

COMPLEX LENGTH AND PERSISTENCE OF LIMIT CYCLES IN A NEIGHBORHOOD OF A HYPERBOLIC POLYCYCLE

YU. ILYASHENKO

To Jaume Llibre for his 60th birthday, with best and friendly wishes

Abstract: Complex limit cycle located in a neighborhood of a hyperbolic polycycle can not vanish under a small deformation that preserves the characteristic values of the vertexes of the polycycle. The cycles either change holomorphically under the change of the parameter, or come to the boundary of the fixed neighborhood of the polycycle. The present paper makes these statements rigorous and proves them.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37F75.

Key words: Complex limit cycles, hyperbolic polycycles, eigenvalues of singular points, complex length.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider planar holomorphic foliations.

1.1. Complex limit cycles and Diophantine singular points.

Definition 1. A characteristic number of a singular point of a complex planar foliation is a ratio of the eigenvalues of this point. A singular point is Diophantine, provided that its characteristic number λ is negative, and there exist C and s such that for any irreducible fraction $\frac{p}{q}$,

$$\left| \lambda - \frac{p}{q} \right| > \frac{C}{q^s}.$$

Any singular point with a negative characteristic number has exactly two germs of invariant holomorphic curves passing through a singular point, called *local separatrices*. Invariance means that the representatives of the germs with the singular point deleted belong to the leaves of the

foliation. These leaves (with the singular point added) are called (global) complex separatrices.

An analytic vector field near a Diophantine singular point may be analytically linearized. Any neighborhood where the linearizing chart is well defined is called for brevity *nice*.

Leaves of a planar complex foliation are Riemann surfaces. They may be non-simply connected.

Definition 2. A complex cycle of a foliation is a nontrivial free homotopy class of real loops on a leaf of the foliation. This class is called a complex limit cycle (identity cycle) provided that the corresponding fixed point of the holonomy map is (is not) isolated. A real (complex) family of complex cycles with a real (respectively, complex) parameter t depends continuously on t provided that there exists a family of representatives of the corresponding classes of free homotopy that depend continuously on the parameter.

1.2. Complex polycycles.

Definition 3. A polycycle of a real vector field is a separatrix polygone, that is an oriented closed curve σ , constituted by a finite union of ordered singular points O_j and their time oriented mutual separatrices σ_j that connect O_j and O_{j+1} ; sometimes, O_j and O_{j+1} may be the same. The orientation of σ agrees with the orientation of σ_j .

A complex polycycle is an analogous object for a complex foliation. In this paper we deal with complex hyperbolic polycycles defined as follows.

Definition 4. A complex hyperbolic polycycle is an oriented real closed curve, that consists of a finite union of hyperbolic singular points O_j , called vertexes of the polycycle, and the real oriented connections σ_j from O_j to O_{j+1} , called edges of the polycycle, that belong to mutual complex separatrices of the points O_j and O_{j+1} . Again, the orientation of σ agrees with the orientation of σ_j . The germs of two subsequent edges of the polycycle belong to different local separatrices of their mutual vertex.

Consider an analytic one-parameter family of holomorphic foliations with singularities in \mathbb{C}^2 :

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in V, 0 \in V \subset \mathbb{C}\},$$

the parameter space V is bounded. Suppose that the foliation \mathcal{F}_0 has a polycycle σ with vertexes O_j that are Diophantine singular points. Suppose that the base V is so small that the singular points $O_j(\alpha)$ are

well defined. We suppose that the deformation is special: all the singular points $O_j(\alpha)$ are Diophantine (consequently, their characteristic numbers do not depend on α).

We suppose also that any saddle connection between two singular points $O_i(\alpha), O_j(\alpha)$ is isolated in the family \mathcal{F} : if such a connection σ_{ij} occurs for $\alpha = \alpha_0$, then nearby foliations have no saddle connections between $O_i(\alpha), O_j(\alpha)$ that is close to σ_{ij} for $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$. This implies that saddle connections between the points $O_i(\alpha), O_j(\alpha)$ occur for at most a countable number of values $\alpha \in V$.

Suppose that the neighborhoods \mathcal{U}_j of O_j exist such that they contain $O_j(\alpha)$ and are nice for all $\alpha \in V$.

1.3. Correspondence and regular maps.

We will now recall a construction from [6] that allows us to decompose the monodromy map of a real polycycle into an product of alternating correspondence (Dulac), and regular maps. Consider a real polycycle σ of an analytic vector field v whose vertexes are hyperbolic saddles.

For any j , consider cross sections Γ_j^+, Γ_j^- in a small neighborhood of the singular point O_j passing through the points P_j^\pm chosen close to the vertexes on the edges: $P_j^+ \in \sigma_{j-1}, P_j^- \in \sigma_j$.

Let Σ_j^\pm be a half-interval of Γ_j^\pm with the vertexes P_j^\pm chosen in such a way that Σ_j^+ and Σ_j^- belong to the same hyperbolic sector of O_j . Let v be a vector field that generates the foliation near the point O_j . Then the correspondence map $\Delta_j: \Sigma_j^+ \rightarrow \Sigma_j^-$ along the phase curves of the vector field v is well defined. Suppose that v is linear in coordinates x, y near O_j . More precisely, v is orbitally analytic equivalent to a field $(x, \lambda_j y)$, $\lambda_j < 0$, and Γ_j^\pm have the form $y = c^+, x = c^-$, where c^+ and c^- are some constant values. Then the correspondence map has the form:

$$(2) \quad \Delta_j = C_j x^{-\lambda_j},$$

for some positive C_j .

Denote by $f_j: \Gamma_j^- \rightarrow \Gamma_{j+1}^+, j = 1, \dots, n$, the map along the phase curves of v that pass near the arc $[P_j^-, P_{j+1}^+] \subset \sigma_j$; the numeration is cyclic modulo $n: \Gamma_{n+1}^+ = \Gamma_1^+$. These maps are analytic at P_j^- and analytically depend on the parameter α ; they are called *regular maps*. When we want to stress the dependence on the parameter α , we write $\Delta_{j,\alpha}, f_{j,\alpha}$ instead of Δ_j, f_j .

