

ENDPOINT FOR THE DIV-CURL LEMMA IN HARDY SPACES

ALINE BONAMI, JUSTIN FEUTO, AND SANDRINE GRELLIER

Abstract

We give a div-curl type lemma for the wedge product of closed differential forms on \mathbb{R}^n when they have coefficients respectively in a Hardy space and L^∞ or BMO . In this latter case, the wedge product belongs to an appropriate Hardy-Orlicz space.

1. Introduction

The theory of compensated compactness initiated and developed by L. Tartar [Ta] and F. Murat [M] has been largely studied and extended to various settings. The famous paper of Coifman, Lions, Meyer and Semmes [CLMS] gives an overview of this theory in the context of Hardy spaces in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 1$). They prove in particular, that, for $\frac{n}{n+1} < p, q < \infty$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} < 1 + \frac{1}{n}$, when F is a vector field belonging to the Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{curl } F = 0$ and G is a vector field belonging to $\mathcal{H}^q(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{div } G = 0$, then the scalar product $F \cdot G$ can be given a meaning as a distribution of $\mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$(1) \quad \|F \cdot G\|_{\mathcal{H}^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)} \|G\|_{\mathcal{H}^q(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$.

The endpoint $\frac{n}{n+1}$ is related to cancellation properties of Hardy spaces: bounded functions with compact support and zero mean do not belong to $\mathcal{H}^{\frac{n}{n+1}}$ unless their moments of order one are zero, a property that the scalar product $F \cdot G$ does not have in general.

We shall consider here the endpoint $q = \infty$. Let us start by some description of what is known. Auscher, Russ and Tchamitchian remarked

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B30 (58A10).

Key words. Hardy-spaces, Hardy-Orlicz spaces, div-curl lemma, differential forms. The authors are partially supported by the project ANR AHPI number ANR-07-BLAN-0247-01.

in [ART] that, for $p = 1$, one has, under the same assumptions of being respectively curl free and divergence free,

$$(2) \quad \|F \cdot G\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)} \|G\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

It is easy to see that one of the proofs given in [CLMS] is also valid for $q = \infty$. They give in [ART] another proof, which has its own interest and has helped us in our generalization to BMO . Remark that the scalar product does not make sense in general when F is in $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p < 1$, so that one can only write a priori estimates, such as the following one.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\frac{n}{n+1} < p \leq 1$. If $F \in \mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is integrable with $\text{curl } F = 0$ and if $G \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{div } G = 0$, then there exists a constant C , independent of F and G , such that*

$$(3) \quad \|F \cdot G\|_{\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)} \|G\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This a priori estimate allows to give a meaning to $F \cdot G$ in the distribution sense when F is only in $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$. There is no hope to give such a meaning for general products fg , with $f \in \mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L^\infty$. It is proved in [BF] that this is possible when g is in the inhomogeneous Lipschitz space $\Lambda_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $\alpha = n(\frac{1}{p} - 1)$. Moreover, one has

$$(4) \quad fg \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + \mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for f in \mathcal{H}^p (with $p < 1$) and g in $\Lambda_{n(\frac{1}{p}-1)}$. In view of Theorem 1.1, one sees that cancellation properties of the scalar product of curl free and divergence free vector fields allow to get rid of the integrable part and to weaken the assumptions from Lipschitz to bounded.

We will show in Section 4 that this generalizes to wedge products of closed forms. Remark that end-point estimates would imply all other ones by interpolation if we could interpolate between \mathcal{H}^p spaces of closed forms. Indeed, for instance, the generalization to closed forms allows to have (3) when assumptions on the two factors are exchanged: F is bounded and G is in $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Unfortunately, one does not know whether one can interpolate: while there is a bounded projection onto closed forms in \mathcal{H}^p for $p < \infty$, it is not the case for $p = \infty$.

The core of this paper concerns div-curl lemmas (and their extensions for the wedge product of closed forms) when the assumption to be bounded is weakened into an assumption of type BMO . Products of functions in \mathcal{H}^1 and BMO have been considered by Bonami, Iwaniec, Jones and Zinsmeister in [BIJZ]. Such products make sense as distributions, and can be written as the sum of an integrable function and

a function in a weighted Hardy-Orlicz space. In order to have a div-curl lemma in this context, we make some restriction for one of the two factors. Recall that $\mathbf{bmo} := \mathbf{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of locally integrable functions b satisfying

$$(5) \quad \sup_{|B| \leq 1} \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |b(x) - b_B| dx \right) < \infty \text{ and } \sup_{|B| \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |b(x)| dx \right) < \infty.$$

