - (GH_k) \int_{x_{i,0}}^{x_{i,k}} U] \right\| < \epsilon.$$

PROOF. We prove the result for real valued function $U : [a, b]^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The general case for \mathbb{R}^n follows from Note 2.4. Since $U \in GH_k[a, b]$, for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a positive function δ on $[a, b]$ such that for every δ -fine tagged k -partition

$$P = \{(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) : [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

of $[a, b]$ we have, in view of Corollary 2.8

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n [U(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - U(\xi_i; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) - (GH_k) \int_{x_{i,0}}^{x_{i,k}} U] \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

Let Σ^+ denote that part of the above sum for which

$$[U(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - U(\xi_i; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) - (GH_k) \int_{x_{i,0}}^{x_{i,k}} U] \geq 0,$$

and let Σ^- denote that part for which the above left expression is less than 0. Then utilizing Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n |[U(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - U(\xi_i; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) - (GH_k) \int_{x_{i,0}}^{x_{i,k}} U]| \\ & = \Sigma^+ - \Sigma^- = |\Sigma^+| + |\Sigma^-| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.3 (Cauchy Extension analog). *Let $U : [a, b]^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $k > 1$, be such that $U \in GH_k[a, c]$ for every $c \in [a, b]$ and let $\lim_{c \rightarrow b} (GH_k) \int_a^c U = I$ exist finitely. If $J^-(U, b)$ exists finitely, then $U \in GH_k[a, b]$ and $(GH_k) \int_a^b U = I$.*

PROOF. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. There is a number $\eta_1 > 0$ such that for every $c \in (b - \eta_1, b)$

$$\left\| (GH_k) \int_a^c U - I \right\| < \epsilon. \quad (6)$$

Let $\{c_p\}_{p=0}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence in $[a, b]$, $c_0 = a$ with $c_p \rightarrow b$ so that $U \in GH_k[a, c_p]$ for every $p = 1, 2, \dots$. So for every $p = 1, 2, \dots$, there exists a gauge $\delta_p : [a, c_p] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that for any δ_p -fine tagged k -partition P_p of $[a, c_p]$ we have

$$\left\| S(U, P_p) - (GH_k) \int_a^{c_p} U \right\| < \epsilon/2^{p+1}, p = 1, 2, \dots \quad (7)$$

For any $\xi \in [a, b)$ there is exactly one $p(\xi) = 1, 2, \dots$ for which $\xi \in [c_{p(\xi)-1}, c_{p(\xi)}]$. Given $\xi \in [a, b)$ choose $\hat{\delta}(\xi) > 0$ such that $\hat{\delta}(\xi) \leq \delta_{p(\xi)}(\xi)$ and

$$(\xi - \hat{\delta}(\xi), \xi + \hat{\delta}(\xi)) \cap [a, b) \subset [a, c_{p(\xi)}).$$

Assume that c is given in $[a, b)$ and that

$$\hat{P} = \{(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}); [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$$

is a $\hat{\delta}$ -fine tagged k -partition of $[a, c]$. If $p(\xi_i) = p$, then

$$[x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}] \subset (\xi_i - \hat{\delta}(\xi_i), \xi_i + \hat{\delta}(\xi_i)) \subset [a, c_p].$$

Also we have $[x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}] \subset (\xi_i - \delta_p(\xi_i), \xi_i + \delta_p(\xi_i))$. Let

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p(\xi_i)=p}}^{n-1} [U(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - U(\xi_i; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) - (GH_k) \int_{x_{i,0}}^{x_{i,k}} U]$$

be the sum of those terms in

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [U(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - U(\xi_i; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) - (GH_k) \int_{x_{i,0}}^{x_{i,k}} U]$$

for which the tag ξ_i satisfies the relation $\xi_i \in [c_{p-1}, c_p]$. Since (7) holds we obtain, by Saks-Henstock analog (Theorem 3.1),

$$\left\| \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p(\xi_i)=p}}^{n-1} [U(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - U(\xi_i; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) - (GH_k) \int_{x_{i,0}}^{x_{i,k}} U] \right\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2^p}. \quad (8)$$

Since $U \in GH_k[a, c]$ for every $c \in [a, b)$, we have by Corollary 2.8

$$(GH_k) \int_a^c U = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (GH_k) \int_{x_{i,0}}^{x_{i,k}} U.$$