For the hyperbolic polycycle σ , a monodromy map $\Delta_\sigma: \Sigma_1^+ \rightarrow \Gamma_1^+$ along the orbits of v is well defined. By definition:

$$(3) \quad \Delta_\sigma = f_n \circ \Delta_n \circ \dots \circ f_1 \circ \Delta_1.$$

Let us now give similar definitions in the complex context. The complex correspondence maps are non-univalent, and we use a complex cycle γ close to a complex polycycle σ in order to choose a branch of the correspondence map.

Let σ be a complex hyperbolic polycycle of a foliation \mathcal{F} , see Definition 4. On any edge σ_j that connects O_j and O_{j+1} , take two points P_j^- and P_{j+1}^+ close to O_j and O_{j+1} respectively. Take analytic cross sections Γ_j^\pm through P_j^\pm . Consider a complex cycle γ close to σ . We say that γ makes one circuit along σ if γ crosses any section Γ_j^\pm exactly at one point $Q_j^\pm : Q_j^\pm = \gamma \cap \Gamma_j^\pm$. The points $Q_1^+ \in \Gamma_1^+, \dots, Q_n^+ \in \Gamma_n^+$ on the cycle are called *marked*, and the cycles with the marked points distinguished is called *marked* also. The cycle γ is therefore split into the union of arcs

$$(4) \quad \gamma_j = [Q_j^+, Q_j^-] \subset \gamma$$

and

$$(5) \quad \rho_j = [Q_j^-, Q_{j+1}^+] \subset \gamma.$$

Denote by (Δ_j, Q_j^+) a germ of a map $(\Gamma_j^+, Q_j^+) \rightarrow (\Gamma_j^-, Q_j^-)$ along the leaves of \mathcal{F} . The analytic extension of (Δ_j, Q_j^+) is called the (complex) correspondence or Dulac map of \mathcal{F} at a point O_j . In general, it has a logarithmic branch point P_j^+ . Denote by (f_j, P_j^-) the germ of a map $(\Gamma_j, P_j^-) \rightarrow (\Gamma_{j+1}^+, P_{j+1}^+)$ along the leaves of \mathcal{F} , close to the arc $r_j = [P_j^-, P_{j+1}^+] \subset \sigma_j$. This is a holomorphic map at P_j^- ; denote its representative also by f_j . This is a germ of a regular map at P_j^- .

When the foliations \mathcal{F}_α analytically depend on α , the maps defined above are also analytic in α . In this case, we add a subscript α to their notation.

As before, the complex cycle γ is split into the union of the alternating arcs γ_j and ρ_j . Moreover:

$$(6) \quad Q_j^- = \Delta_j(Q_j^+), \quad Q_{j+1}^+ = f_j(Q_j^-).$$

The latter equality holds when the cycle γ is sufficiently close to the polycycle σ . Then Q_1^+ satisfies the following relation:

$$Q_1^+ = f_n \circ \Delta_n \circ \dots \circ f_1 \circ \Delta_1(Q_1^+).$$

1.4. Dashed neighborhoods of hyperbolic complex polycycles.

Let the family of foliations (1), the polycycle σ and the cross sections Γ_j be the same as above. Suppose that the disks $U_j^\pm \subset \Gamma_j^\pm \cap \mathcal{U}_j$ are such that for all $\alpha \in V$ the following holds:

- all the branches of the Dulac maps $\Delta_{j,\alpha}: U_j^+ \rightarrow \Gamma_j^-$ are well defined (below we prove that such disks exist);
- the regular maps $f_{j,\alpha}: U_j^- \rightarrow U_{j+1}^+$ are well defined;
- the separatrices of \mathcal{F}_α passing through $O_j(\alpha)$ cross the disks U_j^\pm .

We call the union of the disks U_j^\pm *the dashed neighborhood* of the polycycle σ . These neighborhoods will be used in the definition of the continuation of a complex limit cycle up to the boundary of a neighborhood of a polycycle.

1.5. Continuation of complex limit cycles.

For a family $\xi: [0, 1] \rightarrow V$, $t \mapsto \alpha = \xi(t)$ we denote the image of the map ξ either as $\xi(t)$ or as $\alpha(t)$.

Definition 5. Let γ_0 be a complex limit cycle of the foliation \mathcal{F}_0 , and $\xi: [0, 1] \rightarrow V$, $\xi(0) = 0$, be a curve in the family (1). We say that the cycle γ_0 is extended along this curve over an arc $[0, t_0)$ or $[0, t_0]$ if there exists a continuous family γ_t of complex limit cycles of the foliations $\mathcal{F}(t) = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha(t)}$ well defined for all $t \in [0, t_0)$ or $t \in [0, t_0]$ respectively. The cycle is extended over the whole curve ξ if it can be extended along this curve over the arc $[0, 1]$.

Definition 6. The family of complex limit cycles in the previous definition is *marked* provided that representatives of the cycles γ_t (still denoted by γ_t) exist such that they intersect all the discs U_j^\pm exactly once at the points $Q_j^\pm(t)$. The family above is continued up to the boundary of the dashed neighborhood $\cup U_j^\pm$ of the polycycle σ provided that all the points $Q_j^\pm(t)$, $t < t_0$, belong to the interior of the disc U_j^\pm , and at least one of the points $Q_j^\pm(t_0)$ belongs to the boundary of the corresponding disc.

Definition 7. A complex cycle γ_0 has a generalized ε -lift property in a dashed neighborhood of the polycycle, provided that the following holds. For any curve $\xi: [0, 1] \rightarrow V$, $\xi(0) = 0$, and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a curve ξ_ε , ε -close to ξ in $C_{[0,1]}$, $\xi_\varepsilon(0) = 0$, and such that the marked cycle γ_0 persists under the continuation along ξ_ε in the following sense. The cycle γ_0 may be either extended over the whole curve ξ_ε , or along this curve over an arc $[0, t_0]$ up to the boundary of the dashed neighborhood.