Here the supremum is taken over all balls B of \mathbb{R}^n and $|B|$ denotes the measure of the ball B . The sum of the two finite quantities will be denoted by $\|b\|_{\mathbf{bmo}}$. It is well-known that this \mathbf{bmo} -space is the dual space of the localized version of the Hardy space, which we denote by $\mathfrak{h}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, see [G]. To be more precise, for $f \in \mathfrak{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in \mathbf{bmo}$, we define the product (in the distribution sense) fg as the distribution whose action on the Schwartz function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is given by

$$(6) \quad \langle fg, \varphi \rangle := \langle \varphi g, f \rangle,$$

where the second bracket stands for the duality bracket between \mathfrak{H}^1 and BMO . It is then proved in [BIJZ] that

$$(7) \quad fg \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + \mathcal{H}_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Here $\mathcal{H}_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the weighted Hardy-Orlicz space related to the Orlicz function

$$(8) \quad \Phi(t) := \frac{t}{\log(e+t)}$$

and with weight $\omega(x) := (\log(e+|x|))^{-1}$. This extends immediately to vector-valued functions. In the next theorem, we prove that there is no L^1 term in the context of the div-curl lemma.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $F \in \mathfrak{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{curl } F = 0$ and $G \in \mathbf{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{div } G = 0$. Then there exists some constant C , independent of F and G , such that*

$$(9) \quad \|F \cdot G\|_{\mathcal{H}_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|F\|_{\mathfrak{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)} \|G\|_{\mathbf{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Remark 1.3. The theorem is also valid for $G \in BMO$, but for local Hardy spaces \mathfrak{h}^1 and \mathfrak{h}_ω^Φ instead of \mathfrak{H}^1 and \mathcal{H}_ω^Φ . One can also weaken the assumption $G \in \mathbf{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})$ and replace it by the two assumptions $G \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})$ and $\mathfrak{M}G$ not identically $+\infty$. Here $\mathfrak{M}h$ denotes the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function.

There is an \mathcal{H}^p version of this theorem, for $p < 1$, which we give also. Note that div-curl have been developed in the context of local Hardy spaces by Dafni [D].

These results can be compared to what can be said on products of holomorphic functions, for which analogous estimates are elementary and have a weak converse, see [BG].

To simplify notations, we restricted to vector fields in the introduction, but we shall write below these results in the context of the wedge product of closed differential forms. Indeed, recall that a divergence free vector field can be identified with an $(n - 1)$ -closed differential form, while a curl free vector field identifies with a 1-closed form, their scalar product being given by the wedge product of these two forms. The usual div-curl lemma has been extended to wedge products of closed differential forms by several authors in several settings (see for instance [IL] and [RT]) and then by Lou and McIntosh in the setting of Hardy spaces [LM1], [LM2] when $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, with both p and q finite. We extend these results to the endpoints cases.

Our paper is organized as follows. We recall basic results about classical Hardy spaces in the second section. We define an appropriate grand maximal function to characterize $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which has been introduced in [ART]. In Section 3, after recalling some basic facts about differential forms, we give the analogous of the previous grand maximal function characterization in this context. In Section 4 we give the whole range of the div-curl Lemma for closed forms. Section 5 is devoted to assumptions of type *BMO*.

Throughout this paper, C denotes constants that are independent of the functions involved, with values which may differ from line to line. For two quantities A and B , the notation $A \sim B$ means that there exist two positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that $C_1 A \leq B \leq C_2 A$. If E is a measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^n , then $|E|$ stands for its Lebesgue measure.

Acknowledgement. The authors thank Brett Wick for valuable discussions.

2. Some basic facts about classical Hardy spaces

We refer to [St] for background on Hardy spaces.

We fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ having integral 1 and support in the unit ball $\mathbb{B} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}$. For $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we put

$$(10) \quad (f * \varphi)(x) := \langle f, \varphi(x - \cdot) \rangle,$$

and define the maximal function $\mathcal{M}f$ by

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{M}f(x) := \sup_{t>0} |(f * \varphi_t)(x)|,$$

where $\varphi_t(x) = t^{-n} \varphi(t^{-1}x)$.

For $p > 0$, a tempered distribution f is said to belong to the Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if

$$(12) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{M}f(x)^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|\mathcal{M}f\|_{L^p}$$

is finite. It is well known that, up to equivalence of corresponding norms, the space $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ does not depend on the choice of the function φ .

For $\frac{n}{n+1} < p \leq 1$, an \mathcal{H}^p -atom (related to the ball B) is a bounded function a supported in B and satisfying the following conditions

$$(13) \quad \|a\|_{L^\infty} \leq |B|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x) dx = 0.$$

The atomic decomposition of \mathcal{H}^p states that a temperate distribution f belongs to \mathcal{H}^p if and only if there exist a sequence (a_j) of \mathcal{H}^p -atoms and a sequence (λ_j) of scalars such that

$$(14) \quad f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p < \infty,$$

where the first sum is assumed to converge in the sense of distributions. Moreover, f is the limit of the partial sums in \mathcal{H}^p , and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}$ is equivalent to the infimum, taken over all such decomposition of f , of the quantities $\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

For the purpose of our main results, we are going to define an appropriate grand maximal function, which induces on \mathcal{H}^p a semi-norm equivalent to the previous one.

Let $q > n$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by \mathcal{F}_x^q , the set of all $\psi \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ supported in some ball $B(x, r)$ centered at x with radius $r > 0$, which satisfy

$$(15) \quad \|\psi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} + r \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq |B(x,r)|^{-\frac{1}{q}},$$

where $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$. Here $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the Sobolev space of functions in L^q with derivatives in L^q . Since $q > n$, the Sobolev theorem guarantees that the test functions are bounded, which allows to give the following definition.

For $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, put

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{M}_q f(x) := \sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{F}_x^q} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \psi(x) \right| dx.$$

The following lemma is classical, but we give its proof for completeness.