Therefore, using (8)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [U(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - U(\xi_i; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1})] - (GH_k) \int_a^c U \right\| \\
&= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [U(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - U(\xi_i; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1})] - (GH_k) \int_{x_{i,0}}^{x_{i,k}} U \right\| \quad (9) \\
&\leq \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p(\xi_i)=p}}^{n-1} [U(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - U(\xi_i; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1})] - (GH_k) \int_{x_{i,0}}^{x_{i,k}} U \right\| \\
&< \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon}{2^p} = \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

If $J^-(U, b)$ exists, there is $\eta_2 > 0$ such that for every $b - \eta_2 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k < b$ we have

$$\|U(b; t_2, \dots, t_k, b) - U(b; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)\| < \epsilon. \quad (10)$$

Let $\eta = \min(\eta_1, \eta_2)$. Define a gauge δ on $[a, b]$ such that

$$\delta(\xi) = \min(\hat{\delta}(\xi), b - \xi) \text{ if } \xi \in [a, b), \quad \delta(b) < \eta.$$

Let $P = \{(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) : [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be an arbitrary δ -fine tagged k -partition of $[a, b]$. Clearly $\xi_n = x_{n,k} = b$ and $x_{n-1,k} = x_{n,0} \in (b - \eta, b) \subset (b - \eta_1, b)$. Utilizing (6) and (10)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|S(U, P) - I\| \\
&= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [U(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - U(\xi_i; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1})] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + [U(b; x_{n,1}, \dots, b) - U(b; x_{n,0}, \dots, x_{n,k-1})] - I \right\| \\
&\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [U(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - U(\xi_i; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1})] - (GH_k) \int_a^{x_{n-1,k}} U \right\| \\
&\quad + \left\| (GH_k) \int_a^{x_{n-1,k}} U - I \right\| + \|U(b; x_{n,1}, \dots, b) - U(b; x_{n,0}, \dots, x_{n,k-1})\| \\
&< \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [U(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - U(\xi_i; x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1})] - (GH_k) \int_a^{x_{n-1,k}} U \right\| + 2\epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $x_{n-1,k} < b$ and $\hat{P} = \{(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) : [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ is a $\hat{\delta}$ -fine tagged k -partition of $[a, x_{n-1,k}]$, using (9) the first term on the right hand side of the last inequality is less than ϵ . Hence we obtain

$$\|S(U, P) - I\| < 3\epsilon.$$

This yields $U \in GH_k[a, b]$ and that $(GH_k) \int_a^b U = I$. \square

Remark 3.4. For $k = 1$ see Schwabik ([13], Theorem 1.14). In fact, there the existence of $J^-(U, b)$ doesn't necessarily imply $\lim_{c \rightarrow b^-} [U(b, b) - U(b, c)] = 0$. For example, let $U(\tau, t) = f(\tau)g(t)$ so that $\lim_{c \rightarrow b^-} [U(b, b) - U(b, c)] = f(b)[g(b) - g(b-)]$, when $g(b-)$ exists. However, if $U(\tau, t) = f(\tau).t$, then $\lim_{c \rightarrow b^-} [U(b, b) - U(b, c)] = 0$.

The left analog of Theorem 3.3 can similarly be obtained.

Theorem 3.5. Let $U : [a, b]^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $k > 1$, be such that $U \in GH_k[\alpha, b]$ for every $\alpha \in (a, b)$ and let $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow a} (GH_k) \int_\alpha^b U = I$ exist finitely. If $J^+(U, a)$ exists finitely, then $U \in GH_k[a, b]$ and $(GH_k) \int_a^b U = I$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha : [a, b]^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g : [a, b]^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let

$$g(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_k) = \alpha(t_1, \dots, t_k) - \alpha(t_0, \dots, t_{k-1})$$

for $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_k \in [a, b]$. If $(f, \alpha) \in GH_k[a, b]$ and $J^+(f, \alpha; a)$, $J^-(f, \alpha; b)$ exist, then $(f, g) \in GR_k[a, b]$ and $(GR_k) \int_a^b f dg = k(GH_k) \int_a^b f d\alpha$.