1.6. Results.

Theorem 1. *Consider a family (1) of foliations described above. Let the polycycle σ , the complex limit cycle γ and the dashed neighborhood be the same as above. Then the cycle γ has the generalized ε -lift property in the family (1).*

The proof is based on the notion of the complex length of a complex limit cycle that we now introduce. Denote by $(\zeta_{j,\alpha}, \eta_{j,\alpha})$ the linearizing chart for \mathcal{F}_α near $O_j(\alpha)$. Let $\zeta_{j,\alpha}$ vanish on the separatrix of $O_j(\alpha)$ that intersects U_j^+ .

Definition 8. Consider a family of the marked complex limit cycles γ_t that cross the discs U_j^+ of the dashed neighborhood at the marked points $Q_j^+(t)$. Let η be a segment $[0, t_0]$. Complex length of the cycle γ_t in the family $\{\gamma_t \mid t \in \eta\}$ is a holomorphic vector function

$$\begin{aligned}
 L &:= (L_1, \dots, L_n), \\
 (7) \quad L_j(t) &= \log \zeta_{j,\alpha(t)}(Q_j^+(t)), \\
 \arg \zeta_{j,\alpha(0)}(Q_j^+(0)) &\in [-\pi, \pi).
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 9. For the same family, the modified complex length of the cycle γ_t in the family $\{\gamma_t \mid t \in \eta\}$ is

$$(8) \quad l(t) := \sum_1^n |L_j(t)|.$$

The Euclidean length of the cycle γ_t from Definition 8 is the minimal length of its representative that contains the marked point $Q_j^+(t)$.

Remark 1. The Euclidean length of the cycle does not depend on the family in which the cycle is included. On the contrary, the complex length makes sense only when the cycle is included in a family. The extension of $L(t)$ over a segment η allows us to choose the proper branch of the logarithm in (7).

Remark 2. The complex length depends on the choice of coordinates ζ_α . Yet an analytic change of coordinates: $\omega_\alpha = F(\zeta_\alpha, \alpha)$, $\omega_\alpha(O_\alpha) = 0$, results in a bounded change of the vector function L on all of its domain for any curve $\xi: [0, 1] \rightarrow V$. The same is true for the modified length.

Theorem 2. *The modified complex length of the limit cycle γ_t majorizes its Euclidean length $|\gamma_t|$. This means that there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ depending on the family $\{\gamma_t\}$ such that*

$$(9) \quad |\gamma_t| < C(l(t) + 1).$$

The modified complex length may take zero values. For this reason, the free term in the right-hand side of (9) is inserted.

Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1. Theorem 2 is proved in Section 2 and Theorem 1 in Section 3.

Before proving the results stated above, let us make a brief survey.

1.7. Persistence theorems for complex dynamical systems.

Theorem 1 is a part of a vast realm of persistence problems for parameter depending complex dynamical systems.

Problem 1. *Is it correct that complex limit cycles in the family of polynomial equations*

$$(10) \quad \dot{z} = P_n(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^2$$

have an ε -lift property?

This means that for any curve $\xi: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$, where \mathcal{A}_n is the space of coefficients of equations (10), for any complex limit cycle γ of equation $\xi(0)$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a curve ξ_ε , $\xi_\varepsilon(0) = \xi(0)$, ε -close to ξ in $C_{[0,1]}$, such that a continuous family γ_t is well defined for $t \in [0, 1]$, where $\gamma_0 = \gamma$, and γ_t a complex limit cycle of the equation $\xi_\varepsilon(t)$.

Consider a real limit cycle of a real planar polynomial vector field. It is a complex limit cycle for the complexification of the corresponding differential equation. We say that this complex cycle is generated by a real one. Problem 1, for complex limit cycles generated by real ones, was stated in [7] in slightly different terms. The problem stays unsolved. Theorem 1 above is a solution for a particular case of this problem.

A global persistence result for another class of dynamical systems is obtained in [1]. It claims that heteroclinic points of periodic orbits of polynomial automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 may be globally extended over the parameter space. Note that periodic orbits of polynomial automorphisms depend *algebraically* on the coefficients. Therefore, they are globally defined as functions of parameters, and in particular, have the ε -lift property. But their heteroclinic points are *transcendental* functions of the parameters, and ε -lift property for them requires new tools to be developed. These tools were elaborated in [1], modified in [4], and used in this paper.

Some persistence problems are stated in [5]. One of them asks whether the complex Poincaré map is globally extendable for *generic* planar complex polycycle foliations. Some *degenerate* foliations may have a Poincaré map non-extendable beyond some disc [2]. This shows, how challenging

Problem 1 is. At present, it is difficult to predict whether or not the answer to Problem 1 is positive.

After this brief survey, let us turn to the proof of our main results.

2. Complex length vs Euclidean length

In this section we prove Theorem 2.

2.1. A relation between correspondence and monodromy maps.

Recall that a monodromy map of a separatrix of a complex singular point is a holonomy map that corresponds to a loop on the separatrix that makes one circuit around the singular point in the positive direction.

Let $\Delta_{j,\alpha}: \Gamma_j^+ \rightarrow \Gamma_j^-$ be the correspondence (Dulac) map along the leaves of \mathcal{F}_α . It is not a univalent map; fix some branch $\Delta_{j,\alpha}^0$ of it on Γ_j^+ cut along the negative ray in some coordinate x . Denote by $e^{2\pi ik}x$, $x \in \mathbb{C}$, the endpoint of an arc on the universal cover over \mathbb{C}^* that covers the curve in \mathbb{C}^* emanating from x , making k turns around 0 and coming back to x . Let $M_j(\alpha): \Gamma_j^- \rightarrow \Gamma_j^-$ be the monodromy map of the local separatrix of $O_j(\alpha)$ that crosses Γ_j^- at the point P_j^- . In these notations, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(11) \quad \Delta_{j,\alpha}(e^{2\pi ik}x) = M_j^k(\alpha) \circ \Delta_{j,\alpha}^0(x).$$

In the linearizing coordinates this is trivial; but the relation holds even if these coordinates do not exist. Namely, it holds true for any non-degenerate singular point of a complex foliation, whose characteristic number λ lies in the left halfplane: $\Re\lambda < 0$, [3].