Lemma 2.1. *Let f be a locally integrable function on \mathbb{R}^n .*

(i) *There exists a constant C not depending on f , such that*

$$(17) \quad \mathcal{M}f \leq C\mathcal{M}_\infty f.$$

(ii) *For $\frac{n}{n+1} < p \leq 1$ and $\frac{1}{q} < \frac{n+1}{n} - \frac{1}{p}$*

$$(18) \quad \|\mathcal{M}_q f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof: Let $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To prove (i), it is sufficient to see that, for φ the test function used in the definition of Hardy space, there exists some constant c such that, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$, the function $\varphi_{x,t}(y) := c\varphi_t(x-y)$ belongs to \mathcal{F}_x^∞ . One can choose $c = \left(\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^\infty}\right)^{-1}$.

Let us now prove (ii). It is sufficient to consider $q < \infty$ and the inequality

$$(19) \quad \|\mathcal{M}_q f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p},$$

since

$$(20) \quad \mathcal{M}f \leq C\mathcal{M}_\infty f \leq C\mathcal{M}_q f.$$

By sub-linearity of the maximal operator \mathcal{M}_q , it is sufficient to prove a uniform estimate for atoms,

$$(21) \quad \|\mathcal{M}_q a\|_{L^p} \leq C$$

for some uniform constant C . Indeed, once we have this, we conclude for $f = \sum \lambda_j a_j$ that

$$\|\mathcal{M}_q f\|_{L^p} \leq \left(\sum |\lambda_j|^p \|\mathcal{M}_q a\|_{L^p}^p\right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(\sum |\lambda_j|^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

So let us prove (21). Without loss of generality, using invariance by translation and dilation, we may assume that a is a function with zero mean, supported by the unit ball \mathbb{B} centered at 0, and bounded by 1. We prove that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ depending on q such that

$$|\mathcal{M}_q a(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-n-1+\varepsilon}.$$

By assumption on $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_x^q$, using Hölder's Inequality, we find that $\|\psi\|_1 \leq 1$. So $\mathcal{M}_q a$ is bounded by 1, and it is sufficient to prove that, for $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_x^q$,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{B}} \psi a(x) dx \right| \leq C|x|^{-n-1+\varepsilon}$$

for $|x| \geq 2$. Moreover, in this range of x , we may restrict to functions ψ supported in $B(x, r)$ with $r > |x|/2$, so that $\|\nabla\psi\|_q \leq C|x|^{-\frac{n}{q}-1}$.

Since a has mean zero,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{B}} \psi a(x) dx \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}} (\psi(x) - \psi_{\mathbb{B}}) a(x) dx \right| \leq C \|\nabla \psi\|_q.$$

We have used Poincaré Inequality for the last inequality. The condition on q is required for $|x|^{-p(\frac{n}{q}+1)}$ to be integrable at infinity. \square

This discussion extends to local Hardy spaces, that we define now. We first define the truncated version of the maximal function, namely

$$(22) \quad \mathcal{M}^{(1)} f(x) := \sup_{0 < t < 1} |(f * \varphi_t)(x)|.$$

A tempered distribution f is said to belong to the space $\mathfrak{h}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if

$$(23) \quad \|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{M}^{(1)} f(x)^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

As before, this definition does not depend on the choice of φ . The atomic decomposition holds for local Hardy spaces, but with atoms associated to balls of radius less than 1 satisfying the moment condition, see [G]. The previous lemma is valid in the context of $\mathfrak{h}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $\mathcal{M}^{(1)}$ in place of \mathcal{M} . One can define analogously the maximal functions $\mathcal{M}_q^{(1)}$ as the localized version of \mathcal{M}_q . We will use it later.

3. Hardy spaces of differential forms

For this section, we refer to the book of Morrey [Mo] and to [ISS]. Let us first fix notations and recall standard properties. Let \mathbb{R}^n be the Euclidean space equipped with its standard orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B} = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, and let $\mathcal{B}^* = \{e^1, \dots, e^n\}$ be its dual basis.

For $\ell \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, denote by Λ^ℓ the space of ℓ -linear alternating forms, which consists in linear combinations of exterior products

$$(24) \quad e^I = e^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{i_\ell},$$

where $I = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell)$ is any ℓ -tuple. The standard basis of Λ^ℓ is $\{e^I\}$ where I is an ordered ℓ -tuple, $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_\ell \leq n$. For $\alpha = \sum_I \alpha_I e^I$ and $\beta = \sum_I \beta_I e^I$ in Λ^ℓ , we define the inner product of α and β by

$$(25) \quad \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle := \sum \alpha_I \beta_I,$$

where the summation is taken over all ordered ℓ -tuples.

The Hodge operator is the linear operator $*$: $\Lambda^\ell \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-\ell}$ defined by

$$(26) \quad \alpha \wedge * \beta = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle e^1 \wedge \dots \wedge e^n$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^\ell$.

An ℓ -form on \mathbb{R}^n is defined as a function $u: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \Lambda^\ell$, which may be written as

$$(27) \quad u = \sum_I u_I e^I,$$

where the u_I 's are (real-valued) functions on \mathbb{R}^n and all the I 's are of length ℓ .