PROOF. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. There exists a positive function $\delta : [a, b] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that for every δ -fine tagged k -partition

$$P = \{(\xi_i; x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k-1}) : [x_{i,0}, x_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

of $[a, b]$ and for every δ -fine tagged k -system $\{(\zeta_i; y_{i,1}, \dots, y_{i,k-1}) : [y_{i,0}, y_{i,k}]\}$ in $[a, b]$ we have from Definition 2.1 and Theorem 3.1

$$\left| \sum_{i=0}^n f(\xi_i) [\alpha(x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}) - \alpha(x_{i,0}, \dots, x_{i,k-1})] - (GH_k) \int_a^b f d\alpha \right| < \epsilon, \quad (11)$$

$$\left| \sum_i \{f(\zeta_i) [\alpha(y_{i,1}, \dots, y_{i,k}) - \alpha(y_{i,0}, \dots, y_{i,k-1})] - (GH_k) \int_{y_{i,0}}^{y_{i,k}} f d\alpha\} \right| < 2\epsilon \quad (12)$$

where we take $U(\tau; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) = f(\tau)\alpha(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$, $\tau, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k \in [a, b]$.

Take an arbitrary δ^k -fine division $D = \{([x_i, x_{i+k}], \xi_i), i = 0, 1, \dots, n-k\}$ of $[a, b]$. The points x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} and $x_{n-k+1}, \dots, x_{n-1}$ are accommodated satisfying the *jump* effects at a, b respectively. For each $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ we may consider

$$\{(\xi_{i+j}; x_{i+j+1}, \dots, x_{i+j+k-1}) : [x_{i+j}, x_{i+j+k}]\},$$

$$i \in A_{pk} = \{pk, p = 0, 1, \dots, x_{i+j+k} \leq b\}$$

as a δ -fine tagged k -system in $[a, b]$. So utilizing Cauchy Extension analogs (Theorems 3.3, 3.5) and using inequalities (11), (12) above, we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{i \in A_{pk}} f(\xi_{i+j}) [\alpha(x_{i+j+1}, \dots, x_{i+j+k}) - \alpha(x_{i+j}, \dots, x_{i+j+k-1})] - (GH_k) \int_a^b f d\alpha \right| < 2\epsilon$$

for each $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. Using the definition of g and the notation of Pal et al. [9], we observe that

$$s(f, g; D) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i \in A_{pk}} f(\xi_{i+j}) [\alpha(x_{i+j+1}, \dots, x_{i+j+k}) - \alpha(x_{i+j}, \dots, x_{i+j+k-1})].$$

We therefore obtain $|s(f, g; D) - k(GH_k) \int_a^b f d\alpha| < 2k\epsilon$. It follows that $(f, g) \in GR_k[a, b]$, and $(GR_k) \int_a^b f dg = k(GH_k) \int_a^b f d\alpha$. \square

Acknowledgement: The authors are grateful to the referee for helpful suggestions towards the improvement of the paper.

References

- [1] Sandhya Bhattacharaya and A. G. Das, *The LS_k integral*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, **13** (1985), 485-501.
- [2] P. S. Bullen, *A criterion for n -convexity*, Pacific J. Math., **36**(1971), 81-98.
- [3] U. Das and A. G. Das, *Convergence in k th variation and RS_k integrals*, Journal Austral Math. Soc., (Series A), **31** (1981), 163-174.
- [4] A. G. Das and B. K. Lahiri, *On RS_k^* integrals*, Comment Math. Prace Mat., **22** (1980), 33-41.
- [5] A. G. Das, Mahadevchandra Nath and Gokul Sahu, *Generalized Henstock Stieltjes integrals*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, **26** (1998), 61-75.

- [6] A. G. Das and Gokul Sahu, *Generalized Schwabik-Henstock integral*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., **92** (2000), 433-440.
- [7] A. G. Das and Gokul Sahu, *Ordinary Differential Equations and the HS_k integral*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., **93** (2001), 269-286.
- [8] A. G. Das and Gokul Sahu, *An equivalent Denjoy type definition of the generalized Henstock Stieltjes integral*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, **30** (2002), 27-49.
- [9] Supriya Pal, D. K. Ganguly and P. Y. Lee, *Henstock-Stieltjes Integral not induced by measure*, Real Analysis Exchange, **26**(2)(2000/2001), 853-860.
- [10] Swapan Kumar Ray and A. G. Das, *A New Definition of Generalized Riemann Stieltjes Integral*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, **18**(1990), 273-282.
- [11] A. M. Russell, *Functions of Bounded k th variation*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3), **26**(1973), 547-563.
- [12] A. M. Russell, *Stieltjes- type integrals*, J.Austral. Math. Soc., (Series A) **20**(1975), 431-448.
- [13] S. Schwabik, *Generalized Ordinary Differential Equations*, World Scientific (1992).
- [14] S. Verblunsky, *On the Peano derivatives*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **22** (1971), 313-324.