Let us state analytic counterpart of this formula. Let, as before, $\zeta_{j,\alpha}, \eta_{j,\alpha}$ be a linearizing chart near $O_j(\alpha)$. Let $x_{j,\alpha} = \zeta_{j,\alpha}|_{\Gamma_j^+}$, $y_{j,\alpha} = \eta_{j,\alpha}|_{\Gamma_j^-}$. Let λ_j be the characteristic number of O_j (the eigenvalue corresponding to the local separatrix that contains $(\sigma_j, 0)$ is in the denominator), and $\nu_j = e^{2\pi i\lambda_j}$. The separatrix of $O_j(\alpha)$ that contains the germ $(\sigma_{j-1}(\alpha), O_j(\alpha))$ or $(\sigma_j(\alpha), O_j(\alpha))$ is called incoming (respectively, outgoing) separatrix.

For a linear vector field with a real characteristic number, the monodromy map M_j of the outgoing separatrix W_j^- of O_j is well defined:

$$(12) \quad \Gamma_j^+ \rightarrow \Gamma_j^-, \quad y_{j,\alpha} \mapsto \nu_j y_{j,\alpha}.$$

As λ_j is real, $|\nu_j| = 1$, and in the coordinate $y_{j,\alpha}$, M_j is a rigid rotation. Thus all the iterates of $M_{j,\alpha}$, positive or negative, are well defined in Γ_j^- . Note that $M_{j,\alpha}$ does not depend on α in the normalizing coordinates, but it may well depend on α in the original coordinates.

The correspondence map in the normalizing coordinates has the form:

$$(13) \quad x_{j,\alpha} \mapsto y_{j,\alpha} = x_{j,\alpha}^{-\lambda_j}.$$

In this coordinates relation (11) is a simple property of branching of a power function; but it gives an important geometric interpretation of this property that will be used below.

2.2. Majorizing the length.

As in Definition 8, consider a real family of the marked complex limit cycles γ_t that cross the discs U_j^+ of the dashed neighborhood at the marked points $Q_j^+(t)$. Denote by $v_j(t)$ the argument of $x_j(t) := \zeta_{j,\alpha(t)}(Q_j^+(t))$ extended from $t = 0$ continuously in t ; we suppose that $v_j(0) \in [-\pi, \pi]$. Let $|\gamma|$ be the length of the curve γ . Denote by $\gamma_{j,t}$ the arc of γ_t that connects $Q_j^+(t)$ and $Q_j^-(t)$. We will prove below the following relation:

$$(14) \quad |\gamma_{j,t}| < C_0 + C_1|v_j(t)|.$$

So, the Euclidian lengths of the arcs $\gamma_{j,t}$ are majorized by the arguments v_j . Hence, the sum of lengths of the arcs $\gamma_{j,t}$ is majorized by the complex length of γ_t ; the lengths of $\rho_{j,t}$ stay bounded. This implies Theorem 2, modulo relation (14).

2.3. Proof of relation (14).

Relation (14) follows from (11). Consider first a neighborhood of a hyperbolic singular point O of a foliation \mathcal{F} where the linearizing chart (z, w) is well defined, and consider a cross-section Γ^- to a separatrix with the chart $y = w|_{\Gamma^-}$. In a neighborhood $(\Gamma^-, 0)$ the monodromy map M is well defined. In a normalizing chart it is a rigid rotation, and all its iterates are well defined in one and the same domain.

For any $y \in (\Gamma^-, 0)$ let $M(y)$ be its image under the monodromy map, and $\lambda(y)$ be an arc on the leaf of the foliation \mathcal{F} that connects y and $M(y)$, and is projected along the w axis to a positively oriented circle S centered at zero on the z axis. There exists C such that $|\lambda(y)| < C$ uniformly in $(\Gamma^-, 0)$.

Below we use the universal constant C ; one and the same symbol C corresponds to different values.

Consider a cross-section Γ^+ to the other complex separatrix, and let $\Delta: \Gamma^+ \rightarrow \Gamma^-$ be the correspondence map of \mathcal{F} . Let Γ_{cut}^+ be a disc on the cross-section Γ^+ cut along the negative ray with two edges of the cut included. This is a compact set; suppose that it is so small that the

correspondence map $\Delta: \Gamma_{\text{cut}}^+ \rightarrow \Gamma^-$ is well defined. For any $x \in \Gamma_{\text{cut}}^+$ let $\delta(x)$ be an arc on the leaf of \mathcal{F} that connects x and $\Delta(x)$. Then there exists C such that $|\delta(x)| < C$ uniformly in $x \in \Gamma_{\text{cut}}^+$. The branch of the correspondence map thus defined is denoted by Δ^0 .

Let us now pass to relation (14). Consider a curve $\xi: [0, 1] \rightarrow V$ and suppose that the cycle γ_0 may be extended along the whole curve ξ up to a family of cycles γ_t with the marked points $Q_j^+(t)$. Let us estimate the length of the curve $\gamma_{j,t}$, see Subsection 2.2. We will do that for $j = 1$. Case of arbitrary j is treated in the same way. Let $x_1(t)$ be the same as in the previous subsection. Consider the curve $\zeta_1: t \mapsto x_1(t)$. The curve ζ_1 begins at $x_1(0)$ and ends at $x_1(1)$. Let us connect the points $x_1(1)$ and $x_1(0)$ by a curve $\zeta_0 \subset \Gamma_{\text{cut}}^+$. The curve $\eta = \zeta_1\zeta_0$, still parametrized by $[0, 1]$, makes k turns around zero; the number k is defined by the formula:

$$|\arg \eta(1) - \arg \eta(0) - 2\pi k| \leq \pi.$$

By (11), the curve $\gamma_{1,1} = \gamma_{1,t}$ for $t = 1$, may be chosen as an arc $(x(1), \Delta_1^0(x(1)))$, continued by an arc $\lambda_{1,k}$ which is defined as the k -fold cover on the leaf over the circle S mentioned in the first paragraph of this subsection. Hence, for some C ,

$$(15) \quad |\gamma_{1,1}| \leq C(k + 1) \leq C \left(\frac{|\arg x_1(1)|}{2\pi} + 1 \right).$$

This proves (14) for $j = 1$. The proof for arbitrary j is the same, as mentioned above.