Definition 3.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set, ℓ be a positive integer as above and $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ be a normed space of functions $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{E}(\Omega)}$. We say that an ℓ -form $\omega = \sum_I \omega_I e^I$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}(\Omega, \Lambda^\ell)$ if $\omega_I \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ for all ordered ℓ -tuples I , and we define

$$(28) \quad \|\omega\|_{\mathcal{E}(\Omega, \Lambda^\ell)} := \sum_I \|\omega_I\|_{\mathcal{E}(\Omega)}.$$

Let $d: \mathcal{D}'(\Omega, \Lambda^{\ell-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega, \Lambda^\ell)$ denote the exterior derivative operator given by

$$(29) \quad d\omega = \sum_{k,I} \partial_k \omega_I e^k \wedge e^I$$

where $\partial_k \omega_I$ is the partial derivative with respect to the k -th variable. The Hodge operator $\delta: \Lambda^\ell \rightarrow \Lambda^{\ell-1}$ defined by $\delta = (-1)^{n(n-\ell)} *d*$ is the formal adjoint of d in the sense that if $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega, \Lambda^\ell)$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega, \Lambda^{\ell+1})$, then

$$(30) \quad \int_\Omega \langle \alpha, \delta\beta \rangle = - \int_\Omega \langle d\alpha, \beta \rangle,$$

provided that one of these forms has compact support. We also define the Laplacian

$$(31) \quad \Delta_\ell = d\delta + \delta d: \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell).$$

A simple calculation shows that for $\omega = \sum_I \omega_I e^I \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$(32) \quad \Delta_\ell \omega = \sum_I \Delta \omega_I e^I,$$

where $\Delta \omega_I$ is the usual Laplacian on functions.

For $f = \sum_I f_I e^I \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$, we put

$$(33) \quad \partial_j f := \sum_I \partial_j f_I e^I.$$

Definition 3.2. Let $\ell \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$, and $\frac{n}{n+1} < p \leq 1$. The Hardy space of closed ℓ -forms is defined as

$$(34) \quad \mathcal{H}_d^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell) := \{f \in \mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell) : df = 0\}$$

endowed with the norm of $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$.

Recall that all closed ℓ -forms are exact, that is, there exists some $g \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{\ell-1})$ such that $f = dg$.

We need the analogue of the previous scalar characterizations of Hardy spaces.

For $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, we first define, for $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$, the grand maximal function $\vec{\mathcal{M}}_q f$ as follows.

$$(35) \quad \vec{\mathcal{M}}_q f(x) := \sup_{\Phi \in \vec{\mathcal{F}}_x^q} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \wedge \Phi \right|,$$

where $\vec{\mathcal{F}}_x^q$ denote the set of all $\Phi \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})$ for which there exists $r > 0$ such that Φ is supported in the ball $B(x,r)$ and satisfies

$$(*) \quad \|\Phi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})} + r \|\nabla \Phi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})} \leq |B(x,r)|^{-\frac{1}{q'}}.$$

The next lemma is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1 and of the fact that for $f = \sum_I f_I e^I \in \mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$,

$$(36) \quad \vec{\mathcal{M}}_q f \leq \sum_I \mathcal{M}_q f_I.$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $\frac{n}{n+1} < p \leq 1$ and $\frac{1}{q} < \frac{n+1}{n} - \frac{1}{p}$. There exists a constant C such that, for all $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell) \cap \mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(37) \quad \left\| \vec{\mathcal{M}}_q f \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)}.$$

We need a weaker version of this grand maximal function, denoted by $\vec{\mathcal{M}}_{q,d} f$, which is adapted to Hardy spaces of closed forms. We define

$$(38) \quad \vec{\mathcal{M}}_{q,d} f(x) := \sup_{\Phi \in \vec{\mathcal{F}}_{x,d}^q} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \wedge \Phi \right|,$$

where $\vec{\mathcal{F}}_{x,d}^q$ denote the set of $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})$ supported in some ball $B(x,r)$ satisfying

$$(**) \quad \|\Phi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})} + r \|d\Phi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell+1})} \leq |B(x,r)|^{-\frac{1}{q'}}.$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $q > n$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq n - 1$. For all $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$, one has*

$$(39) \quad \vec{\mathcal{M}}_q f \leq \vec{\mathcal{M}}_{q,d} f.$$

Moreover, if f is a closed form, then

$$(40) \quad \vec{\mathcal{M}}_{q,d} f \leq C \vec{\mathcal{M}}_q f,$$

for some uniform constant C .

Proof: Let $\Phi = \sum_I \Phi_I e^I \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})$. It follows from the fact that $d\Phi = \sum_{I,j} \partial_j \Phi_I e^j \wedge e^I$, that

$$(41) \quad \|d\Phi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell+1})} \leq \sum_{I,j} \|\partial_j \Phi_I\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|\nabla \Phi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})}.$$

Thus, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $\vec{\mathcal{F}}_x^q \subset \vec{\mathcal{F}}_{x,d}^q$ so that (39) follows from the definition of the maximal functions $\vec{\mathcal{M}}_q f$ and $\vec{\mathcal{M}}_{q,d} f$.