Thus, the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

3. Persistence of limit cycles

Here we deduce Theorem 1 from Theorem 2, and from the boundary properties of analytic functions.

3.1. Persistence domains for limit cycles.

Let us first describe the domain to which the complex length of the limit cycle may be analytically extended.

Recall that a complex cycle γ in a family of foliations V , see (1) is called *marked* if it is represented by a loop that crosses exactly once every disc of the dashed neighborhood of the polycycle σ .

We now modify the definition of the persistence domain for a complex limit cycle from [4], in order to adjust it to the local cross-sections $U_j^+ \subset \Gamma_j^+$. In what follows we make use of the marked points Q_j^+ only.

Definition 10. The persistence domain for complex limit cycle γ in the family (1) is a set that consists of marked complex cycles (limit or with holonomy identity) of the foliations \mathcal{F}_α , $\alpha \in V$, see (1), with the marked points $Q_j^+ \in U_j^+$, and has the following properties:

- path connectedness: any representative of a cycle that belongs to the persistence domain may be connected to γ_0 by a homotopy whose elements are representatives of marked limit cycles of foliations \mathcal{F}_α with marked points located in U_j^+ ;
- maximality: the persistence domain is not contained in a larger set with the above property.

Let $U = U_1^+ \times \dots \times U_n^+$. The persistence domain defined above is denoted by $LC = LC(\mathcal{F}, U, \gamma)$. Its natural projection p onto the Cartesian product $\mathcal{W} = V \times U$ is well defined: each marked cycle γ' from the persistence domain is projected to the parameter α of the corresponding foliation, and to the tuple of the marked points of $Q(\alpha) = (Q_1^+(\alpha), \dots, Q_n^+(\alpha))$ in U . The image of the projection p is locally an analytic set, and the projection is locally one-to-one. Indeed, consider a parameter depending tuple of complex Poincaré maps of γ' corresponding to the tuple of the cross sections U_j^+ . This tuple of maps may be considered as one parameter depending vector Poincaré map $U \rightarrow \Gamma_1^+ \times \dots \times \Gamma_n^+$. The points $(\alpha, Q_1^+(\alpha), \dots, Q_n^+(\alpha)) \in \mathcal{W}$ are the fixed points of this map. Hence, they form an analytic set. Therefore, $Z = p(LC)$ is a dimension one complex variety immersed in $V \times U$. Note that in general Z is not an analytic subset of $V \times U$, because it may not be closed.

Projection p provides an analytic structure to the persistence domain of a limit cycle: the local analytic structure on Z is pulled back onto LC . Note that p is locally a bijection, but may be not one to one globally: the projection p may have a nontrivial holonomy. Let $LC_{\mathcal{W}}$ be an irreducible component of the set $p^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$ that contains γ , and $\partial LC_{\mathcal{W}} = p^{-1}(V \times \partial U) \cap CLC_{\mathcal{W}}$.

Consider a projection $\pi_U: LC_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow U$ that brings any cycle from $LC_{\mathcal{W}}$ to the tuple of its marked points in U . Consider a natural map $\pi_V: LC_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow V$. Note that $p = \pi_V \times \pi_U$.

Theorem 1 is equivalent to a statement that projection π_V has a modified ε -lift property in sense of the following definition.

Definition 11. A projection π of an analytic set X with a boundary ∂X on an analytic set $Y \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, with metric on Y induced from \mathbb{C}^n , has a modified ε -lift property provided that the following holds. For any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$p \in Y$, $q \in X$, $\pi(q) = p$, and any curve $\xi: [0, 1] \mapsto Y$, $\xi(0) = p$, there exists a curve ξ_ε , ε -close to ξ in the $C_{[0,1]}$ metric such that:

- either ξ_ε may be lifted to X starting at q , that is there exists a curve $\widehat{\xi}_\varepsilon: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$, $\widehat{\xi}_\varepsilon(0) = q$, $\pi\widehat{\xi}_\varepsilon = \xi_\varepsilon$;
- or there exists t_0 such that the curve $\xi_\varepsilon|_{[0, t_0]}$ may be lifted to $X \cup \partial X$ as a curve $\widehat{\xi}_\varepsilon$ starting at q , and $\widehat{\xi}_\varepsilon(t_0) \in \partial X$.

This definition reproduces a parallel definition from [1] with a modification due to the existence of the boundary ∂X .

In what follows, we will prove that the projection $\pi_V: LC_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow V$ has a modified ε -lift property.

3.2. Bounded length and extension of limit cycles.

Lemma 1. *Consider a real analytic one-parameter subfamily of foliations $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha(t)}$, $t \in [0, 1]$, in the family (1), and a family of limit cycles γ_t of these foliations defined on a semi-interval $t \in [0, t_0)$ in the parameter space. Suppose that the length $|\gamma_t|$ does not tend to infinity as $t \rightarrow t_0$. Then either the family γ_t tends to a complex polycycle γ as $t \rightarrow t_0$ or the family γ_t may be extended to t_0 and beyond.*

Proof: By assumption, there exists a sequence $t_i \rightarrow t_0$ such that the lengths $|\gamma_{t_i}|$ are bounded. A sequence of piecewise smooth curves of bounded length contains a convergent subsequence: $\gamma_{t_i} \rightarrow \gamma^0$ (in the Hausdorff sense) as $t_i \rightarrow t_0$, where γ^0 is again a closed curve of a finite length.