Assume now that f is a locally integrable closed form. Remark first that, for ϕ and ψ bounded and compactly supported with $d\psi = d\phi$, we have

$$(42) \quad \int f \wedge \phi = \int f \wedge \psi.$$

Indeed, we can assume by regularization that f is a smooth function on some open set containing the supports of ϕ and ψ . Moreover, f may be written as dg , with g a smooth function on this open set. So the equality follows from integration by parts.

Now, let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Phi = \sum_I \Phi_I e^I \in \vec{\mathcal{F}}_{x,d}^q$ supported in $B_{(x,r)}$. We put $\varphi(y) = r^n \Phi(x + ry)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then φ is supported in \mathbb{B} and

$$(43) \quad d\varphi(y) = r^{n+1} \sum_{I,j} (\partial_j \Phi_I)(x + ry) e^j \wedge e^I = r^{n+1} d\Phi(x + ry).$$

So, we obtain

$$(44) \quad \|d\varphi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{B}, \Lambda^{n-\ell+1})} = r \|d\Phi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell+1})} \leq |B_{(x,r)}|^{-\frac{1}{q}},$$

according to the definition of $\Phi \in \vec{\mathcal{F}}_{x,d}^q$. To conclude for the lemma, it is sufficient to find ψ in $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})$ supported in \mathbb{B} and such that $d\psi = d\varphi$ with

$$(45) \quad \|\psi\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})} \leq C \|d\varphi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{B}, \Lambda^{n-\ell+1})}.$$

Indeed, if we let $\Psi(y) = \psi_r(y - x)$, then $C^{-1}\Phi \in \vec{\mathcal{F}}_x^q$, and $d\Psi = d\Phi$, so that $\int f \wedge \Phi = \int f \wedge \Psi$.

So we conclude easily from the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq n - 1$. Let \mathbb{B} be the unit ball. Let $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$ compactly supported in \mathbb{B} such that $d\varphi$ is in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{\ell+1})$. Then there exists $\psi \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$ vanishing outside \mathbb{B} , such that $d\psi = d\varphi$. Moreover, we can choose ψ such that*

$$\|\psi\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)} \leq C \|d\varphi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{\ell+1})}$$

for some uniform constant C .

Proof: The existence of a form $\psi \in W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{B}, \Lambda^\ell)$ such that $d\psi = d\varphi$ inside \mathbb{B} is given by Theorem 3.3.3 of [Sc]. Moreover, one has the inequality

$$\|\psi\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{B}, \Lambda^\ell)} \leq C \|d\varphi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{B}, \Lambda^{\ell+1})}.$$

Then ψ extends into a form of $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$ when given the value 0 outside the unit ball. We still denote by ψ its extension to \mathbb{R}^n , which is supported by $\overline{\mathbb{B}}$. \square

This allows to conclude for the proof of Lemma 3.4 when $q < \infty$. When $q = \infty$, one has just to remark that $\vec{F}_{x,d}^\infty \subset \vec{F}_{x,d}^r$ for any finite r . \square

4. Wedge products

We are interested in estimates of wedge products of two differential forms of degree ℓ and $n - \ell$ respectively, with $1 \leq \ell \leq n - 1$. Recall that, for $f = \sum_I f_I e^I \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$ and $g = \sum_J g_J e^J \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})$, with I varying among all ordered ℓ -tuples $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_\ell \leq n$ and J among all ordered $n - \ell$ -tuples, we put

$$(46) \quad f \wedge g = \sum_{I,J} (f_I \cdot g_J) e^I \wedge e^J.$$

The n -form $f \wedge g$ identifies with a function via the Hodge operator. It is clear that the wedge product can also be defined as soon as products are. In particular, it is the case when $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$ and $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})$, with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq 1$. Using the results of [BIJZ] and [BF], it is also the case when one of the two forms belongs to the Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$ while the other one is in the dual space. Moreover, it is proved that

$$(47) \quad f \wedge g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^n) + \mathcal{H}_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^n)$$

if $f \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$ and $g \in \mathfrak{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})$, while

$$(48) \quad f \wedge g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^n) + \mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^n)$$

if $p < 1$, $f \in \mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$ and $g \in \Lambda_{n(\frac{1}{p}-1)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})$. Here $\mathcal{H}_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^n)$ is the weighted Hardy Orlicz space associated to the function $\Phi(t) = \frac{t}{\log(e+t)}$ and the weight $\omega(x) = (\log(e + |x|))^{-1}$.

We are now interested in improving these estimates when f and g are closed. The div-curl lemma can be generalized to closed forms: this has already been observed by Lou and McIntosh in [LM1] when $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. In general, we can state the following.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\frac{n}{n+1} < p \leq 1$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq n - 1$. Let $1 < q \leq \infty$ be such that $\frac{1}{r} := \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{n+1}{n}$. Then, if $f \in \mathcal{H}_d^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell) \cap L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$ and $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})$ is such that $dg = 0$, then $f \wedge g \in \mathcal{H}_d^r(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^n)$. Moreover, there exists a constant C not depending on f and g , such that*

$$(49) \quad \|g \wedge f\|_{\mathcal{H}_d^r(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^n)} \leq C \|g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_d^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)}.$$

Proof: Remark that the assumptions can be made symmetric: just replace ℓ by $n - \ell$.