Suppose first that the curve γ^0 contains no singular point of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha(t_0)}$. All the curves γ_{t_i} belong to some leaves of the foliations $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha(t_i)}$. By the continuous dependence of the leaves on the parameter, γ^0 belongs to some leaf of $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha(t_0)}$ because it contains no singular points. Consider the Poincaré map of the cycle γ^0 corresponding to U_1^+ . It is a germ of a holomorphic map near its fixed point in $V \times U$. The set of the fixed points of this germ contains the points corresponding to $\gamma_{t_i}: (\alpha(t_i), Q_1^+(t_i))$. The persistence domain of the cycle γ^0 has therefore a nonempty intersection with that of the cycle γ_0 . Adding the first domain to the second one will preserve the properties listed in Subsection 3.1. By maximality, the first domain belongs to the second one. Hence, the family of limit cycles γ_t , $t \in [0, t_0)$ is extended to t_0 and beyond. This proves Lemma 1 in the case when γ^0 contains no singular points of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha(t_0)}$.

Suppose now that the curves γ^0 contains some singular points of $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha(t_0)}$. Then they are some of the points $O_j(\alpha_0)$ that evolve from the vertexes

of the polycycle γ . Any arc of γ^0 between two singular points belongs to a leaf of $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha(t_0)}$. Hence, γ^0 is a polycycle. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

Note that there is but a countable number of values of $\alpha \in V$ for which \mathcal{F}_α has a polycycle, as mentioned in Subsection 1.2. \square

Remark 3. The idea to use bounded length of complex limit cycles for the extension above goes back to [7].

3.3. Projection of the persistence domain and its universal cover.

Consider a universal cover \widehat{LC}_W over LC_W with the base point γ and with the projection $\widehat{\pi}: \widehat{LC}_W \rightarrow LC_W$. The complex length (still denoted by L) is well defined on \widehat{LC}_W . The definition is the following. Any point $\widehat{\gamma} \in \widehat{LC}_W$ corresponds to a homotopy class of families of limit cycles in LC_W ; the families of this class have the same initial point that coincides with the base point γ of the cover, and the same endpoint $\widehat{\pi}(\widehat{\gamma})$.

Take a representative of this class, namely, a family γ_t of limit cycles, $t \in [0, 1]$, $\gamma_0 = \gamma$. By definition, γ_t is a complex limit cycle of a foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha(t)}$, where $\alpha(t) = \pi_V \gamma_t$. For such a family, the complex length of $\gamma(1)$, see (7), is well defined. Now, note that $\widehat{\pi}(\widehat{\gamma}) = \gamma(1)$, and let the complex length at $\widehat{\gamma}$ be equal to

$$(16) \quad L(\widehat{\gamma}) = L(\gamma(1)).$$

This definition does not depend on the representative, because under the homotopy, the value $\zeta_{j,\alpha(t)}(Q_j^+(t))$ in (7) is nonzero.

Remark 4. The persistence domain of a limit cycle γ_0 may be not simply connected. Therefore, two paths that connect a cycle γ with the original cycle γ_0 may produce two different values of the complex length of γ . Yet on the universal cover \widehat{LC}_W the complex length is well defined.

Let

$$X = \{ \pi_X(\widehat{\gamma}) := (\pi_U \circ \widehat{\pi}(\widehat{\gamma}), \pi_V \circ \widehat{\pi}(\widehat{\gamma}), L(\widehat{\gamma})) \mid \widehat{\gamma} \in \widehat{LC}_W \}, \quad X \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}.$$

Proposition 1. *The set X is a closed analytic subset of $U \times V \times (\mathbb{C}^-)^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$, $\mathbb{C}^- = \{ \lambda \mid \Re \lambda < 0 \}$.*

Proof: Take a sequence $x_k \in X$ that converges to a point $x^0 \in U \times V \times (\mathbb{C}^-)^n$. Let $x_k = (Q_{k1}^+, \dots, Q_{kn}^+, \alpha_k, L^k) = \pi_X(\widehat{\gamma}_k)$, and $\widehat{\pi}\widehat{\gamma}_k = \gamma_k$. By definition, γ_k is a complex limit cycle of the foliation F_{α_k} with the marked points $Q_{k1}^+, \dots, Q_{kn}^+$. Its complex length in the family that corresponds to $\widehat{\gamma}_k$ is a complex vector L^k .

The convergence $x_k \rightarrow x^0$ implies that the sequence L^k is bounded. By Theorem 2, the sequence of the Euclidean lengths of the cycles γ_k is bounded. Hence, by Lemma 1, the sequence γ_k has a subsequence γ_{k_l} that converges either to a complex limit cycle or to a polycycle γ^0 . Below we show that the second case is impossible. Consider the first one.

The same arguments as at the end of the proof of Lemma 1 imply that $\gamma^0 \in LC_{\mathcal{W}}$. Then $\widehat{\gamma}_{k_l} \rightarrow \widehat{\gamma}^0 \in \widehat{LC}_{\mathcal{W}}$, and $x^0 := \pi_X(\widehat{\gamma}^0) \in X$.

Suppose now that γ^0 is a polycycle. Then the subsequence γ_k approaches some singular point. The marked points of these cycles that belong to the incoming separatrix tend to zero in the chart used for the definition (7) of the complex length. Therefore, the complex lengths L^k of the cycles γ_k tend to infinity, that contradicts the assumption that the sequence L^k is bounded. \square

3.4. Tameness on disks and ε -lifts.

The following property is sufficient for the modified ε -lift property to hold.

Definition 12. Let X be an analytic subset with boundary of the product of two spaces $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m$ with the natural projection π of the product along the second factor onto the first one. Let $Y = \pi X$. The set X is *tame in disks* over Y provided that for any holomorphic map $\Phi: D \rightarrow X$ of an open unit disk such that $\pi \circ \Phi(D)$ is bounded, the radial limit

$$(17) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \Phi(re^{i\theta}) = x(\theta)$$

exists for a.e. θ . We also say that the map $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ is tame on disks.

Lemma 2. *A map $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ of a one-dimensional analytic set with a boundary to a one-dimensional analytic set Y , has a modified ε -lift property, provided that π is tame on disks.*

Proof: The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 1 from [4]. We reproduce it here because the presence of the boundary requires some modifications. Suppose that the lemma is wrong. Then by Definition 11, there exist two points $p \in Y$, $q \in X$, a curve $\xi: [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$, $\xi(0) = p = \pi(q)$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, see Definition 11, with the following property. For every curve $\xi_\varepsilon: [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$, $\xi_\varepsilon(0) = p$ which is ε -close to ξ , neither there exists a lift $\widehat{\xi}_\varepsilon$ of ξ_ε to $X: \widehat{\xi}_\varepsilon(0) = q$, $\pi\widehat{\xi}_\varepsilon = \xi_\varepsilon$, nor there exists t_0 such that the curve $\xi_\varepsilon|_{[0, t_0]}$ may be lifted to $X \cup \partial X$, and $\widehat{\xi}_\varepsilon(t_0) \in \partial X$. We will bring this assumption to a contradiction with the hypothesis that X is tame in disks over Y .

Without loss of generality we may assume that the map ξ is analytic and may be extended to some neighborhood W of $[0, 1]$ in \mathbb{C} . Consider the inverse image of the projection: $\pi^{-1}(W) \subset X$. Let S_q be its irreducible component that contains q . The set S_q is again an analytic set with a boundary.

As the lemma is assumed to be wrong, there exist the following objects: a positive number ε , a cover of $[0, 1]$ by ε -disks in W , two disks $D' \subset W$, $D'' \subset W$ of this cover and two points $p' \in D'$, $q' \in S_q$ with the following property. The projection $\pi q'$ equals p' , and no curve $\gamma(p', p'') \subset D'$, $p'' \in \partial D' \cap D''$ may be lifted to S_q with the initial point q' up to the end of the curve or up to the boundary of X . We will refer to this statement as D', D'' -property.

Let S be the irreducible component of the intersection $\pi^{-1}D' \cap S_q$, that contains q' . Let \widehat{S} be the universal cover over S with the base point q' and projection $\widehat{\pi}: \widehat{S} \rightarrow S$. Let $\widehat{\Phi}: D \rightarrow \widehat{S}$ be the uniformization of \widehat{S} and $\widehat{\Phi}(0) = q'$.

We will need the following definition.

Definition 13. Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C} , $\Psi: D \rightarrow \Omega$ – a holomorphic function, and let $\eta: [0, 1] \rightarrow \overline{D}$ be a curve such that $\eta([0, 1)) \subset D$ and $\eta(1) \in \partial D$. The curve η is called *an interior end* for Ψ and Ω provided that the limit

$$z = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \Psi \circ \eta(r)$$

exists, and $z \in \Omega$.

The following proposition provides a sufficient condition for the existence of interior ends for a map of one disc onto another.

Proposition 2. *Let D and D' be two copies of the unit disc, and $\Psi: D \rightarrow D'$ be a non-constant holomorphic function. Let $\overline{\Psi(D)} \not\supset \overline{D}'$. Then there exists a set of angles of positive measure such that the corresponding set of radii are the interior ends for Ψ and D' .*

Proof: Suppose that the proposition fails. Then the difference $\partial D' \setminus \overline{\Psi(D)}$ contains an open arc A , and, at the same time, the set of angles for which the correspondent radii, oriented from the center, are the interior ends, has measure zero.

Consider a holomorphic map $\Psi^+: D' \rightarrow D^+$, where D^+ is a half-disc $D' \cap \{\text{Im } z \geq 0\}$, that brings the arc A to a semi-circle in the boundary of D^+ . Then $\Psi^+(\overline{\Psi(D)} \cap \partial D') \subset [-1, 1]$. The function $f = \Psi^+ \circ \Psi$ is holomorphic and bounded. Hence, by the Fatou Theorem, it has radial limits

almost everywhere. By assumption, these limit values belong to the diameter $[-1, 1]$. Hence, the bounded harmonic function $v = \text{Im } f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a radial limit zero for a.e. radius. By the Poisson formula, $v \equiv 0$. Hence, $f \equiv \text{const}$, $\Psi \equiv \text{const}$, a contradiction. \square

Let us turn back to the proof of Lemma 2. Consider a map

$$\Psi: D \rightarrow D', \quad \Psi = \pi \circ \widehat{\pi} \circ \widehat{\Phi}.$$

Here D' is the disc from the (D', D'') -property above. It may be identified with a unit disc. By the (D', D'') -property, Ψ satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 2, because $\overline{\Psi(D)} \cap D' \cap D'' = \emptyset$. By this proposition, the set of radii that are the interior ends for the map Ψ and the domain D' , has a positive measure.

By assumption, the set X is tame in disks over Y . Consider a map

$$\Phi: D \rightarrow X, \quad \Phi = \widehat{\pi} \circ \widehat{\Phi}.$$

Hence, for almost every $\theta \in S^1$, the curve

$$\lambda_\theta: [0, 1] \rightarrow X, \quad r \rightarrow \Phi(re^{i\theta})$$

has a limit $x(\theta) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \lambda_\theta(r)$. As the set of interior ends has positive measure, we can suppose that the radius corresponding to such θ is an interior end. Take and fix one of such θ . The point $x(\theta) \in X \cup \partial X$, because the latter union is closed. Note that $x(\theta) \notin \partial X$ by the assumption in the first paragraph of the proof of Lemma 2. Hence, $x(\theta) \in X$, and $\pi x(\theta) \in D'$, because the corresponding radius is an interior end for Φ . Therefore, $x(\theta) \in S$.