To adapt the proof given in [CLMS] the main point is given in the next lemma, which has its own interest.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\frac{n}{n+1} < p \leq 1$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq n - 1$. Then, for $f \in \mathcal{H}_d^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$, there exists $h \in L_d^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{\ell-1})$ such that $dh = f$ and $\delta h = 0$, with $\frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n}$. Moreover h is unique up to the addition of a constant form, and there exists some uniform constant C such that*

$$(50) \quad \|\mathcal{M}_{\text{Sob}}(h)\|_p \leq C \|dh\|_{\mathcal{H}^p},$$

with

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{Sob}}(h)(x) := \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{t|B(x,t)|} \int_{B(x,t)} |h(y) - h_{B(x,t)}| dy.$$

Recall that $h_{B(x,t)}$ is the mean of h over the ball $B(x,t)$, which is well defined since h is in L^{p^*} and $p^* > 1$.

Proof: The case $\ell = 1$ is Lemma II.2 of [CLMS]. So it is sufficient to consider $\ell > 1$. Uniqueness is direct, since in $L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{\ell-1})$ only constants are d -closed and δ -closed. Assume that h is a solution. Then all the derivatives $\partial_j h_I$ are in the Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, we use the fact that, by definition of $\Delta_{\ell-1}$, we have the identities

$$\Delta_{\ell-1} h = \delta f, \quad \partial_j h = (\partial_j (-\Delta_{\ell-1})^{-1/2}) ((-\Delta_{\ell-1})^{-1/2} \delta) f.$$

Both operators arising in the last expression, that is $\partial_j(-\Delta_{\ell-1})^{-1/2}$ and $(-\Delta_{\ell-1})^{-1/2}\delta$, are linear combinations of Riesz transforms and preserve Hardy spaces. Indeed, since $\Delta_{\ell-1}$ is given by the Laplacian, coefficients by coefficients, the same is valid for all its powers. Furthermore, we have

$$\|\nabla h\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} = C\|dh\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}.$$

Given f , we can use these formulas to fix the values of $\partial_j h_I$ in the Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Using this lemma for one-forms, we know the existence of $h_I \in L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ having these functions as derivatives. It is elementary to see that the form $h = \sum h_I e^I$ is such that $dh = f$ and $\delta h = 0$, using commutation properties of the operators. Finally, we write (50) for each $f_j = \partial_j h_I$ to obtain the inequality for f . \square

We adapt the end of the proof of Theorem II.3 in [CLMS], once the lemma has been settled. It is sufficient to observe that, analogously to [CLMS], for any φ chosen smooth and supported in the unit ball as in (11), one has

$$\left| \int (g \wedge f) \varphi_{x,t} \right| = \left| \int g \wedge h \wedge d(\varphi_{x,t}) \right| \leq \mathfrak{M}(g)(x) \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\text{Sob}}(h)(x).$$

Here $\varphi_{x,t}(y) = t^{-n}\varphi((x-y)/t)$. Hence, $\mathcal{M}(g \wedge f)$ belongs to $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$\|\mathcal{M}(g \wedge f)\|_{L^r} \leq \|g\|_{L^q} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}.$$

This gives the proof of Theorem 4.1. Remark that $f \wedge g$ can be defined in the distribution sense without the additional assumption that $f \in L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$: we pose $f \wedge g = d(h \wedge g)$, with h given by Lemma 4.2. Indeed, $h \wedge g$ is in the Lebesgue space L^s , with $s > 1$ given by $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{n}$. So its exterior derivative is well defined as a distribution. \square

Following the ideas of [ART], let us sketch another proof for the endpoint $q = \infty$.

Proof for the endpoint: Let f be a locally integrable function in $\mathcal{H}_d^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$ and $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})$ such that $dg = 0$. We want to prove that,

$$\mathcal{M}(f \wedge g)(x) \leq C \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})} \vec{\mathcal{M}}_{\infty,df}(x),$$

from which we conclude directly by using Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4. By linearity we can assume that $\|g\|_\infty = 1$. In order to estimate $\mathcal{M}(f \wedge g)(x)$, we have to consider

$$\int \varphi_{x,t} f \wedge g, \quad \varphi_{x,t}(y) = t^{-n}\varphi((x-y)/t).$$

Here φ is chosen smooth and supported in the unit ball as in (11). It is sufficient to prove the existence of some uniform constant c such that $c\varphi_{x,t}g$ belongs to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{x,d}^\infty$. This follows from the inequality

$$\|\varphi_{x,t}\|_\infty + t\|d\varphi_{x,t}\|_\infty \leq |B_{(x,t)}|^{-1}.$$

Indeed, since g is closed, we have the equality $d(\varphi_{x,t}g) = d\varphi_{x,t} \wedge g$ and the uniform norm of a wedge product is bounded by the product of norms.

This finishes the proof. □

5. BMO estimates

Let us first recall some facts on $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and weighted Hardy-Orlicz spaces.

Given a continuous function $\mathcal{P}: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ increasing from 0 to ∞ (but not necessarily convex, \mathcal{P} is called the Orlicz function), and given a positive measurable function ω , the weighted Orlicz space $L_\omega^\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consists in the space of functions f such that

$$(51) \quad \|f\|_{L_\omega^\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{P}(k^{-1}|f|)\omega(x) dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

is finite. The weighted Hardy Orlicz space $\mathcal{H}_\omega^\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp. the local Hardy Orlicz space $\mathfrak{h}_\omega^\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) is the space of tempered distributions f such that $\mathcal{M}f$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^{(1)}f$) belongs to $L_\omega^\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We will consider here the Orlicz space associated to the function $\Phi(t) = \frac{t}{\log(e+t)}$ and the weight $(\log(e + |x|))^{-1}$, as mentioned in the introduction. The space $L_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not a normed space. We quote from [BIJZ] the following two properties.

Lemma 5.1. *For f and g in $L_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, their sum is in $L_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and satisfies*

$$(52) \quad \|f + g\|_{L_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 4\|f\|_{L_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)} + 4\|g\|_{L_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For f in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and g in $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, their product is in $L_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and satisfies the inequality

$$(53) \quad \|fg\|_{L_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \times (\|g\|_{BMO} + |g_{\mathbb{B}}|).$$

Our main theorem is the following.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_d^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$ and $g \in \mathfrak{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})$ such that $dg = 0$, then the product $f \wedge g$ is in $\mathcal{H}_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^n)$. Moreover, there exists a uniform constant C such that*

$$(54) \quad \|f \wedge g\|_{\mathcal{H}_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)} \|g\|_{\mathfrak{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})}.$$

Proof: Recall that the wedge product $f \wedge g$ is well defined in the distribution sense for $f \in \mathcal{H}_d^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$ and $g \in \mathbf{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})$. It is sufficient to have an a priori estimate for g bounded, which we assume from now on. We also assume that $\|g\|_{\mathbf{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})} = 1$ and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)} = 1$. Then, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_x^\infty$ supported in $B(x, r)$, we have

$$(55) \quad \left| \int (f \wedge g) \varphi \right| \leq \left| \int f \wedge (g - g_{B(x,r)}) \varphi \right| + \left| \int f \wedge (g_{B(x,r)}) \varphi \right|,$$

where

$$(56) \quad g_{B(x,r)} = \sum_I (g_I)_{B(x,r)} e^I \text{ if } g = \sum_I g_I e^I.$$

For the first term, we proceed in the same way as for bounded g . By John-Nirenberg Inequality, the form $(g - g_{B(x,r)}) \varphi$ satisfies Condition (**) for all $q > 1$, up to some uniform constant C . So, according to Lemma 3.4, for q large enough to satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 3.3, we have

$$(57) \quad \left| \int f \wedge (g - g_{B(x,r)}) \varphi \right| \leq C \vec{\mathcal{M}}_q f(x).$$

Let us now evaluate the second term of the sum (55). We have

$$(58) \quad \left| \int f \wedge (g_{B(x,r)}) \varphi \right| = \left| \sum_I (g_I)_{B(x,r)} \int f \wedge \varphi e^I \right| \leq (1 + \mathfrak{M}g(x)) \vec{\mathcal{M}}_\infty(f)(x)$$

where $\mathfrak{M}g(x) = \sum_I \mathfrak{M}g_I(x)$ and, for a scalar function h , we denote by $\mathfrak{M}h$ the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function.

Taking in (58) and (57) the supremum over all $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_x^\infty$ yields

$$(59) \quad \mathcal{M}_\infty(f \wedge g) \leq C \left(\vec{\mathcal{M}}_q(f) + \mathfrak{M}(g) \vec{\mathcal{M}}_\infty(f) \right).$$

The first term is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under the assumption that $f \in \mathcal{H}_d^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$ according to Lemma 3.3. So it is in $L_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Using (52), it remains to prove that the second term is in $L_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to conclude the proof of our theorem. This is a consequence of (53). We use the theorem of Bennett, DeVore, and Sharpley [**BdVS**], which states that the maximal function of a *BMO* function is in *BMO* under the assumption that it is not identically infinite. More precisely, under this assumption, one has the inequality

$$\|\mathfrak{M}b\|_{BMO} \leq C \|b\|_{BMO}.$$

The assumption is clearly satisfied for $b \in \mathfrak{bmo}$ since, inside \mathbb{B} ,

$$\mathfrak{M}b \leq \mathfrak{M}(b\chi_{2B}) + \|b\|_{\mathfrak{bmo}}$$

and the first term is a.e. finite by the maximal theorem.

This finishes the proof. □

We have as well the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $f \in \mathfrak{h}_d^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$ and $g \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})$ such that $dg = 0$, then the product $f \wedge g$ is in $\mathfrak{h}_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^n)$. Moreover, there exists a uniform constant C such that*

$$(60) \quad \|f \wedge g\|_{\mathfrak{h}_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)} \|g\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})}.$$

We will not give the proof, which is a small modification of the previous one. The key point is that we can replace $\mathfrak{M}g$ by $\mathfrak{M}^{(1)}g$ in the proof, where $\mathfrak{M}^{(1)}g(x) = \sum_I \mathfrak{M}^{(1)}g_I(x)$, and for $h \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ a scalar valued function,

$$(61) \quad \mathfrak{M}^{(1)}h(x) = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |h(y)| \, dy, x \in B \text{ and } |B| < 1 \right\}.$$

It is easily seen that the function $\mathfrak{M}^{(1)}g$ satisfies the same required integrability conditions as a BMO function in view of (53).