This contradicts to the definition of the map $\widehat{\Phi}$. Indeed, consider the cover $\widehat{\lambda}_\theta$ over λ_θ with the base point q' , $\widehat{\lambda}_\theta(r) = \widehat{\Phi}(re^{i\theta})$. As $\lambda_\theta(r) \rightarrow x(\theta) \in S$ as $r \rightarrow 1$, we conclude that there exists $\widehat{x}(\theta) \in \widehat{S}$ such that $\widehat{\lambda}_\theta(r) \rightarrow \widehat{x}(\theta)$. But any point of \widehat{S} is an image of an interior point of D under the uniformizing map $\widehat{\Phi}$, and not a boundary value of this map, a contradiction. \square

3.5. Boundary values of the complex length.

Let $\pi_V: LC_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow V$, $\pi_U: LC_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow U$, be the same as before.

Lemma 3. *The map $\pi_V: LC_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow V$ is tame on discs.*

Proof: Consider a holomorphic nonconstant map $\Phi: D \rightarrow LC_{\mathcal{W}}$, and define the pull-back of the complex length L to D . Note that the length L is well defined on the universal cover $\widehat{LC}_{\mathcal{W}}$ rather than on $LC_{\mathcal{W}}$, see

Subsection 3.3. Let $\widehat{\Phi}$ be any lift of Φ to a map $D \rightarrow \widehat{LC}_W : \widehat{\pi} \circ \widehat{\Phi} = \Phi$. Define

$$(18) \quad \widetilde{L} = L \circ \widehat{\Phi}.$$

Lemma 4. *Consider \widetilde{L} , the complex length vector function lifted to the unit disc D , see (18). There is an open dense set Ω on the boundary $S^1 = \partial D$ through which the function \widetilde{L} may be analytically extended. The complement $\Lambda = S^1 \setminus \Omega$ is closed and has measure zero.*

Proof: Consider $n + 1$ holomorphic functions in D : the function

$$\widetilde{\alpha} = \pi_V \circ \Phi,$$

and the functions $\widetilde{L}_1, \dots, \widetilde{L}_n$, the components of \widetilde{L} . These components range in a halfplane $\Re \zeta \leq C$ for some C . Hence, for appropriate c , the functions $l_j = 1/(\widetilde{L}_j + c)$ are all holomorphic and bounded, as well as $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is. By the Fatou Theorem, they all have radial limits at almost every point of the boundary circle S^1 . Denote by Λ_∞ the set of those θ for which there exists j such that either $l_j(re^{i\theta}) \rightarrow 0$ or $l_j(re^{i\theta})$ has no radial limit at all as $r \rightarrow 1$. By the Fatou and Privalov Theorems, $\text{mes } \Lambda_\infty = 0$. Note that if $\theta \notin \Lambda_\infty$, all the functions \widetilde{L}_j have a finite radial limit at $e^{i\theta}$.

Denote by P (of polycycle) the set of those $\alpha \in V$ for which \mathcal{F}_α has a polycycle close to γ . Recall that the set of these points is at most countable. Denote by Λ_P the set $\{\theta \mid \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{\alpha}(re^{i\theta}) \in P\}$. Again by the Privalov Theorem

$$\text{mes } \Lambda_P = 0.$$

Let us now prove that the vector function \widetilde{L} may be extended to any point of the set $S^1 \setminus \Lambda_P \setminus \Lambda_\infty$. This will imply that this set is open. The previous arguments show that it is of measure zero.

Let $\theta \in S^1 \setminus \Lambda_P \setminus \Lambda_\infty$.

Then all the functions \widetilde{L}_j have a radial limit along $\{re^{i\theta}\}$. By Theorem 2, the length of the cycles $\gamma_{\alpha(r)}$, where $\alpha(r) = \pi_V \Phi(re^{i\theta})$, stays bounded as $r \rightarrow 1$. By Lemma 1, the family of complex limit cycles $\gamma_{\alpha(r)}$ tends to a complex cycle or polycycle γ^0 . But the latter case is excluded because $e^{i\theta} \notin \Lambda_P$. Hence, γ^0 is a complex cycle, and the function \widetilde{L} may be extended through the point $e^{i\theta}$. This proves Lemma 4. □

Lemma 4 implies Lemma 3. □

Together, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 imply Theorem 1.

References

- [1] G. T. BUZZARD, S. L. HRUSKA, AND YU. ILYASHENKO, Kupka–Smale theorem for polynomial automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 and persistence of heteroclinic intersections, *Invent. Math.* **161(1)** (2005), 45–89. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-004-0418-8.
- [2] G. CALSAMIGLIA, B. DEROIN, S. FRANKEL, AND A. GUILLOT, Singular sets of holonomy maps for algebraic foliations, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **15(3)** (2013), 1067–1099. DOI: 10.4171/JEMS/386.
- [3] YU. S. IL’YASHENKO, Limit cycles of polynomial vector fields with nondegenerate singular points on the real plane, (Russian), *Funktional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **18(3)** (1984), 32–42; translation in: *Functional Anal. Appl.* **18(3)** (1984), 199–209.
- [4] YU. S. IL’YASHENKO, Persistence theorems and simultaneous uniformization, (Russian), *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* **254** (2006), Ne-linein. Anal. Differ. Uravn., 196–214; translation in: *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **3(254)** (2006), 184–200.
- [5] YU. IL’YASHENKO, Some open problems in real and complex dynamical systems, *Nonlinearity* **21(7)** (2008), T101–T107. DOI: 10.1088/0951-7715/21/7/T01.
- [6] YU. IL’YASHENKO AND S. YAKOVENKO, Finite cyclicity of elementary polycycles in generic families, in: “*Concerning the Hilbert 16th problem*”, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2 **165**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, pp. 21–95.
- [7] I. G. PETROVSKIĬ AND E. M. LANDIS, On the number of limit cycles of the equation $dy/dx = P(x, y)/Q(x, y)$, where P and Q are polynomials of 2nd degree, (Russian), *Mat. Sb. N.S.* **37(79)** (1955), 209–250.

Cornell University
Ithaca, NY 14853
USA

and

Moscow State and Independent Universities
Steklov Mathematical Institute
National Research University Higher School of Economics
119002 Moscow
Russia
E-mail address: yulijis@gmail.com