The generalization of Theorem 5.2 to \mathcal{H}_d^p for $p < 1$ is direct from (59). Precisely, we have the following.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_d^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)$ and $g \in \mathfrak{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})$ such that $dg = 0$, then the product $f \wedge g$ is in $\mathcal{H}_\omega^{\Phi p}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^n)$. Moreover, there exists a uniform constant C such that*

$$(62) \quad \|f \wedge g\|_{\mathcal{H}_\omega^{\Phi p}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^\ell)} \|g\|_{\mathfrak{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{n-\ell})}.$$

Remark that, the product of a function in \mathfrak{bmo} and a function in \mathcal{H}^p does not make sense as a distribution in general. But we can establish as above an a priori estimate, which allows to give a meaning to the wedge product of two closed forms.

References

[ART] P. AUSCHER, E. RUSS, AND P. TCHAMITCHIAN, Hardy Sobolev spaces on strongly Lipschitz domains of \mathbb{R}^n , *J. Funct. Anal.* **218(1)** (2005), 54–109.
 [BdVS] C. BENNETT, R. A. DEVORE, AND R. SHARPLEY, Weak- L^∞ and BMO, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **113(3)** (1981), 601–611.

- [BF] A. BONAMI AND J. FEUTO, Products of functions in Hardy and Lipschitz or BMO spaces, in: *Recent Developments in Real and Harmonic Analysis*, in honor of Carlos Segovia, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis (Cabrelli, Carlos; Torrea, José Luis, eds.), Birkhäuser, 2009, pp. 57–71.
- [BG] A. BONAMI AND S. GRELLIER, Hankel operators and weak factorization for Hardy-Orlicz spaces, *Colloq. Math.* **118(1)** (2010), 107–132.
- [BIJZ] A. BONAMI, T. IWANIEC, P. JONES, AND M. ZINSMEISTER, On the product of functions in BMO and H^1 , *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **57(5)** (2007), 1405–1439.
- [CLMS] R. COIFMAN, P.-L. LIONS, Y. MEYER, AND S. SEMMES, Compensated compactness and Hardy spaces, *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **72(3)** (1993), 247–286.
- [CMS1] R. COIFMAN, Y. MEYER, AND E. M. STEIN, Some new function spaces and their application to harmonic analysis, *J. Funct. Anal.* **62** (1985), 304–335.
- [D] G. DAFNI, Nonhomogeneous div-curl lemmas and local Hardy spaces, *Adv. Differential Equations* **10(5)** (2005), 505–526.
- [G] D. GOLDBERG, A local version of real Hardy spaces, *Duke Math. J.* **46(1)** (1979), 27–42.
- [IL] T. IWANIEC AND A. LUTOBORSKI, Integral estimates for null Lagrangians, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **125(1)** (1993), 25–79.
- [ISS] T. IWANIEC, C. SCOTT, AND B. STROFFOLINI, Nonlinear Hodge theory on manifolds with boundary, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **177** (1999), 37–115.
- [LM1] Z. LOU AND A. MCINTOSH, Hardy space of exact forms on \mathbb{R}^N , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **357(4)** (2005), 1469–1496.
- [LM2] Z. LOU AND A. MCINTOSH, Hardy spaces of exact forms on Lipschitz domains in \mathbb{R}^N , *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **53(2)** (2004), 583–611.
- [Mo] C. B. MORREY, JR., “*Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations*”, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **130**, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1966.
- [M] F. MURAT, Compacité par compensation, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **5(3)** (1978), 489–507.
- [RT] J. W. ROBBIN, R. C. ROGERS, AND B. TEMPLE, On weak continuity and the Hodge decomposition, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **303(2)** (1987), 609–618.

- [Sc] G. SCHWARZ, “*Hodge decomposition—a method for solving boundary value problems*”, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1607**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [St] E. M. STEIN, “*Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*”, With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy, Princeton Mathematical Series **43**, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis III, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [Ta] L. TARTAR, Compensated compactness and applications to partial differential equations, in: “*Nonlinear analysis and mechanics: Heriot-Watt Symposium, Vol. IV*”, Res. Notes in Math. **39**, Pitman, Boston, Mass.-London, 1979, pp. 136–212.

Aline Bonami:
MAPMO-UMR 6628
Département de Mathématiques
Université d’Orléans
45067 Orléans Cedex 2
France
E-mail address: Aline.Bonami@univ-orleans.fr

Justin Feuto:
Laboratoire de Mathématiques Fondamentales
UFR Mathématiques et Informatique
Université de Cocody
22 B.P. 1194
Abidjan 22
Côte d’Ivoire
E-mail address: justfeuto@yahoo.fr

Sandrine Grellier:
MAPMO-UMR 6628
Département de Mathématiques
Université d’Orléans
45067 Orléans Cedex 2
France
E-mail address: Sandrine.Grellier@univ-orleans.fr

Primera versió rebuda el 14 d’abril de 2009,
darrera versió rebuda el 26 de gener de 2010.